# On Homeomorphisms with Pseudo-Orbit Tracing Property

### Nobuo AOKI

## Tokyo Metropolitan University

Let  $f: X \hookrightarrow$  be a homeomorphism of a compact metric space onto itself and  $\Omega$  be the non-wandering set of f. The following is a main result of this paper.

THEOREM 1. If (X, f) has the pseudo-orbit tracing property, then so does  $(\Omega, f)$ .

This is a problem proposed by A. Morimoto [5].

Let d be a metric function of X. A sequence of points  $\{x_i\}_{i\in(a,b)}$   $(-\infty \le a < b \le \infty)$  is called a  $\delta$ -pseudo-orbit (abbrev. p.o.) of f if  $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \delta$  for  $i \in (a, b-1)$ . A sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i \in (a,b)}$  is called to be  $\varepsilon$ -traced by  $x \in X$  if  $d(f^i(x), x_i) < \varepsilon$  holds for  $i \in (a, b)$ . We say that (X, f) has the pseudo-orbit tracing property (abbrev. P.O.T.P.) if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that every  $\delta$ -p.o. of f can be  $\varepsilon$ -traced by some point  $x \in X$ . Given  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , x is  $\alpha$ -related to y (written  $x \stackrel{\alpha}{\sim} y$ ) if there are  $\alpha$ -pseudo-orbits of f such that  $x_0 = x$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_k = y$  and  $y_0 = y$ ,  $y_1, \dots, y_l = x$ . If  $x \stackrel{\alpha}{\sim} y$  for every  $\alpha > 0$ , then x is related to y (written  $x \sim y$ ). The chain recurrent set of f, R is  $\{x \in X: x \sim x\}$ .

Recall that  $\Omega = \{x \in X : \text{ for every neighborhood } U \text{ of } x, \ f^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset \}$  for some  $n \geq 1\}$ . Clearly  $\Omega \subset R$  and both sets are f-invariant and closed (a set E will be called f-invariant when f(E) = E). Assume that (X, f) has the P.O.T.P., then  $\Omega = R$ . For, if  $x \in R$  then for every  $\alpha > 0$  there is  $\alpha' > 0$  with property of the P.O.T.P.; i.e., for every  $\alpha'$ -p.o.  $\{x_i\}$  such that  $x_0 = x, x_1, \dots, x_k = x$ , there is  $y \in X$  with  $d(f^i(y), x_i) < \alpha$   $(0 \leq i \leq k)$ . Hence  $U_{\alpha}(x) \cap f^{-k}(U_{\alpha}(x)) \neq \emptyset$  where  $U_{\alpha}(x) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \alpha\}$ , and so  $x \in \Omega$ .

We proceed with a sequence of lemmas leading to the proof of Theorem 1. For the following (L. 1), (L. 2) and (L. 3), it is assumed that (X, f) has the P.O.T.P.. Denote by per(f) the set of all periodic points of f.

(L. 1) For  $\alpha > 0$ , every  $x \in \Omega$  is  $\alpha$ -related to f(x).

PROOF. If  $x \in \text{per}(f)$  then the statement is true. For the case  $x \notin \text{per}(f)$ , take  $\gamma$  with  $0 < \gamma < \alpha$  such that  $d(z, x) < \gamma$  implies  $\max \{d(f(z), f(x)), d(f^2(z), f^2(x))\} < \alpha$ . Since  $x \in \Omega$ , there is  $l \ge 3$  with  $f^{-l}(U_r(x)) \cap U_r(x) \ne \emptyset$ , and so  $f^l(z) \in U_r(x)$  for some  $z \in U_r(x)$ . Hence  $\{f(x), f^2(z), \dots, f^{l-1}(z), x\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -p.o..

(L. 2) Let  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\{x^i\}_{i \ge 1} \subset \Omega$  be a sequence with  $\lim_{i \to \infty} x^i = x^0$ . If  $x^i \stackrel{\alpha}{\sim} x^{i+1}$  for  $i \ge 1$ , then  $x^i \stackrel{\alpha}{\sim} x^0$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

PROOF. Let J>0 be an integer such that  $d(x^j, x^0) < \alpha/2$  for all j>J. Since  $R=\Omega$ , we can construct  $\alpha$ -pseudo-orbits from  $x^j$  to  $x^0$  and from  $x^0$  to  $x^j$ , respectively. Therefore we have  $x^j \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} x^0$ .

