

A GENERALIZATION OF RECURRENTS

BY MORGAN WARD

1. *Introduction.* It is well known that if

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \phi_r x^r, \quad \psi(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \psi_s x^s$$

are two singly infinite series, then the coefficients in the expansion of $\phi(x)/\psi(x)$, $\log \phi(x)$, $e^{\phi(x)}$ can all be expressed as determinants in the quantities ϕ_r , ψ_s . These expressions are called *recurrents* and have been used by several writers* to evaluate determinants involving the binomial coefficients, Bernoulli numbers, etc.

In the present paper, the analogous results are given for the quotient of two *doubly* infinite series, and the logarithm and exponential of a doubly infinite series. The extension to *m*-tuply infinite series is briefly sketched in §8.

It is believed the expressions obtained are new; there is no reference to any such work in the four volumes of Muir's *History*. We assume throughout that all the series involved are absolutely convergent, so that the derangements and multiplications employed are justified. As a matter of fact, we are dealing essentially with infinite sets of quantities A_{rs} , B_{rs} , C_{rs} , \dots , ($r, s, = 0, 1, 2, \dots$); the "variables" which appear in the series are merely convenient carriers for their coefficients.

We shall use, wherever convenient, the convention employed by writers on relativity for summations, namely,

$$U_{rs} x^r y^s,$$

which is taken to mean

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} U_{rs} x^r y^s,$$

the summations being understood.

* Muir's *History*, vols. II, III, IV, Chapters on recurrents.

2. *Degree and Rank.* Given a doubly infinite series

$$(1) \quad U(x, y) = U_{rs}x^r y^s,$$

we shall invariably write U in the order

$$U_{00} + U_{10}x + U_{01}y + U_{20}x^2 + U_{11}xy + U_{02}y^2 + \cdots,$$

or as

$$(2) \quad U(x, y) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^l U_{l-k, k} x^{l-k} y^k.$$

We define

$$l = (l - k) + k, \quad u_{lk} = \frac{l(l+1) + 2(k+1)}{2},$$

as the *degree* and *rank* respectively of the coefficient $U_{l-k, k}$. Hence the degree of a coefficient is the degree of the term it multiplies. The rank of a coefficient is simply its place in the series (2). For since from (2) there are $l+1$ terms of degree l , the coefficient U_{l0} appears in the $[(1+2+3+\cdots+l)+1]$ st place, that is,

$$u_{l0} = \frac{l(l+1)}{2} + 1.$$

The coefficient $U_{l-k, k}$ is k terms to the right of U_{l0} , so that its rank is

$$\frac{l(l+1)}{2} + 1 + k = \frac{l(l+1) + 2(k+1)}{2} = u_{lk}.$$

Thus for U_{rs} , the degree is $r+s$, and the rank is

$$(3) \quad u_{rs} = \frac{(r+s)(r+s+1) + 2(s+1)}{2}.$$

Moreover, it follows from the meaning of rank, that given any positive integer n , the equation

$$(4) \quad n = u_{rs}$$

determines a unique pair of non-negative integers r, s , and hence a unique coefficient U_{rs} in the series (2). Let k be any integer not greater than $r+s$. Then, by (3),

$$u_{r+s-k,k} = \frac{(r+s)(r+s+1) + 2(k+1)}{2};$$

hence

$$u_{r+s-k,k} + s - k = \frac{(r+s)(r+s+1) + 2(s+1)}{2} = u_{rs}.$$

In particular

$$(5) \quad u_{r+s,0} + s = u_{rs}, \quad s \leq r + s.$$

3. *Coefficients for a Product.* If

$$A(x, y) = A_{qr} x^q y^r,$$

$$B(x, y) = B_{st} x^s y^t,$$

then we know that

$$A(x, y) \cdot B(x, y) = C_{uv} x^u y^v,$$

where

$$(6) \quad C_{uv} = \sum_{\sigma=0}^u \sum_{\tau=0}^v A_{u-\sigma, v-\tau} B_{\sigma\tau}.$$

4. *Coefficients for a Quotient.* Consider now

$$P(x, y) = P_{uv} x^u y^v,$$

$$Q(x, y) = Q_{qr} x^q y^r,$$

and let

$$(7) \quad \frac{P(x, y)}{Q(x, y)} = Z(x, y) = Z_{st} x^s y^t,$$

where the coefficients Z_{st} are to be determined.

