

## ON A NEW HILBERT'S TYPE INEQUALITY

GUANGSHENG CHEN\*

Department of Computer Engineering  
Guangxi Modern Vocational Technology College  
Hechi, 547000, China

### Abstract

By introducing some parameters and estimating the weight coefficient, we establish a new Hilbert's type inequality with a best constant factor. As applications, the reverse form, some particular results and the equivalent form are considered.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 26D15.

**Keywords:** Hilbert's inequality, Hölder's inequality, weight coefficient.

### 1 Introduction

If  $a_n \geq 0, b_n \geq 0, 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 < \infty$ , and  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 < \infty$ , then we have (see[1])

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \pi \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \right\}^{1/2} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\max\{m, n\}} < 4 \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \quad (1.2)$$

where the constant factors  $\pi$  and 4 are the best possible. Inequality (1.1) is well known as Hilbert's inequality and (1.2) is named a Hilbert-type inequality. Both of them are important in analysis and its applications [2]. In 1998, Gao et al. [3,4] considered some strengthened versions of (1.1). Recently, by introducing an independent parameter  $\lambda$ , some extensions of (1.1) and (1.2) were given as follows [5,6]:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m^\lambda + n^\lambda} < \frac{\pi}{\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-\lambda} a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-\lambda} b_n^2 \right\}^{1/2} \quad (1.3)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\max\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\}} < \frac{4}{\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-\lambda} a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-\lambda} b_n^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \quad (1.4)$$

---

\*E-mail address: cgswavelets@126.com

where the constant factors  $\pi/\lambda$  and  $4/\lambda$  ( $0 < \lambda \leq 2$ ) are all the best possible. A reverse Hilbert's integral inequality is given by Yang [7].

Very recently, in [8] the following extensions were given:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{a \max\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} < D_\lambda(a, b, c) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1-\lambda/2)-1} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda/2)-1} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (1.5)$$

where the constant factor  $D_\lambda(a, b, c)$  (see [8, Lemma 1]) is the best possible.

In this paper, by introducing some parameters and estimating the weight coefficient, a new inequality with the best constant factor is established, which is similar to inequality (1.5). As applications, the reverse form, some particular results and the equivalent form are considered.

## 2 Some Lemmas

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$ ,  $a \geq 0$ ,  $b, c > 0$ , define the weight coefficient  $\omega_\lambda(a, b, c, m)$  as*

$$\omega_\lambda(a, b, c, m) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{\lambda/2} n^{\lambda/2-1}}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (2.1)$$

Then we have the following inequality:

$$C_\lambda(a, b, c)[1 - \theta_\lambda(a, b, c, m)] < \omega_\lambda(a, b, c, m) < C_\lambda(a, b, c). \quad (2.2)$$

where  $C_\lambda(a, b, c) = \frac{C(a, b, c)}{\lambda}$ ,  $\theta_\lambda(a, b, c, m) := \frac{1}{C(a, b, c)} \int_0^{m^{-\lambda}} \frac{u^{-1/2}}{(a+cu)+b} du = O(1/m^{\lambda/2}) \in (0, 1)$ , ( $m \rightarrow \infty$ ), and the constant  $C(a, b, c)$  is defined by

$$C(a, b, c) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\sqrt{b(a+c)}} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{a+c}{b}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{c(a+b)}} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{a+b}{c}}, & \text{for } a > 0, b, c > 0 \\ \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{bc}}, & \text{for } a = 0, b, c > 0 \end{cases}. \quad (2.3)$$

*Proof.* Setting  $u = (y/m)^\lambda$ , Since  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$  and  $a \geq 0, b, c > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\lambda(a, b, c, m) &< \int_0^\infty \frac{m^{\lambda/2} y^{\lambda/2-1}}{a \min\{m^\lambda, y^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cy^\lambda} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{-1/2}}{a \min\{1, u\} + b + cu} du := I. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

(i)  $a, b, c > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{-1/2}}{a \min\{1, u\} + b + cu} du \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{u^{-1/2}}{b + (a+c)u} du + \int_1^\infty \frac{u^{-1/2}}{(a+b) + cu} du \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \frac{2}{\sqrt{b(a+c)}} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{a+c}{b}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{c(a+b)}} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{a+b}{c}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $a = 0, b, c > 0$  we obtain

$$I = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{-1/2}}{b + cu} du = \frac{2}{\lambda\sqrt{bc}} \left[ \arctan \sqrt{\frac{c}{b}u} \right]_0^\infty = \frac{\pi}{\lambda\sqrt{bc}}.$$

