

ON A GENERALIZATION OF ATOMIC DECOMPOSITIONS

S.K. KAUSHIK AND S.K. SHARMA

ABSTRACT. We generalize atomic decomposition for Banach spaces and called it T -atomic decomposition. A necessary condition for T -atomic decomposition is given. A characterization for a triangular atomic decomposition is also given. Finally, as an application of triangular atomic decompositions, we prove that if a Banach space has a triangular atomic decomposition, then it also has an approximative atomic decomposition, an atomic decomposition and a fusion Banach frame.

1. INTRODUCTION

Coifman and Weiss [3] introduced the notion of atomic decomposition for function spaces. Feichtinger and Gröchenig [5] extended the notion of atomic decomposition to Banach spaces. Frazier and Jawerth [6] had constructed wavelet atomic decompositions for Besov spaces which they called as ϕ -transform. Feichtinger [4] constructed Gabor atomic decompositions for the modulation spaces which are Banach spaces similar in many respects to Besov spaces, defined by smoothness and decay conditions. Atomic decompositions have played a key role in the development of wavelet theory and Gabor theory. Atomic decompositions and Banach frames were further studied in [1, 2, 8].

Motivated by Kozolov [10], we generalize atomic decompositions for Banach spaces. Infact, we introduce the notion of T -atomic decomposition for Banach spaces. Also, a necessary condition for T -atomic decomposition has been obtained. Further, a characterization for triangular atomic decomposition and a characterization for Banach frames have been obtained. Finally, as an application of triangular atomic decompositions, it has been proved that if a Banach space E has a triangular atomic decomposition, then E also has an approximative atomic decomposition, an atomic decomposition and a fusion Banach frame.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, E will denote a Banach space over the scalar field \mathbb{K} (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}), E^* the dual space of E , $L(E)$ the space of all linear operator on E , $[x_n]$ the closed linear span of $\{x_n\}$ in the norm topology of E , E_d an associated Banach space of scalar-valued sequences, indexed by \mathbb{N} .

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in E is said to be *complete* if $[x_n] = E$ and a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in E^* is said to be *total* over E if $\{x \in E : f_n(x) = 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{0\}$. A sequence of projections $\{v_n\}$ on E is *total* on E if $\{x \in E : v_n(x) = 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{0\}$.

Definition 2.1 ([5]). Let E be a Banach space and E_d be an associated Banach space of scalar-valued sequences, indexed by \mathbb{N} . Let $\{x_n\} \subset E$ and $\{f_n\} \subset E^*$. Then, $(\{f_n\}, \{x_n\})$ is called an *atomic decomposition* for E with respect to E_d , if

- (i) $\{f_n(x)\} \in E_d, x \in E$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42C15, 42A38.

Key words and phrases. Atomic Decomposition, Banach Frame, Fusion Banach Frame.

- (ii) there exist constants A and B with $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that $A\|x\|_E \leq \|\{f_n(x)\}\|_{E_d} \leq B\|x\|_E$, $x \in E$
- (iii) $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)x_i$, $x \in E$.

The constants A and B , respectively, are called lower and upper atomic bounds of the atomic decomposition $(\{f_n\}, \{x_n\})$.

Definition 2.2 ([7]). Let E be a Banach space and E_d be an associated Banach space of scalar-valued sequences, indexed by \mathbb{N} . Let $\{f_n\} \subset E^*$ and $S : E_d \rightarrow E$ be given. Then, $(\{f_n\}, S)$ is called a *Banach frame* for E with respect to E_d , if

- (i) $\{f_n(x)\} \in E_d$, $x \in E$
- (ii) there exist constants A and B with $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that
- (2.1) $A\|x\|_E \leq \|\{f_n(x)\}\|_{E_d} \leq B\|x\|_E$, $x \in E$
- (iii) S is a bounded linear operator such that $S(\{f_n(x)\}) = x$, $x \in E$.

The constants A and B , respectively, are called lower and upper frame bounds of the Banach frame $(\{f_n\}, S)$. The operator $S : E_d \rightarrow E$ is called the reconstruction operator (or, the pre-frame operator). The inequality (2.1) is called the Banach frame inequality.

