

REMARKS ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE PRIMITIVE ROOTS OF A PRIME

SHANE CHERN

Abstract: Let \mathbb{F}_p be a finite field of size p where p is an odd prime. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ be a polynomial of positive degree k that is not a d -th power in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ for all $d \mid p-1$. Furthermore, we require that $f(x)$ and x are coprime. The main purpose of this paper is to give an estimate of the number of pairs $(\xi, \xi^\alpha f(\xi))$ such that both ξ and $\xi^\alpha f(\xi)$ are primitive roots of p where α is a given integer. This answers a question of Han and Zhang.

Keywords: primitive root, character sum, Weil bound.

1. Introduction

Let a and q be relatively prime integers, with $q \geq 1$. We know from the Euler–Fermat theorem that $a^{\phi(q)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$, where $\phi(q)$ is the Euler totient function. We say an integer f is the exponent of a modulo q if f is smallest positive integer such that $a^f \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. If $f = \phi(q)$, then a is called a primitive root of q . If q has a primitive root a , then the group of the reduced residue classes mod q is the cyclic group generated by the residue class \hat{a} . It is well-known that primitive roots exist only for the following moduli:

$$q = 1, 2, 4, p^\alpha, \text{ and } 2p^\alpha,$$

where p is an odd prime and $\alpha \geq 1$. The reader may refer to Chapter 10 of T.M. Apostol’s book [1] for detailed contents.

There has been a long history studying the distribution of the primitive roots of a prime. In a recent paper, D. Han and W. Zhang [3] considered the number of pairs $(\xi, m\xi^k + n\xi)$ such that both ξ and $m\xi^k + n\xi$ are primitive roots of an odd prime p where m , n and k are given integers with $k \neq 1$ and $(mn, p) = 1$. The reader may also find some descriptions of other interesting problems on primitive roots such as the Golomb’s conjecture in [3] and references therein. After presenting their main results, Han and Zhang proposed the following

Question 1.1. Let \mathbb{F}_p be a finite field of size p and $f(x)$ be an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$. Whether there exists a primitive element $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $f(\xi)$ is also a primitive element in \mathbb{F}_p ?

In this paper, we let $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ be a polynomial of positive degree k that is not a d -th power in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ for all $d \mid p-1$ with $d > 1$. Furthermore, we require that x does not divide $f(x)$. Let α be a given integer, we denote by $N(\alpha, f; p)$ the number of pairs $(\xi, \xi^\alpha f(\xi))$ such that both ξ and $\xi^\alpha f(\xi)$ are primitive roots of p . Our result is

Theorem 1.1. *It holds that*

$$N(\alpha, f; p) = (p-1 - R(f)) \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2 + \theta k 4^{\omega(p-1)} \sqrt{p} \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where $|\theta| < 1$, $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of n , $R(f)$ denotes the number of distinct zeros of $f(x)$ in \mathbb{F}_p , and $k = \deg f$.

Now if we take $\alpha = 0$ and $f(x) = x+1$, then we get the famous result on consecutive primitive roots obtained by J. Johnsen [4] and M. Szalay [5]. If we take

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = 1 \text{ and } f(x) = mx^{k-1} + n & \text{if } k > 1, \\ \alpha = k \text{ and } f(x) = nx^{1-k} + m & \text{if } k < 1, \end{cases}$$

where $(mn, p) = 1$, then we have $\deg f = |k-1|$ and $\xi^\alpha f(\xi) = m\xi^k + n\xi$. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that the asymptotic formula for the number of pairs $(\xi, m\xi^k + n\xi) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2$ such that both ξ and $m\xi^k + n\xi$ are primitive roots of p is

$$(p-1 - R(f)) \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2 + \theta |k-1| 4^{\omega(p-1)} \sqrt{p} \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2.$$

We should mention that there is a minor mistake in Han and Zhang's result. (However, this does not affect the existence of such pairs; see our Corollary 1.2.) In fact, they forgot to consider the zeros of $f(x)$ in \mathbb{F}_p . For example, if we choose $f(x) = x^{-1} + x = x^{-1}(x^2+1)$, then there are $1+(-1|p)$ distinct zeros of x^2+1 in \mathbb{F}_p where $(*|p)$ is the Legendre symbol. In this sense, the main term of $N(-1, x^2+1; p)$ (or their $N(-1, 1, 1, p)$) should be

$$(p-2 - (-1|p)) \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2,$$

while not $\phi^2(p-1)/(p-1)$.

From Theorem 1.1 we also immediately deduce the existence of pairs $(\xi, \xi^\alpha f(\xi))$ such that both ξ and $\xi^\alpha f(\xi)$ are primitive roots of p . Again, we write $k = \deg f$ where $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ is a polynomial that is not a d -th power in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ for all $d \mid p-1$.

Corollary 1.2. *Let p be an odd prime large enough, then for any given integers $k > 0$ and α , there exists a primitive root ξ of p such that $\xi^\alpha f(\xi)$ is also a primitive root of p . Moreover, as p goes to infinity, the number of such ξ also goes to infinity.*

2. Preliminary lemmas

We first introduce the indicator function of primitive roots.