Hence  $\omega_\lambda(a, b, c, m) < I = \frac{1}{\lambda} C(a, b, c) = C_\lambda(a, b, c) (m \in N)$ , We have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\lambda(a, b, c, m) &> \int_1^\infty \frac{m^{\lambda/2} y^{\lambda/2-1}}{a \min\{m^\lambda, y^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cy^\lambda} dy \\ &= I - \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^{m^{-\lambda}} \frac{u^{-1/2}}{a \min\{1, u\} + b + cu} du = I [1 - \theta_\lambda(a, b, c, m)]. \end{aligned}$$

where  $I = \frac{1}{\lambda} C(a, b, c)$  and  $0 < \theta_\lambda(a, b, c, m) := \frac{1}{C(a, b, c)} \int_0^{m^{-\lambda}} \frac{u^{-1/2}}{(a+c)u+b} du < 1$ . Since

$$0 < \int_0^{m^{-\lambda}} \frac{u^{-1/2}}{(a+c)u+b} du \leq \int_0^{m^{-\lambda}} \frac{u^{-1/2}}{b} du = \frac{2}{bm^{\lambda/2}}$$

then  $\theta_\lambda(a, b, c, m) = O(\frac{1}{m^{\lambda/2}})$ . Therefore (2.2) is valid. The lemma is proved.

**Note.** By the symmetry, we still have  $\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{-1/2}}{a \min\{1, u\} + bu + c} du = I$  and

$$C_\lambda(a, b, c) [1 - \theta_\lambda(a, b, c, n)] < \omega_\lambda(a, b, c, n) < C_\lambda(a, b, c). \tag{2.5}$$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $p > 0, p \neq 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, 0 < \lambda \leq 2, a \geq 0, b, c > 0$ , and  $0 < \varepsilon < p\lambda/2$ , setting

$$J(\varepsilon) := \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{m^{\lambda/2-1-\varepsilon/p} n^{\lambda/2-1-\varepsilon/q}}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \tag{2.6}$$

then for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , we have

$$[C_\lambda(a, b, c) - o(1)] \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} < J(\varepsilon) < [C_\lambda(a, b, c) + \delta(1)] \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}}. \tag{2.7}$$

*Proof.* Setting  $u = (x/n)^\lambda$  in the following, by the Note, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(\varepsilon) &< \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\lambda/2-1-\varepsilon/p} n^{\lambda/2-1-\varepsilon/q}}{a \min\{x^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bx^\lambda + cn^\lambda} dx \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{-1/2-\varepsilon/\lambda p}}{a \min\{1, u\} + bu + c} du \right] = [I + \delta(1)] \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J(\varepsilon) &> \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{x^{\lambda/2-1-\varepsilon/p} n^{\lambda/2-1-\varepsilon/q}}{a \min\{x^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bx^\lambda + cn^\lambda} dx \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{n^{-\lambda}}^{\infty} \frac{u^{-1/2-\varepsilon/\lambda p}}{a \min\{1, u\} + bu + c} du \right] \\
&> \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{n^{-\lambda}}^{\infty} \frac{u^{-1/2-\varepsilon/\lambda p}}{a \min\{1, u\} + bu + c} du \right] - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{n^{-\lambda}} \frac{u^{-1/2-\varepsilon/\lambda p}}{c} du \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left[ I + \delta(1) - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}\right)c} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\frac{\lambda}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}}} \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right)^{-1} \right] \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} (I - o(1)) (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.7) is valid, and the lemma is proved.

