

## COUNTING ADDITIVE DECOMPOSITIONS OF QUADRATIC RESIDUES IN FINITE FIELDS

SIMON R. BLACKBURN, SERGEI V. KONYAGIN, IGOR E. SHPARLINSKI

**Abstract:** We say that a set  $\mathcal{S}$  is additively decomposed into two sets  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  if  $\mathcal{S} = \{a+b : a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . A. Sárközy has recently conjectured that the set  $\mathcal{Q}$  of quadratic residues modulo a prime  $p$  does not have nontrivial decompositions. Although various partial results towards this conjecture have been obtained, it is still open. Here we obtain a nontrivial upper bound on the number of such decompositions.

**Keywords:** additive decompositions, finite fields, quadratic nonresidues character sums.

### 1. Introduction

Given two subsets  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$  of the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of  $q$  elements, we define their sum as

$$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = \{a + b : a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

A set  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$  is called *additively decomposable* into two sets if  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$  for some sets  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  with

$$\min\{\#\mathcal{A}, \#\mathcal{B}\} \geq 2.$$

Sárközy [6] has conjectured that the set  $\mathcal{Q}$  of quadratic residues modulo a prime  $p$  does not have additive decompositions and shown towards this conjecture that any additive decomposition

$$\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$$

satisfies

$$\frac{p^{1/2}}{3 \log p} \leq \min\{\#\mathcal{A}, \#\mathcal{B}\} \leq \max\{\#\mathcal{A}, \#\mathcal{B}\} \leq p^{1/2} \log p.$$

---

During the preparation of the work, the second author was supported by Russian Fund for Basic Research, Grant N. 14-01-00332, and Program Supporting Leading Scientific Schools, Grant Nsh-3082.2014.1; the third author was supported by the Australian Research Council, Grant DP140100118.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** primary: 11B13; secondary: 11L40

The method also works for an arbitrary finite field of odd characteristic. In [8] this result has been improved to

$$cq^{1/2} \leq \min\{\#\mathcal{A}, \#\mathcal{B}\} \leq \max\{\#\mathcal{A}, \#\mathcal{B}\} \leq Cq^{1/2}, \tag{1}$$

for some absolute constants  $C \geq c > 0$  (and also generalised to other multiplicative subgroups of  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ ).

Shkredov [7] has recently made remarkable progress towards the conjecture of Sárközy [6] by showing that the conjecture holds with  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ . That is,  $\mathcal{Q} \neq \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$  for any set  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ .

Furthermore, Dartyge and Sárközy [1] have made a similar conjecture for the set  $\mathcal{R}$  of primitive roots modulo  $p$ . We also refer to [1, 2, 6] for further references about set decompositions.

For an odd prime power  $q$  we denote by  $N(q)$  the total number of pairs  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  of sets  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$  that provide an additive decomposition of the set of quadratic residues of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , that is, the set  $\mathcal{Q} = \{x^2 : x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}$ . The conjecture of Sárközy [6] is equivalent to the statement that  $N(q) = 0$  when  $q$  is an odd prime (and is probably true for any odd prime power as well).

The bound (1) implies

$$N(q) \leq \exp\left(O(q^{1/2} \log q)\right).$$

Here we obtain a more precise estimate:

**Theorem 1.** *For any odd prime power  $q$ , we have*

$$N(q) \leq \exp\left(O(q^{1/2})\right).$$

Finally, we remark that the argument we use to prove Theorem 1 can be extended to prove results on additive decompositions of many other “multiplicatively” defined sets, such as cosets of multiplicative groups and sets of primitive elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ . See [1, 8] for analogues of (1) for such sets.

## 2. Bounds of multiplicative character sums

As usual, we use the expressions  $A \ll B$  and  $A = O(B)$  to mean  $|A| \leq cB$  for some constant  $c$ .

We recall the following bound on a double character sum due to Karatsuba [4], see also [5, Chapter VIII, Problem 9], which can easily be derived from the Weil bound (see [3, Corollary 11.24]) and the Hölder inequality.

**Lemma 2.** *For any integer  $\nu \geq 1$ , sets  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$  and nontrivial multiplicative character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , we have*

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \chi(u + v) \ll (\#\mathcal{U})^{1-1/2\nu} \#\mathcal{V}q^{1/4\nu} + (\#\mathcal{U})^{1-1/2\nu} (\#\mathcal{V})^{1/2} q^{1/2\nu},$$

where the implied constant depends only on  $\nu$ .

We obtain the following result as a corollary of Lemma 2:

**Lemma 3.** *For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  if for two sets  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $\#\mathcal{V} \geq q^\varepsilon$  and a nontrivial multiplicative character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , we have  $\chi(u+v) = 1$  for all pairs  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$ , then  $\#\mathcal{U} \ll q^{1/2}$  where the implied constant depends only on  $\varepsilon$ .*

**Proof.** We see from Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{U}\#\mathcal{V} &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \chi(u+v) \\ &\ll (\#\mathcal{U})^{1-1/2\nu} \#\mathcal{V}q^{1/4\nu} + (\#\mathcal{U})^{1-1/2\nu} (\#\mathcal{V})^{1/2} q^{1/2\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\nu$  sufficiently large so that the first term dominates (for example, taking  $\nu = \lceil (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \rceil$  so that  $\#\mathcal{V} \geq q^{1/2\nu}$ ) we find that

$$\#\mathcal{U}\#\mathcal{V} \ll (\#\mathcal{U})^{1-1/2\nu} \#\mathcal{V}q^{1/4\nu},$$

which implies the result. ■

We remark that the bounds (1) follow from Sárközy’s result [6] and Lemma 3. To see this, note that the upper bound follows by taking  $\chi$  to be the quadratic character in Lemma 3, and taking  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{B}$  (and then  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{A}$ ). The lower bound now follows since  $\#\mathcal{Q} \leq \#\mathcal{A}\#\mathcal{B}$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 is instant from the following result, which is of independent interest.