Lemma 2.1 (L. Carlitz [2, Lemma 2]). *We have*

$$\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \sum_{d|p-1} \frac{\mu(d)}{\phi(d)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod p \\ \text{ord}\chi=d}} \chi(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is a primitive root of } p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Here μ is the Möbius function, and $\text{ord}\chi$ denotes the order of a Dirichlet character $\chi \bmod p$, that is, the smallest positive integer f such that $\chi^f = \chi_0$, the principal character modulo p .

Remark 2.1. We should mention that Carlitz proved more than Lemma 2.1. In fact, for an arbitrary finite field \mathbb{F}_q , where $q = p^\alpha$, Carlitz obtained the indicator function of numbers belonging to an exponent e , where $e \mid q-1$. Let $q-1 = ee'$. It follows that

$$\frac{\phi(e)}{q-1} \sum_{d|q-1} \frac{\mu(d')}{\phi(d')} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \text{ord}\chi=d}} \chi(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ belongs to the exponent } e, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $d' = d/\text{gcd}(d, e')$. To get Lemma 2.1, we only need to take $q = p$ and $e = p-1$.

The following famous Weil bound for character sums plays an important role in our proof.

Lemma 2.2 (A. Weil [7]). *Let χ be a non-principal Dirichlet character modulo p of order d . Suppose $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ is a polynomial of positive degree k that is not a d -th power in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$. Then we have*

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi(f(n)) \right| \leq (k-1)\sqrt{p}. \quad (2.2)$$

We also need the less-known extension of Weil bound obtained by D. Wan.

Lemma 2.3 (D. Wan [6, Corollary 2.3]). *Let $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_m$ be non-principal Dirichlet characters modulo p of orders d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m , respectively. Suppose $f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_m(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ are pairwise coprime polynomials of positive degrees k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m . Suppose also that $f_i(x)$ is not a d_i -th power in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then we have*

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(f_1(n))\chi_2(f_2(n))\cdots\chi_m(f_m(n)) \right| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m k_i - 1 \right) \sqrt{p}. \quad (2.3)$$

From Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

Lemma 2.4. *Let χ_1 be a Dirichlet character modulo p , and χ_2 be a non-principal Dirichlet character modulo p of order d . Suppose $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ is a polynomial of positive degree k that is not a d -th power in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$. We also require that x does not divide $f(x)$. Furthermore, let α be a given integer. Then we have*

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n^\alpha) \chi_2(f(n)) \right| \leq \begin{cases} (k-1)\sqrt{p} & \text{if } \chi_1^\alpha \text{ is the principal character,} \\ k\sqrt{p} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n^\alpha) \chi_2(f(n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1^\alpha(n) \chi_2(f(n)).$$

Now if χ_1^α is the principal character, then it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n^\alpha) \chi_2(f(n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_2(f(n)),$$

and we get the bound from Lemma 2.2. If χ_1^α is not the principal character, then the bound is obtained through a direct application of Lemma 2.3. \blacksquare

3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It follows by Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & N(\alpha, f; p) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2 \sum_{d_1 | p-1} \sum_{d_2 | p-1} \frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)} \frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p \\ \text{ord } \chi_1 = d_1}} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p \\ \text{ord } \chi_2 = d_2}} \chi_1(n) \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \\ &= (p-1 - R(f)) \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2 \sum_{\substack{d_1 | p-1 \\ d_1 > 1}} \frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p \\ \text{ord } \chi_1 = d_1}} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2 \sum_{\substack{d_2 | p-1 \\ d_2 > 1}} \frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p \\ \text{ord } \chi_2 = d_2}} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2 \sum_{\substack{d_1 | p-1 \\ d_1 > 1}} \sum_{\substack{d_2 | p-1 \\ d_2 > 1}} \frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)} \frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p \\ \text{ord } \chi_1 = d_1}} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p \\ \text{ord } \chi_2 = d_2}} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n) \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Claim 3.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{\substack{d_1|p-1 \\ d_1>1}} \frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p \\ \text{ord}\chi_1=d_1}} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n) = 0.$$

Proof. We deduce it directly from

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi(n) = 0,$$

if χ is not the principal character modulo p . ■

Claim 3.2. *We have*

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1 \\ d_2>1}} \frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p \\ \text{ord}\chi_2=d_2}} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \right| \leq (2^{\omega(p-1)} - 1)k\sqrt{p}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_2(n^\alpha) \chi_2(f(n)).$$

Now by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \right| \leq k\sqrt{p}.$$

Note also that

$$\sum_{\substack{d|p-1 \\ d>1}} |\mu(d)| = 2^{\omega(p-1)} - 1.$$

We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1 \\ d_2>1}} \frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p \\ \text{ord}\chi_2=d_2}} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \right| &\leq \sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1 \\ d_2>1}} \left| \frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \right| \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p \\ \text{ord}\chi_2=d_2}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1 \\ d_2>1}} \left| \frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \right| \phi(d_2) k\sqrt{p} \\ &= (2^{\omega(p-1)} - 1)k\sqrt{p}. \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

