

## HYPERSURFACES OF ODD-DIMENSIONAL SPHERES

DAVID E. BLAIR, GERALD D. LUDDEN & KENTARO YANO

A structure similar to an almost complex structure was shown in [2] to exist on a hypersurface of an almost contact manifold or a submanifold of codimension 2 of an almost complex space. This structure on a manifold  $M$  has been studied in [1], [5], [6] from two points of view, namely, that the structure exists on  $M$  because  $M$  is a submanifold of some ambient space, and also that the structure exists intrinsically on  $M$ .

The odd-dimensional sphere  $S^{2n+1}$  has an almost contact structure which is naturally induced from the Kaehler structure of Euclidean space  $E^{2n+2}$ . The purpose of this paper is to study complete hypersurfaces immersed in  $S^{2n+1}$ . In § 3 it is shown that if the Weingarten map of the immersion and  $f$  commute then the hypersurface is a sphere whose radius is determined. Here,  $f$  is a tensor field of type (1,1) on the hypersurface, which is part of the induced structure. That the hypersurface satisfying this condition is a sphere follows from the results in [6], however a new proof is given here for completeness. In § 4 it is shown that if the Weingarten map  $K$  of the immersion and  $f$  satisfy  $fK + Kf = 0$ , and the hypersurface is of constant scalar curvature, then it is a great sphere or  $S^n \times S^n$ .

### 1. Hypersurfaces of a sphere

Let  $S^{2n+1}$  be the natural sphere of dimension  $2n + 1$  in Euclidean  $(2n + 2)$ -space  $E^{2n+2}$ . Let  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be the normal, almost contact metric structure (see [4]) induced on  $S^{2n+1}$  by the Kaehler structure on  $E^{2n+2}$ . That is to say,  $\phi$  is a tensor field of type (1,1),  $\xi$  is a vector field,  $\eta$  is a 1-form and  $g$  is a Riemannian metric on  $S^{2n+1}$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi^2 &= -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \\
 \phi\xi &= 0, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \\
 \eta(\xi) &= 1, \\
 g(\phi\bar{X}, \phi\bar{Y}) + \eta(\bar{X})\eta(\bar{Y}) &= g(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}), \\
 [\phi, \phi] + d\eta \otimes \xi &= 0,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$

where  $[\phi, \phi]$  is the Nijenhuis torsion tensor of  $\phi$ , and  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{Y}$  are arbitrary vector fields on  $S^{2n+1}$ .

---

Communicated December 17, 1970.

Suppose  $\pi: M^{2n} \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$  is an embedding of the orientable manifold  $M^{2n}$  in  $S^{2n+1}$ . The tensor  $G$  defined on  $M^{2n}$  by

$$(2) \quad G(X, Y) = g(\pi_*X, \pi_*Y)$$

is a Riemannian metric on  $M^{2n}$ , where  $\pi_*$  denotes the differential of the embedding  $\pi$ . If  $C$  is a field of unit normals defined on  $M^{2n}$ , and  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is the Riemannian connection of  $g$ , then the Gauss and Weingarten equations can be written as

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{\pi_*X}\pi_*Y &= \pi_*(\nabla_X Y) + k(X, Y)C, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{\pi_*X}C &= \pi_*(KX). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\nabla$  is the Riemannian connection of  $G$ ,  $k$  is a symmetric tensor of type (0,2) on  $M^{2n}$ , and  $G(KX, Y) = k(X, Y)$ . Furthermore, if we set

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi\pi_*X &= \pi_*fX + v(X)C, & \xi &= \pi_*U + \lambda C, \\ \phi C &= -BV, & u(X) &= \eta(\pi_*X), \end{aligned}$$

then  $f$  is a tensor field of type (1,1),  $U$  and  $V$  are vector fields,  $u$  and  $v$  are 1-forms, and  $\lambda$  is a function satisfying

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} f^2 &= -I + u \otimes U + v \otimes V, \\ u \circ f &= \lambda v, & v \circ f &= -\lambda u, \\ fU &= -\lambda V, & fV &= \lambda U, \\ u(U) &= v(V) = 1 - \lambda^2, & u(V) &= v(U) = 0, \\ G(fX, fY) &= G(X, Y) - u(X)u(Y) - v(X)v(Y). \end{aligned}$$

It was shown in [2] that the following relations hold

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_X f)Y &= G(X, Y)U - u(Y)X - k(X, Y)V + v(Y)KX, \\ \nabla_X U &= -fX - \lambda KX, \\ \nabla_X V &= -\lambda X + fKX, \\ \nabla_X \lambda &= v(X) + k(U, X). \end{aligned}$$

**2. Case I:  $Kf - fK = 0$**

We will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $M^{2n}$  is an orientable submanifold of  $S^{2n+1}$  satisfying  $Kf = fK$ , and  $\lambda \neq \text{constant}$ ,  $K$  being the Weingarten map of the embedding, and  $f$  and  $\lambda$  being defined in (4), then  $M^{2n}$  is a sphere of radius  $1/\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}$ , where  $\alpha$  is some constant determined by the embedding.*

*Proof.* We have that  $0 = G((Kf - fK)U, U)$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= G(KfU, U) - G(fKU, U) \\ &= -\lambda G(V, KU) + G(KU, fU) \\ &= -\lambda G(V, KU) - \lambda G(KU, V) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we see  $\lambda = 0$  or  $k(U, V) = 0$ . By continuity, since  $\lambda$  is non-constant,

$$(7) \quad k(U, V) = 0 .$$

In a similar fashion we obtain

$$(8) \quad k(U, U) = k(V, V) .$$

Now  $fKU + \lambda KV = 0$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -KU + u(KU)U + v(KU)V + \lambda KfV \\ &= -KU + u(KU)U + \lambda^2 KU , \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(1 - \lambda^2)KU = k(U, U)U .$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$(1 - \lambda^2)KV = k(U, U)V .$$

At points where  $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ , we have  $KU = \alpha U$  for  $\alpha = k(U, U)/(1 - \lambda^2)$ , which implies

$$(\nabla_x K)U + K(-fX - \lambda KX) = \nabla_x \alpha \cdot U + \alpha(-fX - \lambda KX) .$$

The Codazzi equation for an embedding gives that  $(\nabla_x K)(Y) = (\nabla_Y K)(X)$  so that we have

$$2G(KfZ, X) = (\nabla_x \alpha)u(Z) - (\nabla_Z \alpha)u(X) + 2\alpha G(fZ, X) .$$

If we set  $Z$  equal to  $U$ , then

$$-2\alpha \lambda u(X) = (\nabla_x \alpha)(1 - \lambda^2) - (\nabla_U \alpha)u(X) - 2\lambda u(X) ,$$

so that  $\nabla_x \alpha$  and  $u(X)$  are proportional. Therefore  $Kf = \alpha f$ , and hence

$$-KX + u(X)KU + v(X)KV = \alpha(-X + u(X)U + v(X)V) .$$

Thus  $KX = \alpha X$  for all  $X$ , and by the Codazzi equation  $\alpha$  is constant. From (6) we have that  $\nabla_x \lambda = v(X) + \alpha u(X)$ , and therefore that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_Y \nabla_X \lambda - d\lambda(\nabla_Y X) &= \nabla_Y(v(X) + \alpha u(X)) - (v(\nabla_Y X) + \alpha u(\nabla_Y X)) \\ &= -\lambda G(X, Y) + \alpha G(X, fY) - \alpha G(X, fY) - \alpha \lambda G(KY, X) \\ &= -\lambda(1 + \alpha^2)G(X, Y) . \end{aligned}$$

By the following lemma of Obata [3],  $M^{2n}$  is sphere of radius  $(1 + \alpha^2)^{-1/2}$ .

**Lemma.** *A complete connected Riemannian manifold  $M$  admits a non-trivial solution  $\lambda$  of  $\nabla_Y \nabla_X \lambda - d\lambda(\nabla_Y X) = -k\lambda G(X, Y)$  for some real number  $k > 0$  if and only if  $M$  is globally isometric to a Euclidean sphere of radius  $k^{-1/2}$ .*

**Corollary.** *Let  $M^{2n}$  be an orientable submanifold of  $S^{2n+1}$  with  $\lambda \neq \text{constant}$ . Then  $Kf - fK = 0$  if and only if  $M^{2n}$  is a totally umbilical submanifold of  $S^{2n+1}$ .*

**Remark.** In [5], there was introduced the idea of *normality* of an  $(f, G, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure, which is of a manifold  $M^{2n}$  with tensors satisfying (5). This condition is

$$[f, f] + du \otimes U + dv \otimes V = 0 .$$

We have the following proposition.

**Proposition.** *Let  $M^{2n}$  be a hypersurface of  $S^{2n+1}$  with  $\lambda \neq \text{constant}$ . The  $(f, G, u, v, \lambda)$ -structure on  $M^{2n}$  is normal if and only if  $fK - Kf = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$S(X, Y) = [f, f](X, Y) + du(X, Y)U + dv(X, Y)V .$$

Using (5) it can be shown that

$$S(X, Y) = v(Y)(Kf - fK)X - v(X)(Kf - fK)Y ,$$

and hence the ‘‘if’’ part of the proposition is proved. On the other hand, assume  $S(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $X$  and  $Y$  and let  $PX = (Kf - fK)X$ . Then

$$v(V)PX = v(X)PV .$$

Also, it can be shown that

$$G(PX, Y) = G(X, PY)$$

so that

$$v(X)G(PV, Y) = v(Y)G(PV, X) ,$$

that is to say,

$$G(PV, Y) = \alpha v(Y)$$

for some  $\alpha$ . Thus we have that

$$v(V)G(PX, Y) = v(X)G(PV, Y) = \alpha v(X)v(Y) ,$$

but since the trace of  $P$  is 0, we have  $\alpha = 0$  and thus  $P = 0$ .