A generalization of the concept of Banach frame namely, fusion Banach frame was introduced and studied in [9] and defined as follows:

Definition 2.3. Let E be a Banach space. Let $\{G_n\}$ be a sequence of subspaces of E and $\{v_n\}$ be a sequence of non-zero linear projections such that $v_n(E) = G_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach space associated with E and $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ be an operator. Then, $(\{G_n, v_n\}, S)$ is called a *frame of subspaces* (or, *fusion Banach frame*) for E with respect to \mathcal{A} , if

- (i) $\{v_n(x)\} \in \mathcal{A}$, $x \in E$
- (ii) there exist constants A and B with $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that $A\|x\|_E \leq \|\{v_n(x)\}\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq B\|x\|_E$, $x \in E$
- (iii) S is a bounded linear operator such that $S(\{v_n(x)\}) = x$, $x \in E$.

The constants A and B , respectively, are called lower and upper frame bounds of the frame of subspaces $(\{G_n, v_n\}, S)$.

The following results are referred in this paper and are listed in the form of lemmas:

Lemma 2.4 ([12]). *If E is a Banach space and $\{f_n\} \subset E^*$ is total over E , then E is linearly isometric to the associated Banach space $E_d = \{\{f_n(x)\} : x \in E\}$, where the norm is given by $\|\{f_n(x)\}\|_{E_d} = \|x\|_E$, $x \in E$.*

Lemma 2.5 ([9]). *Let $\{G_n\}$ be a sequence of non-trivial subspaces of E and $\{v_n\}$ be a sequence of non-zero linear projections with $v_n(E) = G_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\{v_n\}$ is total over E , then $\mathcal{A} = \{\{v_n(x)\} : x \in E\}$ is a Banach space with norm $\|\{v_n(x)\}\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|x\|_E$, $x \in E$.*

3. MAIN RESULTS

We begin with the following definition of T -atomic decomposition

Definition 3.1. Let E be a Banach space, E_d be an associated Banach space of scalar-valued sequences, indexed by \mathbb{N} and $T = (t_{nm})$ be a matrix of scalars such

that

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |t_{nj}| \leq M < \infty, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{nj} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{nj} = 1.$$

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in E and $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence in E^* . Then, $(T, \{f_n\}, \{x_n\})$ is called a T -atomic decomposition for E with respect to E_d , if

- (i) $\{f_n(x)\} \in E_d, x \in E$
- (ii) there exist constants A and B with $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A\|x\|_E \leq \|\{f_n(x)\}\|_{E_d} \leq B\|x\|_E, \quad x \in E$$

$$(iii) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{nj} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j f_i(x)x_i \right) = x, \quad x \in E.$$

In case, T is a triangular matrix, then $(T, \{f_n\}, \{x_n\})$ is said to be a *triangular atomic decomposition* for E with respect to E_d .

Regarding the existence of T -atomic decomposition, let E be a Banach space, $(\{f_n\}, \{x_n\})$ ($\{f_n\} \subset E^*, \{x_n\} \subset E$) be an atomic decomposition for E with respect to an associated Banach space E_d and $T = (t_{nm})$ be a matrix such that $t_{nn} = 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_{nm} = 0$, $m \neq n$. Then $(T, \{f_n\}, \{x_n\})$ is a T -atomic decomposition for E with respect to E_d .

Also, one may observe that if E is a Banach space and $(T, \{f_n\}, \{x_n\})$ ($T = (t_{nm}), \{f_n\} \subset E^*, \{x_n\} \subset E$) is a T -atomic decomposition for E with respect to E_d , then $\{x_n\}$ is complete in E and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma_n : E \rightarrow E$ defined by

$$\sigma_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{nj} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j f_i(x)x_i \right), \quad x \in E$$

is well defined bounded linear operator such that $\sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \|\sigma_n\| < \infty$.

