

The cases in which the Jacobian determinant of T has at least one non-zero element, say $f_u(0, 0) \neq 0$, are completely discussed. Certain cases where all f_u, f_v, ϕ_u, ϕ_v are zero when $u = 0, v = 0$ are treated. If f and ϕ admit a common factor in R , then there is an explosive point in \bar{R} , having an infinitely many valued inverse. Even then \bar{R} may be the complete neighborhood of this point, the number of branches which are continuous outside this point being different in different sub-regions of \bar{R} .

53. It is well known that the group of isomorphisms of a group of order p is of order $p - 1$, and that of a cyclic group of order p^2 is of order $p(p - 1)$. The corresponding group of the non-cyclic group of order p^2 is simply isomorphic with the linear homogeneous group on p^2 variables.

The groups of isomorphisms of all types of groups of order p^3 are determined by Western in his paper on "Groups of order p^3q ," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, volume 30.

Professor Marriott has determined the groups of isomorphisms of all types of groups of order p^4 . He exhibits these as substitution groups and determines the order of each.

F. N. COLE,
Secretary.

ON THE NEGATIVE DISCRIMINANTS FOR WHICH THERE IS A SINGLE CLASS OF POSITIVE PRIMITIVE BINARY QUADRATIC FORMS.

BY PROFESSOR L. E. DICKSON.

(Read before the American Mathematical Society, April 29, 1911.)

FOR such a discriminant $-P$, the problem of the representation of numbers by a binary quadratic form of discriminant $-P$ is quite elementary; moreover, factorization into primes is unique in a quadratic field of discriminant $-P$. The only*

* E. Landau, *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 56 (1903), p. 671. His method is not applicable to discriminants $-P$, where P is odd, as was pointed out by M. Lerch, *ibid.*, vol. 57 (1903), p. 568. Results obtained by the latter by use of a relation between numbers of classes will here be proved by more elementary means and extensions given.

such discriminants of the form $-4k$ are those having $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 7$, as was conjectured by Gauss* after an examination of the *determinants* as far as -3000 . The present note gives practical criteria and the result of an examination of the values of P less than one and one half million. We denote $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ by (a, b, c) and call $b^2 - 4ac$ its discriminant.

First, let $P \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Then $(1, 0, P/4)$ must be the only reduced primitive form of discriminant $-P$. The case in which $P/4$ is divisible by two distinct primes is excluded, since we may then express $P/4$ as the product of two relatively prime factors a, c , such that $1 < a < c$, and hence obtain the new primitive reduced form $(a, 0, c)$ of discriminant $-P$. Hence $P = 4p^e$, where p is a prime. For $p = 2, (4, 4, 2^{e-2} + 1)$ is a primitive reduced form of discriminant $-P$ if $e \geq 4$, and $(3, 2, 3)$ is one if $e = 3$; while for $e = 1$ or 2 , whence $P = 8$ or 16 , there is a single primitive reduced form. Next, let $p > 2$. The even number $p^e + 1$ cannot have an odd factor > 1 , since otherwise it would equal the product of two relatively prime integers a and c , such that $1 < a < c$, and $(a, 2, c)$ would give a new primitive reduced form of discriminant $-P$. Hence $p^e + 1 = 2^k$. Then $(8, 6, 2^{k-3} + 1)$ or $(5, 4, 7)$ is a primitive reduced form of discriminant $-P$ if $k > 5$ or $k = 5$, respectively. For $k = 4, 2^k - 1 = 15$ is not a power of a prime. For $k = 1, 2, 3, P = 4, 12, 28$, there is a single primitive reduced form.

Next, let $P \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then $[1, 1, \frac{1}{4}(1 + P)]$ must be the only reduced primitive form of discriminant $-P$. If $P = rs$, where r and s are relatively prime and > 1 , one of the factors is $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and the other $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Let $r > s$. Then $[(r + s)/4, (r - s)/2, (r + s)/4]$ is a new primitive form of discriminant $-P$, which is reduced if $3s \geq r$. Its second right neighboring form (obtained by using $\delta = -1, \delta' = 0$) is $[s, -s, \frac{1}{4}(r + s)]$, which is reduced if $3s < r$. Hence $P = p^e$, where p is a prime $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and e is odd. If $p > 3, e \geq 3$, the form with $a = \frac{1}{4}(p + 1), b = 1, c = (p^e + 1)/(p + 1)$ is a new primitive reduced form of discriminant $-P$; indeed, $c > 4a$ since $p^{e-1} \geq p^2 > p + 2$. For $P = 27, (1, 1, 7)$ is the only primitive reduced form. For $P = 3^e, [9, 3, \frac{1}{4}(3^{e-2} + 1)]$ or $(7, 3, 9)$ is a primitive reduced form if $e > 5$ or $e = 5$, respectively. Thus, if $P \neq 27, P$ must be a prime. Set

$$T_j = \frac{1}{4}[(2j + 1)^2 + P] = T_0 + j(j + 1).$$

* *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*, Art. 303.

If $j = qm + r$, $0 \leq r < m$, then $T_j \equiv T_r \equiv T_{m-r-1} \pmod{m}$. For $r > \frac{1}{2}(m-1)$, $m-r-1 < \frac{1}{2}(m-1)$. Hence any T_j is congruent modulo m to some T_r , where $0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}(m-1)$. Let $2g+1$ be the greatest odd integer $\leq \sqrt{P/3}$. In a reduced form (a, b, c) , $b > 0$, we have $b = 2\beta + 1 \leq 2g + 1$, $\beta \leq g$. We shall prove that *there is a single reduced form of discriminant $-P$ if and only if T_0, T_1, \dots, T_g are all prime numbers*. If they are primes, a reduced form has $a = 1, b = 1$. Conversely, let there be a single reduced form. If T_0 were composite, there would be a reduced form with $b = 1, a > 1$. Suppose that $T_0, \dots, T_{\beta-1}$ are primes, but $T_\beta = ac$, $c \geq a > 1$, where $0 < \beta \leq g$. If $a \geq b$, where $b = 2\beta + 1$, (a, b, c) would be reduced. Hence $a < b$. Applying the above result for $m = a$, we see that $T_\beta \equiv T_r \pmod{a}$, where r is some integer $0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}(a-1)$. Thus $r < \beta$, so that T_r is a prime. But $T_r \equiv T_\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{a}$. Hence $T_r = a$. Thus $a \geq T_0 \geq \frac{1}{4}(1+P)$. $P \geq 3(2g+1)^2 \geq 3(2\beta+1)^2 > 3a^2$, $a > \frac{1}{4}(1+3a^2)$. Thus $(3a-1)(a-1) < 0$, which contradicts $a > 1$.

If P is a prime < 27 , then $g = 0$ and the condition is that $T_0 = \frac{1}{4}(1+P)$ shall be a prime. This is satisfied when $P = 3, 7, 11, 19$.

For $P \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, $P > 7$, T_0 is even and > 2 .

For $P \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, set $P = 8k - 5$. For $k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, $k \geq 5$, $T_0 = 2k - 1$ is divisible by 3 and exceeds 3; while for $k = 2$, $P = 11$. For $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, P is divisible by 3. For $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $P = 24t - 5$. For $t \equiv 1, 4, \text{ or } 0 \pmod{5}$, $T_0 = 6t - 1$, $T_1 = 6t + 1$ or P is divisible by 5 and exceeds 5 except when $t = 1$, $P = 19$. For $t = 2$ or 3 , $P = 43$ or 67 and $g = 1$, while T_0 and T_1 are primes. For $t = 7$, $P = 163$, $g = 3$, and T_0, T_1, T_2, T_3 are primes 41, 43, 47, 53. For $t = 8$, $P = 11 \cdot 17$. There remain the cases $t = 5l + 12, 5l + 13$, where $l \geq 0$. Hence we may state the

THEOREM. *There is a single class of positive primitive quadratic forms of negative discriminant $-P$ when*

$$P = 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 16, 19, 27, 28, 43, 67, 163;$$

but more than one class if P is not one of these 13 numbers and not a prime of the form $120l + 283$ or $120l + 307$, $l \geq 0$.

The remaining primes < 1000 are $P = 283, 523, 643, 883, 307, 547, 787, 907$. For these $g \geq 4$, $T_2 = 77$, $T_1 = 7 \cdot 19$, $T_0 = 7 \cdot 23$, $T_0 = 13 \cdot 17$, $T_0 = 77$, $T_2 = 11 \cdot 13$, $T_2 = 7 \cdot 29$,

$T_4 = 13 \cdot 19$, respectively. Hence in each case there is more than one class.

A practical method of examining a wide range of values of P consists in first excluding the values of l for which any one of the numbers T_0, \dots, T_g has a given small prime factor p . For $P = 120l + 283$ or 307 , $T_0 = 30l + 71$ or $30l + 77$, $g \geq 4$. This exclusion has already been effected for $p = 3$ or 5 . For $p = 7$, any T_j is congruent to T_0, T_1, T_2 or T_3 . For $T_0 = 30l + 77$, these are divisible by 7 if $l \equiv 0, 6, 4, 1 \pmod{7}$, respectively; for $30l + 71$, if $l \equiv 3, 2, 0, 4 \pmod{7}$. Hence there remain the cases

$$T_0 = 210m + \mu, \mu = 137, 167, 227, 101, 221, 251.$$

The least P is now 403, whence $d \geq 5$. Now $T_0 \equiv m + \mu \pmod{11}$. Thus T_0 is divisible by 11 if $m \equiv 6, 9, 4, 9, 10, 2 \pmod{11}$, respectively. But $T_k = T_{k-1} + 2k$. Hence if we subtract $2k$ from the m for which $T_{k-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$, we obtain the m for which $T_k \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$. This may be done by counting spaces on square ruled paper. At each point so obtained a hole is punched, thus giving a 6×11 stencil for $p = 11$. The least T_0 is now 221, whence $P \geq 883$, $g \geq 8$. Similarly, stencils were constructed for $p = 13, 17, 19, 23, 29$. After using the first three stencils, it was noted that $m \equiv 4$ for each μ , whence $T_0 \geq 941$, $P \geq 3763$, $g \geq 17$.

The first 10710 values of T_0 were examined; to this end m was given the values ≤ 1785 . The use of each stencil excluded more than half of the values left at the earlier stage. After using the stencils for $p \leq 29$, we had left 110 numbers, for each of which T_0, \dots , or T_6 was verified to be composite. In just four cases were T_0, \dots, T_5 all prime. The work, including the making of the stencils, was done in two days.

THEOREM. *For $163 < P < 1,500,000$ there is more than one class of positive primitive quadratic forms of discriminant $-P$.*

For a greater P , $g \geq 353$ and there is more than one class unless T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{353} are all primes. The chance that such a case will arise is extremely small. Note that, for P not exceeding $1\frac{1}{2}$ millions, T_0, \dots, T_{14} were shown to be not all prime.