### 3 Main results

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$ ,  $a \geq 0, b, c > 0, a_n, b_n \geq 0$ , such that  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1-\lambda/2)-1} a_n^p < \infty, 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda/2)-1} b_n^q < \infty$ , then the following inequalities hold and are equivalent*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \\
&< C_\lambda(a, b, c) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1-\lambda/2)-1} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda/2)-1} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p\lambda/2-1} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \right]^p \\
&< [C_\lambda(a, b, c)]^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1-\lambda/2)-1} a_n^p.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where the constant factor  $C_\lambda(a, b, c)$  and  $[C_\lambda(a, b, c)]^p$  are the best possible. In particular,

(i) for  $\lambda = a = b = c = 1$ , we have  $C_1(1, 1, 1) = 2\sqrt{2} \arctan \sqrt{2}$  and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\min\{m, n\} + m + n} < 2\sqrt{2} \arctan \sqrt{2} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/2-1} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q/2-1} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{3.3}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\min\{m, n\} + m + n} < [2\sqrt{2} \arctan \sqrt{2}]^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/2-1} a_n^p. \tag{3.4}$$

(ii) for  $a = 0, \lambda = b = c = 1$ , we have  $C_1(0, 1, 1) = \pi$  and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m + n} < \pi \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/2-1} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q/2-1} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m + n} < \pi^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p/2-1} a_n^p. \tag{3.6}$$

*Proof.* By Hölders inequality with weight [9] and in view of (2.1), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \frac{n^{(\lambda/2-1)/p} m^{(\lambda/2-1)/q}}{m^{(\lambda/2-1)/q} n^{(\lambda/2-1)/p}} \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m^p m^{\lambda/2} n^{\lambda/2-1} m^{p(1-\lambda/2)-1}}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n^q m^{\lambda/2-1} n^{\lambda/2} n^{q(1-\lambda/2)-1}}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \omega_\lambda(a, b, c, m) m^{p(1-\lambda/2)-1} a_m^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_\lambda(a, b, c, n) n^{q(1-\lambda/2)-1} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (2.2) and (2.5), we have (3.1).

For  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{p\lambda}{2}$ , setting  $\tilde{a}_m = m^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}}, \tilde{b}_n = n^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}}$  ( $m, n \in N$ ), by (2.6), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{a}_m \tilde{b}_n}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} = J(\varepsilon).$$

If there exists a constant  $0 < K \leq C_\lambda(a, b, c)$  such that (3.1) is still valid if we replace  $C_\lambda(a, b, c)$  by  $K$ , then in particular, by (2.7) we find

$$[C_\lambda(a, b, c) - o(1)] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} < J(\varepsilon) < K \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1-\lambda/2)-1} \tilde{a}_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda/2)-1} \tilde{b}_n^q \right\}^{1/q} = K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

It follows that  $C_\lambda(a, b, c) - o(1) < K$ , and then  $C_\lambda(a, b, c) \leq K(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+)$ . Hence  $K = C_\lambda(a, b, c)$  is the best constant factor of (3.1).

Let's show that (3.1) and (3.2) are equivalent. First, assume that inequality (3.1) is valid. setting

$$b_n = n^{\lambda p/2-1} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \right\}^{p-1}$$

then by (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda/2)-1} b_n^q &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p\lambda/2-1} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \right]^p \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \\ &\leq C_\lambda(a, b, c) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1-\lambda/2)-1} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda/2)-1} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Hence, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda/2)-1} b_n^q \leq [C_\lambda(a, b, c)]^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1-\lambda/2)-1} a_n^p. \tag{3.8}$$

Thus, by (3.1), both (3.7) and (3.8) keep the form of strict inequalities, then we have (3.2).

By Holder's inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ n^{\lambda/2-1/p} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \right\}^p n^{1/p-\lambda/2} b_n \quad (3.9) \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p\lambda/2-1} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \right\}^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda/2)-1} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (3.2) we have (3.1), and inequality (3.1) and (3.2) are equivalent. If the constant factor in (3.2) is not the best possible, then by (3.9) can get a contradiction that the constant factor in (3.1) is not the best possible. The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$ ,  $a \geq 0, b, c > 0, a_n, b_n \geq 0$ , such that  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1-\lambda/2)-1} a_n^p < \infty, 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda/2)-1} b_n^q < \infty$ , then the following inequalities hold and are equivalent*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \\ &> C_\lambda(a, b, c) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - \theta_\lambda(a, b, c, n)] n^{p(1-\lambda/2)-1} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda/2)-1} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q} \quad (3.10) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p\lambda/2-1} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \right]^p \\ &> [C_\lambda(a, b, c)]^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - \theta_\lambda(a, b, c, n)] n^{p(1-\lambda/2)-1} a_n^p. \quad (3.11) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta_\lambda(a, b, c, m) := \frac{1}{C(a, b, c)} \int_0^{m^{-\lambda}} \frac{u^{-1/2}}{(a+c)u+b} du = O(1/m^{\lambda/2}) \in (0, 1)$ . ( $m \rightarrow \infty$ ), and the constant factor  $C_\lambda(a, b, c)$  and  $[C_\lambda(a, b, c)]^p$  are the best possible. In particular,