Conversely, we have the following example

Example 3.2. Let $E = c_0$, the space of all sequences convergent to 0 in \mathbb{K} . Let $T = (t_{nm})$ be a matrix such that $t_{nn} = 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_{nm} = 0$, $n \neq m$. Let $\{e_n\}$ be the sequence of unit vectors in E and $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence in E^* defined by

$$f_n = (0, 0, \dots, \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ n^{\text{th}} \text{ position}}}{(-1)^n}, 0, 0, \dots), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, $\{e_n\}$ is complete in E and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma_n : E \rightarrow E$ defined by

$$\sigma_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{nj} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j f_i(x)e_i \right), \quad x \in E$$

is well defined bounded linear operator such that $\sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \|\sigma_n\| < \infty$. But $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n(x) \neq x$, for some $x \in E$. Infact, if we take $x = (1, 0, 0, \dots) \in E$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n(x) \neq x$. Hence, $(T, \{f_n\}, \{e_n\})$ is not a T -atomic decomposition for E with respect to any associated Banach space E_d .

In the next result, we prove that for any matrix $T = (t_{nm})$ satisfying (3.1)-(3.3), every atomic decomposition for E is also a T -atomic decomposition for E .

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(\{f_n\}, \{x_n\})$ be an atomic decomposition for a Banach space E with respect to E_d . Then, for any matrix $T = (t_{nm})$ satisfying (3.1)-(3.3), $(T, \{f_n\}, \{x_n\})$ is a T -atomic decomposition for E with respect to E_d .*

Proof. Let c_E be the Banach space of all convergent sequences of elements of E with the norm $\|\{z_k\}\|_{c_E} = \sup_{1 \leq k < \infty} \|z_k\|_E$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $u_n : c_E \rightarrow E$ by

$$u_n(\{z_k\}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{nj} z_j, \quad \{z_k\} \in c_E.$$

Then, each u_n is well defined on c_E and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\| &= \sup_{\{z_k\} \in c_E} \|u_n(\{z_k\})\| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |t_{nj}| \leq M, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for any $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, 0, 0, \dots\} \in c_E$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, 0, 0, \dots\}) = 0$$

and, for any $\{x, x, x, \dots\} \in c_E$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x, x, x, \dots) = x, \quad x \in E.$$

Since, the set of all the elements of the form $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, 0, 0, \dots\}$ and $\{x, x, x, \dots\}$, where $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in E$, $1 \leq m < \infty$ and $x \in E$ is complete in c_E , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{nj} z_j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(\{z_k\}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_k.$$

Define, $S_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) x_i$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in E$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(x) = x$, $x \in E$.

Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{nj} s_j(x) = x$, $x \in E$.

Hence, $(T, \{f_n\}, \{x_n\})$ is a T -atomic decomposition for E with respect to E_d . \square

The converse of Theorem 3.3 may not be true as shown by the following example

Example 3.4. Let $E = \ell^2$. Define $\{x_n\} \subset E$ and $\{f_n\} \subset E^*$ by

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &= e_n - e_{n+1} \\ f_n(x) &= \langle e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n, x \rangle, \quad x \in E, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then, $(\{f_n\}, \{x_n\})$ is not an atomic decomposition for E with respect to any associated Banach space E_d . But, by Lemma 2.4, there exist an associated Banach space $E_{d_0} = \{\{f_n(x) : x \in E\}\}$ with the norm $\|\{f_n(x)\}\|_{E_{d_0}} = \|x\|_E$, $x \in E$ and a matrix $T = (t_{nm})$ given by $t_{nm} = \frac{1}{n}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $t_{nm} = 0$ for $m > n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) such that $(T, \{f_n\}, \{x_n\})$ is a T -atomic decomposition for E with respect to E_{d_0} .

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_n(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{n-i+1}{n} f_i(x) x_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{n-i+1}{n} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^i e_j, x \right\rangle (e_i - e_{i+1}) \\
&= \langle e_1, x \rangle e_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \left[\frac{n-i+1}{n} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^i e_j, x \right\rangle e_i - \frac{n-i+2}{n} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} e_j, x \right\rangle e_i \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{n} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n e_j, x \right\rangle e_{n+1} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{n-i+1}{n} \langle e_i, x \rangle e_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} e_j, x \right\rangle e_i, \quad x \in E, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots .
\end{aligned}$$

Since, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i, x \rangle e_i = x$, $x \in E$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{n-i+1}{n} \langle e_i, x \rangle e_i = x, \quad x \in E.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $v_n : E \rightarrow E$ by

$$v_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^i e_j, x \right\rangle e_{i+1}, \quad x \in E, n = 1, 2, \dots .$$

