

Representation of the norm of ideals by quadratic forms with congruence conditions

Genki Koda

(Received August 5, 2019)

Abstract. Using a correspondence between the narrow ray class group modulo m of a quadratic field and a certain set of equivalence classes of binary quadratic forms proved by Furuta and Kubota, we find a quadratic form f and a pair of integers (x_1, y_1) such that the norm of all integral ideals \mathfrak{a} in a ray class is represented by $f(mx + x_1, my + y_1)$ with some integers (x, y) .

AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11E25, 11R37, 11R65.

Key words and phrases. Ray class group, ring class group, quadratic forms.

§1. Introduction

Let K be a quadratic field of discriminant d_K , and m a positive integer. We denote by $\text{Cl}_K(m)$ the narrow ray class group modulo m . For $\mathfrak{C} \in \text{Cl}_K(m)$, the partial zeta function is defined by

$$\zeta(s, \mathfrak{C}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{C}} N(\mathfrak{a})^{-s}$$

where \mathfrak{a} runs over the integral ideals in \mathfrak{C} . Using the method of Shintani and Zagier, Yamamoto [12] showed that $\zeta(s, \mathfrak{C})$ is a linear combination of the series of the form

$$\sum_{x,y} f(mx + x_1, my + y_1)^{-s}$$

where the sum is taken over \mathbb{Z} if $d_K < 0$ and over the positive integers if $d_K > 0$. Here f is a reduced binary quadratic form associated to \mathfrak{C} and the pair (x_1, y_1) of integers satisfying $0 \leq x_1, y_1 \leq m$ is a congruence condition associated with \mathfrak{C} ([12, Definition 2.1.1]). A method of computing of the congruence condition was studied in [12, §2] and [9, §4] and used in [9, §7] and

[8, §6]. The aim of this paper is to give a new interpretation of the congruence condition based on the isomorphism between a certain ray class group and the equivalence classes of quadratic forms by a certain congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ by Furuta [6] and Kubota [11]. In fact, we show that there exists a correspondence between the congruence conditions and the cosets of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ by the congruence subgroup via the isomorphism of Furuta and Kubota.

To state the correspondence precisely, we first define the following congruence subgroups. We denote $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ by Γ . For a positive integer m , let

$$(1.1) \quad \Gamma_{\pm 1}(m) = \left\{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & * \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{m} \right\}.$$

The group $\Gamma_{\pm 1}(m)$ acts on the set $F(d_K)$ of the primitive binary quadratic forms of discriminant d_K by $(f\gamma)(x, y) = f((x, y)\gamma^\top)$. We denote the set of the orbits which contain a representative f satisfying $\gcd(m, f(1, 0)) = 1$ by $(F(d_K)/\Gamma_{\pm 1}(m))'$. Furuta and Kubota showed that there exists a group isomorphism

$$(1.2) \quad \Phi_m : I_m/P_m(\{\pm 1\}) \longrightarrow (F(d_K)/\Gamma_{\pm 1}(m))'$$

where I_m is the group of fractional ideals of K prime to m and $P_m(\{\pm 1\})$ is the group of principal ideals (α) with $\alpha \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{*m\mathcal{O}_K}$, the multiplicative congruence, and $N(\alpha) > 0$. If $m = 1$, the class group $I_m/P_m(\{\pm 1\})$ coincides with Cl_K^+ , the narrow ideal class group of K (this coincides with the ordinary ideal class group if K is imaginary). Hence, the isomorphism Φ_m is a generalization of the well-known isomorphism

$$\Phi_1 : \mathrm{Cl}_K^+ \longrightarrow F(d_K)/\Gamma.$$

Furthermore, Furuta and Kubota showed that the set $(F(d_K)/\Gamma_{\pm 1}(m))'$ in (1.2) forms an abelian group under a generalization of Gaussian composition.

We next define reduced forms of discriminant d_K . Let $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ be a quadratic form in $F(d_K)$. When d_K is negative, the form f is reduced if

$$(1.3) \quad |b| \leq a \leq c, \text{ and } b \geq 0 \text{ if either } |b| = a \text{ or } a = c.$$

When d_K is positive, the form f is reduced if

$$(1.4) \quad a > 0, \ c > 0 \text{ and } b > a + c.$$

Here we follow the definition in [13, §13]. Note that each orbit in $F(d_K)/\Gamma$ contains a reduced form by [3, Theorem 2.8] and [13, §13, Theorem 1].

We are now ready to state our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathfrak{C} be a ray class in $\text{Cl}_K(m)$ and \mathfrak{a} an arbitrary integral ideal lying in \mathfrak{C} . We denote by $[\mathfrak{a}^{-1}]$ the ideal class of \mathfrak{a}^{-1} in $I_m/P_m(\{\pm 1\})$. We take a reduced form f such that the narrow ideal class of \mathfrak{a}^{-1} maps to $f\Gamma$ by the isomorphism Φ_1 . Then, there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ satisfying the following properties:*

- $\Phi_m([\mathfrak{a}^{-1}]) = (f\gamma)\Gamma_{\pm 1}(m)$;
- $N(\mathfrak{a}) = f(x, y)$ with the integers (x, y) satisfying the congruence condition $(x, y) \equiv (1, 0)\gamma^\top \pmod{m}$.

Remark 1.2. There is a natural surjection $\text{Cl}_K(m) \rightarrow I_m/P_m(\{\pm 1\})$. Its kernel is generated by the ray class of (μ) in $\text{Cl}_K(m)$ where μ is a totally positive element satisfying $\mu \equiv -1 \pmod{*m\mathcal{O}_K}$, and its order is at most 2. The kernel is trivial if and only if there is a totally positive unit $u \equiv -1 \pmod{*m\mathcal{O}_K}$, or K is imaginary.

We prove the above theorem in Section 3. In the following section, we introduce the results of Furuta [6] and Kubota [11] in a more general setting. In Section 4, we give some explicit examples of Theorem 1.1. In the final section, we discuss quadratic forms with non-fundamental discriminant.

Throughout this paper, we use the following notation.

