

## COMPLETE $M$ -CONVEX ALGEBRAS WHOSE POSITIVE ELEMENTS ARE TOTALLY ORDERED

A. EL KINANI, M.A. NEJJARI & M. OUDADESS

**Abstract:** We show that unitary and complete  $l. m. c. a.$ 's endowed with certain orders are actually locally  $C^*$ -algebras or even reduce to the complex field.

**Keywords:** Positive elements,  $l. m. c. a.$ , locally  $C^*$ -algebra.

### 1. Introduction

The aim of this note is to extend to locally  $m$ -convex algebras the results of [3]. The matter is then to study the structure of unitary and complete  $l. m. c. a.$ 's whose positive elements are totally ordered; and this relatively to the orders defined by the cones  $A_+ = \{x \in \text{Sym}(A) : \text{Sp}x \subset R_+\}$  and  $P = \{x \in A : V(x) \subset R_+\}$ . In a locally  $C^*$ -algebra (which is of course hermitian), we always have  $A_+ = P$ . As a converse, we show that, in a complex unital hermitian and complete  $m$ -convex algebra, if  $A_+ \subset P$ , then it is a locally  $C^*$ -algebra (Theorem 3.1). It is also known that in a locally  $C^*$ -algebra, the cone of positive elements is partially ordered and  $A_+ = P$ . One may ask whether or not it can be totally ordered. In fact, the last condition appears to be restrictive as propositions 3.2 and 3.4 show.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(A, (|\cdot|_\lambda)_\lambda)$  be a complex unitary and complete locally  $m$ -convex algebra ( $l.m.c.a.$  in short). It is known that  $(A, (|\cdot|_\lambda)_\lambda)$  is the projective limit of the normed algebras  $(A_\lambda, \|\cdot\|_\lambda)$ , where  $A_\lambda = A/N_\lambda$  with  $N_\lambda = \{x \in A : |x|_\lambda = 0\}$ ; and  $\|x_\lambda\|_\lambda = |x|_\lambda$ ,  $x_\lambda \equiv x + N_\lambda$ . An element  $x$  of  $A$  is written  $x = (x_\lambda)_\lambda = (\pi_\lambda(x))_\lambda$ , where  $\pi_\lambda : A \rightarrow A_\lambda$  is the canonical surjection. The algebra  $(A, (|\cdot|_\lambda)_\lambda)$  is also the projective limit of the Banach algebras  $\widehat{A}_\lambda$ , the completions of  $A_\lambda$ 's. The norm in  $\widehat{A}_\lambda$  will also be denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_\lambda$ . The numerical range of an element

$a \in A$  is denoted by  $V(a)$ . Recall that  $V(a) = \bigcup_{\lambda} V(\widehat{A}_{\lambda}, a_{\lambda})$ , where  $V(\widehat{A}_{\lambda}, a_{\lambda})$  is the numerical range of  $a_{\lambda}$  in the Banach algebra  $\widehat{A}_{\lambda}$ . We consider the subsets  $P = \{x \in A : V(x) \subset R_+\}$  and  $H = \{x \in A : V(x) \subset R\}$ . The first subset is said to be the cone of positive elements, of  $A$ , relatively to the numerical range. Let  $(A, (|\cdot|_{\lambda})_{\lambda})$  be a *l.m.c.a.* endowed with an involution  $x \mapsto x^*$ . The set of all hermitian elements (i.e., all  $x$  such that  $x = x^*$ ) will be denoted by  $Sym(A)$ . We say that the algebra  $A$  is hermitian if the spectrum of every element of  $Sym(A)$  is real ([2]). It is said to be symmetric if  $e + xx^*$  is invertible, for every  $x$  in  $A$ . Put  $A_+ = \{x \in Sym(A), Spx \subset R_+\}$ , the set of all positive elements, of  $A$ , relatively to the involution. If  $A$  is symmetric then  $A_+$  is a convex cone. A locally  $C^*$ -algebra ([4]) is a complete *l.m.c.a.*  $(A, (|\cdot|_{\lambda})_{\lambda})$  endowed with an involution  $x \mapsto x^*$  such that, for every  $\lambda$ ,  $|x^*x|_{\lambda} = |x|_{\lambda}^2$ , for every  $x \in A$ . Concerning involutive *l. m. c. a.* 's, the reader is referred to [2]. In the sequel, all algebras are complex. The spectral radius will be denoted by  $\rho$  that is  $\rho(x) = \sup\{|z| : z \in Spx\}$ , where  $Spx$  is the spectrum of  $x$ .