Then, each v_n is well defined bounded linear operator on E . Also, for each $n, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we have

$$\|v_n(e_k)\|^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^i e_j, e_k \right\rangle \right|^2 = \frac{n-k+1}{n^2}.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n(e_k)\|^2 = 0$. Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(x) = 0$, $x \in \text{span}\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. Also, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_n(x)\|^2 &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^i e_j, x \right\rangle \right|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^i e_j \right\|^2 \|x\|^2 \\
&= \frac{n(n+1)}{2n^2} \|x\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x\|^2, \quad x \in E, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots ,
\end{aligned}$$

we have, $\sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \|v_n\| < \infty$. Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n(x) = x$, $x \in E$.

Next, we give a necessary condition for a T -atomic decomposition in a Banach space.

Theorem 3.5. *Let E be a Banach space and $T = (t_{nm})$ be a matrix satisfying (3.1)-(3.3). If $(T, \{f_n\}, \{x_n\}) (\{f_n\} \subset E^*, \{x_n\} \subset E)$ is a T -atomic decomposition for E with respect to E_d . Then for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a linear operator $v_{nm} \in L(E)$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v_{nm}(x) = x, \quad x \in E.$$

Proof. For each $n, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, define

$$v_{nm}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m t_{nj} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j f_i(x) x_i \right), \quad x \in E.$$

Then, $v_{nm} \in L(E)$. Also

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v_{nm}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{nj} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j f_i(x) x_i \right), \quad x \in E.$$

Since, $(T, \{f_n\}, \{x_n\})$ is a T -atomic decomposition for E , therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{nj} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j f_i(x) x_i \right) = x, \quad x \in E. \quad \square$$

Let E be a Banach space and $T = (t_{nm})$ be a triangular matrix satisfying (3.1)-(3.3). Let $\{x_n\}$ be any sequence in E and $\{f_n\}$ be any sequence in E^* . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^n t_{nj} \sum_{i=1}^j f_i(x) x_i, \quad x \in E, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ E_0^{(T)} &= \{x \in E : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n(x) = x\} \text{ and} \\ E_1^{(T)} &= \{x \in E : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n(x) \text{ exists}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following result characterizes triangular atomic decompositions in terms of $\{\sigma_n\}$ and $E_0^{(T)}$

Theorem 3.6. *Let E be a Banach space and $T = (t_{nm})$ be a triangular matrix satisfying (3.1)-(3.3). Let $\{f_n\} \subset E^*$ and $\{x_n\} \subset E$. Then there exists an associated Banach space E_{d_0} such that $(T, \{f_n\}, \{x_n\})$ is a triangular atomic decomposition for E with respect to E_{d_0} if and only if $\{\sigma_n\}$ is total on E and $E_0^{(T)} = E$.*

Proof. Assume that $E_0^{(T)} = E$ and $\{\sigma_n\}$ is total on E . Let $x \in E$ such that $f_n(x) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\sigma_n(x) = 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So totality of $\{\sigma_n\}$ yields $x = 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, there exists an associated Banach space $E_{d_0} = \{\{f_n(x)\} : x \in E\}$ with the norm $\|\{f_n(x)\}\|_{E_{d_0}} = \|x\|_E$, $x \in E$. Also, by hypothesis, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{nj} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j f_i(x) x_i \right) = x, \quad x \in E. \text{ Hence, } (T, \{f_n\}, \{x_n\}) \text{ is a triangular atomic decomposition for } E \text{ with respect to } E_{d_0}.$$

The converse part is straight forward. \square

We conclude this section with the following characterization of Banach frames in terms of $E_0^{(T)}$ and $E_1^{(T)}$

Theorem 3.7. *Let E be a Banach space and $T = (t_{nm})$ be a triangular matrix satisfying (3.1)-(3.3). Let $\{x_n\} \subset E$ and $\{f_n\} \subset E^*$ such that $f_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist an associated Banach space E_d and a bounded linear operator*

$S : E_d \rightarrow E$ such that $(\{f_n\}, S)$ is Banach frame for E with respect to E_d if and only if $E_0^{(T)} = E_1^{(T)}$.

