

## Some inequalities for weighted and integral means of operator convex functions

Silvestru Sever Dragomir

(Received February 5, 2020)

**Abstract.** Let  $f$  be an operator convex function on  $I$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ , the convex set of selfadjoint operators with spectra in  $I$ . If  $A \neq B$  and  $f$ , as an operator function, is Gâteaux differentiable on

$$[A, B] := \{(1-t)A + tB \mid t \in [0, 1]\},$$

while  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is Lebesgue integrable satisfying the condition

$$0 \leq \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \leq \int_0^1 p(s) ds \text{ for all } \tau \in [0, 1]$$

and symmetric, namely  $p(1-t) = p(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 p(\tau) f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau - \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)]. \end{aligned}$$

Some particular examples of interest are also given.

*AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 47A99.

*Key words and phrases.* Operator convex functions, integral inequalities, Hermite-Hadamard inequality, Féjér's inequalities.

### §1. Introduction

A real valued continuous function  $f$  on an interval  $I$  is said to be *operator convex (operator concave)* on  $I$  if

$$(1.1) \quad f((1-\lambda)A + \lambda B) \leq (\geq) (1-\lambda)f(A) + \lambda f(B)$$

in the operator order, for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and for every selfadjoint operator  $A$  and  $B$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  whose spectra are contained in  $I$ . Notice that a function  $f$  is operator concave if  $-f$  is operator convex.

A real valued continuous function  $f$  on an interval  $I$  is said to be *operator monotone* if it is monotone with respect to the operator order, i.e.,  $A \leq B$  with  $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$  imply  $f(A) \leq f(B)$ .

For some fundamental results on operator convex (operator concave) and operator monotone functions, see [9] and the references therein.

As examples of such functions, we note that  $f(t) = t^r$  is operator monotone on  $[0, \infty)$  if and only if  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ . The function  $f(t) = t^r$  is operator convex on  $(0, \infty)$  if either  $1 \leq r \leq 2$  or  $-1 \leq r \leq 0$  and is operator concave on  $(0, \infty)$  if  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ . The logarithmic function  $f(t) = \ln t$  is operator monotone and operator concave on  $(0, \infty)$ . The entropy function  $f(t) = -t \ln t$  is operator concave on  $(0, \infty)$ . The exponential function  $f(t) = e^t$  is neither operator convex nor operator monotone.

In [6] we obtained among others the following Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator convex functions  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(1.2) \quad f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq \int_0^1 f((1-s)A + sB) ds \leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2},$$

where  $A, B$  are selfadjoint operators with spectra included in  $I$ .

For two distinct operators  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$  we consider the segment of selfadjoint operators

$$[A, B] := \{(1-t)A + tB \mid t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

We observe that  $A, B \in [A, B]$  and  $[A, B] \subset \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ .

A continuous function  $g : \mathcal{SA}_I(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  is said to be *Gâteaux differentiable* in  $A \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$  along the direction  $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  if the following limit exists in the strong topology of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$

$$(1.3) \quad \nabla g_A(B) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(A + sB) - g(A)}{s} \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

If the limit (1.3) exists for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ , then we say that  $f$  is *Gâteaux differentiable* in  $A$  and we can write  $g \in \mathcal{G}(A)$ . If this is true for any  $A$  in a subset  $\mathcal{S}$  from  $\mathcal{SA}_I(H)$  we write that  $g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S})$ .

In the recent paper [8], we obtained the following operator *Féjer's type inequalities*:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f$  be an operator convex function on  $I$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ , with  $A \neq B$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$  and  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is Lebesgue integrable

and symmetric, namely  $p(1 - t) = p(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$(1.4) \quad 0 \leq \int_0^1 p(t) f((1 - t)A + tB) dt - \left( \int_0^1 p(t) dt \right) f\left(\frac{A + B}{2}\right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| p(t) dt \right) [\nabla f_B(B - A) - \nabla f_A(B - A)].$$

In particular, for  $p \equiv 1$  we get

$$(1.5) \quad 0 \leq \int_0^1 f((1 - t)A + tB) dt - f\left(\frac{A + B}{2}\right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{8} [\nabla f_B(B - A) - \nabla f_A(B - A)].$$