(i) for  $\lambda = a = b = c = 1$ , we have  $C_1(1, 1, 1) = 2\sqrt{2} \arctan \sqrt{2}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\min\{m, n\} + m + n} \\ &> 2\sqrt{2} \arctan \sqrt{2} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 - \frac{\arctan(\sqrt{2}/n^{1/2})}{2 \arctan \sqrt{2}} \right] n^{p/2-1} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q/2-1} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q} \quad (3.12) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\min\{m, n\} + m + n} \\ &> [2\sqrt{2} \arctan \sqrt{2}]^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 - \frac{\arctan(\sqrt{2}/n^{1/2})}{2 \arctan \sqrt{2}} \right] n^{p/2-1} a_n^p. \quad (3.13) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) for  $a = 0, \lambda = b = c = 1$ , we have  $C_1(0, 1, 1) = \pi$  and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} > \pi \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 - \frac{2 \arctan n^{-1/2}}{\pi} \right] n^{p/2-1} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q/2-1} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q} \quad (3.14)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} > \pi^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[1 - \frac{2 \arctan n^{-1/2}}{\pi}\right] n^{p/2-1} a_n^p. \tag{3.15}$$

*Proof.* By the reverse Hölders inequality with weight [9] and in view of (2.1), we find

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{a \min\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + b m^\lambda + c n^\lambda} \\ &\geq \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \omega_\lambda(a, b, c, m) m^{p(1-\lambda/2)-1} a_m^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_\lambda(a, b, c, n) n^{q(1-\lambda/2)-1} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (2.2) and (2.5), in view of  $q < 0$ , we have (3.10).

For  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{p\lambda}{2}$ , setting  $\tilde{a}_m = m^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}}, \tilde{b}_n = n^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}}$  ( $m, n \in N$ ), if there exists a constant  $k \geq C_\lambda(a, b, c)$  such that (3.10) is still valid if we replace  $C_\lambda(a, b, c)$  by  $k$ , then in particular, by (2.6) and (2.7) we find

$$\begin{aligned} &[C_\lambda(a, b, c) + \delta(1)] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} > J(\varepsilon) \\ &> k \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - \theta_\lambda(a, b, c, n)] n^{p(1-\lambda/2)-1} \tilde{a}_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda/2)-1} \tilde{b}_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= k \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\lambda/2}}\right) \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right] \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left\{ 1 - \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\lambda/2}}\right) \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right] \right\}^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$C_\lambda(a, b, c) + \delta(1) > k \left\{ 1 - \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\lambda/2}}\right) \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right] \right\}^{1/p}$$

and then  $C_\lambda(a, b, c) \geq k(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+)$ . Hence  $k = C_\lambda(a, b, c)$  is the best constant factor of (3.10). The proof of (3.12) is similar to that of (3.2), we omit it. The theorem is proved.

### References

- [1] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1952.
- [2] D.S. Mitrinovic, J.E. Pecaric and A.M. Fink, *Inequalities Involving Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston 1991.
- [3] M. Gao and B. Yang, On the extended Hilberts inequality. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (3) (1998) 751-759.

- [4] B. Yang and M. Gao, On a best value of HardyCHilberts inequality. *Adv. Math.* **26** (2) (1997) 159-164.
- [5] B. Yang, On an extension of HardyCHilberts inequality. *Chinese Ann. Math.* **23A** (2) (2002) 247-254.
- [6] B. Yang, On a generalization of the Hilberts inequality and its applications. *Chinese J. Eng. Math.* **21** (5) (2004) 821-824.
- [7] B. Yang, A reverse HardyCHilberts inequality. *J. Jilin Univ. Sci.* **42** (4) (2004) 489-493.
- [8] B. Yang, On a relation between Hilberts inequality and a Hilbert-type inequality. *Applied Mathematics Letters.* **21** (2008) 483-488.
- [9] J. Kuang, *Applied Inequalities*, Shangdong Science and Technology Press, Jinan, China, 2004.