### 3. Structure results

It is not always true that  $A_+ \subset P$  as the following result shows.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(A, (|\cdot|_{\lambda})_{\lambda})$  be an involutive commutative, unitary, complete and hermitian *l. m. c. a.* If  $A_+ \subset P$ , then  $A$  is a locally  $C^*$ -algebra for an equivalent family of semi-norms.*

**Proof.** Since the algebra is hermitian, we have  $Sym(A) = A_+ - A_+$  for  $h = (h^2 + \epsilon) - (h^2 - h + \epsilon)$ , for every  $h \in Sym(A)$ . On the other hand,  $A_+$  satisfies the following condition

$$(e + u)^{-1} \in A_+; \text{ for every } u \in A_+. \tag{1}$$

Now  $P_{\lambda} = \pi_{\lambda}(P) \subset \widehat{P}_{\lambda}$  where  $\widehat{P}_{\lambda} = \{a \in \widehat{A}_{\lambda} : V(\widehat{A}_{\lambda}, a) \subset R_+\}$ . But  $\widehat{P}_{\lambda}$  is normal; whence the normality of  $P$  follows and so the one of  $A_+$ . The convex cone  $\pi_{\lambda}(A_+)$ , in  $\widehat{A}_{\lambda}$ , is stable by product, normal and satisfies (1). By ([1], proposition 12, p. 258), we have  $\pi_{\lambda}(A_+) \subset \{u \in \widehat{A}_{\lambda} : Spu \subset R_+\}$ . The closed convex cone  $K_{\lambda} = \overline{\pi_{\lambda}(A_+)}$  satisfies also these properties. Put  $B_{\lambda} = K_{\lambda} - K_{\lambda}$ , a real subalgebra, of  $\widehat{A}_{\lambda}$ , generated by  $K_{\lambda}$ . It is closed by ([1], theorem 2, p. 260). We now show that the complex subalgebra  $B_{\lambda} + iB_{\lambda}$  is closed in  $\widehat{A}_{\lambda}$ . Using the normality of  $K_{\lambda}$ , one obtains that, for every  $\lambda$ , there is  $\beta_{\lambda} > 0$  such that, for every  $h \in B_{\lambda}$ ,  $\|h\|_{\lambda} \leq \beta_{\lambda} \|h + ik\|_{\lambda}$ , for every  $k \in B_{\lambda}$ . Whence the closedness of  $B_{\lambda} + iB_{\lambda}$ . But  $A_{\lambda} = \pi_{\lambda}(A) \subset B_{\lambda} + iB_{\lambda}$ . Hence  $B_{\lambda} + iB_{\lambda}$  is dense in  $\widehat{A}_{\lambda}$ . Whence  $B_{\lambda} + iB_{\lambda} = \widehat{A}_{\lambda}$ . By ([1], theorem 2, p. 260), we have  $Sp h \subset R$ , for every  $h \in B_{\lambda}$ . Moreover  $B_{\lambda} \cap iB_{\lambda} = \{0\}$ , due to the normality of  $K_{\lambda}$ . Hence a hermitian involution  $(h + ik)^* =$

$h - ik$ , is defined on  $\widehat{A}_\lambda$ . At last, again the normality of  $K_\lambda$  implies  $\|h\|_\lambda \leq \alpha \varrho(h)$ , for some  $\alpha > 0$  and every  $h$  in  $B_\lambda$ . We conclude by a result of Pták ([6]; (8,4) Theorem). ■

If the order is total, we do not need the commutativity and the conclusions show that this condition is very strong.

We begin with the order associated to  $A_+$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $(A, (|\cdot|_\lambda)_\lambda)$  be an involutive, unitary and complete l. m. c. a. If  $(A_+, \leq)$  is totally ordered, then  $A_+ = R_+$ .*

**Proof.** We first show that  $\varrho(x) < +\infty$ , for every  $x \in A_+$ . Since the order is total on  $A_+$ , we have  $x \leq n$  or  $n \leq x$ , for every  $n \in N^*$ . If  $Spx$  is unbounded, then  $n \leq x$ , for every  $n$ ; a contradiction with  $Spx \neq \emptyset$  ([5]). Suppose now that  $x \in A_+$  and  $0 \in Spx$ . For every  $\alpha > 0$ , one gets  $x \leq \alpha$ , for otherwise  $\alpha < 0$ . Whence  $Spx = \{0\}$  and hence  $x = 0$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in A_+$  and  $0 \notin Spx$ , put  $m = \inf \{\beta : \beta \in Spx\}$ . Then one has  $0 \in Sp(x - m)$  otherwise  $x - m$  would be invertible and  $\varrho((x - m)^{-1}) = +\infty$ ; a contradiction for  $(x - m)^{-1} \in A_+$ . Hence  $x = m \in A_+$ . ■

An interesting application of this proposition is contained in the following result.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $(A, (|\cdot|_\lambda)_\lambda)$  be an involutive, unitary and complete l. m. c. a. If  $(A_+, \leq)$  is totally ordered, then*

- (i)  $\{x \in Sym(A) : Spx \subset R\} = R$ ,
- (ii) If  $A$  is hermitian, then  $A = C$ .

