

THE MULTIDIMENSIONAL DIRICHLET DIVISOR PROBLEM AND ZERO FREE REGIONS FOR THE RIEMANN ZETA FUNCTION

A. A. KARATSUBA

Abstract: We show a connection between the multidimensional Dirichlet divisor problem and the zero free region for the Riemann zeta function.

Keywords: Riemann zeta function, Dirichlet divisor problem.

Let $\tau_k(n)$ denote the number of positive integer solutions of the equation $n_1 n_2 \dots n_k = n$, $k \geq 1$. Let us define the function $R_k(x)$, $x > 1$, by the equality

$$R_k(x) = \sum_{1 < n \leq x} \tau_k(n) - xP_{k-1}(\log x),$$

where

$$xP_{k-1}(\log x) = \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \left(\zeta^k(s) \frac{x^s}{s} \right).$$

and $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta - function. L. Dirichlet proved in 1848 that $R_k(x) = O(x^{1-1/k} \log^{k-2} x)$.

In [4], on the basis of the method of trigonometric sums of I. M. Vinogradov (see [13], [14]), the estimate

$$|R_k(x)| \leq x^{1-\alpha(k)} (c_1 \log x)^k, \quad (1)$$

$$\alpha_k = ck^{-\frac{2}{3}} \quad (2)$$

with absolute positive constants c and c_1 was obtained.

Let us notice that the first result here is due to H. Richert [11] (after classical works by Dirichlet-Voronoi-Hardy-Littlewood-Landau), who proved the inequality:

$$|R_k(x)| \leq x^{1-\alpha(k)+\varepsilon}, \quad x \geq x_1(\varepsilon) > 0, \quad (3)$$

where ε is an arbitrary small fixed positive number. Afterwards this result was repeated by the author [5]. I was informed kindly about the paper [11] of H. Richert by Professor A. Ivić. The subsequent research on this theme—in particular computing the constant c from (2)—followed the scheme of [4] and [5] (cf. [6], [1], [2], [3], [10]). The possibility of obtaining estimate the type (1) or (3) was stated also in [15] (cf. [7], pp. 127-130).

The uniform estimates of the type (1) make it possible to obtain results about a boundary for the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function. Let us note that the estimate (3) and even the Lindelöf hypothesis cannot be successfully applied in order to obtain any bound for the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function.

The aim of the paper is to establish a connection between the estimates of the type (1) and the problem to give a boundary for the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function and to estimate zeta-sums as well. Results of this type were obtained by the author in [8], p. 112, Problem 1.

In this paper the standard notation will be used; in particular:

- $s = \sigma + it$, $i^2 = -1$, where σ and t are real numbers,
- $\Gamma(s)$ is the Euler gamma-function,
- c, c_1, c_2, \dots are absolute positive constants which may differ in the different statements,
- constants implied by the O -symbols are absolute,
- $P_{k-1}(x)$ denotes a polynomial of x of the degree $\leq k - 1$,
- $[x]$ = integral part of x ,
- $\{x\}$ = fractional part of x .

The following lemma is basic for all the paper.

Lemma. *Let $\alpha(y)$ be an arbitrary real function of the real variable y , $y \geq 2$, such that $y^{-1} \leq \alpha(y) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Let $c \geq 2$ and k be a natural number ≥ 2 . Suppose that for all $x \geq 2$ the estimate*

$$|R_k(x)| \leq x^{1-\alpha(k)}(c \log x)^k \quad (4)$$

holds. Then for all $t \geq 2$ and $\frac{3}{2} \geq \sigma > 1 - \alpha(k)$ the following inequality holds:

$$|\zeta(\sigma + it)| < 8ckt^{1/k}(\sigma + \alpha(k) - 1)^{-1-1/k}. \quad (5)$$

Proof. For $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ we have

$$\zeta^k(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_k(n)n^{-s} = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \left(1 + \sum_{1 < n \leq N} \tau_k(n)n^{-s} \right). \quad (6)$$

Using partial summation we find that

$$S_N = \sum_{1 < n \leq N} \tau_k(n)n^{-s} = s \int_1^N \mathbf{C}_k(u)u^{-s-1}du + \mathbf{C}_k(N)N^{-s}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\mathbb{C}_k(u) = \sum_{1 < n \leq u} \tau_k(n) = uP_{k-1}(\log u) + R_k(u) . \tag{8}$$

From (7) and (8) it follows that

$$S_N = s \int_1^N u^{-s} P_{k-1}(\log u) du + s \int_1^N R_k(u) u^{-s-1} du + \mathbb{C}_k(N) N^{-s} . \tag{9}$$

The polynomial $P_{k-1}(\log u)$ is of the form

$$P_{k-1}(\log u) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} b_j (\log u)^j = \frac{1}{u} \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \left(\zeta^k(s) \frac{u^s}{s} \right) .$$

The following estimates and transformations are obvious:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^N u^{-s} \log^j u du &= \int_0^{\log N} e^{-v(s-1)} v^j dv \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-v(s-1)} v^j dv + O(N^{-\sigma+1} \log^j N) \\ &= (s-1)^{-j-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-v} v^j dv + O(N^{-\sigma+1} \log^j N) \\ &= \Gamma(j+1) (s-1)^{-j-1} + O(N^{-\sigma+1} \log^j N) \\ &= j! (s-1)^{-j-1} + O(N^{-\sigma+1} \log^j N) , \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_1^N u^{-s} P_{k-1}(\log u) du = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} j! b_j (s-1)^{-j-1} + O\left(N^{-\sigma+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |b_j| \log^j N \right) ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_N &= s \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} j! b_j (s-1)^{-j-1} + s \int_1^N R_k(u) u^{-s-1} du \\ &\quad + O\left(N^{-\sigma+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |b_j| \log^j N \right) + \mathbb{C}_k(N) \cdot N^{-s} . \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Since $\sigma > 1$ and $\mathbb{C}_k(N) = O(N \log^k N)$, we can take the limit in (10) as $N \rightarrow +\infty$ and get the new formula instead of (6):

$$\zeta^k(s) = 1 + s \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} j! b_j (s-1)^{-j-1} + s \int_1^\infty R_k(u) u^{-s-1} du . \tag{11}$$

By (4), the last improper integral converges for $\sigma = \operatorname{Re} s > 1 - \alpha(k)$, i.e. (11) holds for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1 - \alpha(k)$ by the principle of analytic continuation. Let us estimate

the right hand side of (11) for $t \geq 2$ and $\sigma > 1 - \alpha(k)$. Estimating it and using (4) we obtain:

$$|\zeta(s)|^k \leq 1 + |s| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} j! |b_j| t^{-j-1} + |s| \int_1^\infty u^{-\sigma-\alpha(k)} (c \log u)^k du + |s| \int_1^2 |R_k(u)| u^{-\sigma-1} du . \tag{12}$$

Let us evaluate

$$J = \int_1^\infty u^{-\sigma-\alpha(k)} (c \log u)^k du .$$

Putting $\log u = v$ we successively obtain:

$$J = c^k \int_0^\infty e^{(-\sigma-\alpha(k))v+v} v^k dv = c^k (\sigma + \alpha(k) - 1)^{-k-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-w} w^k dw = c^k k! (\sigma + \alpha(k) - 1)^{-k-1} .$$

Next, since $C_k(u) = 0$ for $1 < u < 2$, we obtain for $1 < u < 2$:

$$R_k(u) = - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} b_j (\log u)^j$$

and

$$\int_1^2 |R_k(u)| u^{-\sigma-1} du \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |b_j| \int_1^2 u^{-\sigma-1} \log^j u du < \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |b_j| (j+1)^{-1} \log 2 . \tag{14}$$

Let us estimate $|b_j|$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$, from above. From (11) and the Cauchy residue theorem it follows that

$$j! b_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|s-1|=\frac{1}{2}} \zeta^k(s) (s-1)^j \frac{ds}{s} . \tag{15}$$

