NOTE ON DIVISIBILITY SEQUENCES

BY MORGAN WARD

1. *Introduction.* We call a sequence of rational integers

$$(u): \qquad u_1, u_2, u_3, \cdots, u_n, \cdots$$

a *divisibility sequence* if u_r divides u_s whenever r divides s. The divisibility sequences most frequently studied are the *linear* sequences which satisfy linear difference equations with constant, integral coefficients.* In particular, the divisibility sequence associated with a difference equation of order two is essentially one of the important functions of Lucas.† I propose here to deduce two striking properties of divisibility sequences which do not depend on the fact that the sequence is linear.

2. *Preliminary Definitions.* An integer m will be said to be a *divisor* of (u) if it divides some term of (u), and a *prime divisor* if it is a prime. The suffix of the first term of (u) divisible by m is called the *rank of apparition* of m. If p is a prime divisor of (u), the rank of apparition of p^a, if it exists, will be denoted by ρ_a.

If we assume that no term of (u) is zero, we can build up from (u) a set of numbers $[n, r]$, the *binomial coefficients belonging to* (u),‡ defined by

$$[n, r] = 1, \qquad (r = 0; n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots),$$

$$[n, r] = u_n \cdot u_{n-1} \cdot \cdots \cdot u_{n-r+1}/u_1 \cdot u_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot u_r, \qquad (r = 1, \cdots, n; n = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

They will not in general be rational integers.

If a and b are any rational integers, we shall write as usual $a \mid b$ for a divides b and (a, b) for the greatest common divisor of a

---

* See Marshall Hall, *Divisibility sequences of the third order*, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 58 (1936), pp. 577–584, for an account of these sequences and references to the work of Pierce, Poulet, and Lehmer.

† u_n equals the function $(\alpha^n - \beta^n)/(\alpha - \beta)$ up to a constant factor.

‡ For a systematic account of the remarkable properties of these numbers formed from any sequence (u) with no non-vanishing terms see Morgan Ward, *A calculus of sequences*, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 58 (1936), pp. 255–266.

and b. If a^r is the highest power of a which divides b, we shall write $a^r \| b$.

Finally, since u_1 must divide every term of (u), we may assume that $u_1 = 1$.

3. *Statement of Results.* A divisibility sequence will be said to have property A provided that

A. If $c = (a, b)$, then $u_c = (u_a, u_b)$, for every pair of terms u_a, u_b of (u).

It will be said to have property B provided that

B. For every prime divisor p and every positive integer a, $u_r \equiv 0 \pmod{p^a}$ when and only when $r \equiv 0 \pmod{\rho_a}$, where ρ_a is the rank of apparition of p^a in (u).

The results of this note may now be stated as follows.

THEOREM 1. *Property* A *and property* B *are equivalent to one another.*

THEOREM 2. *The binomial coefficients belonging to every divisibility sequence having property* A *or property* B *are all rational integers.*

Theorem 2 was proved for the Lucas function by Lucas himself,* and for a more general type of linear divisibility sequence by T. A. Pierce.†

4. *Proof of First Theorem.* Assume that the divisibility sequence (u) has property A, and let ρ_a be the rank of apparition of p^a, where p is any prime divisor of (u). Suppose that $u_r \equiv 0 \pmod{p^a}$. Then if $c = (r, \rho_a)$, $(u_r, u_{\rho_a}) = u_c$ by property A. Therefore since $u_r \equiv u_{\rho_a} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^a}$, $u_c \equiv 0 \pmod{p^a}$. Therefore $c \geqq \rho_a$. But c divides ρ_a. Therefore $c = \rho_a$ so that ρ_a divides r. Since (u) is a divisibility sequence, if ρ_a divides r, $u_r \equiv 0 \pmod{p^a}$. Therefore the sequence has property B.

Conversely, assume that (u) has property B. Let u_a and u_b be any two terms of (u), and let p be any common prime divisor of u_a and u_b. Suppose that $p^m \| u_a$ and $p^n \| u_b$. Then if l is the smallest of the integers m and n, *it suffices to show that* $p^l \mid u_c$, *where* $c = (a, b)$. For since $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$, $u_c \mid u_a$ and $u_c \mid u_b$, so that

* Lucas, Nouvelle Correspondance Mathématique, vol. 4 (1878), pp. 1–8. Dickson's *History*, vol. 1, p. 349.

† Annals of Mathematics, (2), vol. 18 (1916–17), p. 56.

$u_c | (u_a, u_b)$. But $p^l \| (u_a, u_b)$. Therefore if $p^l | u_c$ for every common prime divisor p of u_a and u_b, we have $(u_a, u_b) | u_c$, so that $(u_a, u_b) = u_c$, and property A follows.

Now let ρ_m, ρ_n be the ranks of apparition of p^m and p^n, respectively. Without loss of generality we may assume that $m \geqq n$, so that $l = n$. Since property B holds, $\rho_m | a$, $\rho_n | b$ and $\rho_n | \rho_m$. Hence $\rho_n | a$ and $\rho_n | b$, so that $\rho_n | c = (a, b)$. But then $u_{\rho_n} | u_c$, so that $p^l = p^n | u_c$.

5. *Proof of Second Theorem*. It suffices to show that $[n, r]$ is an integer modulo p for every prime divisor p of (u) when (u) has property B. If we let $[0]! = 1$, then $[s]! = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_s$, $(s \geqq 1)$, $[n, r] = [n]!/[n-r]![r]!$.

Now the highest power of p dividing $[n]!$ is clearly $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} [n/\rho_s]$, where as usual $[a/b]$ denotes the greatest integer in a/b. (If p^s does not divide (u), then neither does p^t, $(t \geqq s)$, and we break off the sum after $s-1$ terms. Since $\rho_s \to \infty$ with s if every power of p divides the sequence, the sum is finite in every case.)

It therefore suffices to show that

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n}{\rho_s}\right] \geqq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n-r}{\rho_s}\right] + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{r}{\rho_s}\right],$$

and this follows as in the ordinary case when $u_n = n$ from the elementary inequality

$$\left[\frac{n+m}{\rho}\right] \geqq \left[\frac{n}{\rho}\right] + \left[\frac{m}{\rho}\right].$$

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY