

ON HEIGHT ZERO CHARACTERS OF p -SOLVABLE GROUPS

ABDELLATIF LARADJI

(Received May 28, 2021, revised August 29, 2022)

Abstract

Let G be a finite p -solvable group and N a normal subgroup of G . Suppose that B is a p -block of G with defect group D such that $|D| > |D \cap N|$. Given $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$, we show that the set of height zero characters in $\text{Irr}(B)$ that lie over μ is either empty or contains two or more elements.

1. Introduction

Fix a prime p and let G be a finite group. Let B be a Brauer p -block of G and denote by $\text{Irr}_0(B)$ the set of ordinary irreducible characters in B of height zero. If the defect of B is positive, then a result of Cliff, Plesken and Weiss [1] asserts that $|\text{Irr}_0(B)| \geq 2$. (See also [7].)

Now let N be a normal subgroup of G and suppose $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$. Let $\text{Irr}(G|\mu)$ be the set of irreducible characters of G that lie over μ , and write $\text{Irr}_0(B|\mu) = \text{Irr}_0(B) \cap \text{Irr}(G|\mu)$. The aim of this paper is to prove a relative version of the above result in case G is p -solvable.

Theorem. *Let N be a normal subgroup of a p -solvable group G , and let B be a p -block of G with defect group D such that $|D| > |D \cap N|$. Let $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$ and suppose $\text{Irr}_0(B|\mu) \neq \emptyset$. Then $|\text{Irr}_0(B|\mu)| \geq 2$.*

2. Proof of Theorem

Fix a prime p and let B be a p -block of a group G . Let N be a normal subgroup of G and let $\mu \in \text{Irr}(b)$, where b is a p -block of N . Suppose μ is an irreducible constituent of χ_N , where $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$. By [8, Lemma 2.2], we have $\text{ht}(\chi) \geq \text{ht}(\mu)$. If ν is any other constituent of χ_N , then ν is G -conjugate to μ and belongs to a G -conjugate of b . Since G -conjugate blocks of N have equal defects, the difference $\text{ht}(\chi) - \text{ht}(\mu)$ is independent of the choice of the constituent μ .

If $\text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\mu)$, then the character χ is said to be of *relative height zero* with respect to N . We denote by $\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$ the set of all those characters in $\text{Irr}(B) \cap \text{Irr}(G|\mu)$ having relative height zero with respect to N . It is clear that $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(B|\mu)$ if and only if $\text{ht}(\mu) = 0$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$. Now our main theorem is a consequence of the following more general result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where G is p -solvable and let B be a p -block of G with defect group D such that $|D| > |D \cap N|$. Let $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$ and assume $\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B) \neq \emptyset$. Then $|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq 2$.*

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we need a series of preliminary results.

Lemma 2.2. *Let N be a normal subgroup of an arbitrary group G . Let $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$ and suppose $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$, where B is a p -block of G . Let T be the inertial group of μ in G and let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(T|\mu)$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ . If B_0 is the p -block of T to which θ belongs, then B_0 and B have a common defect group, $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)$ and $|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq |\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)|$.*

Proof. Let b be the block of N such that $\mu \in \text{Irr}(b)$. Then both B and B_0 cover b by Lemma 5.5.7 of [9]. Next, as $\theta^G = \chi$, Lemma 5.3.1(ii) of [9] implies that B_0^G is defined and $B_0^G = B$. By [9, Theorem 5.5.16], we can choose defect groups Q and D_0 for b and B_0 , respectively, such that $Q = D_0 \cap N$. Then by [9, Lemma 5.3.3], there exists a defect group D of B such that $D_0 \subseteq D$.

Since θ lies over μ , we have $\text{ht}(\theta) \geq \text{ht}(\mu)$, and so $\theta(1)_p = |T : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\theta)} \geq |T : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)}$. Then $\chi(1)_p = |G : T|_p \theta(1)_p \geq |G : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)}$. On the other hand, as $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$, we have that $\chi(1)_p = |G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\chi)} = |G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)}$. It follows that $|D_0| \geq |D|$. Now, as $D_0 \subseteq D$, we conclude that $D = D_0$, thereby proving the first assertion. Then we get that $\theta(1)_p = |T : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)}$, which implies that $\text{ht}(\theta) = \text{ht}(\mu)$. Then $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)$, as needed.

