

## FIXED POINT PROPERTY FOR THE HYPERSPACES OF NON-METRIC CHAINABLE CONTINUA

IVAN LONČAR

ABSTRACT. The main purpose of this paper is to prove that some hyperspaces of a non-metric chainable continuum have the fixed point property.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

All spaces in this paper are compact Hausdorff and all mappings are continuous. The weight of a space  $X$  is denoted by  $w(X)$ .

A *generalized arc* is a Hausdorff continuum with exactly two non-separating points (end points)  $x, y$ . Each separable arc is homeomorphic to the closed interval  $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$ .

We say that a space  $X$  is *arcwise connected* if for every pair  $x, y$  of points of  $X$  there exists a generalized arc  $L$  with end points  $x, y$ .

An inverse system [3, pp. 135-142] is denoted by  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$ . Suppose that we have two inverse systems  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  and  $\mathbf{Y} = \{Y_b, q_{bc}, B\}$ . A *morphism of the system  $X$  into the system  $Y$*  [1, p. 15] is a family  $\{\varphi, \{f_b : b \in B\}\}$  consisting of a nondecreasing function  $\varphi : B \rightarrow A$  such that  $\varphi(B)$  is cofinal in  $A$ , and of maps  $f_b : X_{\varphi(b)} \rightarrow Y_b$  defined for all  $b \in B$  such that the following

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_{\varphi(b)} & \xleftarrow{p_{\varphi(b)\varphi(c)}} & X_{\varphi(c)} \\ \downarrow f_b & & \downarrow f_c \\ Y_b & \xleftarrow{q_{bc}} & Y_c \end{array}$$

diagram commutes. Any morphism  $\{\varphi, \{f_b : b \in B\}\} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  induces a map, called the *limit map of the morphism*

$$\lim\{\varphi, \{f_b : b \in B\}\} : \lim \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \lim \mathbf{Y}$$

In the present paper we deal with the inverse systems defined on the same indexing set  $A$ . In this case, the map  $\varphi : A \rightarrow A$  is taken to be the identity and we use the following notation  $\{f_a : X_a \rightarrow Y_a; a \in A\} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ .

The following result is well-known.

**Theorem 1.1.** [3, Exercise 2.5.D(b), p. 143]. *If for every  $s \in S$  an inverse system  $\mathbf{X}(s) = \{X_a(s), p_{ab}(s), A\}$  is given, then the family  $\Pi\{\mathbf{X}(s) : s \in S\} = \{\Pi\{X_a(s) : s \in S\}, \Pi\{p_{ab}(s) : s \in S\}, A\}$  is an inverse system and  $\lim(\Pi\{\mathbf{X}(s) : s \in S\})$  is homeomorphic to  $\Pi\{\lim \mathbf{X}(s) : s \in S\}$ .*

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54H25, 54F15; Secondary 54B35.

*Key words and phrases.* Fixed point property, Hyperspaces, Chainable Continuum, Inverse system.

If  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  is an inverse system, then we have inverse system  $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X} = \{X_a \times X_a, p_{ab} \times p_{ab}, A\}$ . Let  $X = \lim \mathbf{X}$ . By Theorem 1.1 we infer that  $X \times X$  is homeomorphic to the limit of inverse system  $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$ .

We say that an inverse system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  is *factorizing* [1, p. 17] if for each real-valued mapping  $f : \lim \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  there exist an  $a \in A$  and a mapping  $f_a : X_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = f_a p_a$ .

An inverse system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -directed if for each sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, \dots$  of the members of  $A$  there is an  $a \in A$  such that  $a \geq a_k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** [1, Corollary 1.3.2, p. 18]. *If  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system of compact spaces with surjective bonding mappings, then it is factorizing.*

An inverse system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  is said to be  $\tau$ -continuous [1, p. 19] if for each chain  $B$  in  $A$  with  $\text{card}(B) < \tau$  and  $\sup B = b$ , the diagonal product  $\Delta \{p_{ab} : a \in B\}$  maps the space  $X_b$  homeomorphically into the space  $\lim \{X_a, p_{ab}, B\}$ .

An inverse system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  is said to be  $\tau$ -system [1, p. 19] if:

- a)  $\tau \geq w(X_a)$  for every  $a \in A$ ,
- b) The system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  is  $\tau$ -continuous,
- c) The indexing set  $A$  is  $\tau$ -complete.

If  $\tau = \aleph_0$ , then  $\tau$ -system is called a  $\sigma$ -system. The following theorem is called the *Spectral Theorem* [1, p. 19].

**Theorem 1.3.** [1, Theorem 1.3.4, p. 19]. *If a  $\tau$ -system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  with surjective limit projections is factorizing, then each map of its limit space into the limit space of another  $\tau$ -system  $\mathbf{Y} = \{Y_a, q_{ab}, A\}$  is induced by a morphism of cofinal and  $\tau$ -closed subsystems. If two factorizing  $\tau$ -systems with surjective limit projections and the same indexing set have homeomorphic limit spaces, then they contain isomorphic cofinal and  $\tau$ -closed subsystems.*

Let us remark that the requirement of surjectivity of limit projections of systems in Theorem 1.3 is essential [1, p. 21].