First, we can assume $Q_{00} \neq 0$. For, if $Q_{00} = Q_{10} = Q_{01} = \dots = 0$, $Q_{ij} \neq 0$, multiply both sides of (7) by $x^i y^j$, replacing $Q(x, y)/x^i y^j$ by $Q'(x, y)$ and $x^i y^j Z(x, y)$ by $Z'(x, y)$ with $Z'_{00} = Z'_{10} = Z'_{01} = \dots = 0$, $Z'_{ij} = Z_{00}$. We then have a new equality of the same form as (7) with $Q'_{00} = Q_{ij} \neq 0$. Thus $P(x, y) = Q(x, y)Z(x, y)$, or, by (6),

$$(8) \quad P_{uv} = \sum_{\sigma=0}^u \sum_{\tau=0}^v Q_{u-\sigma, v-\tau} Z_{\sigma\tau},$$

($u, v = 0, 1, 2, \dots$).

It may be noted in passing, that just as recurrences are related to difference equations with constant coefficients, so may (7), if $Q(x, y)$ be a polynomial, be looked upon as a linear partial difference equation with constant coefficients to determine Z_{uv} .

Let us introduce the symbol

$$(\lambda_{r-k,k} ; u - r + k, v - k),$$

defined by the relations

$$(9) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_{r-k,k} = \frac{r(r+1) + 2(k+1)}{2}, \\ (\lambda_{r-k,k} ; u - r + k, v - k) = 0, \text{ if } r > u + k, \text{ or } k > v, \\ \phantom{(\lambda_{r-k,k} ; u - r + k, v - k)} = Q_{u-r+k, v-k}, \text{ if } k \leq v \text{ and } r \leq u + k. \end{array} \right.$$

Then (8) may be written

$$(10) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{u+v} \sum_{k=0}^r (z_{r-k,k} ; u - r + k, v - k) Z_{r-k,k} = P_{uv},$$

$$(p_{uv} = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

For $\lambda_{r-k,k} = z_{r-k,k}$, by definition of rank in (3). Moreover setting $r-k = \sigma, k = \tau$ in (10), we see that every term that occurs in (8) occurs in (10), and conversely.

Finally, by virtue of (9) we may replace (10) by

$$(11) \quad \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^r (z_{r-k,k} ; u - r + k, v - k) Z_{r-k,k} = P_{uv},$$

$$(p_{uv} = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

where n is any integer $\geq u+v$. Take $n = z_{ij}$ and consider the set of $n = p_{ij}$ equations (11), in the n unknowns $Z_{00}, Z_{10}, Z_{01}, \dots, Z_{ij}$,

$$(12) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Q_{00} Z_{00} \phantom{+ \dots + Q_{00} Z_{ij}} = P_{00}, \\ Q_{01} Z_{00} + Q_{00} Z_{10} \phantom{+ \dots + Q_{00} Z_{ij}} = P_{10}, \\ \dots \phantom{+ \dots + Q_{00} Z_{ij}} \\ Q_{ij} Z_{00} + (2 ; i - 1, j + 1) Z_{10} + \dots + Q_{00} Z_{ij} = P_{ij}. \end{array} \right.$$

Since $Q_{00} \neq 0$, we have, solving for Z_{ij} by determinants,

$$(13) \quad Q_{00}^n Z_{ij} = \begin{vmatrix} Q_{00} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_{00} \\ Q_{10} & Q_{00} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_{10} \\ Q_{01} & 0 & Q_{00} & \cdots & 0 & P_{01} \\ Q_{20} & Q_{10} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_{20} \\ Q_{11} & Q_{01} & Q_{10} & \cdots & 0 & P_{11} \\ Q_{02} & 0 & Q_{01} & \cdots & 0 & P_{02} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ Q_{ij}, (2 ; i - 1, j + 1), (3, i - 2, j + 2) \cdots & \cdots & P_{ij} \end{vmatrix},$$

where (13) is constructed on the following scheme. The elements in the s th column ($s < n$) consist of $s - 1$ zeros, then the coefficients of $Q(x, y)$ of degree zero, one, two, three, \cdots , in their proper order, the groups of coefficients of the same degree being separated by r' zeros, where $s = z_{r'-k', k'}$ determines r' . In fact, we see from (11), that the elements in the s th column are given by the expression

$$(14) \quad (s ; u - r' + k', v - k'),$$

where r' and k' are determined from the equation

$$z_{r'-k', k'} = s,$$

in accordance with (4), and (u, v) has the successive values

$$(15) \quad (0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (2, 0), (1, 1), \cdots, (u, v), \cdots, (i, j),$$

$n = \lambda_{ij}$ in number, (u, v) appearing in the λ_{uv} th place in (15). Now the $\sigma + 1$ terms (uv) of constant degree $u + v = \sigma$, ($0 \leq \sigma \leq i + j$), appear in the order

$$(16) \quad (\sigma, 0), (\sigma - 1, 1), \cdots, (\sigma - k, k), \cdots, (0, \sigma).$$

If n is replaced u by $\sigma - v$, (14) becomes

$$(s ; \sigma - r' + k' - v, v - k'),$$

so that for $v=0, 1, 2, \dots, \sigma$, we have the values of (14) for the sequence (16). But this expression vanishes, by (9), unless

- (a) $v - k' \geq 0,$
- (b) $\sigma - r' + k' - v \geq 0.$

Thus the first k' terms of (16) yield k' zeros. Replace v by $r+k'$, where to satisfy (a) and (b) $0 \leq r \leq \sigma - r' \geq 0$. We thus obtain from the next $\sigma - r' + 1$ terms of (15),

$$(s; \sigma - r', 0), (s; \sigma - r' - 1, 1), \dots, (s; 0, \sigma - r'),$$

or by (9),

$$Q_{\sigma-r',0}, Q_{\sigma-r'-1,1}, \dots, Q_{0,\sigma-r'},$$

the coefficients of $Q(x, y)$ of degree $\sigma - r'$ in their proper order. The remaining terms of (16) produce $\sigma + 1 - k' - (\sigma - r' + 1) = r' - k'$ zeros.

Since the sequence of degree $\sigma + 1$ following (16) produces k' zeros followed by

$$Q_{\sigma+1-r',0}, Q_{\sigma-r',1}, \dots, Q_{0,\sigma+1-r'},$$

we see that the coefficients of degree $\sigma - r' = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ are separated by r' zeros, as stated. Q_{00} appears when $\sigma - r' = 0$. From (a) and (b),

$$v = k', \quad u = \sigma - v = r' - k'.$$

Hence Q_{00} appears in the $\lambda_{r'-k',k'}$ or the s th place in the column; i. e., in (13), the elements Q_{00} lie along the main diagonal. The last column in (13) consists of the elements $P_{00}, P_{10}, P_{01}, \dots, P_{ij}$ in order of rank.

5. *Final Coefficients in the sth Column.* There is some doubt about the last few elements in the s th column, but this is obviated as follows. Take $s = z_{i+j-\tau,\tau}$, ($0 \leq \tau \leq j-1$), i. e., consider the $(n-j)$ th, $(n-j-1)$ th, \dots , $(n-1)$ th columns of (13).

We have then $s = n - j + \tau$ so that the s th column contains $n - j + \tau$ zeros, Q_{00} , followed by $i + j$ zeros by our results in §4. But since there are only n elements in the column, Q_{00} is followed by $j - \tau - 1$ zeros, since $j - \tau - 1$ is always

less than $i+j$. Hence we can reduce (13) to a determinant of the $(n-j)$ th order multiplied by Q_{00}^j to some power, for the j columns just considered consist entirely of zeros save along the main diagonal where Q_{00} appears.

Now $n = z_{ij}$ and $z_{i+j,0} + j = n$, by (5). Hence, setting $n - j = \nu$, we have

$$n - j = z_{i+j,0} = \frac{(i+j)(i+j+1)}{2} + 1 = \nu.$$

The elements in the ν th row are now

$$Q_{ij}, (2; i-1, j+1), (3; i-2, j+2), \dots, (\nu-1; i-\nu+2, j+\nu-2), P_{ij}$$

so that the s th column terminates with

$$(s; i-s+1, j+s-1)$$

and in the $(\nu-1)$ th row the elements are

$$(z_{r-k,k}; k-r, i+j-1-k) = \delta_{r+1,k+i} Q_{0,i+j-1-r},$$

$$(r, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, i+j-1),$$

where δ_{uv} is the Kronecker symbol.