We also have:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f$  be an operator convex function on  $I$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ , with  $A \neq B$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$  and  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is Lebesgue integrable and symmetric, namely  $p(1 - t) = p(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$(1.6) \quad 0 \leq \left( \int_0^1 p(t) dt \right) \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 p(t) f((1 - t)A + tB) dt \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) p(t) dt [\nabla f_B(B - A) - \nabla f_A(B - A)].$$

In particular, for  $p \equiv 1$  we get

$$(1.7) \quad 0 \leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1 - t)A + tB) dt \\ \leq \frac{1}{8} [\nabla f_B(B - A) - \nabla f_A(B - A)].$$

For recent inequalities for operator convex functions see [1]-[7] and [10]-[20].

Motivated by the above results, we establish in this paper some upper and lower bounds in the operator order for the difference

$$\int_0^1 p(\tau) f((1 - \tau)A + \tau B) d\tau - \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 f((1 - \tau)A + \tau B) d\tau$$

in the case when the operator convex function  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable as a function of selfadjoint operators. Two particular examples of interest for  $f(x) = -\ln x$  and  $f(x) = x^{-1}$  are also given.

## §2. Some preliminary facts

Let  $f$  be an operator convex function on  $I$ . For  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ , the class of all selfadjoint operators with spectra in  $I$ , we consider the auxiliary function  $\varphi_{(A,B)} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$  defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) := f((1-t)A + tB).$$

For  $x \in H$  we can also consider the auxiliary function  $\varphi_{(A,B);x} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi_{(A,B);x}(t) := \left\langle \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)x, x \right\rangle = \langle f((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle.$$

We have the following basic fact:

**Lemma 3.** Let  $f$  be an operator convex function on  $I$ . For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ ,  $\varphi_{(A,B)}$  is well defined and convex in the operator order. For any  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$  and  $x \in H$  the function  $\varphi_{(A,B);x}$  is convex in the usual sense on  $[0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* If  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$  the convex combination  $(1-t)A + tB$  is a selfadjoint operator with the spectrum in  $I$  showing that  $\mathcal{SA}_I(H)$  is convex in the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  of all bounded linear operators on  $H$ . By the continuous functional calculus of selfadjoint operator we also conclude that  $f((1-t)A + tB)$  is a selfadjoint operator in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ .

Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ . If  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  with  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{(A,B)}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) &:= f((1 - \alpha t_1 - \beta t_2)A + (\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2)B) \\ &= f((\alpha + \beta - \alpha t_1 - \beta t_2)A + (\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2)B) \\ &= f(\alpha[(1 - t_1)A + t_1B] + \beta[(1 - t_2)A + t_2B]) \\ &\leq \alpha f((1 - t_1)A + t_1B) + \beta f((1 - t_2)A + t_2B) \\ &= \alpha \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_1) + \beta \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_2), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the convexity  $\varphi_{(A,B)}$  in the operator order.

Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$  and  $x \in H$ . If  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  with  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{(A,B);x}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) &= \left\langle \varphi_{(A,B)}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2)x, x \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\langle \left[ \alpha \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_1) + \beta \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_2) \right] x, x \right\rangle \\ &= \alpha \left\langle \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_1)x, x \right\rangle + \beta \left\langle \varphi_{(A,B)}(t_2)x, x \right\rangle \\ &= \alpha \varphi_{(A,B);x}(t_1) + \beta \varphi_{(A,B);x}(t_2), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the convexity of  $\varphi_{(A,B);x}$  on  $[0, 1]$ . □

**Lemma 4.** Let  $f$  be an operator convex function on  $I$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ , with  $A \neq B$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$ , then the auxiliary function  $\varphi_{(A,B)}$  is differentiable on  $(0, 1)$  and

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) = \nabla f_{(1-t)A+tB}(B-A).$$

Also

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) = \nabla f_A(B-A)$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) = \nabla f_B(B-A).$$

*Proof.* Let  $t \in (0, 1)$  and  $h \neq 0$  small enough such that  $t+h \in (0, 1)$ . Then

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\varphi_{(A,B)}(t+h) - \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)}{h} \\ &= \frac{f((1-t-h)A + (t+h)B) - f((1-t)A + tB)}{h} \\ &= \frac{f((1-t)A + tB + h(B-A)) - f((1-t)A + tB)}{h}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$ , hence by taking the limit over  $h \rightarrow 0$  in (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi_{(A,B)}(t+h) - \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f((1-t)A + tB + h(B-A)) - f((1-t)A + tB)}{h} \\ &= \nabla f_{(1-t)A+tB}(B-A), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.7).