In the sequel we will need the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** [7, Theorem 1.6, p. 402]. *If  $X$  is the Cartesian product  $X = \prod \{X_s : s \in S\}$ , where  $\text{card}(S) > \aleph_0$  and each  $X_s$  is compact, then there exists a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system  $\mathbf{X} = \{Y_a, P_{ab}, A\}$  of the countable products  $Y_a = \prod \{X_\mu : \mu \in a\}$ ,  $\text{card}(a) = \aleph_0$ , such that  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim \mathbf{X}$ .*

## 2. FIXED POINT PROPERTY FOR NON-METRIC COMPACT SPACES

A *fixed point* of a function  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a point  $p \in X$  such that  $f(p) = p$ . A space  $X$  is said to have the *fixed point property* provided that every surjective mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  has a fixed point.

First Step in the proving fixed point property for hyperspaces of non-metric chainable continua is the following general Theorem for fixed point property for non-metric continua.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -system of compact spaces with the limit  $X$  and onto projections  $p_a : X \rightarrow X_a$ . Let  $\{f_a : X_a \rightarrow X_a\} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  be a morphism. Then the induced mapping  $f = \lim \{f_a\} : X \rightarrow X$  has a fixed point if and only if each mapping  $f_a : X_a \rightarrow X_a$ ,  $a \in A$ , has a fixed point.*

*Proof. The if part.* Let  $F_a, a \in A$ , be a set of fixed points of the mapping  $f_a$ .

**Claim 1.** *Every set  $F_a$  is closed.* This is a consequence of the following theorem [3, Theorem 1.5.4., p. 59]. *For any pair  $f, g$  of mappings of a space  $X$  into a Hausdorff space  $Y$ , the set*

$$\{x \in X : f(x) = g(x)\}$$

*is closed in  $X$ .*

It suffices to set  $g(x) = x$  and  $Y = X$ .

**Claim 2.** *If  $b \geq a$ , then  $p_{ab}(F_b) \subset F_a$ .* Let  $x_b$  be any point of  $F_b$ . From the commutativity of the diagram (1.1) it follows  $p_{ab}(f_b(x)) = f_a(p_{ab}(x))$ . We have  $p_{ab}(x) = f_a(p_{ab}(x))$  since  $f_b(x) = x$ . This means that for the point  $y = p_{ab}(x) \in X_a$  we have  $y = f_a(y)$ , i.e.,  $y \in F_a$ . We infer that  $p_{ab}(x) \in F_a$  and  $p_{ab}(F_b) \subset F_a$ .

**Claim 3.**  $\mathbf{F} = \{F_a, p_{ab}|F_b, A\}$  *is an inverse system of compact spaces with the non-empty limit  $F$ .*

**Claim 4.** *The set  $F \subset X$  is the set of fixed points of the mapping  $f$ .* Let  $x \in F$  and let  $x_a = p_a(x)$ ,  $a \in A$ . Now,  $f_a(x_a) = x_a$  since  $x_a \in F_a$ . We infer that  $f(x) = x$  since the morphism  $\{f_a : a \in A\}$  induces  $f$ . The proof of the "if" part is complete.

*The only if part.* Suppose that the induced mapping  $f$  has a fixed point  $x$ . Let us prove that every mapping  $f_a, a \in A$ , has a fixed point. Now we have  $f_a p_a(x) = p_a f(x)$ . From  $f(x) = x$  it follows  $f_a p_a(x) = p_a(x)$ . We infer that  $p_a(x)$  is a fixed point for  $f_a$ .  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of this theorem and the Spectral theorem 1.3 we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let a non-metric continuum  $X$  be the inverse limit of an inverse  $\sigma$ -system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  such that each  $X_a$  has the fixed point property and each bonding mapping  $p_{ab}$  is onto. Then  $X$  has the fixed point property.*

The following result is an application of Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $S$  be an infinite set and  $Q = \prod\{X_s : s \in S\}$  Cartesian product of compact spaces. If each product  $X_{s_1} \times X_{s_2} \times \dots \times X_{s_n}$  of finitely many spaces  $X_s$  has the fixed point property, then  $Q$  has the fixed point property.*

*Proof.* We shall consider the following cases.