**3. Case II:  $Kf + fK = 0$**

In this section we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $M^{2n}$  is a complete orientable submanifold of  $S^{2n+1}$  with constant scalar curvature satisfying  $Kf + fK = 0$  and  $\lambda \neq \text{constant}$ , where  $K$  is the Weingarten map of the embedding, and  $f$  and  $\lambda$  are defined in (4), then  $M^{2n}$  is a natural sphere  $S^{2n}$  or  $M^{2n} = S^n \times S^n$ .*

*Proof.* We have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (Kf + fK)U = -\lambda KV + fKU , \\ 0 &= (Kf + fK)V = \lambda KU + fKV , \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\lambda k(V, V) + G(fKU, V) \\ &= -\lambda k(V, V) - G(KU, fV) \\ &= -\lambda k(V, V) - \lambda k(U, U) , \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(9) \quad k(U, U) + k(V, V) = 0$$

by continuity. Also

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\lambda fKV + f^2KU \\ &= \lambda^2 KU + (-KU + u(KU)U + v(KU)V) , \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(10) \quad (1 - \lambda^2)KU = k(U, U)U + k(U, V)V ,$$

and similarly

$$(11) \quad (1 - \lambda^2)KV = k(U, V)U + k(V, V)V .$$

At points where  $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ , write equations (10) and (11) as

$$(10') \quad KU = \alpha U + \beta V ,$$

$$(11') \quad KV = \beta U - \alpha V .$$

If we apply  $\nabla_x$  to equation (10'), use equation (6) for  $\nabla_x U$  and  $\nabla_x V$ , and use

the fact that  $(\nabla_X K)Y = (\nabla_Y K)X$  because of the Codazzi equation, then we find that

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\nabla_X \alpha)u(Y) - (\nabla_Y \alpha)u(X) + (\nabla_X \beta)v(Y) \\ &\quad - (\nabla_Y \beta)v(X) - 2\alpha F(X, Y) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $F(X, Y) = G(fX, Y)$ . Setting  $X = U$  and  $Y = V$  and using the fact that  $\lambda \neq \text{constant}$  we see that

$$(13) \quad -\nabla_V \alpha + \nabla_U \beta + 2\alpha\lambda = 0.$$

From equations (12) and (13) we obtain

$$(14) \quad (1 - \lambda^2)\nabla_Y \alpha = (\nabla_U \alpha)u(Y) + (\nabla_V \alpha)v(Y),$$

$$(15) \quad (1 - \lambda^2)\nabla_Y \beta = (\nabla_U \beta)u(Y) + (\nabla_V \beta)v(Y),$$

$$(16) \quad 2\alpha(1 - \lambda^2)F(X, Y) = (u(Y)v(X) - v(Y)u(X))(\nabla_V \alpha - \nabla_U \beta).$$

However, since the rank of  $f$  is  $\geq 2n - 2$ , equation (16) implies that  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\nabla_U \beta = 0$  if  $n \neq 1$ . Thus equation (12) becomes

$$(12') \quad (\nabla_X \beta)v(Y) = (\nabla_Y \beta)v(X),$$

or

$$(12'') \quad (1 - \lambda^2)\nabla_X \beta = (\nabla_V \beta)v(X).$$

Applying  $\nabla_X$  to equation (11'), and using the fact that  $\alpha = 0$  and the Codazzi equation, we find that

$$(17) \quad (\nabla_X \beta)u(X) - (\nabla_Y \beta)u(X) - 2\beta F(X, Y) - 2F(KX, KY) = 0.$$

Setting  $Y = U$  and using (12'') we have that  $2\beta^2\lambda = 2\beta\lambda - \nabla_V \beta$  so that  $\beta = \text{constant}$  implies that  $\beta = 0$  or  $\beta = 1$ .

Replace  $Y$  by  $fY$  in equation (17) and use equation (12'') to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &2(1 - \lambda^2)F(KX, KfY) \\ &= (\nabla_V \beta)(v(X)u(fY) - v(fY)u(X)) - 2\beta(1 - \lambda^2)F(X, fY), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} &-2(1 - \lambda^2)[G(KX, KY) - u(KX)u(KY) - v(KX)v(KY)] \\ &= \nabla_V \beta[\lambda v(X)v(Y) + \lambda u(X)u(Y)] \\ &\quad - 2\beta(1 - \lambda^2)[G(X, Y) - u(X)u(Y) - v(X)v(Y)], \end{aligned}$$

from which follows

$$(18) \quad (1 - \lambda^2)K^2 = (\beta^2 - \beta)(u \otimes U + v \otimes V) + \beta(1 - \lambda^2)I .$$

From (18) and a previous remark we see that if  $\beta = \text{constant}$  then  $K^2 = 0$  or  $K^2 = I$ . If  $K^2 = 0$ , then  $K = 0$  since  $K$  is symmetric. In this case,  $M^{2n}$  is a totally geodesic submanifold of  $S^{2n+1}$  and hence  $M^{2n} = S^{2n}$ . In the case where  $K^2 = I$ ,  $K$  gives an almost product structure on  $M^{2n}$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} k(fX, fY) &= G(KfX, fY) = G(Kf^2X, Y) \\ &= -G(KX, Y) + u(X)G(KU, Y) + v(X)G(KV, Y) \\ &= -k(X, Y) + \beta(u(X)v(Y) + v(X)u(Y)) . \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $k(U, U) + k(V, V) = 0$  and  $G(U, V) = 0$ , the last equation can be used to show that the trace of  $K$  is 0, that is,  $M^{2n}$  is a minimal hypersurface (note that this last conclusion holds whether or not  $\beta = \text{constant}$ ). In the case where  $K^2 = I$ ,  $\text{tr } K = 0$  implies that the global distributions on  $M^{2n}$  given by  $\frac{1}{2}(K + I)$  and  $\frac{1}{2}(I - K)$  are both of dimension  $n$ .

Now to find the scalar curvature of  $M^{2n}$  by the Gauss equation, let  $\tilde{R}$  and  $R$  denote the curvature tensors of  $g$  and  $G$  respectively. Then the Gauss equation is

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(\pi_*X, \pi_*Y, \pi_*Z, \pi_*W) \\ = R(X, Y, Z, W) - (k(Y, Z)k(X, W) - k(Y, W)k(X, Z)) . \end{aligned}$$

Using (18) and the fact that  $S^{2n+1}$  is of constant curvature equal to 1, for  $1 - \lambda^2 \neq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y) &= (2n - 1)g(X, Y) \\ &\quad - \left[ \beta g(X, Y) + \frac{\beta^2 - \beta}{1 - \lambda^2}(u(X)u(Y) + v(X)v(Y)) \right] , \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{R}$  is the Ricci tensor of  $G$ . From this it follows that the scalar curvature of  $M^{2n}$  is equal to  $2n(2n - 1) - \beta(2n - 2) - 2\beta^2$ , and therefore that  $\beta = \text{constant}$ .

If we apply  $\nabla_X$  to equation (19) and use the second Bianchi identity and  $\text{tr } K = 0$ , then we obtain that

$$(\nabla_X K)Y + (\nabla_Y K)X = 0 ,$$

and thus  $\nabla_X K = 0$  by the Codazzi equation.

Therefore, if  $\beta = 1$ , the almost product structure  $K$  is decomposable. Hence by completeness,  $M^{2n}$  is a product  $M^n \times \bar{M}^n$ . Now we have, by equation (17),

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} G(f(K \pm I)X, (K \pm I)Y) \\ = F(KX, KY) + F(X, Y) \pm (F(KX, Y) + F(X, KY)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and, by equation (6),

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_Y \nabla_X \lambda - d\lambda(\nabla_Y X) &= \nabla_Y(v(X) + k(U, X)) - (v(\nabla_Y X) + k(U, \nabla_Y X)) \\ &= (\nabla_Y v)X + k(\nabla_Y U, X) = -2\lambda G(X, Y) - 2G(fY, KX). \end{aligned}$$

From equation (20) we see that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are both in the distribution  $I + K$  or  $I - K$ , then  $g(fY, KX) = 0$  so that

$$\nabla_Y \nabla_X \lambda - d\lambda(\nabla_Y X) = -2\lambda G(X, Y).$$

Thus,  $M^n$  and  $\bar{M}^n$  are both spheres of radius  $1/\sqrt{2}$  by the lemma of Obata.

### References

- [1] D. E. Blair & G. D. Ludden, *On Intrinsic structures similar to those on  $S^{2n}$* , Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.
- [2] D. E. Blair, G. D. Ludden & K. Yano, *Induced structures on submanifolds*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. **22** (1970) 188–198.
- [3] M. Obata, *Certain conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be isometric with a sphere*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **14** (1962) 333–340.
- [4] Y. Tashiro, *On contact structure of hypersurfaces in complex manifolds. I*, Tôhoku Math. J. **2** (1963) 62–78.
- [5] K. Yano & M. Okumura, *On  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structures*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. **22** (1970) 401–423.
- [6] —, *On normal  $(f, g, u, v, \lambda)$ -structures on submanifolds of codimension 2 in a Euclidean space*, to appear.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY