

to Weierstrass, with the totality of those functions  $\delta y$  of class  $C'$  which vanish at  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and satisfy the relation  $\delta K = 0$ .

The proof of this lemma — which is an essential step in the chain of conclusions, and whose omission forms a serious gap in the older theory — constitutes the second difficulty.

Neither of these difficulties occurs in the proof which we have given above.

FREIBURG, i. B.,  
November 19, 1908.

---

## NOTES ON THE SIMPLEX THEORY OF NUMBERS.

BY PROFESSOR R. D. CARMICHAEL.

(Read before the American Mathematical Society, October 31, 1908.)

### I. *Continued Product of the Terms of an Arithmetical Series.*

1. Let  $a$  and  $c$  be two relatively prime positive integers and form the arithmetical series

$$xa + c, \quad (x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1).$$

If we inquire what is the highest power of a prime  $p$  contained in the product

$$\prod_{x=0}^{x=n-1} (xa + c), \quad a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

we shall find that the general result takes an interesting form. The solution of the problem may be effected in the following manner :

Evidently there exists some number  $x$  such that  $xa + c$  is divisible by  $p$ . Let  $i$  be the smallest value of  $x$  for which this division is possible, and let  $c_1$  be the quotient thus obtained. Using the notation

$$(1) \quad H\{y\}$$

to represent the index of the highest power of  $p$  contained in  $y$ , we will show that

$$(2) \quad H\left\{\prod_{x=0}^{x=n-1} (xa + c)\right\} = H\left\{\prod_{x=0}^{x=c_1} (xa + c_1)\right\} + e_1 + 1,$$

where

$$e_1 = \left[ \frac{n-1-i_1}{p} \right]$$

is the largest integer not greater than  $(n-1-i_1)/p$ . In order to prove (2) we have only to notice that in the product of its first member only factors of the form

$$(mp + i_1)a + c$$

contain  $p$  and that the quotient of the division is always of the form

$$ma + c_1,$$

and that  $e_1$  is the highest possible value of  $m$ . Performing the same operation on the  $H$ -function of the second member and continuing the process, we should finally arrive at a number which is simply the index of the required power of  $p$ .

In order to write this result in a convenient form let us define a suitable notation. Let  $i_r$  be the least integer such that  $i_r a + c_{r-1}$  contains  $p$  and let  $e_r$  be the quotient of this division. For uniformity, set  $c = c_0$  and  $n-1 = e_0$ . Further, let  $e_r$  be defined by

$$(3) \quad \left[ \frac{e_{r-1} - i_r}{p} \right] = e_r.$$

Also let  $t$  be the first subscript for which

$$c_t(a + c_t)(2a + c_t) \cdots (e_t a + c_t)$$

does not contain the factor  $p$ . Then the preceding result may be written thus

$$(4) \quad H \left\{ \prod_{x=0}^{x=n-1} (xa + c_0) \right\} = \sum_{r=1}^{r=t-1} (e_r + 1).$$

Since  $0 \leq i_r \leq p-1$ , as is evident from the definition of  $i_r$ , we may deduce from (3) the following inequalities:

$$\left[ \frac{e_{r-1} - (p-1)}{p} \right] \leq e_r \leq \left[ \frac{e_{r-1}}{p} \right].$$

Hence

$$(5) \quad \left[ \frac{e_{r-1} + 1}{p} \right] \leq e_r + 1 \leq \left[ \frac{e_{r-1} + p}{p} \right].$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{n}{p} \right] &\leq e_1 + 1 \leq \left[ \frac{n-1}{p} \right] + 1, \\ \left[ \frac{n}{p^2} \right] &\leq e_2 + 1 \leq \left[ \frac{n-1}{p^2} \right] + 1, \\ \left[ \frac{n}{p^3} \right] &\leq e_3 + 1 \leq \left[ \frac{n-1}{p^3} \right] + 1, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

Taking the sum of these inequalities, we have by (4)

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad \left[ \frac{n}{p} \right] + \left[ \frac{n}{p^2} \right] + \left[ \frac{n}{p^3} \right] + \dots &\leq H \left\{ \prod_{x=0}^{x=n-1} (xa + c_0) \right\} \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{n-1}{p} \right] + \left[ \frac{n-1}{p^2} \right] + \dots + R(n-1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $R(n-1)$  is the index of the highest power of  $p$  not greater than  $n-1$ .

