

## On the Functional Relations for the Euler-Zagier Multiple Zeta-functions

Soichi IKEDA and Kaneaki MATSUOKA

*Shibaura Institute of Technology and Nagoya University*

(Communicated by M. Tsuzuki)

**Abstract.** The purpose of this paper is to formulate problem about existence or non-existence of functional relations for the Euler-Zagier multiple zeta-functions and solve this problem. This problem is a functional analogue of the problem about existence or non-existence of relations among the multiple zeta values. By our results, we can solve a functional analogue of the problem about the dimension of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space spanned by the multiple zeta values.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_d \in \mathbb{C}$ . The Euler-Zagier multiple zeta-functions are defined by

$$\zeta_d(s_1, \dots, s_d) = \sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1}} \sum_{n_2=n_1+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_2^{s_2}} \cdots \sum_{n_d=n_{d-1}+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_d^{s_d}},$$

where the sum is absolutely convergent if  $\Re s_d > 1$ ,  $\Re s_{d-1} + \Re s_d > 2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\Re s_1 + \cdots + \Re s_d > d$  and  $\zeta_1$  is equal to the Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta$ . The values of  $\zeta_d(s_1, \dots, s_d)$  at positive integer points are called the multiple zeta values. These values have been investigated a great deal in recent years. There are plenty of relations among them, for example  $\zeta_2(1, 2) = \zeta(3)$  and  $\zeta(5) = \zeta_3(1, 1, 3) + \zeta_3(2, 1, 2) + \zeta_3(1, 2, 2)$ . These relations have appeared in various fields of mathematics and physics (see, for example, [2], [4], [5], [19] and [22]).

Let  $\zeta_{MT,r}$  be the Mordell-Tornheim multiple zeta-functions defined by

$$\zeta_{MT,r}(s_1, \dots, s_r, s_{r+1}) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_1^{s_1} \cdots m_r^{s_r} (m_1 + \cdots + m_r)^{s_{r+1}}}.$$

---

Received September 21, 2016; revised November 27, 2017

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11M32, 39B32

*Key words and phrases*: multiple zeta-function, multiple zeta value, functional relation

Subbarao-Sitaramachandrarao [20] showed the explicit formula, for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\zeta_{MT,2}(2k, 2k, 2k) = \frac{4}{3} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{4k-2j-1}{2k-1} \zeta(2j) \zeta(6k-2j).$$

We note that Huard, Williams and Zhang [7] showed some relations between  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta_{MT,2}$ .

On the other hand, these functions are continued meromorphically (see [1] or [23], [12]). Recently analytic properties of  $\zeta_d(s_1, \dots, s_d)$  were investigated by various authors (see, for example, [8], [10], [11], [13] [16] and [18]).

From an analytic point of view, Matsumoto suggested the following problem [14, p. 161].

**MATSUMOTO'S PROBLEM.** Reveal whether the various relations among the multiple zeta values are valid only at integer points, or valid also at other values as functional relations.

Matsumoto's problem may be regarded as a problem about existence or non-existence of functional relations for the various multiple zeta-functions.

It is well known that the Euler-Zagier multiple zeta-functions satisfy harmonic product formulas. By using these formulas, we can express a product of multiple zeta-functions as a linear combination of some multiple zeta-functions. For example

$$\zeta(s_1)\zeta(s_2) = \zeta_2(s_1, s_2) + \zeta_2(s_2, s_1) + \zeta(s_1 + s_2) \quad (1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s_1)\zeta_2(s_2, s_3) &= \zeta_3(s_1, s_2, s_3) + \zeta_2(s_1 + s_2, s_3) + \zeta_3(s_2, s_1, s_3) \\ &\quad + \zeta_2(s_2, s_1 + s_3) + \zeta_3(s_2, s_3, s_1). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

These formulas are valid for any complex numbers. Tsumura [21] discovered some relations between  $\zeta_{MT,2}$  and  $\zeta$  which are satisfied for any complex variable. Tsumura proved that the results of Huard-Williams-Zhang [7] and Subbarao-Sitaramachandrarao [20] follow from his formula. Tsumura's formula may be regarded as functional relations among the Mordell-Tornheim multiple zeta-functions and the Riemann zeta-function. Relations among various multiple zeta-functions were further studied by some mathematicians. For example, see Matsumoto and Tsumura [15], Nakamura [17], Bradley, Cai and Zhou [3] and Ikeda and Matsuoka [9].