**Case 1.**  $\text{card}(S) = \aleph_0$ . We may assume that  $S = \mathbb{N}$ . The proof is a straightforward modification of the proof of [9, Corollary 3.5.3, pp. 106-107]. Let  $f : Q \rightarrow Q$  be continuous. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define

$$K_n = \{x \in Q : (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (f(x)_1, \dots, f(x)_n)\}.$$

It is clear that for every  $n$  the set  $K_n$  is closed in  $Q$  and that  $K_{n+1} \subset K_n$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $o_n$  be a given point of  $X_n$  and  $p_n : Q \rightarrow X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$  be the projection. Define continuous function  $f_n : X_1 \times \dots \times X_n \rightarrow X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$  by

$$f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (p_n f)(x_1, \dots, x_n, o_{n+1}, o_{n+2}, \dots).$$

By assumption of Theorem  $f_n$  has the fixed point property, say  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . It follows that

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n, o_{n+1}, o_{n+2}, \dots) \in K_n.$$

We conclude that  $\{K_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a decreasing collection of nonempty closed subsets of  $Q$ . By compactness of  $Q$  we have that

$$K = \bigcap \{K_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is nonempty. It is clear that every point in  $K$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

**Case 2**  $\text{card}(A) \geq \aleph_1$ . By Theorem 1.4 there exists a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system  $\mathbf{X} = \{Y_a, P_{ab}, A\}$  of the countable products  $Y_a = \prod\{X_\mu : \mu \in a\}$ ,  $\text{card}(a) = \aleph_0$ , such that  $Q$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim \mathbf{X}$ . By Case 1 each  $Y_a$  has the fixed point property. Finally, by Theorem 2.2 we infer that  $Q$  has the fixed point property.  $\square$

### 3. FIXED POINT PROPERTY FOR THE HYPERSPACES OF NON-METRIC CHAINABLE CONTINUA

In this Section we shall study the fixed point property of the hyperspaces of chainable continua.

A *chain*  $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$  is a finite collection of sets  $U_i$  such that  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $|i - j| \leq 1$ . A continuum  $X$  is said to be *chainable* or *arc-like* if each open covering of  $X$  can be refined by an open covering  $u = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$  such that  $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$  is a chain.

Second Step in the proving fixed point property for hyperspaces of non-metric chainable continua is the following general expanding Theorem for non-metric chainable continua into inverse  $\sigma$ -system.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $X$  is a chainable continuum, then there exists a  $\sigma$ -system  $\mathbf{Q}_\sigma = \{Q_\Delta, p_{\Delta\Gamma}, A_\sigma\}$  such that each  $Q_\Delta$  is a metric chainable continuum,  $p_{\Delta\Gamma}$  are surjections and  $X$  is homeomorphic with the inverse limit  $\lim \mathbf{Q}_\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is broken into several steps.

**Step 1.** *If  $X$  is a chainable continuum, then there exists a system  $\mathbf{Q} = \{Q_a, q_{ab}, A\}$  such that each  $Q_a$  is a metric chainable continuum and  $X$  is homeomorphic with the inverse limit  $\lim \mathbf{Q}$ .* By [8, Theorem 2\*] every chainable continuum  $X$  is homeomorphic with the inverse limit of an inverse system  $\{Q_a, q_{ab}, A\}$  of metric chainable continua  $Q_a$ . One can assume that  $q_{ab}$  are onto mappings since a closed connected subset  $C$  of chainable continuum is chainable.

**Step 2.** *There exists a  $\sigma$ -system of chainable continua such that  $X$  is homeomorphic with its inverse limit.* The inverse system  $\{Q_a, q_{ab}, A\}$  is not a  $\sigma$ -system. Now we shall prove that such inverse system exists. For each subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $(A, \leq)$  we define sets  $\Delta_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , by the inductive rule  $\Delta_{n+1} = \Delta_n \cup \{m(x, y) : x, y \in \Delta_n\}$ , where  $m(x, y)$  is a member of  $A$  such that  $x, y \leq m(x, y)$ . Let  $\Delta = \bigcup\{\Delta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . It is clear that  $\text{card}(\Delta) = \text{card}(\Delta_0)$ . Moreover,  $\Delta$  is directed by  $\leq$ . For each directed set  $(A, \leq)$  we define

$$A_\sigma = \{\Delta : \emptyset \neq \Delta \subset A, \text{card}(\Delta) \leq \aleph_0 \text{ and } \Delta \text{ is directed by } \leq\}.$$