Take and fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  be the number with property of the P.O.T.P.. Then we can split  $\Omega$  into equivalence classes  $A_{\lambda}$  under the  $\delta$ -relation; i.e.,  $\Omega = \bigcup_{\lambda} A_{\lambda}$ . Each  $A_{\lambda}$  is f-invariant and closed (by (L. 1) and (L. 2)). From the following (L. 3), it follows that  $\{A_{\lambda}\}$  is finite; i.e.  $\Omega = \bigcup_{\lambda}^{k} A_{\lambda}$ .

## (L. 3) Each $A_i$ is open in $\Omega$ .

PROOF. Take  $x \in A_{\lambda}$ . For every  $y \in A_{\lambda}$  there is in  $\Omega$  a  $\delta$ -p.o.  $\{x_0 = x, x_1, \cdots, x_p = y\}$ . We write  $U_{\alpha}(x) = \{z \in \Omega : d(z, x) < \alpha\}$ . Choose  $\gamma$  with  $0 < \gamma < \delta/3$  such that  $f(U_r(x_0)) \subset U_{\delta}(x_1)$ . Then for every  $x_0' \in U_r(x_0)$ ,  $\{x_0', x_1, \cdots, x_p\}$  is a  $\delta$ -p.o. in  $\Omega$ . On the other hand, let  $\{y_0 = y, y_1, \cdots, y_l = x\} = \mathcal{O}$  be a  $\delta$ -p.o. in  $\Omega$ . If  $f(y_{l-1}) \in \overline{U_r(x_0)} \cap \Omega$  ( $\overline{E}$  means the closure of E), then  $(\mathcal{O}\setminus\{y_l\}) \cup \{x_0'\} = \{y_0, y_1, \cdots, y_{l-1}, x_0'\}$  is a  $\delta$ -p.o. since  $d(f(y_{l-1}), x_0') \leq 2\gamma < \delta$ , and hence  $y \stackrel{\triangleright}{\sim} x_0'$ . If  $f(y_{l-1}) \notin \overline{U_r(x_0)} \cap \Omega$ , then there is  $z \in \overline{U_r(x_0)} \cap \Omega$  with  $d(f(y_{l-1}), \overline{U_r(x_0)} \cap \Omega) = d(f(y_{l-1}), z) < \delta$ . And so  $d(x_0', z) \leq 2\gamma$ . Since  $z \in \Omega = R$ , we have  $z \sim z$ ; i.e., there is a  $\gamma$ -p.o.  $\{z_0 = z, z_1, \cdots, z_{b+1} = z\}$  in  $\Omega$ . Since  $d(f(z_b), x_0') \leq d(f(z_b), z) + d(z, x_0') \leq 3\gamma < \delta$ , the sequence  $(\mathcal{O}\setminus\{y_l\}) \cup \{z_0, \cdots, z_b, x_0'\}$  ( $= \{y_0, \cdots, y_{l-1}, z_0, \cdots, x_0'\}$ ) is a  $\delta$ -p.o. from  $y_0$  to  $x_0'$ . Therefore  $x_0' \in A_{\lambda}$  and so  $U_r(x_0) \subset A_{\lambda}$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  be as above. Since each  $A_i$  is open and closed, we have  $d(A_i, A_j) = \inf \{d(a, b): a \in A_i, b \in A_j\} > 0$  if  $i \neq j$ . Put  $\delta_1 = \min \{d(A_i, A_j): i \neq j\}$ . For  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < \min \{\delta, \delta_1\}$ , let  $\{x_i\}$  be an  $\alpha$ -p.o. in  $\Omega$ . It will be enough to prove that a  $\varepsilon$ -tracing point of  $\{x_i\}$  is chosen in  $\Omega$ . By using (L. 1) we see that  $\{x_i\}$  is contained in some  $A_j$ . Take  $x_a, x_b \in \{x_i\}$  (a < b). Then we get  $x_a \stackrel{\flat}{\sim} x_b$ , so that there are  $k_1, k_2 > 0$  and a  $(k_1 + k_2)$ -cyclic  $\delta$ -p.o.  $\{z_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $x_a = z_{(k_1 + k_2)i}$  and  $x_b = z_{k_1 + (k_1 + k_2)i}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Put  $k = k_1 + k_2$ . Since (X, f) has the P.O.T.P., there is  $y_{a,b} \in X$ 