Proof. Let $(\{f_n\}, S)$ be a Banach frame for E . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(\sigma_n(x)) &= f_m\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{nj}\left(\sum_{i=1}^j f_i(x)x_i\right)\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} t_{nj}\right)f_m(x), \quad n, m = 1, 2, 3 \dots \text{ and } x \in E. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in E_1^{(T)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(x - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n(x)) &= f_m(x) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} t_{nj}\right)f_m(x) \\ &= f_m(x) \left[1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{nj} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} t_{nj}\right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the frame inequality for the Banach frame $(\{f_n\}, S)$, we have $x \in E_0^{(T)}$.

Conversely, let $x \in E$ be such that $f_n(x) = 0$, $n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$. Then $\sigma_n(x) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since, $E_0^{(T)} = E_1^{(T)}$, we have $x = 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, there exist associated Banach space $E_{d_0} = \{\{f_n(x)\} : x \in E\}$ with the norm $\|\{f_n(x)\}\|_{E_{d_0}} = \|x\|_E$, $x \in E$ and a bounded linear operator $S : E_{d_0} \rightarrow E$ defined by $S(\{f_n(x)\}) = x$, $x \in E$ such that $(\{f_n\}, S)$ is a Banach frame for E with respect to E_{d_0} . \square

4. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we give some applications of triangular atomic decompositions. First, we give the definition of approximative atomic decomposition introduced in [11].

Let E be a Banach space and let E_d be an associated Banach space of scalar-valued sequences, indexed by \mathbb{N} . Let $\{x_n\} \subset E$ and $\{h_{n,i}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m_n} \subset E^*$, where $\{m_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of positive integers. Then, $(\{h_{n,i}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m_n}, \{x_n\})$ is called an approximative atomic decomposition for E with respect to E_d , if

- (i) $\{h_{n,i}(x)\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m_n} \in E_d$, $x \in E$
- (ii) there exist constants A and B with $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that $A\|x\|_E \leq \|\{h_{n,i}(x)\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m_n}\|_{E_d} \leq B\|x\|_E$, $x \in E$
- (iii) $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} h_{n,i}(x)x_i$, $x \in E$.

In the following result, we prove that if a Banach space has a triangular atomic decomposition, then it also has an approximative atomic decomposition

Theorem 4.1. *If a Banach space has a triangular atomic decomposition then it also has an approximative atomic decomposition.*

Proof. Let E be a Banach space having a triangular atomic decomposition $(T, \{f_n\}, \{x_n\})$ ($T = (t_{nm}), \{f_n\} \subset E^*, \{x_n\} \subset E$) with respect to E_d . Since, T is a triangular matrix, for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq n$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^m t_{nj} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j f_i(x)x_i\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n t_{nj} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j f_i(x)x_i\right), \quad x \in E.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\sigma_n : E \rightarrow E$ by

$$\sigma_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n t_{nj} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j f_i(x) x_i \right), \quad x \in E.$$

Then, each σ_n is well defined finite rank linear operator on E . Since, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma_n(E)$ is finite dimensional. So, there exist a sequence $\{y_{n,i}\}_{i=m_{n-1}+1}^{m_n}$ in E and a total sequence $\{g_{n,i}\}_{i=m_{n-1}+1}^{m_n}$ in E^* such that

$$\sigma_n(x) = \sum_{i=m_{n-1}+1}^{m_n} g_{n,i}(x) y_{n,i}, \quad x \in E, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\{m_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of positive integers with $m_0 = 0$. Define, $\{z_n\} \subset E$ and $\{h_{n,i}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m_n} \subset E^*$ by

$$\begin{aligned} z_i &= y_{n,i}, \quad i = m_{n-1} + 1, \dots, m_n, \\ h_{n,i} &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i = 1, 2, \dots, m_{n-1} \\ g_{n,i}, & \text{if } i = m_{n-1} + 1, \dots, m_n, \end{cases} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for each $x \in E$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} h_{n,i}(x) z_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n(x) = x.$$

Let $x \in E$ be such that $h_{n,i}(x) = 0$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x = 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, there exists an associated Banach space $E_{d_0} = \{\{h_{n,i}(x)\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m_n} : x \in E\}$ with the norm given by $\|\{h_{n,i}(x)\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m_n}\|_{E_{d_0}} = \|x\|_E$, $x \in E$ such that, $(\{h_{n,i}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m_n}, \{z_n\})$ is an approximative atomic decomposition for E with respect to E_{d_0} . \square

Corollary 4.2. If a Banach space E has a triangular atomic decomposition, then it also has an atomic decomposition.

