

## A SHORT REMARK ON CONSECUTIVE COINCIDENCES OF A CERTAIN MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTION

WINFRIED KOHNEN

**Abstract:** We study integral solutions  $n$  of the equation  $A(n+k) = A(n)$ , where  $A$  is a certain multiplicative function related to Jordan's totient function.

**Keywords:** multiplicative function, Jordan's totient function.

### 1. Introduction

If  $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  is a multiplicative number-theoretic function taking positive integral values, such as Euler's totient function, the divisor function or functions closely related, a quite intriguing problem is to study those  $n$  for which  $f(n) = f(n+1)$  or more generally  $f(n) = f(n+k)$  where  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  is fixed. If e.g.  $f(n) = \phi(n)$  is Euler's totient function, there has been quite a number of interesting results on this subject, cf. [4] and the literature given there. Though in general it seems quite difficult to find explicit solutions of  $\phi(n) = \phi(n+k)$ , one conjectures that there are always infinitely many [5]. So far this conjecture has not been proved in a single case. Similar assertions concern the divisor function  $\sigma(n)$  and in this connection analogous questions about perfect numbers or amicable numbers, cf. e.g. [3].

On the other hand, one may ask for simple and explicit examples of multiplicative functions  $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ , closely related to the classical ones, such that there are only finitely many  $n$  with  $f(n) = f(n+k)$ . In this short note we will construct such a function in a simple way. In fact, using Jordan's totient functions (which are simple generalizations of Euler's phi-function, the definition will be recalled in sect. 2), we will define a multiplicative function  $A : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  in a similar way as the classical ones are defined, however with the main differences that the Euler factor at the prime 3 has been slightly modified and also the product of the Euler

$p$ -factors for all primes  $p$  converges to a non-zero value. We shall prove that for each given odd  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , there are only finitely many  $n$  with  $A(n) = A(n+k)$ . Once the proper definition of  $A$  has been found, the proof is quite simple and only relies on the unequal parity of  $n$  and  $n+k$  and some elementary estimates.

## 2. Statement of result

We define  $A : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  by

$$A(n) := n^2 \prod_{p|n, p \neq 3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2}\right). \quad (1)$$

Then  $A$  clearly is multiplicative.

Recall the definition of the  $\ell$ -th Jordan totient function

$$J_\ell(n) := n^\ell \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^\ell}\right) \quad (n \in \mathbf{N})$$

[2, p. 46]. As is easy to see  $J_\ell(n)$  counts the number of  $\ell$ -tuples of positive integers all less or equal to  $n$  that form a coprime  $(\ell+1)$ -tuple together with  $n$ . Clearly  $J_1(n) = \phi(n)$ .

Regarding (1) we note that

$$n^2 \prod_{p|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2}\right) = \frac{J_4(n)}{J_2(n)}.$$

We remind the reader that  $J_\ell(n)$  is a useful and interesting number-theoretic function which for example (among other things) is demonstrated by the classical identity

$$\#Sp_m(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = n^{m^2} \prod_{\ell=1}^m J_{2\ell}(n)$$

(cf. [1]). Here as usual  $Sp_m \subset GL_{2m}$  denote the symplectic group of degree  $2m$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $k$  be a fixed odd positive integer. Then the equation  $A(n) = A(n+k)$  has only finitely many solutions  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .*

**Remark.** It would be interesting to investigate solutions of the equations  $J_\ell(n) = J_\ell(n+k)$ , for fixed  $\ell$  and  $k$ , in a similar way as was done in the case  $\ell=1$  for the Euler phi-function.

## 3. Proof of Theorem

We rewrite the equality  $A(n) = A(n+k)$  as

$$\frac{A(n)}{n^2} = \left(1 + \frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \frac{A(n+k)}{(n+k)^2}. \quad (2)$$

Let us first suppose that  $n$  is even. Then  $n + k$  is odd and from (1) and (2) we obtain

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{2^2}\right) \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \neq 3 \\ p \text{ odd}}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2}\right) = \left(1 + \frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \prod_{\substack{p|n+k \\ p \neq 3 \\ p \text{ odd}}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2}\right). \quad (3)$$

The left-hand side in (3) is bounded from below by  $1 + \frac{1}{2^2}$ . Hence we find that

$$1 + \frac{1}{2^2} < \left(1 + \frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \prod_{\substack{p|n+k \\ p \neq 3 \\ p \text{ odd}}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2}\right) < \left(1 + \frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \prod_{\substack{p \text{ odd} \\ p \neq 3}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2}\right)$$

and hence

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{2^2}\right)^2 < \left(1 + \frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \prod_{p \neq 3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2}\right) = \left(1 + \frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{3^2}} \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2}\right).$$

We have

$$\prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2}\right) = \frac{\prod_p (1 - \frac{1}{p^4})}{\prod_p (1 - \frac{1}{p^2})} = \frac{\zeta(2)}{\zeta(4)}.$$

Inserting the values

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}, \quad \zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$$

we therefore finally get

$$c < \left(1 + \frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \quad (4)$$

with

$$c := \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^2}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{3^2}\right) \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{15} = \frac{\pi^2}{8.64}.$$

Since  $c > 1$  we get a contradiction from (4) when  $n$  is large.

If  $n$  is odd, then  $n + k$  is even, and we can proceed as before with the roles of  $n$  and  $n + k$  interchanged. This proves our assertion.

## References

- [1] D. Andrica and M. Piticari, *On some extensions of Jordan's arithmetical functions*, Acta universitatis Apulensis (7) (2004).
- [2] T.M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Undergraduate texts in Mathematics, Springer 1976.
- [3] J. Bayless and T. Klyve, *Reciprocal sums as a knowledge metric: Theory, computation, and perfect numbers*, Amer. Math. Monthly **120**(9) (2013), 822–831.

- [4] J. Bayless and P. Kinlaw, *Consecutive coincidences of Euler's function*, Int. J. Number Theory **12** (2016), no. 4, 1011–1026.
- [5] S.W. Graham, J.J. Holt and C. Pomerance, *On the solutions to  $\phi(n) = \phi(n+k)$* , in: Number Theory in Progress, vol. 2 (Zakopane-Koscielisko, 1997), de Gruyter 1999, pp. 867–882.

**Address:** Winfried Kohnen: Universität Heidelberg, Mathematisches Institut, INF 205, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany.

**E-mail:** winfried@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de

**Received:** 21 March 2017; **revised:** 29 March 2017