Thus we have

$$Q_{0,i+j-1}, 0, Q_{0,i+j-2}, 0, 0, Q_{0,i+j-3}, 0, 0, 0, \dots, Q_{00}, P_{0,i+j-1},$$

so that our evaluation of Z_{ij} gives us

$$(17) \quad Q_{00}^\nu Z_{ij} = \begin{vmatrix} Q_{00} & 0 & \dots & P_{00} \\ Q_{10} & Q_{00} & \dots & P_{01} \\ Q_{01} & 0 & \dots & P_{10} \\ Q_{20} & Q_{10} & \dots & P_{20} \\ Q_{11} & Q_{01} & \dots & P_{11} \\ Q_{02} & 0 & \dots & P_{02} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ Q_{1,i+j-2} & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ Q_{0,i+j-1} & 0 & \dots & \cdot \\ Q_{ij} & (2; i-1, j+1), \dots & \dots & P_{ij} \end{vmatrix},$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{(i + j)(i + j + 1)}{2} + 1.$$

6. *Expression of the Z's as Recurrents.* There remains still one more simplification; the quantities $Z_{i+j,0}, Z_{0,i+j}$ can be expressed as recurrents. For we obtain from (7), §4, by the ordinary multiplication rule

$$(18) \quad P_{uv} = \sum_{t=0}^u \sum_{s=0}^v Q_{ts} Z_{u-t, v-s}, \quad (u, v = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

This result may be written

$$(19) \quad P_{uv} - R_{uv} = \sum_{t=0}^u Q_{t0} Z_{u-t, v}, \quad (u = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

where

$$(20) \quad R_{uv} = \sum_{t=0}^u \sum_{s=1}^v Q_{ts} Z_{u-t, v-s},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{u0} &= 0, \\ R_{u1} &= \sum_{t=0}^u Q_{t1} Z_{u-t, 0}, \\ R_{u2} &= \sum_{t=0}^u Q_{t1} Z_{u-t, 1} + \sum_{t=0}^u Q_{t2} Z_{u-t, 0}, \\ &\text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

The formula (19) gives for $u = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, i+j$, the set of $i+j+1$ equations

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{00} Z_{0v} & & & = P_{0v} - R_{0v}, \\ Q_{10} Z_{0v} + Q_{00} Z_{1v} & & & = P_{1v} - R_{1v}, \\ Q_{20} Z_{0v} + Q_{10} Z_{1v} + Q_{00} Z_{2v} & & & = P_{2v} - R_{2v}, \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \\ Q_{i+j,0} Z_{0v} + Q_{i+j-1,0} Z_{1v} + Q_{i+j-2,0} Z_{2v} + \dots & & & \\ & & & + Q_{00} Z_{i+j, v} = P_{i+j, v} - R_{i+j, v}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(21) \quad Q_{00}^{i+j+1} Z_{i+j,v} = \begin{vmatrix} Q_{00} & 0 & \cdots & P_{0v} & -R_{0v} \\ Q_{10} & Q_{00} & \cdots & P_{1v} & -R_{1v} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ Q_{i+j,0} & \cdots & \cdots & P_{i+j,v} & -R_{i+j,v} \end{vmatrix}.$$

In particular

$$(22) \quad Q_{00}^{i+j+1} Z_{i+j,0} = \begin{vmatrix} Q_{00} & 0 & \cdots & P_{00} \\ Q_{10} & Q_{00} & \cdots & P_{10} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ Q_{i+j,0} & \cdots & \cdots & P_{i+j,0} \end{vmatrix}.$$

which gives the required expression for $Z_{i+j,0}$ as a recurrent. From symmetry the expression for $Z_{0,i+j}$ is derived from (22) by simply interchanging the subscripts of all the terms in (21).

We may observe that, having obtained the quantities Z_{u0} by (22), we know R_{u1} , so that we can calculate the quantities $Z_{i+j-1,1}$ by means of (21). Proceeding thus step by step, we can finally calculate Z_{ij} .

There are a number of relations among the determinants (17), (22). For example, suppose we interchange x and y in the equation (7),

$$\frac{P(x, y)}{Q(x, y)} = Z(x, y).$$

The effect is merely to interchange the subscripts of the coefficients throughout. Hence in (17), we can interchange the subscripts of Z_{ij} , the Q 's and the P 's and obtain an expression for Z_{ji} , ν , the order of the determinant, being unaffected by the process. Again, we may write (7) as

$$\frac{P(x, y)}{Z(x, y)} = Q(x, y),$$

so that we can interchange the roles of the Q 's and Z 's in (17).