Suppose $\xi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)$. Then $\text{ht}(\xi) = \text{ht}(\mu)$ and by Theorem 3.3.8 and Lemma 5.3.1 of [9], $\xi^G \in \text{Irr}(B) \cap \text{Irr}(G|\mu)$. Next

$$\xi^G(1)_p = |G : T|_p \xi(1)_p = |G : T|_p |T : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\xi)} = |G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)},$$

which shows that $\xi^G \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$. So the correspondence $\xi \mapsto \xi^G$ defines a map from $\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)$ to $\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$. Since this map is injective by [9, Theorem 3.3.8], we conclude that $|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq |\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)|$. This completes the proof of the Lemma. □

Let π be a prime set with complement π' in the set of all prime numbers. Suppose G is a (finite) π -separable group. An irreducible character χ of G is said to be π -special if $\chi(1)$ is a π -number and for every subnormal subgroup H of G , the determinantal order $o(\theta)$ of every irreducible constituent θ of χ_H is a π -number. (See Section 2A in [2].)

By [2, Theorem 2.2], the product of any π -special character of G times a π' -special character is irreducible. An irreducible character χ of G is said to be π -factored if $\chi = \alpha\beta$, where α is π -special and β is π' -special. If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is π -factored, then the π -special and π' -special factors of χ are uniquely determined (by Theorem 2.2 in [2]), and are denoted by χ_π and $\chi_{\pi'}$, respectively. In case $\pi = \{p\}$, a single prime, we shall simply write p -special, p' -special, χ_p and $\chi_{p'}$ instead of $\{p\}$ -special, $\{p\}'$ -special, $\chi_{\{p\}}$ and $\chi_{\{p\}'}$, respectively.

Suppose now that χ is an arbitrary irreducible character of G . One can associate with χ a canonical pair (W, γ) , where W is a subgroup of G , $\gamma \in \text{Irr}(W)$ is π -factored and $\gamma^G = \chi$. This pair, which turns out to be uniquely determined up to G -conjugacy, is called a *nucleus* for χ . In case χ is π -factored, then the pair (G, χ) is the single nucleus of χ . (See Section 4A in [2] for the precise definition of a nucleus of a character.)

Lemma 2.3. *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where G is p -solvable and let $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$ be G -invariant. Choose a nucleus (W, γ) for μ and let $S = N_G((W, \gamma))$ be the stabilizer of (W, γ) in G . Then $G = NS$ and $W = N \cap S$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.6 of [4]. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be an arbitrary group with normal subgroup N , and let $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$ be G -invariant. Suppose $G = NS$ for a subgroup S and write $W = N \cap S$. Assume $\gamma \in \text{Irr}(W)$ is S -invariant and $\gamma^N = \mu$. Then*

- (a) *Character induction defines a bijection from $\text{Irr}(S|\gamma)$ onto $\text{Irr}(G|\mu)$.
Furthermore, assuming $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$ where B is a p -block of G , if θ is the character in $\text{Irr}(S|\gamma)$ such that $\theta^G = \chi$ and B_0 is the p -block of S to which θ belongs, we have*
- (b) $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^\gamma(B_0)$;
- (c) B_0 has a defect group D_0 contained in a defect group D of B and $|D : D \cap N| = |D_0 : D_0 \cap W|$;
- (d) $|\text{Irr}_0^\gamma(B_0)| \leq |\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)|$.

Proof. Part (a) follows from Lemma 2.11(b) in [2].

Now suppose $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$ where B is a p -block of G . Let θ be the character in $\text{Irr}(S|\gamma)$ such that $\theta^G = \chi$ and let B_0 be the p -block of S to which θ belongs.

Since $\theta^G = \chi$, [9, Lemma 5.3.1] tells us that B_0^G is defined and equals B . Then by Lemma 5.3.3 of [9], B_0 has a defect group D_0 contained in some defect group D of B .