This result takes different forms according as  $n$  is or is not a power of  $p$ . If  $n$  is a power of  $p$ , we have evidently

$$(7) \quad \left[ \frac{n}{p^a} \right] = \left[ \frac{n-1}{p^a} \right] + 1$$

for every  $p^a$  equal to or less than  $n$ . Remembering that when  $n = p^h$

$$\left[ \frac{n}{p} \right] + \left[ \frac{n}{p^2} \right] + \dots = \frac{p^h - 1}{p - 1},$$

and using equation (7) in connection with inequality (6), we have

$$(8) \quad H \left\{ \prod_{x=0}^{x=n-1} (xa + c_0) \right\} = \frac{n-1}{p-1}, \quad n = p^h.$$

When  $n$  is not a power of  $p$ , it is evident that

$$(9) \quad \left[ \frac{n}{p^a} \right] = \left[ \frac{n-1}{p^a} \right].$$

Suppose now that

$$(10) \quad n = \delta_h p^h + \delta_{h-1} p^{h-1} + \dots + \delta_1 p + \delta_0, \quad \delta_h \neq 0,$$

and at least one other  $\delta$  is not zero. Employing (9) and the

well-known formula

$$\left[ \frac{n}{p} \right] + \left[ \frac{n}{p^2} \right] + \dots = \frac{n - (\delta_h + \delta_{h-1} + \dots + \delta_1 + \delta_0)}{p - 1},$$

we may write (6) as follows :

$$(11) \quad \frac{n - (\delta_h + \dots + \delta_1 + \delta_0)}{p - 1} \cong H \left\{ \prod_{x=0}^{x=n-1} (xa + c_0) \right\} \\ \cong h + \frac{n - (\delta_h + \dots + \delta_1 + \delta_0)}{p - 1}.$$

The inequalities in (11) confine the value of  $H$  in narrow limits which are easily calculated.

2. In the series  $xa + c$ , it may happen that the first  $x$  for which  $xa + c$  is divisible by  $p$  will give  $c$  as the quotient of this division. Then in the preceding discussion all the  $c$ 's are equal ; and then also all the  $i$ 's. Dropping subscripts from  $i$  and  $c$  and making repeated use of equation (3), we have

$$e_1 = \left[ \frac{n - 1 - i}{p} \right], \\ e_2 = \left[ \frac{e_1 - i}{p} \right] = \left[ \frac{e_1 p - ip}{p^2} \right] = \left[ \frac{n - 1 - i - ip}{p^2} \right], \\ e_3 = \left[ \frac{e_2 - 1}{p} \right] = \left[ \frac{e_2 p^2 - ip^2}{p^3} \right] = \left[ \frac{n - 1 - i - ip - ip^2}{p^3} \right], \\ \dots \dots \dots$$

If we add one to each member of each of these equations and take the sum of the results ; then further, if we replace the resulting first member by its value taken from (4), we have

$$(12) \quad H \left\{ \prod_{x=0}^{x=n-1} (xa + c) \right\} = \left[ \frac{n - 1 - i + p}{p} \right] \\ + \left[ \frac{n - 1 - i - ip + p^2}{p^2} \right] + \left[ \frac{n - 1 - i - ip - ip^2 + p^3}{p^3} \right] + \dots$$

3. If  $a = c = 1$ , equation (12) takes a very simple form. For this case  $i = p - 1$ . The result is the well-known theorem that the highest power of  $p$  contained in  $n!$  is that whose index is

$$\left[ \frac{n}{p} \right] + \left[ \frac{n}{p^2} \right] + \dots = \frac{n - (s_h + \dots + s_1 + s_0)}{p - 1},$$

where

$$n = s_h p^h + s_{h-1} p^{h-1} + \dots + s_1 p + s_0.$$

4. If  $a = 2$  and  $c = 1$ , equation (12) takes a special form of considerable interest. The terms of  $xa + c$  are the natural odd numbers in order, and  $p$  is an odd prime. It is evident that  $i = \frac{1}{2}(p - 1)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{n - 1 - i - ip \dots - ip^{\beta-1} + p^\beta}{p^\beta} \right] \\ &= \left[ \frac{2n - 2 - 2i - 2ip \dots - 2ip^{\beta-1} + 2p^\beta}{2p^\beta} \right] = \left[ \frac{2n - 1 + p^\beta}{2p^\beta} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then (12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} H \{ 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \dots (2n - 1) \} &= \left[ \frac{2n - 1 + p}{2p} \right] \\ &+ \left[ \frac{2n - 1 + p^2}{2p^2} \right] + \left[ \frac{2n - 1 + p^3}{p^3} \right] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

## II. An Extension of Fermat's Theorem.

It will be shown that the congruence

$$x^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n},$$

where  $\phi(n)$  is Euler's  $\phi$ -function of  $n$ , is still true when the modulus is a multiple of  $n$  formed in a definite way,  $x$  being prime to the new modulus.