Let us prove that  $A_\sigma$  is  $\sigma$ -directed and  $\sigma$ -complete. Let  $\{\Delta^1, \Delta^2, \dots, \Delta^n, \dots\}$  be a countable subset of  $A_\sigma = \{\Delta : \emptyset \neq \Delta \subset A, \text{card}(\Delta) \leq \aleph_0 \text{ and } \Delta \text{ is directed by } \leq\}$ . Then  $\Delta_0 = \bigcup\{\Delta^1, \Delta^2, \dots, \Delta^n, \dots\}$  is a countable subset of  $A_\sigma$ . Define sets  $\Delta_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , by the inductive rule  $\Delta_{n+1} = \Delta_n \cup \{m(x, y) : x, y \in \Delta_n\}$ , where  $m(x, y)$  is a member of  $A$  such that  $x, y \leq m(x, y)$ . Let  $\Delta = \bigcup\{\Delta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . It is clear that  $\text{card}(\Delta) = \text{card}(\Delta_0)$ . This means that  $\Delta$  is countable. Moreover  $\Delta \supseteq \Delta^i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $A_\sigma$  is  $\sigma$ -directed. Let us prove that  $A_\sigma$  is  $\sigma$ -complete. Let  $\Delta^1 \subset \Delta^2 \subset \dots \subset \Delta^n \subset \dots$  be a countable chain in  $A_\sigma$ . Then  $\Delta = \bigcup\{\Delta^i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is countable and directed subset of  $A$ , i.e.,  $\Delta \in A_\sigma$ . It is clear that  $\Delta \supseteq \Delta^i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, for each  $\Gamma \in A_\sigma$  with property  $\Gamma \supseteq \Delta^i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\Gamma \supseteq \Delta$ . Hence  $\Delta = \sup\{\Delta^i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . This means that  $A_\sigma$  is  $\sigma$ -complete.

If  $\Delta \in A_\sigma$ , let  $\mathbf{Q}^\Delta = \{Q_b, q_{bb'}, \Delta\}$  and  $Q_\Delta = \lim \mathbf{Q}^\Delta$ . If  $\Delta, \Gamma \in A_\sigma$  and  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ , let  $p_{\Delta\Gamma}: Q_\Gamma \rightarrow Q_\Delta$  denote the map induced by the projections  $q_\delta^\Gamma: Q_\Gamma \rightarrow Q_\delta$ ,  $\delta \in \Delta$ , of the inverse system  $\mathbf{Q}^\Gamma$ .

Now we shall prove that if  $\mathbf{Q} = \{Q_a, q_{ab}, A\}$  is an inverse system, then  $\mathbf{Q}_\sigma = \{Q_\Delta, p_{\Delta\Gamma}, A_\sigma\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -directed and  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system such that  $\lim \mathbf{Q}$  and  $\lim \mathbf{Q}_\sigma$  are homeomorphic. Each thread  $x = (x_a : a \in A)$  induces the thread  $(x_a : a \in \Delta)$  for each  $\Delta \in A_\sigma$ , i.e., the point  $q_\Delta \in Q_\Delta$ . This means that we have a mapping  $H : \lim \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \lim \mathbf{Q}_\sigma$  such that  $H(x) = (q_\Delta : \Delta \in A_\sigma)$ . It is obvious that  $H$  is continuous and 1-1. The mapping  $H$  is onto since the collections of the threads  $\{q_\Delta : \Delta \in A_\sigma\}$  induces the thread in  $\mathbf{Q}$ . We infer that  $H$  is a homeomorphism since  $\lim \mathbf{Q}$  is compact.

Finally, let us prove that every  $Q_\Delta$  is chainable. We may assume that  $\mathbf{Q}^\Delta = \{Q_b, q_{bb'}, \Delta\}$  is an inverse sequence since  $\Delta$  is countable and  $Q_\Delta = \lim \mathbf{Q}^\Delta$ . Let  $u = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$  be an open covering of  $Q_\Delta$ . There exists a  $b \in \Delta$  and an open covering  $u_b = \{U_1^b, \dots, U_m^b\}$  of  $Q_b$  such that  $\{q_b^{-1}(U_1^b), \dots, q_b^{-1}(U_m^b)\}$  refines the covering  $u = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ . There is a chain  $\{V_1^b, \dots, V_p^b\}$  which refines  $u_b$  since  $Q_b$  is chainable. It is clear that  $\{q_b^{-1}(V_1^b), \dots, q_b^{-1}(V_p^b)\}$  is a chain which refines the covering  $u$ . Hence,  $Q_\Delta$  is chainable.

**Step 3.** One can assume that  $p_{\Delta\Gamma}$  and  $p_\Delta : \lim Q_\sigma \rightarrow Q_\Delta$  are onto mappings. If  $p_{\Delta\Gamma}$  and  $p_\Delta : \lim Q_\sigma \rightarrow Q_\Delta$  are not onto mappings, then we shall use the inverse system  $\mathbf{Q}_\sigma^p = \{p_\Delta(\lim Q_\sigma), p_{\Delta\Gamma}|p_\Delta(\lim Q_\sigma), A_\sigma\}$ . Each  $p_{\Delta\Gamma}|p_\Delta(\lim Q_\sigma)$  is chainable since a closed connected subset of chainable continuum is chainable.

The proof is completed since  $X$  is representable as the inverse limit of  $\sigma$ -system  $\mathbf{Q}_\sigma = \{Q_\Delta, p_{\Delta\Gamma}, A_\sigma\}$  of metric chainable continua  $Q_\Delta$ . □

Finally, we represent the various hyperspaces of a non-metric chainable continuum  $X$  as the inverse limits of hyperspaces of metric chainable continua.