Let us use the fact that for $\text{Re } s > 0$ we have

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{1}{2} + s \int_1^\infty \varrho(u) u^{-s-1} du ,$$

where

$$\varrho(u) = \frac{1}{2} - \{u\} .$$

In the formula (15) we have $s = 1 + \frac{1}{2}e^{i\varphi}$, $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$, so $\text{Re } s \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and $\frac{1}{2} \leq |s| \leq \frac{3}{2}$. Consequently,

$$|\zeta(s)| \leq 2 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \int_1^\infty u^{-\frac{3}{2}} du = 4$$

and

$$j! |b_j| \leq 4^k 2^{-j} . \tag{16}$$

From (12)–(16), for $s = \sigma + it$, $\frac{3}{2} \geq \sigma > 1 - \alpha(k)$, $t \geq 2$ we successively obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta(s)|^k &\leq 1 + \sqrt{t^2 + 4} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 4^k \cdot 2^{-j} \cdot t^{-j-1} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{t^2 + 4} \cdot c^k \cdot k! \cdot (\sigma + \alpha(k) - 1)^{-k-1} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{t^2 + 4} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 4^k \cdot 2^{-j} \cdot (j!)^{-1} \cdot \log 2 \\ &< (8ck)^k \cdot t \cdot (\sigma + \alpha(k) - 1)^{-k-1} , \\ |\zeta(s)| &< 8ck \cdot t^{1/k} (\sigma + \alpha(k) - 1)^{-1-1/k} . \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. ■

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha(y)$ denote a nonincreasing function of y , $y \geq 2$. Suppose that for all $k \geq 2$ condition of the lemma are fulfilled.

Then in the region

$$\sigma \geq 1 - 0.5\alpha(\log t) , \quad t \geq e^2 ,$$

the following estimate holds:

$$|\zeta(\sigma + it)| \leq 16e^3 c \log^2 t . \tag{17}$$

Proof. Put in the Lemma $k = [\log t]$ and

$$t \geq e^2 , \quad \sigma \geq 1 - 0.5\alpha(k) . \tag{18}$$

Then we have the inequality:

$$\sigma + \alpha(k) - 1 \geq 0.5\alpha(k) \geq 0.5k^{-1} \geq 0.5(\log t)^{-1} .$$

Hence, from (5) we find that

$$|\zeta(\sigma + it)| < 8c \log t \cdot e^2 (2k)^{1/k} \cdot 2 \log t < 16e^3 c \log^2 t .$$

Since $\alpha(y)$ in a nonincreasing function, the theorem follows from the last inequality and (18). ■

Corollary. *If (4) holds for any $x \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, then the function $\alpha(y)$ tends to zero as $y \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proof. Let us assume the contrary. Since $\alpha(y) \geq y^{-1} > 0$ and $\alpha(y)$ in a nonincreasing function, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\alpha(k) \geq \alpha > 0$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$. Consequently, estimate (4) can be replaced by

$$|R_k(x)| \leq x^{1-\alpha}(c \log x)^k .$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that $\alpha < 0.5$. From the above theorem it follows that for $\sigma \geq 1 - 0.5\alpha$ the following estimate holds:

$$|\zeta(\sigma + it)| < 16e^3 c \log^2 t , \quad t \geq e^2 . \quad (19)$$

On the other hand, by the known Ω -theorems, for $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ the following relation holds:

$$\zeta(\sigma + it) = \Omega \left(\exp \left(c_1 \frac{(\log t)^{1-\sigma}}{(\log \log t)^\sigma} \right) \right) \quad (20)$$

(compare e.g. [9] or a weaker result in [15], p. 291 and [16]).

For $\sigma = 1 - 0.5\alpha$ the estimates (19) and (20) contradict each other. Therefore our assumption that $\alpha(y) \not\rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow +\infty$ is not true. The corollary is proved. ■

In what follows we assume that $\alpha(y) \rightarrow 0$ monotonically as $y \rightarrow +\infty$.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. Then $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ in the region:*

$$\sigma \geq 1 - c_2 \frac{\alpha(\log |t|)}{\log \log |t|} , \quad t \geq e^2 .$$

Proof. Assume that $t \geq e^2$. We use the following proposition (cf. [12], p. 57):

Let

$$\zeta(s) = O(e^{\varphi(t)})$$

as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ in the region

$$1 - \Theta(t) \leq \sigma \leq 2 , \quad t \geq e^2 ,$$

where $\varphi(t)$ and $\Theta^{-1}(t)$ positive nondecreasing functions such that $\Theta(t) \leq 1$, $\varphi(t) \rightarrow +\infty$, and

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{\Theta(t)} = o(e^{\varphi(t)}) .$$

Then $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ in the region

$$\sigma \geq 1 - c_1 \frac{\Theta(2t+1)}{\varphi(2t+1)}, \quad t \geq e^2.$$

Put here $\Theta(t) = \alpha(\log t)$, $\varphi(t) = 2 \log \log t$. Since $\alpha(y) \geq y^{-1}$, it follows that

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{\Theta(t)} \leq (2 \log \log t) \log t = o(e^{\varphi(t)}) = o(\log^2 t).$$

It is clear that $\varphi(t)$ and $\Theta^{-1}(t)$ are nondecreasing positive functions and $\Theta(t) < 1$. Therefore $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ in the region

$$\sigma \geq 1 - c_1 \frac{\alpha(\log(2t+1))}{2 \log \log(2t+1)}, \quad t \geq e^2.$$

From this the theorem follows. ■

Examples. Let us consider some examples of concrete functions $\alpha(k)$ in Theorem 2.

1. Let $\alpha(k) = k^{-\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ in the region

$$\sigma \geq 1 - \frac{c_2}{\log^\alpha |t| \log \log |t|}, \quad |t| \geq e^2.$$

In particular, putting $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$ we obtain the result of I. M. Vinogradov [13].

2. Let $\alpha(k) = (\log k)^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$. Then $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ in the region

$$\sigma \geq 1 - \frac{c_2}{(\log \log |t|)^{\alpha+1}}, \quad |t| \geq e^2.$$

3. Let $\alpha(k) = (\log \log k)^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$. Then $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ in the region

$$\sigma \geq 1 - \frac{c_2}{(\log \log |t|)(\log \log \log |t|)^\alpha}, \quad |t| \geq e^{e^e}.$$

From Theorem 1 estimates for short zeta-sum can be derived. For $t \geq e^2$ the following trigonometric sum

$$S(a) = \sum_{n \leq a} n^{it}, \quad 0 < a \leq t$$

is called a zeta - sum. The number a is called the length of $S(a)$. We say that the sum $S(b)$ is shorter than the sum $S(a)$ if $b < a$. The upper estimates for $|S(a)|$ are closely related to the estimates for $|\zeta(s)|$ (compare e.g. [8], [15]).

Theorem 3. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be fulfilled. Then the following estimate for $|S(a)|$ holds:*

$$|S(a)| \leq c_1 a^{1-0.5\alpha(\log t)} (\log t)^3 . \quad (21)$$

Proof. Using the inversion formula (see e.g. [8], p. 75, [15], p. 347) we obtain

$$S(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} \zeta(w+it) \frac{a^w}{w} dw + O\left(\frac{a^b}{T(b-1)}\right) + O\left(\frac{a \log a}{T}\right) .$$

where $2 \geq b > 1$, $T \geq 1$ and the constants implied by the O -symbols are absolute. Set here

$$b = 1 + (\log a)^{-1} . \quad a \geq e^2 . \quad T = 0.5t .$$

We obtain

$$S(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} \zeta(w+it) \frac{a^w}{w} dw + O\left(\frac{a \log a}{T}\right) .$$

Consider the rectangular Γ with the vertices $b \pm iT$, $u \pm iT$, where

$$u = 1 - 0.5\alpha(\log t) .$$

Using the Cauchy residue theorem we find that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \zeta(w+it) \frac{a^w}{w} dw = 0 .$$

Consequently,

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} \zeta(w+it) \frac{a^w}{w} dw \right| \leq J_1 + J_2 + J_3 . \quad (22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{-T}^T \zeta(u+i(v+t)) \frac{a^{u+iv}}{u+iv} dv \right| , \\ J_2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_u^b \zeta(\sigma+i(T+t)) \frac{a^{\sigma+iT}}{\sigma+iT} d\sigma \right| , \\ J_3 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_u^b \zeta(\sigma+i(-T+t)) \frac{a^{\sigma-iT}}{\sigma-iT} d\sigma \right| . \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate J_1, J_2 and J_3 from above. Applying (17) to $|\zeta(s)|$ we obtain:

$$J_1 = O\left((\log^2 t) \int_0^T \frac{a^u dv}{\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}}\right) = O(a^u \log^3 t) .$$

$$J_2 = O\left((\log^2 t) \int_0^b \frac{a^\sigma d\sigma}{T}\right) = O\left(\frac{a}{T} \log^2 t\right) .$$

$$J_3 = O\left(\frac{a}{T} \log^2 T\right) .$$

From (22) we find that

$$S(a) = O(a^u \log^3 t) + O\left(\frac{a}{T} \log^2 t\right) = O(a^u \log^3 t) .$$

