

## A TRIVIAL TAUBERIAN THEOREM.\*

BY W. A. HURWITZ

The name Tauberian was introduced by Hardy<sup>†</sup> to describe a very interesting type of theorem in connection with summable series; he and others have enunciated a considerable number of such theorems bearing on various specific definitions of summability. We may indicate the general character of a Tauberian theorem as follows. The ordinary questions on summability consider two related sequences (or other functions) and ask whether it will be true that one sequence possesses a limit whenever the other possesses a limit, the limits being the same; a Tauberian theorem appears, on the other hand, only if this is untrue, and then asserts that the one sequence possesses a limit provided the other sequence both possesses a limit and satisfies some additional condition restricting its rate of increase. The interest of a Tauberian theorem lies particularly in the character of this additional condition, which takes different forms in different cases. Thus, if the condition is to be imposed on the term  $u_n$  of a series, it may take any of the forms (for which I write alternative notations)

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u_n| < Kf_n & : & u_n = O(f_n) , \\
 u_n < Kf_n & : & u_n = O_+(f_n) , \\
 u_n > -Kf_n & : & u_n = O_-(f_n) , \\
 u_n/f_n \rightarrow 0 & : & u_n = o(f_n) , \\
 \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n/f_n = 0 & : & u_n = o_+(f_n) , \\
 \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n/f_n = 0 & : & u_n = o_-(f_n) ;
 \end{aligned}$$

---

\* Presented to the Society, December 30, 1924.

<sup>†</sup> With respect to the name, see Hardy and Littlewood, PROCEEDINGS OF THE LONDON SOCIETY, vol. 2, (1912-13), p. 1.

in each case  $K$  is a positive constant and  $(f_n)$  a given sequence of positive elements.

It has seemed to me worth while to discuss a case so trivial that the reasoning is transparent, but involving a parameter in such a way that for various values of the parameter it exhibits several forms of the condition, with assurance that the best form for each value has been chosen.\*

Consider then the series

$$u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + \dots ;$$

let

$$x_n = u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n .$$

Apply the transformation

$$(A) \quad y_1 = \frac{x_1}{1-\alpha} ; \quad y_n = \frac{x_n - \alpha x_{n-1}}{1-\alpha} , \quad n > 1 ,$$

where  $\alpha$  is any real number other than 1. It is at once obvious that (A) is regular: whenever  $x_n$  has a limit,  $y_n$  has the same limit.†

We now inquire whether the existence of a limit for  $y_n$  implies the existence of a limit for  $x_n$ . For the inverse transformation we find

$$(A^{-1}) \quad x_n = (1-\alpha)[y_n + \alpha y_{n-1} + \alpha^2 y_{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-1} y_1] .$$

This is of the form  $x_n = \sum a_{n,k} y_k$ , with

$$a_{n,k} = (1-\alpha)\alpha^{n-k}, \quad k \leq n; \quad a_{n,k} = 0, \quad k > n .$$

Thus, by the Silverman-Toeplitz Theorem,‡ if  $A^{-1}$  is to be regular,  $|\alpha| < 1$ ; and if  $|\alpha| < 1$ , since

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_{n,k} = 1 - \alpha^n \rightarrow 1 ; \quad \sum_{k=1}^n |a_{n,k}| = \frac{|1-\alpha|}{1-|\alpha|} [1 - |\alpha|^n] < \frac{|1-\alpha|}{1-|\alpha|} ,$$

---

\* A recent paper by R. Schmidt, *MATHEMATISCHE ZEITSCHRIFT*, vol. 22 (1925), pp. 89-152, for the first time undertakes a systematic general study of Tauberian theorems. Schmidt's work yields as special cases some, but not all, of the results of this note.

† This holds also if  $\alpha$  is complex.

‡ See the author's *Report on topics in the theory of divergent series*, this *BULLETIN*, vol. 28 (1922), p. 19.

by the same theorem,  $A^{-1}$  will be regular. In case, then,  $|\alpha| < 1$ ,  $A$  is equivalent to convergence; no Tauberian condition is required to insure that if  $y_n$  has a limit,  $x_n$  shall have the same limit.

Suppose now  $|\alpha| > 1$ . Write

$$\lambda = (1-\alpha) \left[ \frac{y_1}{\alpha} + \frac{y_2}{\alpha^2} + \dots \right];$$

the series converges, since  $y_n$  is bounded. We find

$$x_n - \lambda \alpha^n = (\alpha - 1) \left[ \frac{y_{n+1}}{\alpha} + \frac{y_{n+2}}{\alpha^2} + \dots \right].$$

The right-hand side of this equation is in the form  $\sum b_{n,k} y_k$ , where

$$b_{n,k} = 0, \quad k \leq n; \quad b_{n,k} = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha^{k-n}}, \quad k > n.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n,k} = 0; \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{n,k} = 1; \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_{n,k}| = \frac{|\alpha - 1|}{|\alpha| - 1};$$

and by the Hildebrandt-Carmichael generalization\* of the Silverman-Toeplitz theorem, the transformation  $||b_{n,k}||$  is regular. Since  $y_n \rightarrow l$ ,

$$x_n - \lambda \alpha^n \rightarrow l,$$

and

$$u_n - \lambda \alpha^{n-1} (\alpha - 1) \rightarrow 0.$$

We now consider separately the cases  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\alpha < -1$ .