Proof. Follows in view of Theorem 4.1. \square

Finally, we prove that, if for a suitably chosen triangular matrix T satisfying (3.1)-(3.3), E has a triangular atomic decomposition, then it also has a fusion Banach frame.

Theorem 4.3. Let E be a Banach space and $T = (t_{nm})$ be a triangular matrix such that $t_{nm} \neq 0$, $n \geq m$. If $(T, \{f_n\}, \{x_n\}) (\{f_n\} \subset E^*, \{x_n\} \subset E)$ is a triangular atomic decomposition for E , then E has a fusion Banach frame.

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, E has approximative atomic decomposition. Let $\{x_n\} \subset E$ and $\{h_{n,i}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m_n} \subset E^*$ be sequences such that $(\{h_{n,i}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m_n}, \{x_n\})$ is an approximative atomic decomposition for E with respect to E_d , where $\{m_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of positive integers. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $u_n : E \rightarrow E$ by

$$u_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} h_{n,i}(x) x_i, \quad x \in E.$$

Then, each u_n is a well defined continuous linear operator on E with $\dim u_n(E) < \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) = x$, $x \in E$. Define $G_n = u_n(E)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, each G_n is finite

dimensional. Therefore, there exist a sequence $\{y_{n,i}\}_{i=1}^{m_n}$ in E and a total sequence $\{g_{n,i}\}_{i=1}^{m_n}$ in E^* such that

$$u_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} g_{n,i}(x)y_{n,i}, \quad x \in E \quad \text{and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $v_n : E \rightarrow E$ by

$$v_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} g_{n,i}(x)y_{n,i}, \quad x \in E.$$

Then, each v_n is a projection on G_n such that $\{x \in E : v_n(x) = 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{0\}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.5, there exist an associated Banach space $\mathcal{A} = \{v_n(x) : x \in E\}$ with the norm given by $\|\{v_n(x)\}\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|x\|_E$, $x \in E$ and a bounded linear operator $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ given by $S(\{v_n(x)\}) = x$, $x \in E$ such that $(\{G_n, v_n\}, S)$ is a fusion Banach frame for E with respect to \mathcal{A} . \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research of first author is supported by the UGC vide letter No.F. No. 8-1(5)/2010(MRP/NRCB) dated 23.03.2010.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Christensen, *An introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, Birkhäuser, 2003.
- [2] O. Christensen and C. Heil, Perturbation of Banach frames and atomic decompositions, *Math. Nach.*, 185 (1997) 33-47.
- [3] R.R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 83 (1977) 569-645.
- [4] H.G. Feichtinger, Atomic characterizations of Modulation spaces through Gabor-Type Representation, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 19(1989) 113-126.
- [5] H.G. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig, A unified approach to atomic decompositions via integrable group representations, In: *Proc. Conf. "Function Spaces and Applications"*, Lecture Notes Math. 1302, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer (1988) 52-73.
- [6] M. Frazier and B. Jawerth, Decompositions of Besov spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 34 (1985) 777-799.
- [7] K. Gröchenig, Describing functions: Atomic decompositions versus frames, *Monatsh. Math.*, 112(1991) 1-41.
- [8] P.K. Jain, S.K. Kaushik and Nisha Gupta, On near exact Banach frames in Banach spaces, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 78 (2008) 335-342.
- [9] S.K. Kaushik and Varinder Kumar, Frames of subspaces for Banach spaces, *International Jour. of Wavelets, Multiresolution and Information Processing*, 8(2) (2010) 243-252.
- [10] V. Ya. Kozolov, On a generalization of the notion of basis, *Doklady Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, 73 (1950) 643-646.
- [11] S.K. Kaushik and S.K. Sharma, On approximative atomic decompositions in Banach spaces, *General Mathematics*, (to appear).
- [12] I. Singer, *Bases in Banach Spaces II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1981.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KIRORI MAL COLLEGE, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI 110 007, INDIA.

E-mail address: shikk2003@yahoo.co.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI 110 007, INDIA.

E-mail address: sumitkumarsharma@gmail.com