As $\text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\mu)$, we have $\chi(1)_p = |G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\chi)} = |G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)}$. Also, since θ lies over γ , we have $\text{ht}(\theta) \geq \text{ht}(\gamma)$, and so $\theta(1)_p = |S : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\theta)} \geq |S : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}$. It follows that $|G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)} \geq |G : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}$, as $\chi(1)_p = |G : S|_p \theta(1)_p$. Therefore,

$$(1) \quad p^{\text{ht}(\mu)} \geq |D : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}.$$

Let b be the block of N to which μ belongs, and let b_0 be the block of W to which γ belongs. Since μ is invariant in G and γ is invariant in S , we have that b is G -stable and b_0 is S -stable. It follows by [9, Theorem 5.5.16(ii)] that $D \cap N$ is a defect group of b , and $D_0 \cap W$ is a defect group of b_0 . Therefore, $\mu(1)_p = |N : D \cap N|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)}$ and $\gamma(1)_p = |W : D_0 \cap W|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}$. Since $\mu = \gamma^N$, we have $\mu(1)_p = |N : W|_p \gamma(1)_p$, and hence $|N : D \cap N|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)} = |N : D_0 \cap W|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}$. Therefore,

$$(2) \quad p^{\text{ht}(\mu)} = |D \cap N : D_0 \cap W|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}.$$

Now, in view of (1), we get that $|D_0 : D_0 \cap W| \geq |D : D \cap N|$, and consequently

$$(3) \quad |N : W| \geq |DN : D_0W|.$$

Since $W = N \cap S$, and $D_0 \subseteq S$, we have $D_0W = D_0(N \cap S) = (D_0N) \cap S$. Also, as $G = NS$, it is clear that $G = (D_0N)S$. Therefore, $|G| = |D_0N||S| |(D_0N) \cap S|^{-1} = |D_0N||S||D_0W|^{-1}$. Now since $|G| = |N||S||W|^{-1}$, we conclude that

$$(4) \quad |N : W| = |D_0N : D_0W|.$$

Using (3) now, it follows that $|D_0N| \geq |DN|$. On the other hand, we know that $D_0 \subseteq D$. Therefore $D_0N = DN$, and hence, in light of (4), we get that $|N : W| = |DN : D_0W|$. Then $|D : D \cap N| = |D_0 : D_0 \cap W|$, which finishes the proof of (c).

Next, using (2), we have that

$$(5) \quad p^{\text{ht}(\mu)} = |D : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}.$$

Now $\chi(1)_p = |G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\mu)} = |G : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}$, and thus, as $\chi(1)_p = |G : S|_p \theta(1)_p$ and $\theta(1)_p = |S : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\theta)}$, it follows that $p^{\text{ht}(\theta)} = p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}$, which clearly proves (b).

Finally, we show (d). Suppose $\xi \in \text{Irr}_0^{\gamma}(B_0)$. Then $\text{ht}(\xi) = \text{ht}(\gamma)$. Also, by (a), $\xi^G \in \text{Irr}(G|\mu)$. Since $B_0^G = B$, we have that $\xi^G \in \text{Irr}(B)$ (by [9, Lemma 5.3.1]), and so $\xi^G(1)_p = |G : D|_p p^{\text{ht}(\xi^G)}$. On the other hand, we also have $\xi^G(1)_p = |G : S|_p \xi(1)_p$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} p^{\text{ht}(\xi^G)} &= (|S|_p)^{-1} |D| \xi(1)_p = (|S|_p)^{-1} |D| |S : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\xi)} \\ &= |D : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\xi)} = |D : D_0|_p p^{\text{ht}(\gamma)} = p^{\text{ht}(\mu)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is (5). We have thus shown that $\xi^G \in \text{Irr}_0^{\mu}(B)$. Now, in light of (a), part (d) of the Lemma follows. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $N \triangleleft G$, where G is p -solvable and let μ be a G -invariant p -factored character of N . Let B be a p -block of G of maximal defect such that $\text{Irr}_0^{\mu}(B) \neq \emptyset$. Then $|\text{Irr}_0^{\mu}(B)| = |\text{Irr}_0^{\mu_{p'}}(B)|$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Irr}_0^{\mu}(B) \neq \emptyset$ and B has maximal defect, Theorem 2.3 in [8] implies that μ extends to PN for some Sylow p -subgroup P of G . Then, by Theorem 4.1 in [6], μ_p extends to a p -special character δ of G , and the correspondence $\theta \mapsto \delta\theta$ defines a bijection from $\text{Irr}(G|\mu_{p'})$ onto $\text{Irr}(G|\mu)$. Now to prove the assertion of the lemma, it suffices to show that the above bijection maps $\text{Irr}_0^{\mu_{p'}}(B)$ onto $\text{Irr}_0^{\mu}(B)$.