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\varphi_{(A,B)}(h) - \varphi_{(A,B)}(0)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f((1-h)A + hB) - f(A)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(A + h(B-A)) - f(A)}{h} \\ &= \nabla f_A(B-A) \end{aligned}$$

since  $f$  is assumed to be Gâteaux differentiable in  $A$ . This proves (2.4).

The equality (2.5) follows in a similar way.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** Let  $f$  be an operator convex function on  $I$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ , with  $A \neq B$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$ , then for  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla f_{(1-t_1)A+t_1B}(B-A) \leq \nabla f_{(1-t_2)A+t_2B}(B-A)$$

in the operator order.

Moreover

$$(2.8) \quad \nabla f_A(B-A) \leq \nabla f_{(1-t_1)A+t_1B}(B-A)$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \nabla f_{(1-t_2)A+t_2B}(B-A) \leq \nabla f_B(B-A).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in H$ . The auxiliary function  $\varphi_{(A,B);x}$  is convex in the usual sense on  $[0, 1]$  and differentiable on  $(0, 1)$  and for  $t \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_{(A,B);x}(t) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi_{(A,B);x}(t+h) - \varphi_{(A,B);x}(t)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\langle \frac{\varphi_{(A,B)}(t+h) - \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)}{h} x, x \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi_{(A,B)}(t+h) - \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)}{h} x, x \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla f_{(1-t)A+tB}(B-A) x, x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since for  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$  we have by the gradient inequality for scalar convex functions that

$$\varphi'_{(A,B);x}(t_1) \leq \varphi'_{(A,B);x}(t_2),$$

then we get

$$(2.10) \quad \langle \nabla f_{(1-t_1)A+t_1B}(B-A) x, x \rangle \leq \langle \nabla f_{(1-t_2)A+t_2B}(B-A) x, x \rangle$$

for all  $x \in H$ , which is equivalent to the inequality (2.7) in the operator order.

Let  $0 < t_1 < 1$ . By the gradient inequality for scalar convex functions we also have

$$\varphi'_{(A,B);x}(0+) \leq \varphi'_{(A,B);x}(t_1),$$

which, as above, implies that

$$\langle \nabla f_A(B-A) x, x \rangle \leq \langle \nabla f_{(1-t_1)A+t_1B}(B-A) x, x \rangle$$

for all  $x \in H$ , that is equivalent to the operator inequality (2.8).

The inequality (2.9) follows in a similar way.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** Let  $f$  be an operator convex function on  $I$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ , with  $A \neq B$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$ , then for all  $t \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$(2.11) \quad \nabla f_A(B-A) \leq \nabla f_{(1-t)A+tB}(B-A) \leq \nabla f_B(B-A).$$

§3. Main results

We start to the following identity that is of interest in itself as well:

**Lemma 7.** Let  $f$  be an operator convex function on  $I$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ , with  $A \neq B$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$  and  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a Lebesgue integrable function, then we have the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad & \int_0^1 g(\tau) \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^1 g(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\
 &= \int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 g(s) ds \right) \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\
 &+ \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) (\tau - 1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Integrating by parts in the Bochner's integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^\tau t \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt + \int_\tau^1 (t - 1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
 &= \tau \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) - \int_0^\tau \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt - (\tau - 1) \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) - \int_\tau^1 \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
 &= \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) - \int_0^1 \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt
 \end{aligned}$$

that holds for all  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ .

If we multiply this identity by  $g(\tau)$  and integrate over  $\tau$  in  $[0, 1]$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad & \int_0^1 g(\tau) \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^1 g(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 g(\tau) \left( \int_0^\tau t \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) d\tau + \int_0^1 g(\tau) \left( \int_\tau^1 (t - 1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the theory of Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral for scalar-valued functions, see for instance [3, Theorem 1] or [14, Theorem 9], if  $u$  is absolutely continuous in  $[0, 1]$  and  $h$  is a bounded Borel measurable function on  $[0, 1]$ , then the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral reduces to the usual Lebesgue integral, namely

$$(3.3) \quad \int_0^1 h(s) du(s) = \int_0^1 h(s) u'(s) ds,$$

where  $u'(s)$  is the a.e. derivative of  $u$ .

