

## A NOTION OF UNIFORM INTEGRABILITY\*

BY R. E. LANGER AND J. D. TAMARKIN

The necessary and sufficient condition that a function  $f(x)$  of the real variable  $x$  be integrable in the sense of Riemann on the interval  $(a, b)$  is that there correspond to an arbitrary small positive number  $\epsilon$  a positive  $\delta$  such that for any subdivision of  $(a, b)$  by points

$$x_0 = a \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_{n-1} \leq x_n = b,$$

subject to the condition  $x_i - x_{i-1} < \delta$ , the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (U_i - L_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}) \leq \epsilon$$

is valid. In this,  $U_i$  and  $L_i$  represent, respectively, the upper and lower bounds of  $f(x)$  on the subinterval  $(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ .

In the direct extension of this definition to a function which involves besides the variable of integration also other parameters, it may or may not be possible in any particular case to satisfy the conditions above by a constant  $\delta$  independent of the parameters. In this connection the following concept may be of interest.

A function  $f(x, \lambda)$  shall be defined to be integrable with respect to  $x$  on  $(a, b)$  uniformly in  $\lambda$ , provided that there corresponds to an arbitrary positive  $\epsilon$  a positive constant  $\delta$  independent of  $\lambda$ , such that

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \{U_i(\lambda) - L_i(\lambda)\}(x_i - x_{i-1}) \leq \epsilon. \dagger$$

If  $f(x, \lambda)$  is complex, it shall be said to be uniformly integrable if both its real and its imaginary parts are uniformly integrable.

---

\* Presented to the Society, May 1, 1926.

† The extension of this definition to the case when  $f$  involves a greater number of parameters, real or complex, is, of course, immediate.

As an application of this notion of uniform integrability we establish the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *If the function  $f(x, \lambda)$  of the real variable  $x$  and the complex parameter  $\lambda$  is, in the region*

$$0 \leq a \leq x \leq b, \quad R(\lambda) \leq M \geq 0, *$$

*defined, uniformly bounded, and integrable with respect to  $x$ , uniformly in  $\lambda$ , then the integral*

$$I(f) = \int_a^b e^{\lambda x} f(x, \lambda) dx$$

*approaches zero uniformly in  $\lambda$  as  $|\lambda|$  becomes infinite.*

It is sufficient for our purpose to give the proof for the real part only of the given function,  $f^{(1)}(x, \lambda)$ . Because of the uniform integrability of  $f^{(1)}(x, \lambda)$ , we may, when  $\epsilon$  is assigned, determine  $\delta$  so that (1) is satisfied. Then choosing on each sub-interval  $(x_{i-1}, x_i)$  a value  $P_i(\lambda)$  subject to the conditions

$$L_i(\lambda) \leq P_i(\lambda) \leq U_i(\lambda),$$

and defining the auxiliary stepfunction  $\psi(x, \lambda)$  as the function taking the value  $P_i(\lambda)$  on  $(x_{i-1}, x_i)$  we have

$$I(f^{(1)}) = I(\psi) + I(f^{(1)} - \psi).$$

Now on the one hand

$$|I(\psi)| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(\lambda) \cdot \frac{e^{\lambda x_i} - e^{\lambda x_{i-1}}}{\lambda} \right|,$$

whence, since  $|P_i(\lambda)| \leq k$  because  $f^{(1)}$  is uniformly bounded,

$$|I(\psi)| \leq \frac{2kne^{Mb}}{|\lambda|}.$$

On the other hand since  $f^{(1)}$  is uniformly integrable

$$\begin{aligned} |I(f^{(1)} - \psi)| &\leq e^{Mb} \int_a^b |f^{(1)}(x, \lambda) - \psi(x, \lambda)| dx \\ &\leq e^{Mb} \sum_{i=1}^n \{U_i(\lambda) - L_i(\lambda)\} (x_i - x_{i-1}) \leq e^{Mb} \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

---

\* If these restrictions on  $x$  and  $\lambda$  are omitted, the reasoning employed leads to the result that  $I(f) = e^{\lambda a} \epsilon(\lambda) + e^{\lambda b} \epsilon(\lambda)$ , where each  $\epsilon(\lambda)$  denotes some function which approaches zero uniformly as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . The symbol  $R(\lambda)$  designates the real part of  $\lambda$ .

Hence

$$|I(f^{(1)})| \leq e^{Mb} \left[ \frac{2nk}{|\lambda|} + \epsilon \right],$$

and since  $n$  is fixed when  $\epsilon$  is given, the theorem is proved.

The situation changes materially when integrability is considered in the sense of Lebesgue. By definition, then, the integral of  $f$  is equal to the limit as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  of the series

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} y_k m E_x(y_{k-1} < f(x, \lambda) \leq y_k),$$

where  $\dots y_{-2} < y_{-1} < y_0 < y_1 < y_2 \dots$  denotes an arbitrary subdivision of the range of functional values subject to the condition  $y_k - y_{k-1} < \delta$ . It would seem natural to define the integrability of  $f(x, \lambda)$  as uniform, if for a given  $\delta$  the approximation to the integral given by (2) is uniformly good. This fact, however, is already contained implicitly in the definition of the integrability.\*

The theorem proved above is, however, not true if  $f(x, \lambda)$  is merely integrable in the sense of Lebesgue. A further condition on the function must be imposed. As an example of such a condition we mention the following, that  $f(x, \lambda)$  may be uniformly approximated to by a sequence of functions  $f_i(x, \lambda)$ , each of which is integrable with respect to  $x$  (in the sense of Riemann) uniformly in  $\lambda$ , the approximation being uniform in the sense that there corresponds to any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , a constant  $i_0$  independent of  $\lambda$  such that

$$\int_a^b |f(x, \lambda) - f_i(x, \lambda)| dx < \epsilon \quad \text{for } i \geq i_0.$$

In the important special case when  $f$  depends only on  $x$  this condition is always satisfied.

It should be noticed that the condition above does not suppose that the function  $f(x, \lambda)$  is bounded.

DARTMOUTH COLLEGE

---

\* Cf. Carathéodory, *Vorlesungen über reelle Funktionen*, Leipzig-Berlin, 1918, pp. 450-453.