The purpose of this paper is to formulate the problem about existence or non-existence of functional relations for the Euler-Zagier multiple zeta-functions and solve this problem.

## 2. Statement of the theorem

In this section we state our main theorem and some corollaries. In order to state our main result, we give some definitions.

DEFINITION 1. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define  $T_n$  as the additive semigroup freely generated by coordinate functions  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ :

$$T_n = \{t = a_1s_1 + \dots + a_ns_n \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, a_1 + \dots + a_n > 0\}.$$

We define

$$T_n^d = \{\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \mid t_1, \dots, t_d \in T_n\}, \quad (d \in \mathbb{N}).$$

DEFINITION 2. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define the set  $U_n$  of functions of several complex variables by

$$U_n = \{\zeta_d(\mathbf{t}) = \zeta_d(t_1, \dots, t_d) \mid d \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in T_n^d\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n,$$

where we regard  $U_m$  as the subset of  $U_n$  naturally for  $m < n$ .

Note that we may write

$$U_1 = \{\zeta_d(a_1s, \dots, a_ds) \mid d \in \mathbb{N}, a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

DEFINITION 3. We define

$$H(t) = \max\{a_i \mid t = a_1s_1 + \dots + a_ns_n\} \quad (\text{for } t \in T_n).$$

We define

$$\text{height}(\mathbf{t}) = \max\{H(t_i) \mid \mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_d)\} \quad (\text{for } \mathbf{t} \in T_n^d).$$

DEFINITION 4. For  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in T_n^d$ , we define the depth of  $\mathbf{t}$  by  $\text{depth}(\mathbf{t}) = d$ . We define the weight of  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in T_1^d$  by

$$\text{weight}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{s_1}(t_1 + \dots + t_d) (\in \mathbb{N}).$$

Let  $\overline{\{1\} \cup U_n}$  be the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generated by  $\{1\} \cup U_n$  in the space of meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We regard Matsumoto's problem as a problem about existence or non-existence of relations among the elements of  $\overline{\{1\} \cup U_n}$ , and we formulate that problem in the following form.

PROBLEM. Prove whether the following statement (P) is true or false.

(P): For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set of functions of several complex variables  $\{1\} \cup U_n$  is a basis of  $\overline{\{1\} \cup U_n}$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space.

By the harmonic product formulas, we can express every element of  $\overline{\{1\} \cup U_n}$  as a linear combination of some elements of  $\{1\} \cup U_n$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . If the statement (P) is true, then that

expression is unique. Therefore that the statement (P) is true implies that we can obtain every relation among the elements of  $\{1\} \cup U_n$  from the harmonic product formulas.

Now we state our main theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *The set of functions of one complex variable  $\{1\} \cup U_1$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

From this theorem and the following proposition, we can prove the following corollaries.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $t_1, t_2 \in T_m$  with  $t_1 = a_1s_1 + \dots + a_ms_m, t_2 = b_1s_1 + \dots + b_ms_m$  and  $g = \max\{H(t_1), H(t_2)\} + 1$ . If we define  $s_i = g^i s$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ), then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= (a_1g + a_2g^2 + \dots + a_mg^m)s \\ t_2 &= (b_1g + b_2g^2 + \dots + b_mg^m)s. \end{aligned}$$

*Then  $a_1g + a_2g^2 + \dots + a_mg^m = b_1g + b_2g^2 + \dots + b_mg^m$  if and only if  $a_i = b_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .*