Claim 3.3. *We have*

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{d_1|p-1 \\ d_1>1}} \sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1 \\ d_2>1}} \frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)} \frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p \\ \text{ord}_{\chi_1}=d_1}} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p \\ \text{ord}_{\chi_2}=d_2}} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n) \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \right| \leq (2^{\omega(p-1)} - 1)^2 k \sqrt{p}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n) \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1 \chi_2^\alpha(n) \chi_2(f(n)).$$

Again by Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n) \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \right| \leq k \sqrt{p}.$$

We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{\substack{d_1|p-1 \\ d_1>1}} \sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1 \\ d_2>1}} \frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)} \frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p \\ \text{ord}_{\chi_1}=d_1}} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p \\ \text{ord}_{\chi_2}=d_2}} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n) \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{d_1|p-1 \\ d_1>1}} \sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1 \\ d_2>1}} \left| \frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)} \right| \left| \frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \right| \sum_{\substack{\chi_1 \bmod p \\ \text{ord}_{\chi_1}=d_1}} \sum_{\substack{\chi_2 \bmod p \\ \text{ord}_{\chi_2}=d_2}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \chi_1(n) \chi_2(n^\alpha f(n)) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{d_1|p-1 \\ d_1>1}} \sum_{\substack{d_2|p-1 \\ d_2>1}} \left| \frac{\mu(d_1)}{\phi(d_1)} \right| \left| \frac{\mu(d_2)}{\phi(d_2)} \right| \phi(d_1) \phi(d_2) k \sqrt{p} \\ & = (2^{\omega(p-1)} - 1)^2 k \sqrt{p}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

We conclude by combining Claims 3.1–3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| N(\alpha, f; p) - (p-1 - R(f)) \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2 \right| \\ & \leq \left((2^{\omega(p-1)} - 1) + (2^{\omega(p-1)} - 1)^2 \right) k \sqrt{p} \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2 \\ & < k 4^{\omega(p-1)} \sqrt{p} \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes our proof. \blacksquare

Proof of Corollary 1.2. We first estimate $4^{\omega(p-1)}$. In fact, we have the following

Proposition 3.4. *Let A and ϵ be given positive real numbers, then we have*

$$A^{\omega(n)} = o(n^\epsilon)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let p_n denote the n -th prime, then we have

$$\log n \geq \log \prod_{i=1}^{\omega(n)} p_i \gg \omega(n) \log \omega(n).$$

This leads to $\omega(n) = o(\log n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and thus the desired estimate follows immediately. \blacksquare

Now taking $A = 4$ and $\epsilon = 1/2$, then

$$\theta k 4^{\omega(p-1)} \sqrt{p} \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2 = o \left(\frac{\phi^2(p-1)}{p-1} \right).$$

On the other hand, we have $R(f) \leq k$. Thus

$$R(f) \left(\frac{\phi(p-1)}{p-1} \right)^2 = o \left(\frac{\phi^2(p-1)}{p-1} \right).$$

We therefore conclude

$$N(\alpha, f; p) = \frac{\phi^2(p-1)}{p-1} + o \left(\frac{\phi^2(p-1)}{p-1} \right).$$

At last, to show $N(\alpha, f; p) \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, we only need to estimate $\phi^2(n)/n$. Let $p_{\max}(n)$ be the largest prime factor of n and $\text{ord}_{\max}(n)$ be the largest positive integer α such that $p^\alpha \mid n$ and $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid n$ for some prime factor p of n . As $n \rightarrow \infty$, either $p_{\max}(n)$ or $\text{ord}_{\max}(n)$ goes to infinity. Finally, we note that $\phi^2(n)/n$ is multiplicative. Since

$$\frac{\phi^2(p^\alpha)}{p^\alpha} = p^{\alpha-2}(p-1)^2,$$

we conclude that $\phi^2(n)/n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This ends the proof of Corollary 1.2. \blacksquare

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to the referee for some helpful comments that improve this paper.

References

- [1] T.M. Apostol, *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976. xii+338 pp.
- [2] L. Carlitz, *Sets of primitive roots*, *Compositio Math.* **13** (1956), 65–70.
- [3] D. Han and W. Zhang, *On the existence of some special primitive roots mod p* , *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.)* **58(106)** (2015), no. 1, 59–66.
- [4] J. Johnsen, *On the distribution of powers in finite fields*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **251** (1971), 10–19.
- [5] M. Szalay, *On the distribution of the primitive roots of a prime*, *J. Number Theory* **7** (1975), 184–188.
- [6] D. Wan, *Generators and irreducible polynomials over finite fields*, *Math. Comp.* **66** (1997), no. 219, 1195–1212.
- [7] A. Weil, *On some exponential sums*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **34** (1948), 204–207.

Address: Shane Chern: Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA.

E-mail: shanechern@psu.edu; chenxiaohang92@gmail.com

Received: 2 July 2016; **revised:** 28 December 2016