Let $M = O_{p'}(G)$. Since δ is p -special, then the irreducible constituents of δ_M are all p -special, and so, as M is a p' -group, they must all be the principal character 1_M of M . It follows by [10, Theorem 10.20] that δ belongs to the principal block of G .

Suppose $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^{\mu_{p'}}(B)$. Then $\text{ht}(\theta) = \text{ht}(\mu_{p'}) = 0$, as $\mu_{p'}(1)$ is a p' -number. Now, since B has maximal defect, it follows that $\theta(1)$ is a p' -number. Then, in view of [11, Lemma 2.9], we have $\delta\theta \in \text{Irr}(B)$. Next, by [11, Lemma 2.10] (for instance), μ belongs to a block of N of maximal defect. Then

$$p^{\text{ht}(\delta\theta)} = (\delta\theta)(1)_p = \delta(1) = \mu_p(1) = \mu(1)_p = p^{\text{ht}(\mu)},$$

and thus $\delta\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^{\mu}(B)$.

Now let $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^{\mu}(B)$. Then $\chi = \delta\eta$ for some $\eta \in \text{Irr}(G|\mu_{p'})$. Since $\text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\mu)$, we have $\chi(1)_p = \mu(1)_p$. It follows that $\eta(1)$ is a p' -number, as $\delta(1) = \mu(1)_p$. Now [11, Lemma 2.9] tells us that $\eta \in \text{Irr}(B)$. Finally, since $\text{ht}(\eta) = 0 = \text{ht}(\mu_{p'})$, we conclude that $\eta \in \text{Irr}_0^{\mu_{p'}}(B)$. The proof of the lemma is now complete. \square

Suppose μ is a p' -special character of a normal subgroup N of a p -solvable group G . Two characters $\chi, \chi' \in \text{Irr}(G|\mu)$ are said to be linked if they are linked in the sense of Brauer, i.e., if there is $\varphi \in \text{IBr}(G)$ such that the decomposition numbers $d_{\chi\varphi}$ and $d_{\chi'\varphi}$ are nonzero. The equivalence classes defined by the transitive extension of this linking are called *relative blocks of G with respect to (N, μ)* (see [3, Section 3]). In particular, if B is any block of G covering the block of N to which μ belongs, then $\text{Irr}(B) \cap \text{Irr}(G|\mu)$ is a union of some relative blocks with respect to (N, μ) .

We should mention that a notion of defect group associated with a relative block was introduced in [3, Section 4]. The defect groups of a relative block form a single G -conjugacy class of p -subgroups of G .

If B is a relative block of G with respect to (N, μ) and D is a defect group of B , then the relative height (with respect to (N, μ)) of $\chi \in B$ is defined as $h_{\mu}(\chi) = \chi(1)_p |D| (|G|_p)^{-1}$. It turns out that $h_{\mu}(\chi) = p^n$, where n is some nonnegative integer. (See [3, Section 4].)

Lemma 2.6. *Let N be a normal subgroup of a p -solvable group G such that $|G : N|_p > 1$, and let $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$ be p' -special. Let B be a p -block of G of maximal defect and suppose $\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B) \neq \emptyset$. Then $|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$ and let b be the block of N to which μ belongs. Since μ has p' -degree, b has maximal defect and $\text{ht}(\mu) = 0$. Therefore $\text{ht}(\chi) = 0$, and so, as B has maximal defect, the character χ has p' -degree.

Now let \mathcal{B} be the relative block of G with respect to (N, μ) such that $\chi \in \mathcal{B}$. Then, if D is a defect group of \mathcal{B} , we have $|D|(|G|_p)^{-1} = h_\mu(\chi) = p^n$ for some integer $n \geq 0$. It follows that D is a Sylow p -subgroup of G .

By Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.7 of [3], there exist a group H , a block A of H and a bijection Ψ of \mathcal{B} onto $\text{Irr}(A)$ such that $h_\mu(\theta) = p^{\text{ht}(\Psi(\theta))}$ for every $\theta \in \mathcal{B}$. Also, [3, Theorem 4.2] implies that \mathcal{B} has a defect group D' such that the quotient group $(D'N)/N$ is isomorphic to some defect group \bar{D} of A . Since D' , being G -conjugate to D , is a Sylow p -subgroup of G , we get that $|\bar{D}| = |G : N|_p > 1$. It follows that $|\text{Irr}_0(A)| \geq 2$.

Now let ζ be any character in $\text{Irr}_0(A)$. Then $\Psi^{-1}(\zeta) \in \mathcal{B}(\subseteq \text{Irr}(B))$ and $h_\mu(\Psi^{-1}(\zeta)) = 1$. It follows that $\Psi^{-1}(\zeta)$ has p' -degree, and hence, as a character of the block B , $\Psi^{-1}(\zeta)$ is of height zero. Now, being in \mathcal{B} , the character $\Psi^{-1}(\zeta)$ lies over μ , and we have $\Psi^{-1}(\zeta) \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$. Finally, since $|\text{Irr}_0(A)| \geq 2$ and Ψ^{-1} is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(A)$ onto \mathcal{B} , it follows that $|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq 2$, as needed to be shown. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We proceed by induction on $|G|$. Let $M = \text{O}_{p'}(G)$ and write $L = MN$. Next let $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)$, and choose a character $\theta \in \text{Irr}(L)$ lying under χ and over μ . Let b be the block of L to which θ belongs. Since $\text{ht}(\chi) \geq \text{ht}(\theta) \geq \text{ht}(\mu)$ and $\text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\mu)$, it is clear that $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\theta(B)$ and $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(b)$.

Choose a block b_0 of M covered by b , and let ν be the unique character in $\text{Irr}(b_0)$. Then both θ and χ lie over ν . Next, let T be the inertial group of ν in G . Then T is the inertial group of b_0 , also.

First, suppose $T < G$. Let B' and b' be the respective Fong-Reynolds correspondents of B and b with respect to b_0 . Next, choose a defect group D' of B' . By [9, Theorem 5.5.10], D' is a defect group of B , and so $|D'| > |D' \cap N|$. Also, as L/N is a p' -group, we have that $D' \cap L = D' \cap N$, and it follows that $|D'| > |D' \cap (T \cap L)|$.

By [9, Theorem 5.5.10], there is a unique character $\theta' \in \text{Irr}(b')$ such that $(\theta')^L = \theta$ and $\text{ht}(\theta') = \text{ht}(\theta)$. Similarly, there is a unique character $\chi' \in \text{Irr}(B')$ such that $(\chi')^G = \chi$ and $\text{ht}(\chi') = \text{ht}(\chi)$. Since χ' and θ' both lie over ν , and χ lies over θ , it follows by [5, Lemma 2.6] that χ' lies over θ' . Now, as $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0^\theta(B)$, we get that $\chi' \in \text{Irr}_0^{\theta'}(B')$. Therefore, in particular, $\text{Irr}_0^{\theta'}(B') \neq \emptyset$.

Since $T < G$ and $|D'| > |D' \cap (T \cap L)|$, the inductive hypothesis guarantees that $|\text{Irr}_0^{\theta'}(B')| \geq 2$. It follows by [9, Theorem 5.5.10] and [5, Lemma 2.6] that $|\text{Irr}_0^\theta(B)| \geq 2$. Now, as $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(b)$, we conclude that $|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq 2$, as desired.

We may now assume that $T = G$. Since χ lies over ν and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$, Theorem 10.20 in [10] tells us that the defect groups of B are the Sylow p -subgroups of G .

Let I be the inertial group of μ in G and let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(I|\mu)$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ . Next, let B_0 be the block of I to which θ belongs. Then by Lemma 2.2, B and B_0 have

a common defect group D_0 , $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)$ and $|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq |\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)|$. Also, note that D_0 is a Sylow p -subgroup of I and that $|D_0| > |D_0 \cap N|$.

Choose a nucleus (W, γ) for μ and let S be the stabilizer of (W, γ) in I . Then $\mu = \gamma^N$ and by Lemma 2.3, we have $I = NS$ and $W = N \cap S$. Next, by Lemma 2.4(a), there is a unique character $\xi \in \text{Irr}(S|\gamma)$ such that $\xi^I = \theta$. Let B_1 be the block of S to which ξ belongs. Since $\theta \in \text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)$, Lemma 2.4 implies that $\xi \in \text{Irr}_0^\gamma(B_1)$, B_1 has a defect group D_1 with $|D_1 : D_1 \cap W| = |D_0 : D_0 \cap N|$, and $|\text{Irr}_0^\gamma(B_1)| \leq |\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)|$. We claim that D_1 is a Sylow p -subgroup of S .

Since D_0 is a Sylow p -subgroup of I , we have

$$|D_1 : D_1 \cap W| = |D_0 : D_0 \cap N| = |D_0N : N| = |D_0N|_p/|N|_p = |I|_p/|N|_p.$$

Since $I = NS$ and $W = N \cap S$, we have that $S/W \cong I/N$, and hence $|I|_p/|N|_p = |S|_p/|W|_p$. It follows that

$$(1) \quad |D_1 : D_1 \cap W| = |S|_p/|W|_p.$$

Let A be the block of W to which γ belongs. Since γ is p -factored, [11, Lemma 2.10] tells us that the defect groups of A are the Sylow p -subgroups of W . Next, as ξ lies over γ , the block B_1 covers A and [9, Theorem 5.5.16(ii)] implies that $|D_1 \cap W| = |W|_p$. It follows from (1) that $|S|_p = |D_1|$, thus proving our claim.

Since γ is an S -invariant p -factored character of the normal subgroup W of S , $\text{Irr}_0^\gamma(B_1) \neq \emptyset$ and B_1 has maximal defect, then, in light of Lemma 2.5, we have $|\text{Irr}_0^{\gamma p'}(B_1)| = |\text{Irr}_0^\gamma(B_1)| > 0$. Furthermore, as $|S : W|_p = |D_0 : D_0 \cap N| > 1$, Lemma 2.6 says that $|\text{Irr}_0^{\gamma p'}(B_1)| \geq 2$. Finally,

$$|\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B)| \geq |\text{Irr}_0^\mu(B_0)| \geq |\text{Irr}_0^\gamma(B_1)| = |\text{Irr}_0^{\gamma p'}(B_1)| \geq 2,$$

and the proof of the theorem is complete. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author would like to thank the referee for his or her valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] G.H. Cliff, W. Plesken and A. Weiss: *Order-theoretic properties of the center of a block*; in The Arcata Conference on Representations of Finite Groups, Arcata, Calif., 1986, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **47** (1987), 413–420.
- [2] I.M. Isaacs: *Characters of Solvable Groups*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 2018.
- [3] A. Laradji: *Relative π -blocks of π -separable groups*, J. Algebra **220** (1999), 449–465.
- [4] A. Laradji: *Relative π -blocks of π -separable groups, II*, J. Algebra **237** (2001), 521–532.
- [5] A. Laradji: *Brauer characters and the Harris-Knörr correspondence in p -solvable groups*, J. Algebra **324** (2010), 749–757.
- [6] A. Laradji: *Relative partial characters and relative blocks of p -solvable groups*, J. Algebra **439** (2015), 454–469.
- [7] G.O. Michler: *Trace and defect of a block idempotent*, J. Algebra **131** (1990), 496–501.
- [8] M. Murai: *Normal subgroups and heights of characters*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36** (1996), 31–43.
- [9] H. Nagao and Y. Tsushima: *Representations of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, London, New York, 1989.

- [10] G. Navarro: *Characters and Blocks of Finite Groups*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1998.
- [11] M. Slattery: *Pi-blocks of pi-separable groups, II*, *J. Algebra* **124** (1989), 236–269.

Department of Mathematics
College of Sciences
King Saud University
P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11451
Saudi Arabia
e-mail: alaradji@ksu.edu.sa