Let  $\alpha > 1$ . Then if  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow (\text{sgn } \lambda) \infty$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow (\text{sgn } \lambda) \infty$ ; if  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow l$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow 0$ . In order to insure by a condition on  $u_n$  that  $x_n \rightarrow l$  we must prevent  $u_n$  from becoming definitely (i. e., with one sign) infinite. This is secured by demanding that  $u_n$  be bounded *both above and below*:

$$|u_n| < K : \quad u_n = O(1).$$

---

\* See the author's *Report*, loc. cit., p. 20; also Hildebrandt, this BULLETIN, vol. 29 (1923), p. 314.

Let  $\alpha < -1$ . Then if  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $x_n$  and  $u_n$  each oscillate between  $+\infty$  and  $-\infty$ ; if  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow l$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow 0$ . In order to insure that  $x_n \rightarrow l$ , it suffices to bound  $u_n$  in one direction only; that is, to impose one of the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u_n < K &: & u_n = O_+(1) ; \\ u_n > -K &: & u_n = O_-(1) . \end{aligned}$$

There remains the case  $\alpha = -1$ . Here

$$(1) \quad y_n = \frac{x_n + x_{n-1}}{2}, \quad n > 1 .$$

Neither of the preceding methods applies. The failure, furthermore, lies not merely in the proof, but in the facts. Conditions of the forms  $O$ ,  $O_+$ ,  $O_-$  are not sufficient; it is possible for  $y_n$  to possess a limit while  $x_n$  and  $u_n$  oscillate finitely or infinitely. For instance, let

$$u_1 = l + a : \quad u_n = (-1)^{n-1} 2a, \quad n > 1 ;$$

where  $a > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &= l + (-1)^{n-1} a ; \\ y_1 &= \frac{l+a}{2} ; \quad y_n = l, \quad n > 1 . \end{aligned}$$

If we call  $\limsup x_n = \bar{x}$ ,  $\liminf x_n = \underline{x}$  (whether finite or infinite), with similar notations for  $u_n$ ,

$$y_n \rightarrow l ; \quad \bar{x} = l + a, \quad \underline{x} = l - a ; \quad \bar{u} = 2a, \quad \underline{u} = -2a .$$

Again, let

$$y_n = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} ;$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &= (-1)^{n-1} 2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} \right) ; \\ u_n &= (-1)^{n-1} \left[ -\frac{2}{n} + 4 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] ; \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$y_n \rightarrow 0; \quad \bar{x} = +\infty; \quad \underline{x} = -\infty; \quad \bar{u} = +\infty, \quad \underline{u} = -\infty.$$

To deduce an appropriate condition in this case, note that

$$(2) \quad y_n = x_n - \frac{1}{2}u_n; \quad y_n = x_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2}u_n, \quad n > 1.$$

From (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} &= 2l - \underline{x}; \\ \bar{x} &= l + \frac{1}{2}\bar{u}, \quad \bar{x} = l - \frac{1}{2}\underline{u}; \\ \underline{x} &= l + \frac{1}{2}\underline{u}, \quad \underline{x} = l - \frac{1}{2}\bar{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if any one of the four limits  $\bar{x}$ ,  $\underline{x}$ ,  $\bar{u}$ ,  $\underline{u}$  is finite, all are finite and  $\bar{u} = -\underline{u}$ . If none are finite, then  $\bar{x} = \bar{u} = +\infty$ ,  $\underline{x} = \underline{u} = -\infty$ . In order to be able to assert that  $x_n \rightarrow l$ , we must have  $\bar{x} = \underline{x} = l$ , hence  $\bar{u} = \underline{u} = 0$ . But if *either*  $\bar{u} = 0$  or  $\underline{u} = 0$ , it follows that  $\bar{x} = l$ ,  $\underline{x} = l$ , and hence  $x_n \rightarrow l$ . Therefore it suffices in this case to impose one of the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0 &: u_n = o_+(1); \\ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0 &: u_n = o_-(1). \end{aligned}$$

The results may be collected as follows:

*For the transformation A, if  $y_n$  has the limit  $l$ ,  $x_n$  will also have the limit  $l$ :*

*in case  $\alpha < -1$ , if  $u_n = O_+(1)$  or  $u_n = O_-(1)$ ;*

*in case  $\alpha = -1$ , if  $u_n = o_+(1)$  or  $u_n = o_-(1)$ ;*

*in case  $-1 < \alpha < 1$ , without any Tauberian condition;*

*in case  $\alpha > 1$ , if  $u_n = O(1)$ .*

Thus the cases of bilateral  $O$ -condition, unilateral  $O$ -condition, and absence of Tauberian condition occur for whole intervals of parameter values, separated by isolated points which are characterized by  $o$ -conditions or by the breaking down of the transformation. It is also of interest that for  $\alpha > 1$ , the form of condition given may be replaced by the formally weaker condition,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \neq +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \neq -\infty;$$

and for  $\alpha = -1$ , the condition given may be replaced by

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \text{ exists.}$$

Extensions can be made by allowing  $y_n$  to involve more than two consecutive  $x$ 's. If for example

$$y_n = \frac{x_n + \alpha x_{n-1} + \beta x_{n-2}}{1 + \alpha + \beta},$$

the different conditions depend on the location of the roots (real or complex) of the polynomial  $z^2 + \alpha z + \beta$  with respect to the unit circle  $|z| = 1$ . No new kinds of result appear, and the analysis is less transparent ; as I consider the Tauberian theorem of this note to have no intrinsic importance and to be of interest merely as an indication of general relationship of Tauberian conditions to one another, I omit the discussion of the extensions mentioned.

CORNELL UNIVERSITY