Let  $X$  be a space. We define its hyperspaces as the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} 2^X &= \{F \subseteq X : F \text{ is closed and nonempty}\}, \\ \mathcal{C}(X) &= \{F \in 2^X : F \text{ is connected}\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_n(X) &= \{A \subset X : A \text{ is nonempty and } A \text{ has at most } n \text{ points}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any finitely many subsets  $S_1, \dots, S_n$ , let

$$\langle S_1, \dots, S_n \rangle = \left\{ F \in 2^X : F \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i, \text{ and } F \cap S_i \neq \emptyset, \text{ for each } i \right\}.$$

The topology on  $2^X$  is the Vietoris topology, i.e., the topology with a base  $\{\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle : U_i \text{ is an open subset of } X \text{ for each } i \text{ and each } n < \infty\}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}(X), X(n)$  are subspaces of  $2^X$ . Moreover,  $X(1)$  is homeomorphic to  $X$ .

The topology on  $2^X$  is the Vietoris topology and  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$  is a subspaces of  $2^X$ .

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be the spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping. Define  $2^f : 2^X \rightarrow 2^Y$  by  $2^f(F) = f(F)$  for  $F \in 2^X$ . It is known that  $2^f$  is continuous and  $2^f(\mathcal{C}(X)) \subset \mathcal{C}(Y)$ . Moreover,  $2^f(\mathcal{F}_n(X)) \subset \mathcal{F}_n(Y)$ . The restriction  $2^f|_{\mathcal{C}(X)}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}(f)$ . Similarly, the restriction  $2^f|_{\mathcal{F}_n(X)}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_n(f)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  be an inverse system of compact spaces with the natural projections  $p_a : \lim X \rightarrow X_a, a \in A$ . Then  $2^{\mathbf{X}} = \{2^{X_a}, 2^{p_{ab}}, A\}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) = \{\mathcal{C}(X_a), \mathcal{C}(p_{ab}), A\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{X}) = \{\mathcal{F}_n(X_a), \mathcal{F}_n(p_{ab}), A\}$  form inverse systems.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $X = \lim \mathbf{X}$ . Then  $2^X = \lim 2^{\mathbf{X}}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(X) = \lim \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n(X) = \lim \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbf{X})$ .*

In [6, Corollary 5, p. 616] it is proved the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *The third symmetric product  $\mathcal{F}_3(X)$  of a metric chainable continuum  $X$  has the fixed point property.*

The proof given there is purely metric. This means that it is reasonable to give the proof for non-metric chainable continua.

**Theorem 3.4.** *The third symmetric product  $\mathcal{F}_3(X)$  of a chainable continuum  $X$  (metric or non-metric) has the fixed point property.*

*Proof.* If  $X$  is a metric chainable continuum, then apply Theorem 3.3. In order to complete the proof, we shall assume that  $X$  is non-metric chainable continuum. By Theorem 3.1 there exists a  $\sigma$ -system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  such that each  $X_a$  is a metric chainable continuum,  $p_{ab}$  are surjections and  $X$  is homeomorphic with the inverse limit  $\lim \mathbf{X}$ . Now we have a  $\sigma$ -system  $\mathcal{F}_3(\mathbf{X}) = \{\mathcal{F}_3(X_a), \mathcal{F}_3(p_{ab}), A\}$  whose limit is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}_3(X)$ . In order to apply Theorem 2.2 it suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{F}_3(p_{ab})$  are surjections for every  $a \leq b$ . Let  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \in \mathcal{F}_3(X_a)$ . The sets  $p_{ab}^{-1}(x_1), p_{ab}^{-1}(x_2), p_{ab}^{-1}(x_3)$  are non-empty since  $p_{ab}$  is onto. If  $y_1 \in p_{ab}^{-1}(x_1), y_2 \in p_{ab}^{-1}(x_2)$  and  $y_3 \in p_{ab}^{-1}(x_3)$ , then  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\} \in \mathcal{F}_3(X_b)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_3(p_{ab})(\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \in \mathcal{F}_3(X_a)$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{F}_3(p_{ab})$  is a surjection. By Theorem 3.3 each  $X_a$  has the fixed point property. Finally, by Theorem 2.2 we infer that  $\mathcal{F}_3(X)$  has the fixed point property.  $\square$

From the proof of the above theorem it is clear that is true the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.5.** *The  $n$ th-symmetric product  $\mathcal{F}_n(X)$  of a chainable non-metric continuum  $X$  has the fixed point property if the  $n$ th-symmetric product of every chainable metric continuum has the fixed point property.*

From this theorem we shall give the following result.