**PROOF.** By the uniqueness of base  $g$  expansion for positive integers, we can obtain the proposition. □

**COROLLARY 1.** *The set of functions of several complex variables  $\{1\} \cup \mathcal{U}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that we have

$$c_0 + \sum_{j \leq d} \sum_{1 \leq l \leq L_j} c_{l,j} \zeta_j(\mathbf{t}_{l,j}) = 0,$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{N}, L_j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, c_0 \in \mathbb{C}, c_{l,j} \in \mathbb{C}$  ( $1 \leq l \leq L_j, 1 \leq j \leq d$ ),  $\mathbf{t}_{l,j} \in T_m^j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq d, 1 \leq l \leq L_j$ ) and  $\mathbf{t}_{k,j} \neq \mathbf{t}_{l,j}$  for  $k \neq l$ . Let  $g = \max\{\text{height}(\mathbf{t}_{l,j}) \mid 1 \leq l \leq L_j, 1 \leq j \leq d\} + 1$ . By setting  $s_i = g^i s$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ), we have

$$c_0 + \sum_{j \leq d} \sum_{1 \leq l \leq L_j} c_{l,j} \zeta_j(\mathbf{t}'_{l,j}) = 0,$$

where  $\mathbf{t}'_{l,j} \in T_1^j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq d, 1 \leq l \leq L_j$ ). Since  $\mathbf{t}'_{k,j} \neq \mathbf{t}'_{l,j}$  holds for  $k \neq l$  by Proposition 1, we have  $c_0 = 0$  and  $c_{l,j} = 0$  for all  $l, j$  by Theorem 1. □

**COROLLARY 2.** *The statement (P) is true.*

By Corollary 1, we can define the depth of the element of  $\mathcal{U}$  and the weight of the element of  $U_1$  as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}(\zeta_d(\mathbf{t})) &= \text{depth}(\mathbf{t}) = d && (\mathbf{t} \in T_m^d) \\ \text{weight}(\zeta_d(\mathbf{t})) &= \text{weight}(\mathbf{t}) && (\mathbf{t} \in T_1^d). \end{aligned}$$

The depth and weight of the element of  $\mathcal{U}$  are natural analogue of those of the multiple zeta value. We show some examples of  $z \in U_1$  as follows.

	depth 1	depth 2	depth 3	depth 4
weight 1	$\zeta(s)$			
weight 2	$\zeta(2s)$	$\zeta_2(s, s)$		
weight 3	$\zeta(3s)$	$\zeta_2(s, 2s), \zeta_2(2s, s)$	$\zeta_3(s, s, s)$	
weight 4	$\zeta(4s)$	$\zeta_2(s, 3s), \zeta_2(2s, 2s), \zeta_2(3s, s)$	$\zeta_3(s, s, 2s), \zeta_3(s, 2s, s), \zeta_3(2s, s, s)$	$\zeta_4(s, s, s, s)$

COROLLARY 3. Let  $Z_w = \{z \in U_1 \mid \text{weight}(z) = w\}$ ,  $V'_w = \text{span } Z_w$  and

$$V_w = \text{span} \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^w Z_j \right).$$

Then we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V'_w = 2^{w-1}$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_w = 2^w - 1$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{span}(V_w \cup \{1\})) = 2^w$  and

$$\text{span } U_1 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} V'_j.$$

In the theory of multiple zeta values, the dimension of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space spanned by the multiple zeta values are quite important. There are some open problems (see, for example, [22], [5]). On the other hand, we can easily calculate the dimension of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space spanned by the elements of  $U_1$  by Theorem 1. We can regard this result as the solution to a functional analogue of the problem about the dimension of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space spanned by the multiple zeta values.

### 3. Some definitions and lemmas

We collect some definitions and lemmas for the proof of the theorem.

DEFINITION 5. Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define

$$A_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j) = \#\{(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_j) \in \mathbb{N}^j \mid q_1^{a_1} q_2^{a_2} \dots q_j^{a_j} = n, q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_j\}.$$

For  $\Re s > 1$ ,  $\zeta_j(a_1s, a_2s, \dots, a_js) \in U_1$  can be expressed by the following Dirichlet series:

$$\zeta_j(a_1s, a_2s, \dots, a_js) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j)}{n^s}.$$

DEFINITION 6. Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j, a \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define

$$B_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j; a) = \#\{(q_1, \dots, q_j, q) \in \mathbb{N}^{j+1} \mid q_1^{a_1} \dots q_j^{a_j} q^a = n, q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_j\}.$$