such that  $d(f^i(y_{a,b}), z_i) < \varepsilon$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and so  $d(f^{ki+j}(y_{a,b}), z_j) < \varepsilon$   $(i \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \le j < k)$ . If  $D = \{f^{ki}(y_{a,b}) : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is discrete, then there is l > 0 such that  $f^l(y_{a,b}) = y_{a,b}$ . Hence  $y_{a,b} \in \Omega$ . If D is not discrete, then there is a subsequence  $\{f^{ki'}(y_{a,b})\}$  with  $f^{ki'}(y_{a,b}) \to y'_{a,b} \in X$  as  $i' \to \infty$ . Obviously  $d(y'_{a,b}, x_a) \le \varepsilon$  and  $d(f^i(y'_{a,b}), z_j) \le \varepsilon$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We shall see that  $y'_{a,b} \in \Omega$ . For  $\alpha' > 0$ , we can take J > 0 such that  $d(f^{kj'}(y_{a,b}), y'_{a,b}) < \alpha'$  and  $d(f^{kj'+1}(y_{a,b}), f(y'_{a,b})) < \alpha'$  for j' > J. From this we see that  $y'_{a,b} \stackrel{\alpha'}{\sim} y'_{a,b}$  for  $\alpha' > 0$ . Hence  $y'_{a,b} \in R = \Omega$  (since  $\alpha'$  is arbitrary). If a subsequence of  $\{y'_{a,b}\}$  converges to y as  $a \to -\infty$  and  $b \to \infty$ , then  $y \in \Omega$  and  $d(f^i(y), x_i) \le \varepsilon$  for i: i.e.  $(\Omega, f)$  has the P.O.T.P..

COROLLARY 1. If  $(\Omega, f)$  has the P.O.T.P., then there exists a f-invariant probability Borel measure which is positive on all nonempty open sets of  $\Omega$ .

PROOF. For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta > 0$  be the number with property of the P.O.T.P.. Put  $U_{\delta}(x) = \{y \in \Omega : d(y, x) < \delta\}$  for  $x \in \Omega$ . Then there are  $n_0 > 0$  and  $z \in \Omega$  with z,  $f^{n_0}(z) \in U_{\delta}(x)$ . Define a sequence  $\{z_i\}_{i \in Z}$  by  $z_{k+i} = f^i(z)$   $(k \in Z, 0 \le i \le n_0 - 1)$ . Obviously  $\{z_i\}$  is a  $\delta$ -p.o.. Since  $(\Omega, f)$  has the P.O.T.P.,  $d(f^i(y), z_j) < \varepsilon$   $(j \in Z)$  for some  $y \in \Omega$ . Put  $\mu_m = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \delta(f^j y)/m$  for  $m \ge 1$   $(\delta(x))$  denotes the measure with support  $\{x\}$ . Then  $\mu_m(U_{\varepsilon}(x)) \ge 1/n_0$ . Since the set of all probability Borel measures is a compact metric space with respect to the weak topology (cf. see p. 10, [3]), a subsequence of  $\{\mu_m\}$  converges to some f-invariant measure  $\mu$ , and clearly  $\mu(U_{\varepsilon}(x)) \ge 1/n_0$ .

Assume that  $(\Omega, f)$  is expansive. If  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x \in \Omega$ , let  $W_{\varepsilon}(x)$ ,  $W_{\varepsilon}(x)$  be the local stable and unstable sets defined by

$$\begin{split} W^{s}_{\varepsilon}(x) &= \{ y \in \Omega \colon d(f^{n}(x), f^{n}(y)) \leq \varepsilon, \ n \geq 0 \} , \\ W^{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) &= \{ y \in \Omega \colon d(f^{-n}(x), f^{-n}(y)) \leq \varepsilon, \ n \geq 0 \} . \end{split}$$

Let e>0 be an expansive constant for f and fix  $0<\varepsilon< e/2$ . Now define the stable and unstable sets  $W^{s}(x)$ ,  $W^{u}(x)$  as

$$W^s(x) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^n W^s_{\varepsilon}(f^n x)$$
 ,  $W^u(x) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n} W^u_{\varepsilon}(f^{-n} x)$  .

It is known (Lemma 1, [4]) that for all r>0 there is N>0 such that  $f^nW^s_{\epsilon}(x)\subset W^s_{r}(f^nx)$  and  $f^{-n}W^u_{\epsilon}(x)\subset W^u_{r}(f^{-n}x)$  for all  $x\in X$  and  $n\geq N$ . From this we have

$$\begin{split} W^*(x) &= \{ y \in \varOmega \colon \lim_{n \to \infty} \, d(f^n(x), \, f^n(y)) = 0 \} \; , \\ W^u(x) &= \{ y \in \varOmega \colon \lim_{n \to \infty} \, d(f^{-n}(x), \, f^{-n}(y)) = 0 \} \; . \end{split}$$

If  $(\Omega, f)$  has the P.O.T.P., then  $\Omega$  splits into the equivalence classes  $B_{\lambda}$  under the relation  $\sim$ . Each  $B_{\lambda}$  is closed and f-invariant (by (L. 1) and (L. 2)), and  $\Omega = \bigcup_{\lambda} B_{\lambda}$ . We now have:

THEOREM 2 (Smale's Spectral Decomposition). If  $(\Omega, f)$  is expansive and has the P.O.T.P., then  $\Omega$  contains a finite sequence  $B_i$   $(1 \le i \le k)$  of f-invariant closed subsets such that  $(B_i, f)$  is topologically transitive and  $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i$ .

Theorem 2 is obtained by the following (L. 4) and (L. 5). In the rest we assume that  $(\Omega, f)$  is expansive and has the P.O.T.P.. It is clear that  $\overline{\operatorname{per}(f)} = \Omega$ .

(L. 4) Each  $B_{\lambda}$  is open in  $\Omega$ .

PROOF. As before define  $U_{\delta}(B_{\lambda}) = \{y \in \Omega : d(y, B_{\lambda}) < \delta\}$  where  $\delta$  is the number with property of the P.O.T.P.. Then for  $p \in U_{\delta}(B_{\lambda}) \cap \operatorname{per}(f)$  there is  $y \in B_{\lambda}$  such that  $d(y, p) < \delta$ . Since  $(\Omega, f)$  has the P.O.T.P., we get  $W^{u}(p) \cap W^{s}(y) \neq \emptyset$  and  $W^{s}(p) \cap W^{u}(y) \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore there is  $y_{0} \in B_{\lambda}$  with  $y_{0} \sim p$ ; i.e.  $p \in B_{\lambda}$ . And so  $B_{\lambda} \supset \overline{U_{\delta}(B_{\lambda}) \cap \operatorname{per}(f)} \supset U_{\delta}(B_{\lambda}) \cap \overline{\operatorname{per}(f)} = U_{\delta}(B_{\lambda})$ .

By compactness and (L. 4),  $\Omega$  is expressed as a union of a finite set of  $\{B_i\}$ ; i.e.  $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i$ .

(L. 5) Each  $(B_i, f)$  is topologically transitive.

PROOF. Since  $B_i$  is open in  $\Omega$  by (L. 4),  $(B_i, f)$  has the P.O.T.P.. Let U and V be nonempty open sets in  $B_i$ . Since  $x \sim y$  for  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ , we can always find in  $B_i$  a tracing point for a p.o. from x to y; i.e.  $U \cap f^i(V) \neq \emptyset$  for some i > 0.

THEOREM 3 (Bowen's Decomposition). Under the assumptions and the notations of Theorem 2, for  $1 \le i \le k$  there exists a subset  $X_p$  of  $B_i$  and a > 0 such that  $f^a(X_p) = X_p$ ,  $X_p \cap f^j(X_p) = \emptyset$  (0 < j < a),  $(X_p, f^a)$  is topologically mixing and  $B_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{a-1} f^j(X_p)$ .

This theorem is conducted by the following known lemmas.

(L. 6) Define  $X_p = \overline{W^u(p) \cap B_i}$  for  $p \in B_i \cap per(f)$ , then  $X_p$  is open in  $B_i$ .

PROOF. Let m be a period of p  $(f^m(p)=p)$  and put  $U_{\delta}(X_p)=\{y\in B_i: d(y,X_p)<\delta\}$   $(\delta>0$  is the number chosen as above). For  $q\in U_{\delta}(X_p)\cap \operatorname{per}(f)$   $(f^n(q)=q$  for some n>0), we can find  $x\in W^u(p)\cap B_i$  with  $d(q,x)<\delta$ . Since  $(B_i,f)$  has the P.O.T.P., there is x' such that  $x'\in W^{\bullet}(q)\cap W^u(x)\cap B_i$ .