Since  $u(\tau) := \int_0^\tau g(s) ds$  is absolutely continuous on  $[0, 1]$ , then by (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau t \langle \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t)x, x \rangle dt \right) d \left( \int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) \\ &= \int_0^1 g(\tau) \left( \int_0^\tau t \langle \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t)x, x \rangle dt \right) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in H$ , which gives the operator identity

$$\int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau t \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) d \left( \int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) = \int_0^1 g(\tau) \left( \int_0^\tau t \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) d\tau.$$

Using integration by parts, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad & \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau t \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) d \left( \int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) \\ &= \left( \int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) \left( \int_0^\tau t \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) \Big|_0^1 \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \left( \int_0^1 g(s) ds \right) \left( \int_0^1 t \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^1 g(s) ds - \int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 g(s) ds \right) \tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad & \int_0^1 g(\tau) \left( \int_\tau^1 (t-1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 (t-1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) d \left( \int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) \\ &= \left( \int_\tau^1 (t-1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) dt \right) \left( \int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) \Big|_0^1 \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) (\tau-1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right) (\tau-1) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$



which proves the identity in (3.1).  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** Let  $f$  be an operator convex function on  $I$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ , with  $A \neq B$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$  and  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Lebesgue integrable function such that

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \leq \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \leq \int_0^1 p(s) ds \text{ for all } \tau \in [0, 1],$$

then we have the inequalities

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau \nabla f_A(B - A) \\ & - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau \nabla f_B(B - A) \\ & \leq \int_0^1 p(\tau) f((1 - \tau)A + \tau B) d\tau - \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 f((1 - \tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau \nabla f_B(B - A) \\ & - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau \nabla f_A(B - A) \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (1 - \tau) \left( \int_0^\tau [p(1 - s) \nabla f_B(B - A) - p(s) \nabla f_A(B - A)] ds \right) d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^1 p(\tau) f((1 - \tau)A + \tau B) d\tau - \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 f((1 - \tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^1 (1 - \tau) \left( \int_0^\tau [p(1 - s) \nabla f_A(B - A) - p(s) \nabla f_B(B - A)] ds \right) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We have for  $\varphi_{(A,B)}$  and  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a Lebesgue integrable function that

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 p(\tau) \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\ & = \int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) (\tau) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\ & - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1 - \tau) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By the properties of  $\varphi_{(A,B)}$  from the above section, we have in the operator order that

$$(3.10) \quad \tau\varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \geq \tau\varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) \geq \tau\varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+)$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad (1-\tau)\varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \geq (1-\tau)\varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) \geq (1-\tau)\varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+)$$

for all  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ .

From

$$\int_0^\tau p(s) ds \leq \int_0^1 p(s) ds = \int_0^\tau p(s) ds + \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds,$$

we get that  $\int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \geq 0$  for all  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ .

From (3.10) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau\varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) &\geq \left( \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau\varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) \\ &\geq \left( \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau\varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) \end{aligned}$$

and from (3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} - \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau)\varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) &\leq - \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau)\varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) \\ &\leq - \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau)\varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \end{aligned}$$

all  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ .

If we integrate these inequalities over  $\tau \in [0, 1]$  and add the obtained results, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau\varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau\varphi'_{(A,B)}(0) \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau\varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau)\varphi'_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau\varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) \\ &- \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau\varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-). \end{aligned}$$

By using the equality (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad & \int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) \\
 & - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \\
 & \leq \int_0^1 p(\tau) \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\
 & \leq \int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \\
 & - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+),
 \end{aligned}$$

and since  $\varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) = \nabla f_B(B-A)$  and  $\varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) = \nabla f_B(B-A)$  hence we obtain (3.7).