The theorem is proved. ■

Remarks. 1. The estimate (21) is non-trivial if

$$a > \exp\left(\frac{6 \log \log t + 2 \log c_1}{\alpha(\log t)}\right) .$$

From this it follows that the estimates for $S(a)$ obtained in this way are of any value only if

$$\alpha(k) \geq \frac{c_2 \log k}{k} .$$

Let us note that in the classical Dirichlet theorem we have $\alpha(k) = 1/k$ (compare e.g. [12]: pp. 313–314).

2. Let $\alpha(k) = k^{-\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then (21) is of the form:

$$|S(a)| \leq c_1 a^{1-0.5(\log t)^{-\alpha}} \log^3 t = a\Delta .$$

$$\Delta = c_1 a^{-0.5(\log t)^{-\alpha}} \log^3 t .$$

Putting $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$ we obtain

$$\Delta = c_1 \exp\left(-0.5 \frac{\log a}{(\log t)^{2/3}}\right) \log^3 t . \tag{23}$$

The known estimate of I. M. Vinogradov is of the form:

$$|S(a)| \leq a\Delta_1 .$$

$$\Delta_1 = c_1 \exp\left(-c_2 \frac{\log^3 a}{\log^2 t}\right) . \tag{24}$$

Comparing the estimates (23) and (24) we can easily see that for all a the estimate (24) is the better one.

Let us finally note that the estimate (23) is nontrivial for

$$a \geq \exp(c_3(\log^{2/3} t)(\log \log t)) ,$$

and the estimate (24) is nontrivial for

$$a \geq \exp(c_1(\log t)^{2/3}) .$$

References

- [1] Zh. Edgorov, *Asymptotic behaviour of a sum of products of the divisor function*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Uz. SSR **10** (1973), 3–5.
- [2] A. Fujii, *On the problem of divisors*, Acta Arith. **31** (1976), 355–360.
- [3] A. Ivić, *The Riemann Zeta-Function*, Wiley, New York 1985.
- [4] A. A. Karatsuba, *On the uniform estimate of the remainder term in the problem of Dirichlet divisors*, Math. USSR-Izv. **6** (1972), 467–475.
- [5] A. A. Karatsuba, *The estimates for trigonometric sums by Vinogradov's method and some applications*, Proc. Steklov Inst Mat. **112** (1973), 251–265.
- [6] A. A. Karatsuba, *The Dirichlet divisor problem in number fields*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **13** (1972), 697–698.
- [7] A. A. Karatsuba, *Complex analysis in number theory*, CRC Press, 1995.
- [8] A. A. Karatsuba, *Principles of analytic number theory*, Nauka, Moscow 1983, 2nd ed.
- [9] H. L. Montgomery, *Extreme values of the Riemann zeta-function*, Comment. Math. Helv. **52** (1977), 511–518.
- [10] E. J. Panteleeva, *Dirichlet divisors in number fields*, Math. Notes **44** (1998) 750–757.
- [11] H. E. Richert, *Einführung in die Theorie der starken Rieszischen Summierbarkeit von Dirichletreihen*. Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math.- Phys. Kl. II (1960), 17–75.
- [12] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1986.
- [13] I. M. Vinogradov, *A new estimate of the function $\zeta(1+it)$* , Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **22** (1958), 161–164.
- [14] I. M. Vinogradov, *Selected works*, Izd. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow 1952, and Springer, Berlin 1985.
- [15] S. M. Voronin and A. A. Karatsuba, *The Riemann zeta-function*, Fizmatlit, Moscow 1994, and Walter de Gruyter, Berlin 1992.
- [16] S. M. Voronin, *On Ω -theorems in the theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, Math. USSR-Izv. **32** (1989), 429–442.