For positive integers  $k$  and  $m$ , let  $N(k, m, q)$  denote the number of pairs  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  of sets  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $\#\mathcal{A} = k$ ,  $\#\mathcal{B} = m$  such that  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$ .

To simplify formulas we extend the definition of binomial coefficients to all non-negative real numbers. More precisely, for a real  $z \geq 0$  and an integer  $n$  we set

$$\binom{z}{n} = \binom{\lfloor z \rfloor}{n}.$$

**Lemma 4.** *For any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all integers  $k$  and  $m$  with  $q > k > q^\varepsilon$  and  $q > m > q^\varepsilon$ , we have*

$$N(k, m, q) \leq \binom{cq^{1/2}}{k} \binom{cq^{1/2}}{m}.$$

**Proof.** We fix a set  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$  of size  $\#\mathcal{V} = \lfloor q^{\varepsilon/2} \rfloor$ . We estimate the number  $N(\mathcal{V}, k, m, q)$  of sets  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $\#\mathcal{A} = k$ ,  $\#\mathcal{B} = m$  such that

$$\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{B}.$$

Let  $\chi$  be the quadratic character. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the set of elements  $u \in \mathbb{F}_q$  such that for every  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  we have  $\chi(u+v) = 1$ . We see from Lemma 3 that  $\#\mathcal{U} \ll q^{1/2}$ .

Any set  $\mathcal{A}$  which contributes to  $N(\mathcal{V}, k, m, q)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . Hence there are at most

$$\binom{\#\mathcal{U}}{k} \leq \binom{c_1 q^{1/2}}{k} \quad (2)$$

possibilities for  $\mathcal{A}$  (where  $c_1 > 0$  is some constant that depends only on  $\varepsilon$ ).

Suppose now that  $\mathcal{A}$  is chosen. Fixing an arbitrary set of  $\lfloor q^{\varepsilon/2} \rfloor$  elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  and using the same argument we see that the remaining elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  always belong to some fixed set  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$  of size  $\#\mathcal{W} \ll q^{1/2}$ . Therefore, there are at most

$$\binom{\#\mathcal{W}}{m} \leq \binom{c_2 q^{1/2}}{m} \quad (3)$$

possibilities for the remaining elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  (where  $c_2 > 0$  is some constant that depends only on  $\varepsilon$ ). Hence, combining (2) and (3), we obtain

$$N(\mathcal{V}, k, m, q) \leq \binom{c_1 q^{1/2}}{k} \binom{c_2 q^{1/2}}{m}.$$

Summing over all choices for  $\mathcal{V}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} N(k, m, q) &\leq \binom{q}{q^{\varepsilon/2}} \binom{c_1 q^{1/2}}{k} \binom{c_2 q^{1/2}}{m} \\ &\leq q^{q^{\varepsilon/2}} \binom{c_1 q^{1/2}}{k} \binom{c_2 q^{1/2}}{m}, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. ■

Now, using the fact that  $N(k, m, q) \neq 0$  only if  $q^{1/2} \ll k \ll q^{1/2}$  and  $q^{1/2} \ll m \ll q^{1/2}$ , see (1), we easily derive Theorem 1 from Lemma 4.

## References

- [1] C. Dartyge, A. Sárközy, *On additive decompositions of the set of primitive roots modulo  $p$* , *Monat. Math.* **169** (2013), 317–328.
- [2] C. Elsholtz, *A survey on additive and multiplicative decompositions of sumsets and of shifted sets*, *Combinatorial Number Theory and Additive Group Theory*, Birkhäuser, 2009, 213–231.
- [3] H. Iwaniec, E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [4] A.A. Karatsuba, *The distribution of values of Dirichlet characters on additive sequences*, *Doklady Acad. Sci. USSR* **319** (1991), 543–545 (in Russian).
- [5] A.A. Karatsuba, *Basic analytic number theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [6] A. Sárközy, *On additive decompositions of the set of quadratic residues modulo  $p$* , *Acta Arith.* **155** (2012), 41–51.

- [7] I.D. Shkredov, *Sumsets in quadratic residues*, Acta Arith. **164** (2014), 221–243.
- [8] I.E. Shparlinski, *Additive decompositions of subgroups of finite fields*, SIAM J. Discr. Math. **27** (2013), 1870–1879.

**Addresses:** Simon R. Blackburn: Department of Mathematics, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, Surrey, TW20 0EX, UK;  
Sergei V. Konyagin: Steklov Mathematical Institute, 8, Gubkin Street, Moscow, 119991, Russia;  
Igor E. Shparlinski: Department of Pure Mathematics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052 Australia.

**E-mail:** s.blackburn@rhul.ac.uk, konyagin@mi.ras.ru, igor.shparlinski@unsw.edu.au

**Received:** 11 March 2014; **revised:** 6 May 2014