If we change the variable  $y = 1 - \tau$ , then we have

$$\int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau = \int_0^1 \left( \int_{1-y}^1 p(s) ds \right) (1-y) dy.$$

Also by the change of variable  $u = 1 - s$ , we get

$$\int_{1-y}^1 p(s) ds = \int_0^y p(1-u) du,$$

which implies that

$$\int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau = \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(1-s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \\
 & - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) \\
 & = \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(1-s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \\
 & - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) \\
 & = \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left( \int_0^\tau [p(1-s) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) - p(s) \varphi'_{(A,B)+}(0+)] ds \right) d\tau
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^1 p(s) ds \right) \tau d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) \\
& - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \\
& = \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(1-s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) \\
& - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \\
& = \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \left( \int_0^\tau [p(1-s) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) - p(s) \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-)] ds \right) d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

and by (3.12) we get (3.8).  $\square$

We say that the function  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is symmetric on  $[0, 1]$  if

$$p(1-t) = p(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1].$$

**Corollary 9.** Let  $f$  be an operator convex function on  $I$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ , with  $A \neq B$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$  and  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a Lebesgue integrable and symmetric function such that the condition (3.6) holds, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad & -\frac{1}{2} [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)] \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
& \times [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 p(\tau) f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\
& - \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
& \times [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)].
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since  $p$  is symmetric, then  $p(1-s) = p(s)$  for all  $s \in [0, 1]$  and by

(3.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \left[ \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) - \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) \right] \\
 & \leq \int_0^1 p(\tau) \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 \varphi_{(A,B)}(\tau) d\tau \\
 & \leq \left[ \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) - \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) \right] \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the second and third inequalities (3.13).

Since  $0 \leq \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \leq \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau$ , hence

$$\int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \leq \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau \int_0^1 (1-\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau$$

and the last part of (3.13) is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** If the function  $p$  is nonnegative and symmetric then the inequality (3.13) holds true.

**Remark 2.** It is well known that, if  $f$  is a  $C^1$ -function defined on an open interval, then the operator function  $f(X)$  is Fréchet differentiable and the derivative  $Df(A)(B)$  equals the Gâteaux derivative  $\nabla f_A(B)$ . So for operator convex functions  $f$  that are of class  $C^1$  on  $I$  and  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Lebesgue integrable and symmetric weight on  $[0, 1]$  such that the condition (3.6) holds, we have the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.14) \quad & -\frac{1}{2} [Df(B)(B-A) - Df(A)(B-A)] \\
 & \leq -\frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
 & \quad \times [Df(B)(B-A) - Df(A)(B-A)] \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 p(\tau) f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\
 & \quad - \int_0^1 f((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
 & \quad \times [Df(B)(B-A) - Df(A)(B-A)] \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} [Df(B)(B-A) - Df(A)(B-A)]
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ .

If we consider the weight  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $p(s) = |s - \frac{1}{2}|$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau \left| s - \frac{1}{2} \right| ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^\tau \left| s - \frac{1}{2} \right| ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau \\
&+ \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left( \int_0^\tau \left| s - \frac{1}{2} \right| ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^\tau \left( \frac{1}{2} - s \right) ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau \\
&+ \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} - s \right) ds + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\tau \left( s - \frac{1}{2} \right) ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2}\tau - \frac{\tau^2}{2} \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau \\
&+ \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} - s \right) ds + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\tau \left( s - \frac{1}{2} \right) ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2}\tau - \frac{\tau^2}{2} \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - \tau) \tau (1 - \tau) d\tau \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - \tau)^2 \tau d\tau = \frac{11}{384}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} - s \right) ds + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\tau \left( s - \frac{1}{2} \right) ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left( \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \tau - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1 - \tau) d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left( \tau - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 (1 - \tau) d\tau = \frac{7}{384}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau = \frac{3}{64}.$$

Since  $\int_0^1 \left| \tau - \frac{1}{2} \right| d\tau = \frac{1}{4}$ , hence

$$\frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau = \frac{3}{16}.$$

Utilising (3.13) for symmetric weight  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $p(s) = \left| s - \frac{1}{2} \right|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.15) \quad & -\frac{3}{16} [\nabla f_B(B - A) - \nabla f_A(B - A)] \\ & \leq 4 \int_0^1 \left| \tau - \frac{1}{2} \right| f((1 - \tau)A + \tau B) d\tau - \int_0^1 f((1 - \tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{3}{16} [\nabla f_B(B - A) - \nabla f_A(B - A)], \end{aligned}$$

where  $f$  is an operator convex function on  $I$ ,  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ , with  $A \neq B$  and  $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$ .

Consider now the symmetric function  $p(s) = (1 - s)s$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$\int_0^\tau p(s) ds = \int_0^\tau (1 - s)s ds = -\frac{1}{6}\tau^2(2\tau - 3), \quad \tau \in [0, 1]$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau = -\frac{1}{6} \int_0^1 \tau^2(2\tau - 3)(1 - \tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{40}.$$

Also

$$\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^1 (1 - \tau)\tau d\tau = \frac{1}{6}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1 - \tau) d\tau = \frac{3}{20}$$

and by (3.13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.16) \quad & -\frac{3}{20} [\nabla f_B(B - A) - \nabla f_A(B - A)] \\ & \leq 6 \int_0^1 (1 - \tau)\tau f((1 - \tau)A + \tau B) d\tau - \int_0^1 f((1 - \tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{3}{20} [\nabla f_B(B - A) - \nabla f_A(B - A)], \end{aligned}$$

where  $f$  is an operator convex function on  $I$ ,  $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ , with  $A \neq B$  and  $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$ .

#### §4. Some examples

The function  $f(x) = x^{-1}$  is operator convex on  $(0, \infty)$ , operator Gâteaux differentiable and

$$\nabla f_T(S) = -T^{-1}ST^{-1}$$

for  $T, S > 0$ .

If  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Lebesgue integrable and symmetric function such that the condition (3.6) holds, then we have

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & - \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\ & \times [A^{-1}(B-A)A^{-1} - B^{-1}(B-A)B^{-1}] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 p(\tau) ((1-\tau)A + \tau B)^{-1} d\tau - \int_0^1 ((1-\tau)A + \tau B)^{-1} d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\ & \times [A^{-1}(B-A)A^{-1} - B^{-1}(B-A)B^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $A, B > 0$ .

In particular,

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & - \frac{3}{16} [A^{-1}(B-A)A^{-1} - B^{-1}(B-A)B^{-1}] \\ & \leq 4 \int_0^1 \left| \tau - \frac{1}{2} \right| ((1-\tau)A + \tau B)^{-1} d\tau - \int_0^1 ((1-\tau)A + \tau B)^{-1} d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{3}{16} [A^{-1}(B-A)A^{-1} - B^{-1}(B-A)B^{-1}], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & - \frac{3}{20} [A^{-1}(B-A)A^{-1} - B^{-1}(B-A)B^{-1}] \\ & \leq 6 \int_0^1 (1-\tau)\tau ((1-\tau)A + \tau B)^{-1} d\tau - \int_0^1 ((1-\tau)A + \tau B)^{-1} d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{3}{20} [A^{-1}(B-A)A^{-1} - B^{-1}(B-A)B^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $A, B > 0$ .

We note that the function  $f(x) = -\ln x$  is operator convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . The  $\ln$  function is operator Gâteaux differentiable with the following explicit formula for the derivative (cf. Pedersen [15, p. 155]):

$$(4.4) \quad \nabla \ln_T(S) = \int_0^\infty (s1_H + T)^{-1} S (s1_H + T)^{-1} ds$$



for  $T, S > 0$ .

If we write the inequality (3.6) for  $-\ln$  and  $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Lebesgue integrable and symmetric function such that the condition (3.6) holds, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad & -\frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
 & \times \left[ \int_0^\infty (s1_H + A)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + A)^{-1} ds \right. \\
 & \left. - \int_0^\infty (s1_H + B)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + B)^{-1} ds \right] \\
 & \leq \int_0^1 \ln((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\
 & - \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 p(\tau) \ln((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^1 p(\tau) d\tau} \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^\tau p(s) ds \right) (1-\tau) d\tau \\
 & \times \left[ \int_0^\infty (s1_H + A)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + A)^{-1} ds \right. \\
 & \left. - \int_0^\infty (s1_H + B)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + B)^{-1} ds \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $A, B > 0$ .