7. *Final Expressions for the Z's.* The first eleven coefficients in the development of

$$\frac{P(x, y)}{Q(x, y)} = Z_{00} + Z_{10}x + Z_{01}y + \dots + Z_{03}y^3 + \dots$$

are

$$Z_{00} = Q_{00}^{-1} P_{00},$$

$$Z_{10} = Q_{00}^{-2} \begin{vmatrix} Q_{00} & P_{00} \\ Q_{10} & P_{10} \end{vmatrix}, \quad Z_{01} = Q_{00}^{-2} \begin{vmatrix} Q_{00} & P_{00} \\ Q_{01} & P_{01} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$Z_{20} = Q_{00}^{-3} \begin{vmatrix} Q_{00} & 0 & P_{00} \\ Q_{10} & Q_{00} & P_{10} \\ Q_{20} & Q_{10} & P_{20} \end{vmatrix}, \quad Z_{02} = Q_{00}^{-3} \begin{vmatrix} Q_{00} & 0 & P_{00} \\ Q_{01} & Q_{00} & P_{01} \\ Q_{02} & Q_{01} & P_{02} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$Z_{11} = Q_{00}^{-4} \begin{vmatrix} Q_{00} & 0 & 0 & P_{00} \\ Q_{10} & Q_{00} & 0 & P_{10} \\ Q_{01} & 0 & Q_{00} & P_{01} \\ Q_{11} & Q_{01} & Q_{10} & P_{11} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$= Q_{00}^{-4} \begin{vmatrix} Q_{00} & 0 & 0 & P_{00} \\ Q_{01} & Q_{00} & 0 & P_{01} \\ Q_{10} & 0 & Q_{00} & P_{10} \\ Q_{11} & Q_{10} & Q_{01} & P_{11} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$Z_{30} = Q_{00}^{-4} \begin{vmatrix} Q_{00} & 0 & 0 & P_{00} \\ Q_{10} & Q_{00} & 0 & P_{10} \\ Q_{20} & Q_{10} & Q_{00} & P_{20} \\ Q_{30} & Q_{20} & Q_{10} & P_{30} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$Z_{03} = Q_{00}^{-4} \begin{vmatrix} Q_{00} & 0 & 0 & P_{00} \\ Q_{01} & Q_{00} & 0 & P_{01} \\ Q_{02} & Q_{01} & Q_{00} & P_{02} \\ Q_{03} & Q_{02} & Q_{01} & P_{03} \end{vmatrix},$$

$$Z_{21} = Q_{00}^{-7} \begin{pmatrix} Q_{00} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_{00} \\ Q_{10} & Q_{00} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_{10} \\ Q_{01} & 0 & Q_{00} & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_{01} \\ Q_{20} & Q_{10} & 0 & Q_{00} & 0 & 0 & P_{20} \\ Q_{11} & Q_{01} & Q_{10} & 0 & Q_{00} & 0 & P_{11} \\ Q_{02} & 0 & Q_{01} & 0 & 0 & Q_{00} & P_{02} \\ Q_{21} & Q_{11} & Q_{20} & Q_{01} & Q_{10} & 0 & P_{21} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Z_{12} = Q_{00}^{-7} \begin{pmatrix} Q_{00} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_{00} \\ Q_{01} & Q_{00} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_{01} \\ Q_{10} & 0 & Q_{00} & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_{10} \\ Q_{02} & Q_{01} & 0 & Q_{00} & 0 & 0 & P_{02} \\ Q_{11} & Q_{10} & Q_{01} & 0 & Q_{00} & 0 & P_{11} \\ Q_{20} & 0 & Q_{10} & 0 & 0 & Q_{00} & P_{20} \\ Q_{12} & Q_{11} & Q_{02} & Q_{10} & Q_{01} & 0 & P_{12} \end{pmatrix}.$$

8. *Quotient of two m -tuply Infinite Series.* The same method can be applied to the development of the quotient of two triply, or indeed of two m -tuply infinite series. We need only to generalize the formulas for degree and rank of §2, for product of two series in §3 and to introduce a symbol corresponding to the $(\lambda_{r-k,k}; u-r+k, v-k)$ of §4 to obtain the analog of (17); the analog of (21) is obtained with equal ease. Thus for the m -tuply infinite series,

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_m) = A_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_m^{i_m},$$

$i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_m$ is the *degree* of the coefficient A_i above and

$$(23) \quad a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = \sum_{r=1}^m \binom{i_r + i_{r+1} + \dots + i_m + m - r}{m - r + 1} + 1$$

is its *rank*, when A is written so that the terms of degree 0, 1, 2, \dots , r , $r+1$, \dots succeed each other in order, and the terms of degree r are arranged in alphabetic order.