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $X$  is a non-metric chainable continuum, then  $X$  has the fixed point property.*

*Proof.* Now  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}_1(X)$  which is homeomorphic to  $\lim \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbf{X})$ . From Theorem 3.5 it follows that  $\lim \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbf{X})$  has the fixed point property since each metric chainable continuum  $\mathcal{F}_1(Y)$  (homeomorphic to  $Y$ ) has the fixed point property [4].  $\square$

Another hyperspace of a continuum is the hyperspace  $\mathcal{C}(X) = \{F \in 2^X : F \text{ is connected}\}$ . The following result is known.

**Theorem 3.7.** [11]. *If  $Y$  is a metric chainable continuum, then  $\mathcal{C}(Y)$  has the fixed point property.*

For non-metric chainable continua we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.8.** *If  $X$  is a non-metric chainable continuum, then  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  has the fixed point property.*

*Proof.* If  $X$  is a metric chainable continuum, then apply Theorem 3.7. In order to complete the proof, we shall assume that  $X$  is non-metric chainable continuum. By Theorem 3.1 there exists a  $\sigma$ -system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  such that each  $X_a$  is a metric chainable continuum,  $p_{ab}$  are surjections and  $X$  is homeomorphic with the inverse limit  $\lim \mathbf{X}$ . Now we have a  $\sigma$ -system  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) = \{\mathcal{C}(X_a), \mathcal{C}(p_{ab}), A\}$  whose limit is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ . In order to apply Theorem 2.2 it suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{C}(p_{ab})$  are surjections for every  $a \leq b$ . Let  $C \in \mathcal{C}(X_a)$ . The set  $p_{ab}^{-1}(C)$  contains a continuum  $D$  in  $Y$  such that  $p_{ab}(D) = C$  ([10, Theorem 12.46, p. 262]). Hence,  $\mathcal{C}(p_{ab})$  is a surjection. By Theorem 3.7 each  $X_a$  has the fixed point property. Finally, by Theorem 2.2 we infer that  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  has the fixed point property.  $\square$

#### 4. FIXED POINT PROPERTY OF THE PRODUCT OF CHAINABLE CONTINUA

Dyer [2, Theorem 1, p. 663] showed the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose that  $M$  is the Cartesian product of  $n$  compact chainable metric continua  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  and  $f$  is a continuous mapping of  $M$  into itself. Then there is a point  $x \in M$  such that  $x = f(x)$ .*

For  $n = 2$  we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.** [5, p. 199, Exercise 22.26]. *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are metric chainable continua, then  $X \times Y$  has the fixed point property.*

Dyer [2, Corollary, p.665] showed the following general result.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Cartesian product of the elements of any collection of chainable metric continua has the fixed point property.*

We will show that last Theorem 4.3 is true for non-metrizable chainable continua.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Cartesian product of the elements of any collection of chainable continua of the same weight has the fixed point property.*

*Proof.* If for every  $s \in S$  we have a chainable non-metrizable continuum  $X(s)$ , then, for every  $s \in S$ , there exists an inverse system  $\mathbf{X}(s) = \{X_a(s), p_{ab}(s), A(s)\}$  such that  $X(s)$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim \mathbf{X}(s)$  and every  $X_a(s)$  is a metric chainable continuum (Theorem 3.1). If  $w(X(s_1)) = w(X(s_2)), s_1, s_2 \in S$ , then  $A(s_1) = A(s_2)$  and we may suppose that  $A(s) = A$  for every  $s \in S$ . By Theorem 1.1 the family  $\Pi\{\mathbf{X}(s) : s \in S\} = \{\Pi\{X_a(s) : s \in S\}, \Pi\{p_{ab}(s) : s \in S\}, A\}$  is an inverse system and  $\lim(\Pi\{\mathbf{X}(s) : s \in S\})$  is homeomorphic to  $\Pi\{\lim \mathbf{X}(s) : s \in S\}$ . From Theorem 4.3 it follows that each  $\Pi\{X_a(s) : s \in S\}$  has the fixed point property. Finally, from Theorem 2.2 it follows that  $\Pi\{X(s) : s \in S\}$  has the fixed point property.  $\square$

**QUESTION.** Is it true that the assumption "of the same weight" in Theorem 4.4 can be omitted?

As an immediate application of Theorem 4.4 we give the following generalization of Brouwer Fixed-Point Theorem. Let  $L$  be a non-metric arc. The space  $X$  is said to be a *generalized  $n$ -cell* if it is homeomorphic to  $L^n = L \times L \times \dots \times L$  ( $n$  factors).

**Theorem 4.5.** *Every mapping  $f : L^n \rightarrow L^n$  has a fixed point, i.e.,  $L^n$  has the fixed point property.*

Theorem 4.5 implies the following result.