If we take in (4.5)  $p(\tau) = \left| \tau - \frac{1}{2} \right|$ ,  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.6) \quad & -\frac{3}{16} \left[ \int_0^\infty (s1_H + A)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + A)^{-1} ds \right. \\
 & \left. - \int_0^\infty (s1_H + B)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + B)^{-1} ds \right] \\
 & \leq \int_0^1 \ln((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\
 & - 4 \int_0^1 \left| \tau - \frac{1}{2} \right| \ln((1-\tau)A + \tau B) d\tau \\
 & \leq \frac{3}{16} \left[ \int_0^\infty (s1_H + A)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + A)^{-1} ds \right. \\
 & \left. - \int_0^\infty (s1_H + B)^{-1} (B-A) (s1_H + B)^{-1} ds \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $A, B > 0$ .

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for valuable suggestions that have been implemented in the final version of the paper.

### References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal and S. S. Dragomir, A survey of Jensen type inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59** (2010), no. 12, 3785–3812.
- [2] V. Bacak, T. Vildan and R. Türkmen, Refinements of Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator convex functions. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, 2013:262, 10 pp.
- [3] Nina Bary and M. D. Menchoff, Sur l'intégrale de Lebesgue-Stieltjes et les fonctions absolument continues de fonctions absolument continues, *Annali di Matematica* **5**, 19–54 (1928).
- [4] V. Darvish, S. S. Dragomir, H. M. Nazari and A. Taghavi, Some inequalities associated with the Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for operator  $h$ -convex functions. *Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu. Math.* **21** (2017), no. 2, 287–297.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, *Operator Inequalities of the Jensen, Čebyšev and Grüss Type*. Springer Briefs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2012. xii+121 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4614-1520-6.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Hermite-Hadamard's type inequalities for operator convex functions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218** (2011), no. 3, 766–772.
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, Some Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator convex functions and positive maps. *Spec. Matrices* **7** (2019), 38–51. Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **19** (2016), Art. 80. [Online <http://rgmia.org/papers/v19/v19a80.pdf>].
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, Reverses of operator Féjer's inequalities, Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **22** (2019), Art. 91, 14 pp. [Online <http://rgmia.org/papers/v22/v22a91.pdf>].
- [9] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [10] A. G. Ghazanfari, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for functions whose derivatives are operator convex. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **10** (2016), no. 8, 1695–1703.
- [11] A. G. Ghazanfari, The Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator  $s$ -convex functions. *J. Adv. Res. Pure Math.* **6** (2014), no. 3, 52–61.

- [12] J. Han and J. Shi, Refinements of Hermite-Hadamard inequality for operator convex function. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **10** (2017), no. 11, 6035–6041.
- [13] B. Li, Refinements of Hermite-Hadamard's type inequalities for operator convex functions. *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.* **8** (2013), no. 9-12, 463–467.
- [14] S.-T. Li, a brief introduction to Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral, Online <http://www.math.utah.edu/~li/L-S%20integral.pdf>
- [15] G. K. Pedersen, Operator differentiable functions. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **36** (1) (2000), 139-157.
- [16] A. Taghavi, V. Darvish, H. M. Nazari and S. S. Dragomir, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator geometrically convex functions. *Monatsh. Math.* **181** (2016), no. 1, 187–203.
- [17] M. Vivas Cortez, H. Hernández and E. Jorge, Refinements for Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator  $h$ -convex function. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **11** (2017), no. 5, 1299–1307.
- [18] M. Vivas Cortez, H. Hernández and E. Jorge, On some new generalized Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér inequalities for product of two operator  $h$ -convex functions. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **11** (2017), no. 4, 983–992.
- [19] S.-H. Wang, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator convex functions on the co-ordinates. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **10** (2017), no. 3, 1116–1125
- [20] S.-H. Wang, New integral inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type for operator  $m$ -convex and  $(\alpha, m)$ -convex functions. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **22** (2017), no. 4, 744–753.

Silvestru Sever Dragomir  
Mathematics, College of Engineering & Science  
Victoria University, PO Box 14428  
Melbourne City, MC 8001, Australia.  
DST-NRF Centre of Excellence in the Mathematical  
and Statistical Sciences, School of Computer Science  
& Applied Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand,  
Private Bag 3, Johannesburg 2050, South Africa  
*E-mail:* `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`