For the product of two such series, we have the formula

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_m) \cdot B(x_1, \dots, x_m) = C(x_1, \dots, x_m),$$

where

$$(24) \quad C_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = \sum_{r=0}^i A_{i_1-r_1, i_2-r_2, \dots, i_m-r_m} B_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m}.$$

If we define $Z(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ by

$$(25) \quad \frac{P(x_1, \dots, x_m)}{Q(x_1, \dots, x_m)} = Z(x_1, \dots, x_m),$$

then our new symbol is

$$\Delta_{s_j} = (\lambda_{s_j}; j_1 - s_1 + s_2, j_2 - s_2 + s_3, \dots, j_{m-1} - s_{m-1} + s_m, j_m - s_m),$$

defined by $\Delta_{s_j} = 0$ if $j_r - s_r + s_{r+1}$ is negative for any r between 0 and $m+1$, and

$$(26) \quad \Delta_{s_j} = Q_{i_1-s_1+s_2, \dots, i_m-s_m},$$

if $j_r - s_r + s_{r+1}$ is positive for every r between 0 and $m+1$, and by convention $s_{m+1} = 0$. But our final results in the general case are completely obscured by the symbolism introduced to express them.

9. *Expansion of a Logarithm.* We can readily obtain the expansion of

$$(27) \quad \log Q(x, y) = Z(x, y)$$

where

$$Q(x, y) = Q_{qr} x^q y^r, \quad Q_{00} \neq 0, \\ Z(x, y) = Z_{st} x^s y^t.$$

For, operating on (27) with

$$x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

we obtain a result of the form

$$\frac{P(x,y)}{Q(x,y)} = W(x,y),$$

where

$$W_{st} = (s+t)Z_{st}, \quad P_{uv} = (u+v)Q_{uv},$$

by Euler's theorem on homogeneous functions and our convention as to the order of an infinite series.

Thus $Z_{00} = \log Q_{00}$; the other coefficients are derived from our previous expressions by replacing Z_{ij} by $Z_{ij}/(i+j)$ and P_{uv} by $(u+v)Q_{uv}$.

10. *Expansion of an Exponential.* For $e^{Q(x,y)}$, a slightly different procedure is necessary. Let

$$(28) \quad \begin{cases} e^{Q(x,y)} = W(x,y), \\ \theta = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \end{cases}$$

We shall have

$$(29) \quad \begin{cases} Q(x,y) = Q_{qr}x^qy^r, & \theta Q = (q+r)Q_{qr}x^qy^r, \\ W(x,y) = W_{st}x^s y^t, & \theta W = (s+t)W_{st}x^s y^t. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(30) \quad \theta e^Q = \theta Q e^Q = (\theta Q) \cdot W = \theta W.$$

Hence, by (6),

$$(31) \quad (u+v)W_{uv} = \sum_{\sigma=0}^u \sum_{\tau=0}^v (u+v-\sigma-\tau)Q_{u-\sigma, v-\tau}W_{\sigma\tau}.$$

Now as in §4 introduce a symbol

$$(\lambda_{r-k,k}; u-r+k, v-k)$$

defined as in (9), with one modification, namely, while

$$\lambda_{r-k,k} = \frac{r(r+1) + 2(k+1)}{2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\lambda_{r-k,k}; u-r+k, v-k) &= 0, \text{ if } r > u+k, \text{ or } k > v, \\
 &= (u+v-r)Q_{u-r+k, v-k}, \\
 &\text{if } k \leq v \text{ and } r \leq u+k,
 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(\lambda_{r-k,k}; u-r+k, v-k) = -(u+v),$$

for $k = v$ and $r = u + v$. Then (31) may be written in the form

$$(32) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{u+v} \sum_{k=0}^r (W_{r-k,k}; u-r+k, v-k)W_{r-k,k} = 0,$$