**Theorem 4.6.** *If  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  are arcs (metric or non-metric), then  $L_1 \times L_2 \times \dots \times L_n$  has the fixed point property.*

*Proof. Step 1.* If  $M$  is a subarc of the arc  $L$ , then there exists a retraction  $r : L \rightarrow M$ . Let  $a, b, c, d$  be end points of  $L$  and  $M$  such that  $a \leq c < d \leq b$ . We define  $r : L \rightarrow M$  as follows:

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } a \leq x \leq c, \\ x & \text{if } c \leq x \leq d, \\ d & \text{if } d \leq x \leq b. \end{cases}$$

**Step 2.** *If  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n$  is a finite collection of arcs, then there an arc  $L$  such that  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n$  are subarc of  $L$ . For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  let  $a_i, b_i$  be a pair of end points of  $L_i$  such that  $a_i < b_i$ . If we identify the pair of points  $\{b_1, a_2\}, \{b_2, a_3\}, \dots, \{b_{n-1}, a_n\}$  we obtain an arc  $L$  such that  $L_i \subset L$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .*

**Step 3.**  $L_1 \times L_2 \times \dots \times L_n$  is a retract of  $L^n$ . Let  $L$  and  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n$  be as in Step 2. Let  $r_i : L \rightarrow L_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be a retraction defined in Step 1. Let us prove that  $r = r_1 \times r_2 \times \dots \times r_n$  is a retraction of  $L^n$  onto  $L_1 \times L_2 \times \dots \times L_n$ . If  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in L^n$ , then we have:  $r_1 \times r_2 \times \dots \times r_n(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = (r_1(y_1), r_2(y_2), \dots, r_n(y_n)) \in L_1 \times L_2 \times \dots \times L_n$  since  $r_i(y_i) \in L_i$ . If  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in L_1 \times L_2 \times \dots \times L_n$ , then  $r_1 \times r_2 \times \dots \times r_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (r_1(x_1), r_2(x_2), \dots, r_n(x_n)) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in L_1 \times L_2 \times \dots \times L_n$  since  $r_i(x_i) \in x_i$ .

**Step 4.** The product  $L_1 \times L_2 \times \dots \times L_n$  has the fixed point property since it is retract of the product  $L^n$  which has the fixed point property (Theorem 4.5). The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.7.** *If  $L = \Pi\{L_s : s \in S\}$  is a Cartesian product of arcs  $L_s$ , then  $L$  has the fixed point property.*

*Proof.* Apply Theorems 4.6 and 2.3.  $\square$

For Cartesian product of two chainable continua the assumption concerning the weight in Theorem 4.4 can be omitted.

**Theorem 4.8.** *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are non-metrizable chainable continua, then  $X \times Y$  has the fixed point property.*

*Proof.* First we shall prove that if  $X$  is any chainable continuum and if  $Y$  is a metric chainable continuum, then  $X \times Y$  has the fixed point property. By Theorem 3.1 there exists a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  such that each  $X_a$  is a metric chainable continuum and  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim \mathbf{X}$ . It is clear that  $\mathbf{X} \times Y = \{X_a \times Y, p_{ab} \times id, A\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system whose limit is homeomorphic to  $X \times Y$ . Every  $X_a \times Y$  has the fixed point property since it is the product of metric chainable continua (Theorem 4.2). Applying Theorem 2.2 we infer that  $X \times Y$  has the fixed point property.

Suppose now that  $X$  and  $Y$  are non-metric chainable continua. Using again Theorem 3.1 we obtain a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_a, p_{ab}, A\}$  such that each  $X_a$  is a metric chainable continuum and  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim \mathbf{X}$ . It is clear that  $\mathbf{X} \times Y = \{X_a \times Y, p_{ab} \times id, A\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -representation of  $X \times Y$ . From the first part of this proof it follows that every  $X_a \times Y$  has the fixed point property since it is the product of metric chainable continuum  $X_a$  and an chainable continuum  $Y$ . Applying Theorem 2.2 we infer that  $X \times Y$  has the fixed point property.  $\square$



We close this section with the fixed point property for multifunctions on chainable continua.

A *multifunction*,  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ , from a space  $X$  to a space  $Y$  is a point-to-set correspondence such that, for each  $x \in X$ ,  $F(x)$  is a subset of  $Y$ . For any  $y \in Y$ , we write  $F^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X : y \in F(x)\}$ . If  $A \subset X$  and  $B \subset Y$ , then  $F(A) = \cup\{F(x) : x \in A\}$  and  $F^{-1}(B) = \cup\{F^{-1}(y) : y \in B\}$ .

A multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *continuous* if and only if: (i)  $F(x)$  is closed for each  $x \in X$ , (ii)  $F^{-1}(B)$  is closed for each closed set  $B$  in  $Y$ , (iii)  $F^{-1}(V)$  is open for each open set  $V$  in  $Y$ .

A topological space  $X$  is said to have F.p.p (fixed point property for multi-valued functions) if for every multi-valued continuous function  $F : X \rightarrow X$  there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $x \in F(x)$ . It follows that  $X$  has F.p.p if for every single-valued continuous function  $F : X \rightarrow 2^X$  there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $x \in F(x)$ .