It has been shown\* that  $\phi(z) = a$  has always more than one solution. If  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are two roots of  $\phi(z) = a$ , then  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  must each have a factor not common to the two except when one is an odd number and the other is twice that odd number; and hence, except in this case, their lowest common multiple is greater than either of them. Now if  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_i$  are all the roots of  $\phi(z) = a$ , we have by Fermat's theorem the congruences

$$x^a \equiv 1 \pmod{z_1}, x^a \equiv 1 \pmod{z_2}, \dots, x^a \equiv 1 \pmod{z_i},$$

where in each case  $x$  is prime to the modulus involved. Now if  $L$  is the lowest common multiple of  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_i$  and  $x$  is prime to  $L$ , we have

$$(1) \quad x^a \equiv 1 \pmod{L},$$

where  $L$  is greater than any number whose totient is  $a$  except

---

\* Carmichael, BULLETIN, vol. 13, p. 241.

when the equation  $\phi(z) = a$  has only the two solutions  $z = L, z = \frac{1}{2}L$ . Hence,

**THEOREM.** *Except when  $n$  and  $\frac{1}{2}n$  are the only numbers whose totient is the same as that of  $n$ , the congruence  $x^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1$  holds for a modulus which is some multiple of  $n$ .*

A working method for finding such a modulus is the following:

Set  $\phi(n) = a$ , for convenience. Separate  $a$  into its prime factors and find the highest power of each prime  $p$  contained in  $a$  such that  $\phi(p^a)$  is equal to or is a factor of  $a$ . Suppose that the following primes are found:  $p_1^{a_1}, p_2^{a_2}, \dots, p_j^{a_j}$ . Then write out all the divisors of  $a$  and take every prime  $q$  such that  $q - 1$  is equal to any one of these divisors, but  $q \neq$  any  $p$ ; and say we have  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k$ . Then set

$$(2) \quad M = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_j^{a_j} q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k.$$

Then evidently

$$(3) \quad X^a \equiv 1 \pmod{M},$$

when  $X$  is prime to  $M$ . (It should be noticed that  $M$  may be a multiple of  $L$  in congruence (1).)

As thus defined,  $M$  is a definite function of  $a$ ; say  $M = M(a)$ . For every odd value of  $a$ , except  $a = 1$ , we have  $M(a) = 1$ , as the reader may readily verify. Some even values of  $a$  give also  $M(a) = 1$ . There follows a table giving the value of  $M(a)$  for each  $a$  for which  $M \neq 1$  up to  $a = 150$ .

$a$	$M(a)$	$a$	$M(a)$	$a$	$M(a)$
2	12	48	2 227 680	104	12 720
4	120	52	6 360	106	1 284
6	252	54	43 092	108	22 265 704 680
8	240	56	6 960	110	33 396
10	132	58	708	112	26 740 320
12	32 760	60	3 407 203 800	116	7 080
16	8 160	64	32 640	120	279 390 711 600
18	14 364	66	388 332	126	549 092 628
20	6 600	70	9 372	128	65 280
22	276	72	10 087 262 640	130	17 292
24	65 520	78	948	132	50 483 160
28	3 480	80	18 400 800	136	10 960
30	85 932	82	996	138	1 646 316
32	16 320	84	285 962 040	140	13 589 400
36	69 090 840	88	491 280	144	342 966 929 760
40	108 240	92	5 640	148	17 880
42	75 852	96	432 169 920	150	12 975 732
44	2 760	100	3 333 000		
46	564	102	25 956		

III. *The Solutions of  $\phi(z) = a$ .*

It is desirable to have a general method for finding all the solutions of

$$\phi(z) = a$$

for any given  $a$ . The method used in Note II for finding  $M$  in congruence (1) is suggestive, and we may formulate a rule thus :

*Find  $M$  as in Note II. Evidently, the solutions of  $\phi(z) = a$  will all be factors of  $M$ . Then examine all the factors of  $M$  and retain each one whose totient is  $a$ .*

ALABAMA PRESBYTERIAN COLLEGE,  
ANNISTON, ALABAMA.

THE SOLUTION OF BOUNDARY PROBLEMS OF  
LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS  
OF ODD ORDER.

BY PROFESSOR W. D. A. WESTFALL.

E. SCHMIDT<sup>1</sup> has studied the set of linear integral equations with non-symmetric matrix

$$(1) \quad \phi_i(s) = \lambda_i \int_a^b K(s, t) \psi_i(t) dt, \quad \psi_i(s) = \lambda_i \int_a^b K(t, s) \phi_i(t) dt,$$

and has shown that, if there can be found for a function  $f(x)$  a continuous function  $h(x)$ , such that

$$(2) \quad f(x) = \int_a^b K(x, t) h(t) dt,$$

then

$$(3) \quad f(x) = \sum_i \frac{\phi_i(x)}{\lambda_i} \int_a^b h(t) \psi_i(t) dt,$$

where  $\phi_i$  runs over a complete set of solutions of (1) which have been normalized and orthogonalized, *i. e.*,

$$(4) \quad \int_a^b \phi_i \psi_j dx = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j, \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

\* *Math. Annalen*, vol. 63, p. 459.