**Proof.** (i) Every  $x \in Sym(A)$  with  $Spx \subset R$  can be written  $x = (x^2 + e) - (x^2 - x + e)$ . And then the assertion (ii) follows immediately from (i). ■

We now examine the order associated to  $P$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $(A, (|\cdot|_\lambda)_\lambda)$  be a unitary and complete l. m. c. a. If  $(P, \leq)$  is totally ordered, then  $P = R_+$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in P$  and  $r = \inf \{\alpha : \alpha \in V(x)\}$ . Then, for every  $n \in N^*$ , we have  $x \leq r + \frac{1}{n}$ ; otherwise there is  $n_0 \in N^*$  such that  $r + \frac{1}{n_0} < x$ , i.e.  $V(x - r - \frac{1}{n_0}) \subset R_+$ . Due to the definition of  $V(x - r - \frac{1}{n_0})$ , one immediately checks that  $r + \frac{1}{n_0} < \alpha$ , for every  $\alpha$  in  $V(x)$ . Hence  $r + \frac{1}{n_0} \leq r$ ; a contradiction. Now  $x \leq r + \frac{1}{n}$  means  $\beta \leq r + \frac{1}{n}$ , for every  $\beta$  in  $V(x)$ . So  $V(x) \subset [r, r + \frac{1}{n}]$ , for every  $n$ . And since  $V(x)$  is non void, we get  $V(x) = \{\beta_0\}$ . Whence  $x = \beta_0$ . ■

We have the following consequence.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $(A, (|\cdot|_\lambda)_\lambda)$  be a unitary and complete l. m. c. a. If  $(P, \leq)$  is totally ordered and  $A = H + iH$ , then  $A$  is isomorphic to  $C$ .*

**Proof.** Since every  $h \in H$  can be written  $h = \frac{1}{2} [(h + e)^2 - (h^2 + e)]$ , it is sufficient to show that  $h^2 \in P$ , for every  $h \in H$ . Let  $p, q \in H$  such that

$h^2 = p + iq$ . We have  $h_\lambda^2 = p_\lambda + iq_\lambda$  in  $\widehat{A}_\lambda$  for every  $\lambda$ , with  $p_\lambda, q_\lambda \in H_\lambda$ , where  $H_\lambda = \{u \in \widehat{A}_\lambda : V(\widehat{A}_\lambda, u) \subset R\}$ . The identity  $h_\lambda h_\lambda^2 = h_\lambda^2 h_\lambda$  yields  $h_\lambda p_\lambda - p_\lambda h_\lambda = i(q_\lambda h_\lambda - h_\lambda q_\lambda)$ . Whence  $h_\lambda p_\lambda - p_\lambda h_\lambda \in H_\lambda \cap iH_\lambda$  ([1], lemma 2, p. 206). Hence  $h_\lambda p_\lambda = p_\lambda h_\lambda$ ; and so  $p_\lambda q_\lambda = q_\lambda p_\lambda$ . We then have  $V(h_\lambda^2) \subset Co(Sph_\lambda^2) \subset R_+$ , where  $Co$  stands for the convex hull. The first inclusion is due to [1], lemma 4, p. 206. It follows that  $V(h^2) \subset R_+$ . ■

## References

- [1] F.F. Bonsall, J. Duncan, *Complete normed algebras*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Band 80, Springer Verlag (1973).
- [2] M. Fragoulopoulou, *Symmetric Topological \*-Algebras Applications*, Schriftenreihe der Mathematischen Instituts und der Graduiertenkollegs der Universität Munster, 3 Serie, Heft 9 (1993).
- [3] A. El Kinani, M. Oudadess, *Banach algebras whose positive elements are totally ordered*, Maghreb Math. Rev., Vol. 3, N° 2, December (1994), 117–120.
- [4] A. Inoue, *Locally C\*-algebras*, Mem. Faculty Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A, 25 (1971), 197–235.
- [5] E. A. Michael, *Locally multiplicatively-convex topological algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1952).
- [6] V. Ptàk, *Banach algebras with involution*, Manuscripta Math. 6 (1972), 245–290.

Address: Ecole Normale Supérieure, B.P.5118-Takaddoum, 10105 Rabat, Maroc

Received: 15 May 2001