Since  $W^{u}(x) = W^{u}(p)$ ,  $f^{kmn}(x') \in f^{kmn}W^{u}(p) = W^{u}(p)$  for every k > 0. On the other hand,  $d(f^{kmn}(x'), f^{kmn}(q)) = d(f^{kmn}(x'), q) \to 0 \ (k \to \infty)$ . Therefore  $q \in \overline{W^{u}(p) \cap B_{i}} = X_{p}$ ; i.e.  $U_{\delta}(X_{p}) = X_{p}$ .

Since  $f(X_p) = X_{f(p)}$ , obviously  $f^m(X_p) = X_p$  so that there is a > 0 with  $a \le m$  such that  $f^a(X_p) = X_p$ . Since  $(B_i, f)$  is topologically transitive, we have  $B_i = X_p \cup f(X_p) \cup \cdots \cup f^{a-1}(X_p)$ .

(L. 7)  $X_p = X_q$  for  $q \in X_p \cap per(f)$ .

PROOF. As we saw in the proof of (L. 6),  $U_{\delta}(X_p) = X_p$  and so  $W^u_{\delta}(q) \subset X_p$ . As before let m and n be periods of p and q, respectively. Then we claim that  $W^u(q) = \bigcup_{j \geq 0} f^{nmj} W^u_{\delta}(q)$ . So  $X_q \subset X_p$ . To get the conclusion, assume  $p \notin X_q$ . Then we get  $0 < d(K, X_q)$  where  $K = X_p \setminus X_q$ . Since  $q \in X_p = \overline{W^u(p) \cap B_i}$ , there is  $z \in W^u(p) \cap B_i$  with  $d(z, q) < d(K, X_q)$ . Clearly  $z \in X_q$ . On the other hand,  $d(f^{-nmj}(z), p) = d(f^{-nmj}(z), f^{-nmj}(p)) \to 0$   $(j \to \infty)$ , so that  $f^{-nmj}(z) \notin X_q$  for sufficiently large j. We get that  $z \notin f^{nmj}(X_q) = X_q$ , which is a contradiction.

(L. 8)  $(X_v, f^a)$  is topologically mixing.

PROOF. Let U, V be nonempty open subsets of  $X_p$ . Since  $X_p = X_{p'}$  for all  $p' \in X_p \cap \text{per}(f)$ , for  $p' \in V \cap \text{per}(f)$  we have  $U \cap W^u(f^{aj}p') \neq \emptyset$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now let l > 0 be a period of p'. Then for  $0 \le j \le l-1$  there is  $z_j \in U \cap W^u(f^{aj}p')$ , so that  $f^{-alt}(z_j) \to f^{aj}(p')$  as  $t \to \infty$ . Obviously  $f^{aj}(p') \in f^{aj}(V)$ . Fix j with  $0 \le j \le l-1$ . Then there is  $N_j > 0$  such that for every  $t \ge N_j$ ,  $f^{-alt}(z_j) \in f^{aj}(V)$ . Put  $N = \max\{N_j : 0 \le j \le l-1\}$ . For every  $t \ge N$ , we get t = ls + j. If  $s \ge N$  then  $f^{-at}(z_j) = f^{-als-aj}(z_j) \in V$ . Since  $z_j \in U$ ,  $f^{at}(V) \cap U \ne \emptyset$  for  $t \ge lN$ .

As an easy conclusion we have

COROLLARY 2. If  $(\Omega, f)$  is topologically transitive and if there is  $p \in \text{per}(f)$  with f(p) = p, then  $(\Omega, f)$  is topologically mixing.

#### References

- [1] R. Bowen, Equilibrium States and the Ergodic Theory of Anosov Diffeomorphisms, Lecture Notes in Math., 470, Springer, 1975.
- [2] J. E. Franke and J. F. Selgrade, Hyperbolicity and chain recurrence, J. Differential Equations, 26 (1977), 227-236.
- [3] M. DENKER, C. GRILLENBERGER and K. SIGMUND, Ergodic Theory on Compact Spaces, Lecture Notes in Math., 527, Springer, 1978.
- [4] R. Mané, Expansive homeomorphisms and topological dimension, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 252 (1979), 313-319.

[5] A. Morimoto, The Method of Pseudo-Orbit Tracing Property and the Stabilities of Dynamical Systems, Seminar Notes 39, Tokyo Univ., 1979 (in Japanese).

Present Address:
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY
FUKAZAWA, SETAGAYA-KU, TOKYO 158