just as (10) was equivalent to (8) in §4. Also $(\lambda_{00}; 0, 0) = 0$, but from (28) we see that

$$e^{Q_{00}} = W_{00} = -P_{00}, \text{ say.}$$

Thus (28) becomes equivalent to (10) if we replace in each equation P_{uv} by 0 for $u+v > 0$ and $(\lambda_{uv}; 0, 0)$ by $-(u+v)$, instead of by Q_{00} . We thus obtain the following set of w_{ij} equations for W_{ij} :

$$\begin{aligned}
 -W_{00} &= P_{00}, \\
 1 \cdot Q_{10}W_{00} - 1 \cdot W_{10} &= 0, \\
 1 \cdot Q_{01}W_{00} + 0 \cdot W_{10} - 1 \cdot W_{01} &= 0, \\
 2 \cdot Q_{20}W_{00} + 1 \cdot Q_{10}W_{10} + 0 \cdot W_{01} - 2W_{20} &= 0, \\
 2 \cdot Q_{11}W_{00} + 1 \cdot Q_{01}W_{10} + 1 \cdot Q_{10}W_{01} + 0 \cdot W_{20} - 2W_{11} &= 0, \\
 2 \cdot Q_{02}W_{00} + 0 \cdot W_{10} + 1 \cdot Q_{01}W_{01} + 0 \cdot W_{20} \\
 &\quad + 0 \cdot W_{11} - 2W_{02} = 0, \\
 \dots &\dots \\
 (i+j)Q_{ij}W_{00} + \dots &\quad -(i+j)W_{ij} = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The determinant of this system is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (-1)(-1)^2(-2)^3(-3)^4 \dots \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad (-i-j+1)^{i+j}(-i-j) \\
 & = (-1)^{w_{ij}} 1^2 \cdot 2^3 \cdot 3^4 \dots (i+j-1)^{i+j}(i+j)^j.
 \end{aligned}$$

Just as before, if we solve for W_{ij} , we can reduce the determinant we obtain corresponding to (12) to one of the ν th order,

$$\nu = \frac{(i+j)(i+j+1)}{2} + 1.$$

But we can also develop this expression with respect to its last row which is $P_{00}, 0, 0, \dots, 0$, obtaining a determinant of the $(\nu-1)$ st order with a factor $(-1)^{\nu-1}$. Hence our final form for W_{ij} is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1^2 \cdot 2^3 \cdot 3^4 \dots (i+j-1)^{i+j} W_{ij} \\
 & = - \begin{vmatrix} Q_{10} & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ Q_{01} & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 2Q_{20} & Q_{10} & 0 & -2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 2Q_{11} & Q_{01} & Q_{10} & 0 & -2 & \dots & 0 \\ 2Q_{02} & 0 & Q_{01} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & -(i+j-1) & \dots \\ (i+j)Q_{ij} & (2, i-1, j+1) & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{vmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

As before, we can interchange subscripts of all the Q 's to obtain W_{ji} . We can also express $W_{i+j,0}$ and $W_{0,i+j}$ as recurrenents; thus

$$(i+j-1)!W_{i+j,0} = - \begin{vmatrix} Q_{10} & -1 & & & 0 \\ 2Q_{20} & Q_{10} & -2 & & 0 \\ 3Q_{30} & 2Q_{20} & Q_{10} & -3 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (i+j)Q_{i+j,0} & \cdots & & & Q_{10} \end{vmatrix} - (i+j)$$

with a similar expression for $W_{0,i+j}$.

11. *Conclusion.* It hardly seems necessary to give numerical examples of these expansions. As in the case of recurrences, from expressions of such generality any desired example may be derived by a mere substitution of numbers for letters in the general formulas. The quotient of two polynomials, the reciprocal of a series or a polynomial, for example, are included as special cases.

It appears from the expressions for Z_{21} and Z_{12} in §7, that a further immediate reduction of the order of the determinants (17) is sometimes possible; but to explicate this reduction in the general case would be to mar the simplicity and symmetry of our developments.

In conclusion I should like to thank Professor E. T. Bell for criticism and suggestions in the writing of this paper.

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

A CORRECTION

In the paper by H. W. March, *The Heaviside operational calculus*, this Bulletin, vol. 33(1927), on page 312, in the line following equation (2), change "negative" to "positive."