Let K be a quadratic field of discriminant d_K fixed once for all. We denote by \mathcal{O}_K the ring of integers of K . For positive integers ℓ and m , let \mathcal{O}_ℓ be the order of K of conductor ℓ and $I_m(\mathcal{O}_\ell)$ the group of proper fractional \mathcal{O}_ℓ -ideals prime to m . We simply write $I_m = I_m(\mathcal{O}_K)$ if $\ell = 1$. For a subgroup H_m of $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ which contains $-1 \pmod{m}$, we define the subgroup $P_m(\mathcal{O}_\ell, H_m)$ of $I_m(\mathcal{O}_\ell)$ by

$$\left\langle (\alpha) \in I_m(\mathcal{O}_\ell) \mid \begin{array}{l} \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\ell : \text{totally positive,} \\ \alpha \equiv k \pmod{m\mathcal{O}_\ell} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } \bar{k} \in H_m \end{array} \right\rangle$$

where \bar{k} is the residue class of k modulo m . We simply write $P_m(H_m) = P_m(\mathcal{O}_K, H_m)$ if $\ell = 1$. We denote by α' the conjugate of $\alpha \in K$. To deal with real and imaginary cases simultaneously, we regard every element of imaginary quadratic fields as totally positive. We denote by Γ the special linear group $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and define a congruence subgroup $\Gamma(H_m)$ of Γ by

$$(1.5) \quad \Gamma(H_m) = \left\{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} k & * \\ 0 & k^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{m} \text{ for some } k \in H_m \right\}.$$

In particular, we denote $\Gamma(H_m)$ by $\Gamma_0(m)$ (resp. $\Gamma_{\pm 1}(m)$) if we take $H_m = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ (resp. $\{\pm 1\}$). We denote by $\text{Cl}_K^+(\mathcal{O}_\ell)$ the narrow ideal class group of \mathcal{O}_ℓ and we also call this group the narrow ring class group of conductor ℓ . Let $\text{Cl}_K(m)$ be the narrow ray class group modulo m and Cl_K^+ the narrow class

group of K . We denote by $F(D)$ the set of primitive binary quadratic forms of discriminant D , and we further impose $a > 0$ for all $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \in F(D)$ if D is negative.

§2. Classification of quadratic forms by congruence subgroup

In this section, we study a generalization of the group isomorphism between the ideal class group and the form class group due to Furuta [6] and Kubota [11]. Recently, similar results are obtained in [2], [5] and [7] for the case $d_K < 0$. We follow the presentation in Kubota [11, §8.2] to consider the both cases where d_K is negative and positive. However, there is an additional condition in the description in [11], so we quote it with some modification (see Remark 2.2 for the precise reason).

We use the notation of $f = (a, b, c)$ to represent a quadratic form $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$. We define a right action of Γ on $F(d_K)$ by

$$(2.1) \quad (f\gamma)(x, y) = f((x, y)\gamma^\top)$$

for any $f(x, y) \in F(d_K)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Let H_m be a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ which contains $\overline{-1}$. We define the *generalized ideal class group* by the quotient $I_m/P_m(H_m)$.

Definition 2.1 ([11, §8.2]). *Let $\mathfrak{a} = [\alpha, \beta]$ be an arbitrary fractional ideal in I_m . The basis $[\alpha, \beta]$ is called a canonical basis for H_m if $[\alpha, \beta]$ satisfies the following conditions:*

$$(i) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_K}}(\alpha'\beta - \alpha\beta') > 0;$$

(ii) *There exists an integer k such that $\alpha \equiv k \pmod{*m\mathcal{O}_K}$ and $\bar{k} \in H_m$.*

Note that the left hand side of (i) is always a non-zero rational number. If the basis $[\alpha, \beta]$ satisfies (i), then we say that it is *positively oriented* according to [3, Exercises 7.19]. Let $\mathfrak{a} = [\alpha_1, \beta_1]$ be a positively oriented basis and $\mathfrak{a} = [\alpha_2, \beta_2]$ another basis. Then, $[\alpha_2, \beta_2]$ is positively oriented if and only if their transition matrix is in Γ . When K is imaginary, a basis $[\alpha, \beta]$ is positively oriented if and only if β/α lies in the upper half plane of \mathbb{C} .

Remark 2.2. Definition 2.1 is slightly different from the definition in [11, §8.2], in which there is an assumption “ α is totally positive”. However, when we choose a system of representatives of $F(d_K)/\Gamma(H_m)$ in Section 3, it sometimes contains forms $f = (a, b, c)$ with negative a , thus we drop the condition and introduce ρ_f as (2.3) in the proof of Proposition 2.4 to define Ψ_m so that it maps such a form to an ideal with canonical basis.

Lemma 2.3 ([11, §8.2]). *Let \mathfrak{a} be an arbitrary fractional ideal in I_m . The following assertions hold.*

- (i) *There exists a canonical basis for H_m of \mathfrak{a} .*
- (ii) *Let $\mathfrak{a} = [\alpha_1, \beta_1]$ be a canonical basis for H_m . Another basis $\mathfrak{a} = [\alpha_2, \beta_2]$ is also a canonical basis for H_m if and only if $[\alpha_2, \beta_2] = [\alpha_1, \beta_1]\gamma$ with $\gamma \in \Gamma(H_m)$ (see (1.5)).*

Proof. (i) It is enough to show that there exists a canonical basis $[\alpha, \beta]$ for H_m with $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{*m\mathcal{O}_K}$. We first assume that \mathfrak{a} is an integral ideal. Let $[1, \omega]$ be an integral basis of K which is positively oriented and $\mathfrak{a} = [a, b + c\omega]$ the Hermite normal form of \mathfrak{a} with respect to $[1, \omega]$. Note that the basis of \mathfrak{a} is also positively oriented, and a is prime to m . We take $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $c_1a - c_2m = 1$ and set $\alpha = c_1a + m(b + c\omega)$, $\beta = c_2a + a(b + c\omega)$. Thus we obtain a canonical basis $\mathfrak{a} = [\alpha, \beta]$ for H_m . We next consider the case where \mathfrak{a} is a fractional ideal. There is an integer $r \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ such that $r\mathfrak{a}$ is integral. If we take a canonical basis $r\mathfrak{a} = [\alpha, \beta]$ for H_m , then we can find a canonical basis $\mathfrak{a} = [\alpha/r, \beta/r]$ for H_m .

- (ii) Suppose that $\mathfrak{a} = [\alpha_1, \beta_1] = [\alpha_2, \beta_2]$ are canonical bases for H_m . Since both are positively oriented, the transition matrix γ lies in Γ . Writing $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u_3 & u_4 \end{pmatrix}$, we have $\alpha_2 = u_1\alpha_1 + u_3\beta_1$. On the other hand, since there is $(k \bmod m) \in H_m$ satisfying $\alpha_2 \equiv k\alpha_1 \pmod{*m\mathcal{O}_K}$, we have $(u_1 - k)\alpha_1 + u_3\beta_1 \in m\mathfrak{a}$. Hence we have $u_1 \equiv k$, $u_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ and this means $\gamma \in \Gamma(H_m)$. The converse is trivial. □

We denote by $(F(d_K)/\Gamma(H_m))'$ the set of $(a, b, c)\Gamma(H_m)$ with $\gcd(a, m) = 1$.

Now we can define an isomorphism Φ_m from $I_m/P_m(H_m)$ to $(F(d_K)/\Gamma(H_m))'$, which is a generalization of (1.2).

Proposition 2.4 ([11, §8.2]). *Let \mathfrak{a} be an arbitrary ideal lying in I_m with a canonical basis $[\alpha, \beta]$ for H_m . There is a bijection*

$$\Phi_m : I_m/P_m(H_m) \longrightarrow (F(d_K)/\Gamma(H_m))'$$

defined by

$$\Phi_m : [\mathfrak{a}] \longmapsto f\Gamma(H_m)$$

where f is the quadratic form corresponding to \mathfrak{a} defined by

$$(2.2) \quad f(x, y) = \frac{N(\alpha x + \beta y)}{N(\mathfrak{a})}.$$

Proof. First, we prove that the map Φ_m is well defined. If we take another canonical basis $[\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}]$ for H_m , then there is $\gamma \in \Gamma(H_m)$ which satisfies $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) = (\alpha, \beta)\gamma$ by Lemma 2.3. Hence we get the corresponding form $f\gamma$ by (2.1) and (2.2). Let $\mathfrak{b} = (\lambda)\mathfrak{a}$ with $(\lambda) \in P_m(H_m)$. Since λ is totally positive, we can see that the basis $[\lambda\alpha, \lambda\beta]$ is also canonical for H_m , and it corresponds to the form $f(x, y)$ by (2.2). Therefore the map Φ_m is well defined.

Next, we construct the inverse map of Φ_m . Let $f = (a, b, c) \in F(d_K)$ be an arbitrary quadratic form with $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ and let

$$\tau = \frac{b + \sqrt{d_K}}{2a}.$$

We define a map from the set of such quadratic forms to I_m by sending f to $\mathfrak{a} = \rho_f[1, \tau]$ where

$$(2.3) \quad \rho_f = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d_K < 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } d_K > 0 \text{ and } a > 0, \\ 1 + m\sqrt{d_K} & \text{if } d_K > 0 \text{ and } a < 0. \end{cases}$$

Consider $g = f\gamma = (A, B, C)$ with $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u_3 & u_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(H_m)$. We can write

$$A = aN(u_1 + u_3\tau), \quad B = 2au_1u_2 + b(u_1u_4 + u_2u_3) + 2cu_3u_4.$$

Note that A is congruent to au_1^2 modulo m and prime to m . The map $f \mapsto \mathfrak{a}$ defined above sends $g = f\gamma$ to

$$\mathfrak{b} = \rho_g \left[1, \frac{B + \sqrt{d_K}}{2A} \right].$$

Since we can write $B + \sqrt{d_K} = 2a(u_2 + u_4\tau)(u_1 + u_3\tau)$, we have

$$\mathfrak{b} = \rho_g \left[1, \frac{B + \sqrt{d_K}}{2A} \right] = \rho_g \left[1, \frac{u_2 + u_4\tau}{u_1 + u_3\tau} \right] = \rho_g \rho_f^{-1} (u_1 + u_3\tau)^{-1} \mathfrak{a}$$

and $(\rho_g \rho_f^{-1} (u_1 + u_3\tau)^{-1}) \in P_m(H_m)$. Therefore, the induced map Ψ_m is well defined, and clearly we have $\Psi_m = \Phi_m^{-1}$. \square

Furuta [6] and Kubota [11] showed that $(F(d_K)/\Gamma(H_m))'$ forms an abelian group under a generalized Gaussian composition and the map Φ_m in Proposition 2.4 is a group isomorphism.

Remark 2.5. When we take $H_m = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$, the class group $I_m/P_m(H_m)$ is isomorphic to the narrow ring class group of conductor m by [3, Proposition 7.22, Exercises 7.19–7.22].

We can extend the result in Proposition 2.4 to a certain generalized ideal class group of proper ideals in order \mathcal{O}_ℓ of K .

Corollary 2.6. *Let \mathcal{O}_ℓ be the order of conductor ℓ of K . Then there is a bijection from $I_m(\mathcal{O}_\ell)/P_m(\mathcal{O}_\ell, H_m)$ to $(F(\ell^2 d_K)/\Gamma(H_m))'$.*

Proof. The proof of Proposition 2.4 is still valid if we replace \mathcal{O}_K by \mathcal{O}_ℓ . \square

Remark 2.7. (i) If we take $m = 1$, then we get a well-known isomorphism $\text{Cl}_K^+(\mathcal{O}_\ell) \rightarrow F(\ell^2 d_K)/\Gamma$. Combining this with Proposition 2.4 (see also Remark 2.5), we have an isomorphism from $F(\ell^2 d_K)/\Gamma$ to $(F(d_K)/\Gamma_0(\ell))'$. We discuss this isomorphism in Section 5.

(ii) The group $I_m(\mathcal{O}_\ell)/P_m(\mathcal{O}_\ell, H_m)$ is isomorphic to the quotient group of $I_{\ell m}$ by

$$(2.4) \quad \left\langle (\alpha) \in I_{\ell m} \left| \begin{array}{l} \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K : \text{totally positive,} \\ \alpha \equiv k \pmod{\ell m \mathcal{O}_K} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } \bar{k} \in H_m \end{array} \right. \right\rangle$$

where \bar{k} is the residue class of k modulo m . If we set

$$(2.5) \quad G_{\ell m} = \ker((\mathbb{Z}/\ell m \mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/m \mathbb{Z})^\times / H_m),$$

then the group defined in (2.4) coincides with $P_{\ell m}(G_{\ell m})$. The isomorphism from $I_m(\mathcal{O}_\ell)/P_m(\mathcal{O}_\ell, H_m)$ to $I_{\ell m}/P_{\ell m}(G_{\ell m})$ is induced by $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto \mathfrak{a} \mathcal{O}_K$ for $\mathfrak{a} \in I_m(\mathcal{O}_\ell)$.

§3. The proof of the main theorem

In this section, we prove the main theorem.

First, we define the *reduced ideal* associated to a reduced form.

Definition 3.1. *Let $f = (a, b, c)$ be a reduced form of discriminant d_K defined in (1.3) and (1.4). and let $\tau = \frac{b + \sqrt{d_K}}{2a}$. We call the ideal $[1, \tau]$ the reduced ideal associated to f .*

By [3, Theorem 2.8] and [13, §13, Theorem 1], each orbit in $F(d_K)/\Gamma$ contains a reduced form. Furthermore, when d_K is negative, the reduced form is unique in each orbit. If d_K is positive, then there are finitely many reduced forms in each orbit. We fix one, say f , of the reduced forms for each orbit $f\Gamma$. The proofs of [3, Theorem 2.8] and [13, §13, Theorem 1] give us a simple algorithm to compute reduced form for each orbit $f\Gamma$. Once we take the reduced forms $\{f_i\}$ as a system of representatives of $F(d_K)/\Gamma$, we

can take $\{f_i\gamma_j\}$ as a system of representatives of $F(d_K)/\Gamma(H_m)$ with coset representatives $\{\gamma_j\}$ of $\Gamma/\Gamma(H_m)$. It follows easily from [4, §1.2] that

$$[\Gamma : \Gamma(H_m)] = m \frac{\phi(m)}{|H_m|} \prod_{p|m} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right),$$

where ϕ is the Euler totient function.

After these preparations, we can now prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first recall the setting of the theorem. Let \mathfrak{C} be a ray class in $\text{Cl}_K(m)$ and \mathfrak{a} an arbitrary integral ideal lying in \mathfrak{C} . Let $f = (a, b, c)$ be a reduced form such that the narrow class of \mathfrak{a}^{-1} maps to $f\Gamma$ by the isomorphism Φ_1 . Let $\tau = \frac{b+\sqrt{d_K}}{2a}$ and let $\mathfrak{b} = [1, \tau]$ be the reduced ideal associated to f . By the assumption on the form f , the narrow class of \mathfrak{a}^{-1} coincides with that of \mathfrak{b} . That is, there is a totally positive element $z \in K^\times$ satisfying $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = (z)$. Since \mathfrak{a} is integral, z is lying in \mathfrak{b} and written in the form $z = x+y\tau$ with a pair of integers (x, y) . Then the norm $N(\mathfrak{a})$ is equal to $f(x, y)$. In this proof, we denote by $[\mathfrak{c}]$ the ideal class of \mathfrak{c} in $I_m/P_m(\{\pm 1\})$ for $\mathfrak{c} \in I_m$. We will show that there is a matrix $\gamma \in \Gamma$ satisfying $\Phi_m([\mathfrak{a}^{-1}]) = (f\gamma)\Gamma_{\pm 1}(m)$ and $(x, y) \equiv (1, 0)\gamma^\top \pmod{m}$.

We take non-negative integers r, s such that $f(r, s)$ is prime to m . Let $\beta = ar + s\frac{b-\sqrt{d_K}}{2}$ and $\mathfrak{b}' = \beta\mathfrak{b}$. Note that β and $\beta\tau = cs + r\frac{b+\sqrt{d_K}}{2}$ are integers of K , and \mathfrak{b}' is an integral ideal. Since f is a reduced form, we have $N(\beta) = af(r, s) > 0$. Hence \mathfrak{b}' belongs to the narrow class of \mathfrak{b} . We take an integral basis $\mathcal{O}_K = [1, \omega]$ with $\omega = \frac{b+\sqrt{d_K}}{2}$. Let C be a matrix satisfying $(\beta, \beta\tau) = (1, \omega)C$. We can see that C is given by $\begin{pmatrix} ar + bs & cs \\ -s & r \end{pmatrix}$ and $N(\mathfrak{b}') = |\det C| = f(r, s)$ is prime to m . Therefore, \mathfrak{b}' is prime to m . Let g be a quadratic form satisfying $\Phi_m([\mathfrak{a}^{-1}]) = g\Gamma_{\pm 1}(m)$. By the definition of Φ_m , the form g is also in the image of the narrow class of \mathfrak{a}^{-1} under Φ_1 . Hence we can take a matrix $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u_3 & u_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ satisfying $g = f\gamma$. As in the proof of Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\Phi_m^{-1}(g) = [\rho_g(u_1 + u_3\tau)^{-1}\mathfrak{b}] = [\rho_g(u_1\beta + u_3\beta\tau)^{-1}\mathfrak{b}']$$

where ρ_g is defined in (2.3). We denote $\rho_g^{-1}(u_1\beta + u_3\beta\tau)$ by ξ . Since $[\mathfrak{a}^{-1}] = [(\xi^{-1})\mathfrak{b}']$, there is $\alpha \in K^\times$ satisfying $\alpha \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{*m\mathcal{O}_K}$ and $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}' = (\alpha\xi)$. Since $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}' = (z\beta)$, we have $\alpha\xi = \pm z\beta$ by replacing z by $z\varepsilon$ with some unit $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ of positive norm if necessary, where the sign ‘ \pm ’ in the right hand side agrees with that of α modulo m . We have

$$(x - u_1)\beta + (y - u_3)\beta\tau \equiv 0 \pmod{m\mathcal{O}_K}.$$

To complete the proof, we will show that $\overline{\beta}$ and $\overline{\beta\tau}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ where $\overline{\beta}, \overline{\beta\tau}$ are the residue classes of $\beta, \beta\tau$ modulo $m\mathcal{O}_K$, respectively. We have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_K/(m) \cong 1 \cdot \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \oplus \omega \cdot \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$$

as a $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Since $\det C = f(r, s)$ is prime to m , we have $(C \bmod m) \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. It follows that $\overline{\beta}$ and $\overline{\beta\tau}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Thus we obtain $(x, y) \equiv (u_1, u_3) \pmod{m}$. This completes the proof. \square

§4. Examples

In this section, we give explicit examples of Theorem 1.1.

4.1. Imaginary case

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$ and $m = 2$. We have $d_K = -20$, and the class number of K is 2. The ray class group $\mathrm{Cl}_K(2)$ is generated by the class of $\mathfrak{c} = [3, 1 + \sqrt{-5}]$ and isomorphic to C_4 . The Galois group of the ray class field modulo 2 of K over \mathbb{Q} is isomorphic to D_4 . This example is also considered in [5]; however, we focus on the congruence conditions implied by the isomorphic correspondence.

The reduced forms of discriminant -20 are

$$f_1(x, y) = x^2 + 5y^2, \quad f_2(x, y) = 2x^2 + 2xy + 3y^2,$$

and the associated ideals are $\mathfrak{b}_1 = [1, \sqrt{-5}]$, $\mathfrak{b}_2 = [1, \frac{1+\sqrt{-5}}{2}]$. Coset representatives of $\Gamma/\Gamma_{\pm 1}(2)$ are

$$\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, excluding the forms with the first coefficient divisible by 2, we can take $\{f_1\gamma_1, f_1\gamma_3, f_2\gamma_2, f_2\gamma_3\}$ as a system of representatives for $(F(-20)/\Gamma_{\pm 1}(2))'$. Explicitly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1\gamma_1)(x, y) &= x^2 + 5y^2, & (f_1\gamma_3)(x, y) &= 5x^2 - 10xy + 6y^2, \\ (f_2\gamma_2)(x, y) &= 7x^2 - 6xy + 2y^2, & (f_2\gamma_3)(x, y) &= 3x^2 - 8xy + 7y^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the isomorphism Φ_2^{-1} , we have the correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2^{-1}((f_1\gamma_1)\Gamma_{\pm 1}(2)) &= [\mathcal{O}_K], & \Phi_2^{-1}((f_1\gamma_3)\Gamma_{\pm 1}(2)) &= [\mathfrak{c}^2] = [\mathfrak{c}^2]^{-1}, \\ \Phi_2^{-1}((f_2\gamma_2)\Gamma_{\pm 1}(2)) &= [\mathfrak{c}] = [\mathfrak{c}^3]^{-1}, & \Phi_2^{-1}((f_2\gamma_3)\Gamma_{\pm 1}(2)) &= [\mathfrak{c}^3] = [\mathfrak{c}]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For an integral ideal \mathfrak{a} , there exist integers x, y such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a} \in [\mathcal{O}_K] &\implies N(\mathfrak{a}) = f_1(2x+1, 2y), \\ \mathfrak{a} \in [\mathfrak{c}] &\implies N(\mathfrak{a}) = f_2(2x, 2y+1), \\ \mathfrak{a} \in [\mathfrak{c}^2] &\implies N(\mathfrak{a}) = f_1(2x, 2y+1), \\ \mathfrak{a} \in [\mathfrak{c}^3] &\implies N(\mathfrak{a}) = f_2(2x+1, 2y+1). \end{aligned}$$

4.2. Real case

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$ and $m = 4$. The discriminant of K is 17, and the narrow class number of K is 1. The Galois group of the narrow ray class field modulo 4 of K over \mathbb{Q} is isomorphic to D_4 . The ray class group $\text{Cl}_K(4)$ is isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2$. It is generated by \mathfrak{C}_1 and \mathfrak{C}_2 defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}_1 &= [(\mu_1)], \quad \mu_1 < 0, \mu'_1 > 0, \mu_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{*m\mathcal{O}_K}, \\ \mathfrak{C}_2 &= [(\mu_2)], \quad \mu_2 > 0, \mu'_2 < 0, \mu_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{*m\mathcal{O}_K}. \end{aligned}$$

The kernel of the natural surjection

$$\pi : \text{Cl}_K(4) \longrightarrow I_4/P_4(\{\pm 1\})$$

is of order 2 and generated by the class $\mathfrak{C}_1\mathfrak{C}_2$ by Remark 1.2. We set $\mathfrak{A}_1 = \pi([\mathcal{O}_K])$ and $\mathfrak{A}_2 = \pi(\mathfrak{C}_1)$.

The reduced forms corresponding to the narrow class of \mathcal{O}_K are

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= (1, 5, 2), \quad f_2 = (2, 7, 4), \quad f_3 = (4, 9, 4), \\ f_4 &= (4, 7, 2), \quad f_5 = (2, 5, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that these forms belong to the same orbit $f_1\Gamma$. We take $f = f_1$. Coset representatives of $\Gamma/\Gamma_{\pm 1}(4)$ are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We call them $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_6 \in \Gamma$. Excluding the forms $f\gamma_j$ with the first coefficient divisible by 2, we can take a set of two forms

$$(f\gamma_1)(x, y) = x^2 + 5xy + 2y^2, \quad (f\gamma_6)(x, y) = 19x^2 - 25xy + 8y^2$$

as a system of representatives for $(F(17)/\Gamma_{\pm 1}(4))'$. By the isomorphism Φ_4^{-1} , the class of the form $f\gamma_1$ maps to \mathfrak{A}_1 , and the class of $f\gamma_6$ maps to \mathfrak{A}_2 . Thus, for an integral ideal \mathfrak{a} , there exist integers x, y such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a} \in [\mathcal{O}_K] \text{ or } \mathfrak{C}_1\mathfrak{C}_2 &\implies N(\mathfrak{a}) = f(4x+1, 4y), \\ \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{C}_1 \text{ or } \mathfrak{C}_2 &\implies N(\mathfrak{a}) = f(4x+1, 4y+2). \end{aligned}$$

§5. Congruence conditions for the ring class group

Let m be a positive integer and $D = m^2 d_K$. Recall that $F(D)$ is the set of primitive quadratic forms of discriminant D . The narrow ring class group $\text{Cl}_K^+(\mathcal{O}_m)$ is isomorphic to $I_m/P_m(H_m)$ with $H_m = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ (see [3, Proposition 7.22]) and, by class field theory, the group corresponds to the ring class field of the order \mathcal{O}_m . It is well known that there is an isomorphism between $\text{Cl}_K^+(\mathcal{O}_m)$ and $F(D)/\Gamma$ (see [3, Theorem 7.7 and Exercise 7.21]). On the other hand, we proved that there is an isomorphism Φ_m from $\text{Cl}_K^+(\mathcal{O}_m)$ to $(F(d_K)/\Gamma_0(m))'$ (the case $H_m = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ in Proposition 2.4; see also Remark 2.5). Therefore it is natural to ask whether there is a natural correspondence between the two form class groups $F(D)/\Gamma$ and $(F(d_K)/\Gamma_0(m))'$. In this section, we give such a natural correspondence between them.

In the rest of this section, we assume $H_m = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$. A rational matrix $M \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$ acts on a rational binary quadratic form Q by $(QM)(x, y) = Q((x, y)M^\top)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $D = m^2 d_K$ and let $Q = (a, b, c) \in F(D)$ be a quadratic form satisfying $\gcd(a, m) = 1$. Let M be a matrix with determinant m defined by*

$$(5.1) \quad M = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r(b-m)/2 \\ 0 & m \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & rb/2 \\ 0 & m \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

where r is an integer satisfying $ar \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Then the rational quadratic form $(QM^{-1})(x, y)$ is an integral form of discriminant d_K . Furthermore, this correspondence $Q(x, y) \mapsto (QM^{-1})(x, y)$ induces a group isomorphism between $F(D)/\Gamma$ to $(F(d_K)/\Gamma_0(m))'$.

Proof. We define an isomorphism from $F(D)/\Gamma$ to $(F(d_K)/\Gamma_0(m))'$ so that the following diagram is commutative:

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F(D)/\Gamma & \longrightarrow & (F(d_K)/\Gamma_0(m))' \\ \Psi \downarrow & & \uparrow \Phi_m \\ \text{Cl}_K^+(\mathcal{O}_m) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & I_m/P_m(H_m). \end{array}$$

In the diagram, Ψ is the isomorphism obtained from Corollary 2.6 (see also Remark 2.7 (i)). By [3, Proposition 7.22], we can take a system of representatives $\{\mathfrak{a}_i\}$ of $\text{Cl}_K^+(\mathcal{O}_m)$ such that \mathfrak{a}_i are prime to m and the map $\mathfrak{a}_i \mapsto \mathfrak{a}_i \mathcal{O}_K$ induces the isomorphism κ from $\text{Cl}_K^+(\mathcal{O}_m)$ to $I_m/P_m(H_m)$. The isomorphism Φ_m is

defined in Proposition 2.4. The isomorphism from $F(D)/\Gamma$ to $(F(d_K)/\Gamma_0(m))'$ is, therefore, defined by $\Phi_m \circ \kappa \circ \Psi$.

We shall show that the above-defined map coincides with the isomorphism defined in the statement of the theorem. Let $Q = (a, b, c) \in F(D)$ satisfying $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ and let $\tau = (b + \sqrt{D})/2a$. Then the fractional \mathcal{O}_m -ideal $\mathfrak{a} = \rho_Q[1, \tau]$ is prime to m . The map κ sends the class of \mathfrak{a} to the class of $\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{O}_K = \rho_Q[1, \tilde{\tau}]$ where

$$(5.3) \quad \tilde{\tau} = \begin{cases} \frac{1 + s(b - m) + \sqrt{d_K}}{2a} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{sb + \sqrt{d_K}}{2a} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

with an integer s satisfying $ms = 1 - ar$, where r is an integer satisfying $ar \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ as in the statement of the theorem. Since $[1, \tau] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong [1, \tilde{\tau}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong K$, there is a transition matrix M in $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$ satisfying $[1, \tau] = [1, \tilde{\tau}]M$:

$$(5.4) \quad M = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r(b - m)/2 \\ 0 & m \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & rb/2 \\ 0 & m \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Since $N(\mathfrak{a}) = N(\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{O}_K)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N(\rho_Q x + \rho_Q \tilde{\tau} y)}{N(\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{O}_K)} &= \frac{N(\rho_Q X + \rho_Q \tau Y)}{N(\mathfrak{a})} \\ &= \mathrm{sgn}(N(\rho_Q)) \mathrm{sgn}(a) Q(X, Y) = Q(X, Y) = (QM^{-1})(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

with a change of variable $(X, Y) = (x, y)(M^{-1})^\top$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.2. (i) For the case $d_K < 0$, a similar result is obtained in [2, Corollary 2.9 (2)]. By contrast, we obtain the result for general d_K . Besides, we also obtain the matrix M in (5.1), which is related to the congruence condition given in Theorem 1.1 (see Corollary 5.3 and also Example 5.4).

- (ii) Let \mathfrak{b} be an integral ideal in I_m . The inverse of κ is induced by $\mathfrak{b} \mapsto \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathcal{O}_m$, and we have $N(\mathfrak{b}) = N(\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathcal{O}_m)$. The imaginary quadratic case follows from [3, Proposition 7.20] and the real case can be proved similarly.
- (iii) The inverse of $F(D)/\Gamma \rightarrow (F(d_K)/\Gamma_0(m))'$ is simpler to describe. In fact, for a quadratic form $f = (a, b, c) \in F(d_K)$ with $\gcd(a, m) = 1$, the map sending $f(x, y)$ to $f(x, my)$ induces the inverse map.

We obtain the following corollary analogous to Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 5.3. *Let $\mathfrak{C} \in I_m/P_m(H_m)$ and \mathfrak{a} an integral ideal lying in \mathfrak{C} . Let $Q = (a, b, c) \in F(m^2 d_K)$ be a quadratic form with $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ satisfying $\mathfrak{C}^{-1} = \kappa(\Psi(Q\Gamma))$, where Ψ and κ are the maps defined in the proof of Theorem 5.1. If M is the matrix determined from Q by (5.1) and $g(x, y) = (QM^{-1})(x, y) \in F(d_K)$, then $N(\mathfrak{a})$ is represented by $Q(X, Y)$ with some integers X, Y and is represented also by $g(x, y)$ with some integers with the congruence conditions $x \not\equiv 0, y \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.*

Proof. Since $N(\mathfrak{a}) = N(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathcal{O}_m)$, it is obvious that $N(\mathfrak{a})$ is represented by $Q(X, Y)$. By the definition of g , we can write $N(\mathfrak{a}) = g(x, y)$ with $(x, y) = (X, Y)M^\top$. Thus we have $y \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. Since $N(\mathfrak{a})$ is prime to m , the integer x must be prime to m . \square

The following example illustrates the correspondence in Theorem 5.1 and the representation of the norm of ideals by two quadratic forms of different discriminants in Corollary 5.3.

Example 5.4. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$ and $m = 2$. Let $\mathcal{O}_2 = [1, 2\sqrt{-5}]$ be the order of conductor 2 in K . We have $d_K = -20$ and $m^2 d_K = -80$. Note that the ring class group $\text{Cl}_K^+(\mathcal{O}_2)$ is isomorphic to the ray class group $\text{Cl}_K(2) \cong C_4$ generated by the class of $\mathfrak{c} = [3, 1 + \sqrt{-5}]$ (see Section 4.1). Thus $\text{Cl}_K^+(\mathcal{O}_2)$ is generated by the class of $\tilde{\mathfrak{c}} = \mathfrak{c} \cap \mathcal{O}_2 = [3, -1 + 2\sqrt{-5}]$.

Corollary 5.3 claims that the norm of an ideal in each class of $\text{Cl}_K(2)$ is represented in two ways by forms in $F(-80)$ and $F(-20)$. We start with the reduced forms of $F(-80)$:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(x, y) &= x^2 + 20y^2, & Q_2(x, y) &= 4x^2 + 5y^2, \\ Q_3(x, y) &= 3x^2 + 2xy + 7y^2, & Q_4(x, y) &= 3x^2 - 2xy + 7y^2. \end{aligned}$$

If we replace Q_2 by $\left(Q_2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)(x, y) = 5x^2 + 4y^2$, then the condition $\gcd(2, Q_i(1, 0)) = 1$ is satisfied for all $i = 1, \dots, 4$. The isomorphism Ψ from $F(-80)/\Gamma$ to $\text{Cl}_K^+(\mathcal{O}_2)$ gives the correspondence

$$\Psi(Q_1\Gamma) = [\mathcal{O}_2], \quad \Psi(Q_2\Gamma) = [\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}^2], \quad \Psi(Q_3\Gamma) = [\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}^3], \quad \Psi(Q_4\Gamma) = [\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}].$$

To obtain the corresponding forms in $F(-20)$, we compute the matrix M_i defined in (5.1):

$$M_1 = M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the forms $g_i = Q_i M_i^{-1}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x, y) &= x^2 + 5y^2, & g_2(x, y) &= 5x^2 + y^2, \\ g_3(x, y) &= 3x^2 - 2xy + 2y^2, & g_4(x, y) &= 3x^2 + 2xy + 2y^2. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.3 implies that, for an integral ideal $\mathfrak{a} \in I_2$, there exist integers X, Y, x, y such that

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a} \in [\mathcal{O}_K] &\implies N(\mathfrak{a}) = Q_1(X, Y) = g_1(2x + 1, 2y), \\ \mathfrak{a} \in [\mathfrak{c}] = [\mathfrak{c}^3]^{-1} &\implies N(\mathfrak{a}) = Q_3(X, Y) = g_3(2x + 1, 2y), \\ \mathfrak{a} \in [\mathfrak{c}^2] = [\mathfrak{c}^2]^{-1} &\implies N(\mathfrak{a}) = Q_2(X, Y) = g_2(2x + 1, 2y), \\ \mathfrak{a} \in [\mathfrak{c}^3] = [\mathfrak{c}]^{-1} &\implies N(\mathfrak{a}) = Q_4(X, Y) = g_4(2x + 1, 2y). \end{aligned}$$

The set of the forms g_i is a system of representatives of $(F(-20)/\Gamma_0(2))'$ by Theorem 5.1. For each representative g_i , there exist a reduced form f in $F(-20)$ and a matrix γ which is a representative of $\Gamma/\Gamma_0(2)$ satisfying $g_i = f\gamma$. The reduced forms of $F(-20)$ are given in Section 4.1:

$$f_1(x, y) = x^2 + 5y^2, \quad f_2(x, y) = 2x^2 + 2xy + 3y^2.$$

We can take matrices $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_4 \in \Gamma$ satisfying

$$(5.6) \quad g_1 = f_1\gamma_1, \quad g_2 = f_1\gamma_2, \quad g_3 = f_2\gamma_3, \quad g_4 = f_2\gamma_4.$$

Explicitly, we obtain

$$\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Combining (5.5) and (5.6), we recover the result in the example in Section 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a} \in [\mathcal{O}_K] &\implies N(\mathfrak{a}) = f_1(2x + 1, 2y), \\ \mathfrak{a} \in [\mathfrak{c}] &\implies N(\mathfrak{a}) = f_2(2x, 2y + 1), \\ \mathfrak{a} \in [\mathfrak{c}^2] &\implies N(\mathfrak{a}) = f_1(2x, 2y + 1), \\ \mathfrak{a} \in [\mathfrak{c}^3] &\implies N(\mathfrak{a}) = f_2(2x, 2y + 1) \end{aligned}$$

with some integers x, y . Here the condition of the case $\mathfrak{a} \in [\mathfrak{c}^3]$ looks different from that of Section 4.1. Since f_2 is an ambiguous form and thus there is a stabilizer in $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$: $f_2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = f_2$, we obtain the same condition

$$\mathfrak{a} \in [\mathfrak{c}^3] \implies N(\mathfrak{a}) = f_2(2x + 1, 2y + 1).$$

As an application of Theorem 5.1, we give another explanation of a result by Cho [1, Theorem 1]. Let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant d_K . Let m and ℓ be positive integers and

$$H_{\ell m} = \ker((\mathbb{Z}/\ell m\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\}),$$

the special case of (2.5). Since $P_{\ell m}(\{\pm 1\}) \leq P_{\ell m}(H_{\ell m}) \leq I_{\ell m}$, there exists a class field K_{m, \mathcal{O}_ℓ} of K satisfying $\text{Gal}(K_{m, \mathcal{O}_\ell}/K) \cong I_{\ell m}/P_{\ell m}(H_{\ell m})$. We call K_{m, \mathcal{O}_ℓ} the *extended ring class field of level m* according to [3, §15]. The class field K_{m, \mathcal{O}_ℓ} is studied in [1] and [10]. Note that K_{1, \mathcal{O}_ℓ} is the ring class field of the order \mathcal{O}_ℓ , and K_{m, \mathcal{O}_K} is the ray class field modulo m of K . Let ℓ, n be positive integers satisfying $-4n = \ell^2 d_K$. Cho [1] proved that a prime number p not dividing $2mn$ splits completely in $K_{m, \mathcal{O}_\ell}/\mathbb{Q}$ if and only if $p = x^2 + ny^2$ with $(x, y) \equiv (1, 0) \pmod{m}$. In the following proposition, we give a representation of p by another quadratic form of discriminant d_K with congruence conditions.

Proposition 5.5. *Let n be a positive integer and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$. Let ℓ be a positive integer satisfying $-4n = \ell^2 d_K$ and \mathcal{O}_ℓ the order of K of conductor ℓ . Let m be a positive integer and K_{m, \mathcal{O}_ℓ} the extended ring class field of level m . If p is a prime number not dividing $2mn$, then*

p splits completely in K_{m, \mathcal{O}_ℓ}

$$\iff p = x^2 + ny^2 \text{ with some integers } x, y \text{ satisfying } (x, y) \equiv (1, 0) \pmod{m}$$

$$\iff \begin{cases} p = x^2 + xy + \frac{1-d_K}{4}y^2 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ p = x^2 - \frac{d_K}{4}y^2 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

with the congruence conditions $x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ and $y \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell m}$.

Proof. The first equivalence is proved in [1, Theorem 1]. We show the second one. Let $Q(x, y) = x^2 + ny^2$, the principal form of $F(-4n)$. If M is the matrix determined from Q by (5.1), then $\tilde{Q} = QM^{-1} \in F(d_K)$ holds by Theorem 5.1. We can compute

$$M = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\ell/2 \\ 0 & \ell \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \ell \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{Q}(x, y) = \begin{cases} x^2 + xy + \frac{1-d_K}{4}y^2 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ x^2 - \frac{d_K}{4}y^2 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that a prime p is represented by $Q(x, y)$ with the congruence condition $(x, y) \equiv (1, 0) \pmod{m}$. Since we can write $Q = \tilde{Q}M$, we have

$$p = Q(x, y) = \begin{cases} \tilde{Q}\left(x - \frac{\ell}{2}y, \ell y\right) & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \tilde{Q}(x, \ell y) & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

If we set $(X, Y) = (x, y)M^\top$, then we obtain $X \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ and $Y \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell m}$ in either case $d_K \equiv 1$ or 0 modulo 4. By reversing the argument, we can prove the converse. \square

There is an isomorphism between $(F(\ell^2 d_K)/\Gamma_{\pm 1}(m))'$ and $(F(d_K)/\Gamma(H_{\ell m}))'$ behind Proposition 5.5. This isomorphism is obtained as an extension of Theorem 5.1:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (F(\ell^2 d_K)/\Gamma_{\pm 1}(m))' & \longrightarrow & (F(d_K)/\Gamma(H_{\ell m}))' \\ \Psi \downarrow & & \uparrow \Phi_{\ell m} \\ I_m(\mathcal{O}_\ell)/P_m(\mathcal{O}_\ell, \{\pm 1\}) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & I_{\ell m}/P_{\ell m}(H_{\ell m}). \end{array}$$

For the definitions of Ψ and κ in the diagram, see Corollary 2.6 and Remark 2.7 (ii).

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for careful reading our manuscript and for pointing out the references [2], [5], [7] and [10]. His/Her helpful comments and suggestions helped a lot to improve the manuscript.

References

- [1] Bumkyu Cho. Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$ with conditions $x \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, $y \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. *J. Number Theory*, 130(4):852–861, 2010.
- [2] Bumkyu Cho. On the γ -equivalence of binary quadratic forms, 2017, arXiv:1711.00230.
- [3] David A. Cox. *Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$* . Pure and Applied Mathematics (Hoboken). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, second edition, 2013.
- [4] Fred Diamond and Jerry Shurman. *A first course in modular forms*, volume 228 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [5] Ick Sun Eum, Ja Kyung Koo, and Dong Hwa Shin. Binary quadratic forms and ray class groups. 2017, arXiv:1712.04140.
- [6] Yoshiomi Furuta. Gaussian composition of congruence classes. *Sci. Rep. Kanazawa Univ.*, 37(1):1–22, 1992.

- [7] Ho Yun Jung, Ja Kyung Koo, and Dong Hwa Shin. On some extension of gauss' work and applications, 2019, arXiv:1905.11690.
- [8] Masanari Kida and Genki Koda. Isoclinism classes of Galois groups of number fields. *Acta Arith.*, 191(2):115–149, 2019.
- [9] Masanari Kida and Norihiko Namura. On Artin L -functions of certain central extensions. *J. Number Theory*, 173:147–169, 2017.
- [10] Ja Kyung Koo, Dong Hwa Shin, and Dong Sung Yoon. Form class groups for extended ring class fields. *J. Number Theory*, 197:13–36, 2019.
- [11] Tomio Kubota. *Suron ronsetsu (in Japanese)*. Makino Shoten, Tokyo, 1999.
- [12] Shuji Yamamoto. On Kronecker limit formulas for real quadratic fields. *J. Number Theory*, 128(2):426–450, 2008.
- [13] D. B. Zagier. *Zetafunktionen und quadratische Körper*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981.

Genki Koda
Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science
Kagurazaka 1-3, Shinjuku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan
E-mail: 1117702@ed.tus.ac.jp