For  $\Re s > 1$ ,  $\zeta_j(a_1s, a_2s, \dots, a_js)\zeta(as)$  can be expressed by the following Dirichlet series:

$$\zeta_j(a_1s, a_2s, \dots, a_js)\zeta(as) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j; a)}{n^s}.$$

LEMMA 1. *Let  $j \geq 2, m, N \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $p$  be a prime. If  $N < p$ , then we have*

$$A_{Np^m}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j) = \begin{cases} B_N(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}; a_j) & (m = a_j) \\ 0 & (m < a_j). \end{cases}$$

PROOF. We consider the first case. Write  $Np^{a_j} = q_1^{a_1} \cdots q_j^{a_j}$ , where  $q_1, \dots, q_j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $q_1 < \cdots < q_j$ . We first prove  $p|q_j$ . Suppose  $p \nmid q_j$ . Then  $q_j \leq N$  and there exists  $q_i (i < j)$  which satisfies  $p|q_i$ . Therefore  $q_j \leq N < p \leq q_i$ . This contradicts the supposition. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A_{Np^{a_j}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j) \\ &= \#\{(q_1, \dots, q_{j-1}, q_j) \in \mathbb{N}^j | q_1^{a_1} \cdots q_j^{a_j} = Np^{a_j}, q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_j\} \\ &= \#\{(n_1, \dots, n_{j-1}, pn_j) \in \mathbb{N}^j | n_1^{a_1} \cdots n_j^{a_j} = N, n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_{j-1} < pn_j\} \\ &\quad (\text{We set } n_1 = q_1, \dots, n_{j-1} = q_{j-1}, q_j = pn_j.) \\ &= \#\{(n_1, \dots, n_{j-1}, n_j) \in \mathbb{N}^j | n_1^{a_1} \cdots n_j^{a_j} = N, n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_{j-1} < pn_j\} \\ &= \#\{(n_1, \dots, n_{j-1}, n_j) \in \mathbb{N}^j | n_1^{a_1} \cdots n_j^{a_j} = N, n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_{j-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equation follows because we have  $n_{j-1} \leq N < p \leq pn_j$  for any  $n_{j-1}, n_j \leq N$ . This completes the proof of the first case.

We deal with the second case. If there exists  $(q_1, \dots, q_j) \in \mathbb{N}^j$  satisfying  $Np^m = q_1^{a_1} \cdots q_j^{a_j}$ , then we have  $q_j \leq N$  and there exists  $q_i (i < j)$  which satisfies  $p|q_i$ . Therefore  $q_j \leq N < p \leq q_i$ . This contradicts the supposition. This completes the proof of the second case. □

LEMMA 2. *Let  $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $p$  be a prime. If  $N < p$ , then we have*

$$A_{Np^m}(a_1) = \begin{cases} A_N(a_1) & (m = a_1) \\ 0 & (m < a_1). \end{cases}$$

PROOF. The first equation follows because

$$A_{Np^{a_1}}(a_1) = \#\{q \in \mathbb{N} | q^{a_1} = Np^{a_1}\} = \#\{n \in \mathbb{N} | n^{a_1} = N\}.$$

The second equation follows because the equation  $q^{a_1} = Np^m$  has no solutions in positive integers. □

LEMMA 3. *The set of functions of one complex variable  $\{1\} \cup \{\zeta(a_l s) | a_l \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

PROOF. We take the negation of the given statement. Suppose that there exists an equation

$$c_0 + \sum_{1 \leq l \leq L} c_l \zeta(a_l s) = 0,$$

where  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_0, \dots, c_L \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $c_l \neq 0 (1 \leq l \leq L)$  and  $a_i \neq a_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Let  $M = \min_l a_l$  and let  $k$  be the integer which satisfies  $a_k = M$ . We define  $n = n(N) = Np_N^M$ , where  $p_N$  is the smallest prime number greater than  $N$ . By Lemma 2

$$\sum_{1 \leq l \leq L} c_l A_n(a_l) = c_k A_n(a_k) = c_k A_N(a_k) = 0$$

holds for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence we have

$$c_k \zeta(a_k s) = 0.$$

This is impossible. This completes the proof. □

REMARK 1. Alternatively we can easily prove Lemma 3 by checking a pole of  $\zeta(a_l s)$ .