**Theorem 4.9.** [12]. *If  $X$  is any metric chainable continuum, then  $X$  has the F.p.p.*

Now we shall prove the following result.

**Theorem 4.10.** *Each chainable continuum  $X$  has the F.p.p.*

*Proof.* If an chainable continuum is metrizable, then it has F.p.p (Theorem 4.9). Suppose that chainable continuum  $X$  is non-metrizable. By virtue of Theorem 3.1 there exists a  $\sigma$ -system  $\mathbf{X}_\sigma = \{X_\Delta, P_{\Delta\Gamma}, A_\sigma\}$  of metric chainable continua  $X_\Delta$  and onto mappings  $P_{\Delta\Gamma}$  such that  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim \mathbf{X}_\sigma$ . Moreover, we have the inverse system  $2^{\mathbf{X}} = \{2^{X_a}, 2^{p_{ab}}, A\}$  whose limit is  $2^X$ . Let  $F : X \rightarrow 2^X$  be a continuous mapping. From Theorem 1.3 it follows that there exists a subset  $B$  cofinal in  $A$  such that for every  $b \in B$  there exists a continuous mapping  $F_b : X_b \rightarrow 2^{X_b}$  with the property that  $\{F_b : b \in B\}$  is a morphism which induce  $F$ . Theorem 4.9 implies that the set  $Y_b \subset X_b, b \in B$ , of fixed points of  $F_b$  is non-empty. Let us prove that  $Y_b$  is a closed subset of  $X_b$ . We shall prove that  $U_b = X_b \setminus Y_b$  is open. Let  $x_b \in U_b$ . This means that  $x_b$  and  $F_b(x_b)$  are disjoint closed subset of  $X_b$ . By the normality of  $X_b$  there exists a pair of open sets  $U, V$  such that  $x_b \in U$  and  $Y_b \subset V$ . From the continuity of  $F_b$  it follows that there exists an open set  $W \subset U$  such that for every  $x \in W$  we have  $f(x) \subset V$ . Hence,  $U_b$  is open and, consequently,  $Y_b$  is closed. Now, we shall prove that the collection  $\{Y_b, p_{bc}|Y_c, B\}$  is an inverse system. To do this we have to prove that if  $c > b$ , then  $p_{bc}(Y_c) \subset Y_b$ . Let  $x_c$  be a point of  $Y_c$ . This means that  $x_c \in f_c(x_c)$ . Hence,  $p_{bc}(x_c) \in p_{bc}(f_c(x_c)) = F_b p_{bc}(x_c)$ . We conclude that the point  $x_b = p_{bc}(x_c)$  has the property  $x_b \in f_b(x_b)$ , i.e.,  $x_b = p_{bc}(x_c) \in Y_b$ . Finally,  $p_{bc}(Y_c) \subset Y_b$ . and  $\{Y_b, p_{bc}|Y_c, B\}$  is an inverse system with non-empty limit. Let  $Y = \lim \{Y_b, p_{bc}|Y_c, B\}$ . In order to complete the proof we shall prove that for every  $x \in Y$  we have  $x \in F(x)$ . Now we have  $p_b(x) \in Y_b$ , i.e.,  $p_b(x) \in F_b(p_b(x)) = p_b F(x)$ , for every  $b \in B$ . It follows that  $x \in F(x)$  since  $x \notin F(x)$  implies that there is a  $b \in B$  such that  $p_b(x) \notin p_b F(x)$ . We conclude that  $F$  has the fixed point property.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Chigogidze, *Inverse spectra*, Elsevier, 1996.
- [2] E. Dyer, *A fixed point theorem*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 7 (1956), 662-672.
- [3] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- [4] O.H. Hamilton, *A fixed point theorem for pseudo-arcs and certain other metric continua*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2(1951), 173-174.
- [5] A. Illanes and S. B. Nadler, J., *Hyperspaces : Fundamentals and Recent Advances*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1999.
- [6] A. Illanes, *Fixed point property on symmetric products of chainable continua*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 50 (2009), 615–628.
- [7] I. Lončar, *A fan  $X$  admits a Whitney map for  $C(X)$  iff it is metrizable*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 38 (58) (2003), 395 - 411.
- [8] S. Mardešić, *Chainable continua and inverse limits*, Glas. Mat. Fiz. i Astr. 14 (1959), 219-232.
- [9] J. van Mill, *Infinite-Dimensional Topology*, Elsevier Sci. Pub. 1989.
- [10] S. B. Nadler, Jr., *Continuum theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1992.
- [11] J. Segal, *A fixed point theorem for the hyperspaces of snake-like continuum*, Fund. Math. 50 (1962), 237-248.
- [12] L.E. Ward, *Mobs, Trees, and Fixed Points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 798-804.

FACULTY OF ORGANIZATIONS AND INFORMATICS VARAŽDIN, CROATIA  
E-mail address: `iloncar@tele2.hr` or `ivan.loncar@foi.hr`