**4. Proof of the theorem**

PROOF. We prove that a set of vectors

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^m \{\zeta_n(\mathbf{t}) \in U_1 \mid \mathbf{t} \in T_1^n\} \cup \{1\} \tag{3}$$

is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$  for all  $m$ . We prove this assertion by mathematical induction. For  $m = 1$  we can easily check that (3) is linearly independent by Lemma 3. Suppose that (3) is linearly independent for  $m \leq d - 1$ . If (3) is not linearly independent for  $m = d$ , then there exists an equation

$$c_0 + \sum_{j \leq d} \sum_{1 \leq l \leq L_j} c_{l,j} \zeta_j(a_{l,j,1} s, a_{l,j,2} s, \dots, a_{l,j,j} s) = 0, \tag{4}$$

where  $L_j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $a_{l,j,i} \in \mathbb{N} (1 \leq j \leq d, 1 \leq l \leq L_j, 1 \leq i \leq j)$ ,  $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $c_{l,j} \neq 0 (1 \leq l \leq L_j, 1 \leq j \leq d)$  and  $(a_{k,j,1} s, a_{k,j,2} s, \dots, a_{k,j,j} s) \neq (a_{l,j,1} s, a_{l,j,2} s, \dots, a_{l,j,j} s)$  for  $k \neq l$ . From the supposition, it is justified that  $L_d \neq 0$  holds. Let  $M = \min_{l,j} a_{l,j,j}$ . We define  $n = n(N) = Np_N^M$ , where  $p_N$  is the smallest prime number greater than  $N$ . By (4)

$$\sum_{j \leq d} \sum_{1 \leq l \leq L_j} c_{l,j} A_n(a_{l,j,1}, a_{l,j,2}, \dots, a_{l,j,j}) = 0$$

holds for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 1 and Lemma 2

$$c_{k,1}\chi(M; a_{k,1,1})A_N(M) + \sum_{l,j} c_{l,j}B_N(a_{l,j,1}, a_{l,j,2}, \dots, a_{l,j,j-1}; M) = 0$$

holds for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , where the summation is taken over  $l$  and  $j$  which satisfy  $j \neq 1$  and  $a_{l,j,j} = M$ , and  $a_{k,1,1} = \min_{1 \leq l \leq L_1} \{a_{l,1,1}\}$  and

$$\chi(M; a_{k,1,1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & (M = a_{k,1,1}) \\ 0 & (M < a_{k,1,1}). \end{cases}$$

Hence we have

$$c_{k,1}\chi(M; a_{k,1,1})\zeta(Ms) + \sum_{l,j} c_{l,j}\zeta_{j-1}(a_{l,j,1}s, a_{l,j,2}s, \dots, a_{l,j,j-1}s)\zeta(Ms) = 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$c_{k,1}\chi(M; a_{k,1,1}) + \sum_{l,j} c_{l,j}\zeta_{j-1}(a_{l,j,1}s, a_{l,j,2}s, \dots, a_{l,j,j-1}s) = 0.$$

This contradicts the supposition that (3) is linearly independent for  $m \leq d - 1$ . Hence (3) is linearly independent for  $m \leq d$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. We would like to thank Prof. Matsumoto for his useful advice. We are very grateful to the anonymous referee for giving helpful suggestions and remarks, which significantly improved this article.

## References

- [ 1 ] S. AKIYAMA, S. EGAMI and Y. TANIGAWA, Analytic continuation of multiple zeta-functions and their values at non-positive integers, *Acta Arith.* **98** (2001), 107–116.
- [ 2 ] J. M. BORWEIN and R. GIRGENSOHN, Evaluation of Triple Euler Sums, *Electron. J. Combin.* **3** (1996), R23.
- [ 3 ] D. M. BRADLEY, T. CAI and X. ZHOU, Depth reduction of a class of Witten zeta functions, *Electron. J. Comb.* **16** (2009), N 27.
- [ 4 ] D. J. BROADHURST and D. KREIMER, Association of multiple zeta values with positive knots via Feynman diagrams up to 9 loops, *Phys. Lett.* **393** (1997), 403–412.
- [ 5 ] H. FURUSHO, The multiple zeta value algebra and the stable derivation algebra, *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu* **39** (2003), 695–720.
- [ 6 ] M. E. HOFFMAN, Multiple harmonic series, *Pacific J. Math.* **152** (1992), 275–290.
- [ 7 ] J. G. HUARD, K. S. WILLIAMS and N-Y. ZHANG, On Tornheim’s double series, *Acta Arith.* **75** (1996), 105–117.
- [ 8 ] S. IKEDA and K. MATSUOKA, Double analogue of Hamburger’s theorem, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **86/1–2** (2015), 89–98.
- [ 9 ] S. IKEDA and K. MATSUOKA, On functional relations for Witten multiple zeta-functions, to appear in *Tokyo J. Math.*

- [10] H. ISHIKAWA and K. MATSUMOTO, On the estimation of the order of Euler-Zagier multiple zeta-functions, *Illinois J. Math.* **47** (2003), 1151–1166.
- [11] I. KIUCHI and Y. TANIGAWA, Bounds for double zeta-functions, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, Cl. Sci. Ser. V* **5** (2006), 445–464.
- [12] K. MATSUMOTO, On the analytic continuation of various multiple zeta-functions, in “*Number Theory for the Millennium, II*” (Urbana, IL, 2000), M. A. Bennett et al. (eds.), A K Peters, Natick, MA, 2002, 417–440.
- [13] K. MATSUMOTO, Functional equations for double zeta-functions, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **136** (2004), 1–7.
- [14] K. MATSUMOTO, Analytic properties of multiple zeta-functions in several variables, in “*Number Theory, Tradition and Modernization,*” Proc. 3rd China-Japan Seminar, W. Zhang and Y. Tanigawa (eds.), Springer, 2006, 153–173.
- [15] K. MATSUMOTO and H. TSUMURA, On Witten multiple zeta-functions associated with semisimple Lie algebras I, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **56** (2006), 1457–1504.
- [16] K. MATSUMOTO and H. TSUMURA, Mean value theorems for double zeta-function, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **67** (2015), 383–406.
- [17] T. NAKAMURA, Double Lerch value relations and functional relations for Witten zeta functions, *Tokyo J. Math.* **31** (2008), 551–574.
- [18] T. NAKAMURA and L. PAŃKOWSKI, Applications of hybrid universality to multivariable zeta-functions, *J. Number Theory* **162** (2011), 2151–2161.
- [19] Y. OHNO, A generalization of the duality and sum formulas on the multiple zeta values, *J. Number Theory* **74** (1999), 39–43.
- [20] M. V. SUBBARAO and R. SITARAMACHANDRARAO, On some infinite series of L. J. Mordell and their analogues, *Pacific J. Math.* **119** (1985), 245–255.
- [21] H. TSUMURA, On functional relations between the Mordell-Tornheim double zeta functions and the Riemann zeta function, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **142** (2007), 395–405.
- [22] D. ZAGIER, Values of zeta functions and their applications, in Proc. First Congress of Math., Paris, vol. II, *Progress in Math.* **120** (1994), 497–512.
- [23] J. Q. ZHAO, Analytic continuation of multiple zeta function, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 1275–1283.

*Present Addresses:*

SOICHI IKEDA  
DEPARTMENT OF CORE STUDIES,  
KOCHI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,  
TOSAYAMADA, KAMI-CITY, KOCHI 782–8502, JAPAN.  
*e-mail:* ikeda.soichi@kochi-tech.ac.jp

KANEAKI MATSUOKA  
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS,  
NAGOYA UNIVERSITY,  
FUROCHO, CHIKUSAKU, NAGOYA 464–8602, JAPAN.  
*e-mail:* m10041v@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp