

## Hilbert Transforms on One Parameter Groups of Operators II

Shiro ISHIKAWA

*Keio University*

### Introduction

In [2], we studied about the existence theorems of a Hilbert transform on a complete locally convex space. In this paper, we shall consider some properties of the Hilbert transform. For this, we define several terms, some of which were already defined in [2].

DEFINITION 1. Let  $\mathbf{R}$  be a real field. Let  $X$  be a complete locally convex space and let  $\{U_t: t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  be a one parameter group of operators on  $X$ , that is,

(i)  $U_t: X \rightarrow X$  is a continuous linear operator for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , and  $U_0$  is an identity operator on  $X$ ,

(ii)  $U_t U_s = U_{t+s}$  for all  $t, s \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

(iii) for any  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and any  $x \in X$ ,  $(U_{t+h} - U_t)x$  converges to 0 as  $h \rightarrow 0$  in the topology of  $X$  (for short, in  $X$ ).

Moreover, the following condition (iv) is assumed in this paper:

(iv)  $U_t: X \rightarrow X$  is continuous uniformly for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , that is, for any neighborhood  $V$  of 0 in  $X$ , there exists a neighborhood  $W$  of 0 in  $X$  such that

$$U_t W \subset V \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

If  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (1/2T) \int_{-T}^T U_t x dt$  exists in  $X$ , then we denote it by  $\bar{x}$ .

DEFINITION 2. A continuous linear operator  $H_{\varepsilon, N}$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < N < \infty$ ) on  $X$  is defined as follows;

$$H_{\varepsilon, N} x = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\varepsilon < |t| < N} \frac{U_t x}{t} dt \quad (x \in X),$$

(this integral can be well defined since a mapping  $t \in \mathbf{R} \rightarrow (U_t x)/t \in X$  is continuous on a compact set  $\{t \in \mathbf{R}: \varepsilon \leq |t| \leq N\}$ ). Also, if  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+, N \rightarrow \infty} H_{\varepsilon, N} x$

exists in  $X$ , we denote it by  $Hx$  and call it a Hilbert transform of  $x$ . And the domain of  $H$  (i.e.  $\{x \in X: Hx \text{ exists}\}$ ) is denoted by  $D(H)$ .

§1. Special case (in Hilbert space).

In this section, we shall show several results in a Hilbert space, which will be generalized in a complete locally convex space in the following section.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\{U_t; t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  be a one parameter group of unitary operators on a Hilbert space  $X$  (i.e.  $U_t^* = U_{-t}$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ). Then, for any element  $x$  in  $X$ ,  $Hx$  exists in  $X$ . Moreover it is seen that*

$$\|Hx\|^2 = \|x - \bar{x}\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $x$  be any element in  $X$ . Since  $\{U_t; t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  be a one parameter group of unitary operators on a Hilbert space  $X$ , we, by Stone's theorem, see the following spectral representation of  $U_t x$ ;

$$U_t x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda t} dE(\lambda)x$$

where  $\{E(\lambda); \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\}$  is a spectral family of a one parameter group of unitary operators  $\{U_t; t \in \mathbf{R}\}$ . In order to show the first part of Theorem, it is sufficient to prove that  $\|H_{\epsilon, N}x - H_{\epsilon', N'}x\|$  converges to 0 as  $\epsilon, \epsilon' \rightarrow 0+$  and  $N, N' \rightarrow \infty$ . From the spectral representation of  $U_t x$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H_{\epsilon, N}x - H_{\epsilon', N'}x\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\epsilon < |t| < N} \frac{U_t x}{t} dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\epsilon' < |t| < N'} \frac{U_t x}{t} dt \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\epsilon < |t| < N} \frac{e^{i\lambda t}}{t} dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\epsilon' < |t| < N'} \frac{e^{i\lambda t}}{t} dt \right] dE(\lambda)x \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [g_{\epsilon, N}(\lambda) - g_{\epsilon', N'}(\lambda)] dE(\lambda)x \right\|^2 \\ (1) \quad &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g_{\epsilon, N}(\lambda) - g_{\epsilon', N'}(\lambda)|^2 d\|E(\lambda)x\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_{\epsilon, N}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\epsilon < |t| < N} \frac{e^{i\lambda t}}{t} dt.$$

It is clear that  $g_{\epsilon, N}(\lambda)$  has the following properties:

(i)  $g_{\epsilon, N}(\lambda)$  is a continuous function on  $R$  such that  $|g_{\epsilon, N}(\lambda)| \leq 1$  for all  $\lambda \in R$ ,

(ii) if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real numbers such that  $0 < \alpha < \beta < \infty$ , then  $g_{\epsilon, N}$  uniformly converges to 1 ( $-1$ ) for the closed interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$  ( $[-\beta, -\alpha]$ ) as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$ ,  $N \rightarrow \infty$

and

(iii)  $g_{\epsilon, N}(0) = 0$ .

From (1) and these properties of  $g_{\epsilon, N}$ , we can easily see that  $\|H_{\epsilon, N}x - H_{\epsilon', N'}x\|$  converges to 0 as  $\epsilon, \epsilon' \rightarrow 0+$  and  $N, N' \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $H_{\epsilon, N}x$  converges to a certain element  $Hx$  in  $X$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now we shall prove the second part of theorem. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Hx\|^2 &= \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+ \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \|H_{\epsilon, N}x\|^2 \\ &= \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+ \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \left\| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\epsilon < |t| < N} \frac{1}{t} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda t} dE(\lambda)x \right) dt \right\|^2 \\ &= \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+ \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g_{\epsilon, N}(\lambda)|^2 d\|E(\lambda)x\|^2 \\ &= \|x\|^2 - \|E(0+)x\|^2 + \|E(0-)x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also we see that  $\|E(0+)x\|^2 - \|E(0-)x\|^2 = \|\bar{x}\|^2$  and  $\|\bar{x}\|^2 + \|x - \bar{x}\|^2 = \|x\|^2$ . From this, the second part of theorem immediately follows. This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $\{U_t: t \in R\}$  be a one parameter group of unitary operators on a Hilbert space  $X$ . Then, for any  $x, y$  in  $X$ ,

- (i)  $(Hx, y) = -(x, Hy)$ ,
- (ii)  $(Hx, Hy) = (x - \bar{x}, y - \bar{y})$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be any elements in  $X$ . Then we see, from the unitarity of  $\{U_t: t \in R\}$ , that for any  $x, y \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} (Hx, y) &= \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+ \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} (H_{\epsilon, N}x, y) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+ \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\epsilon < |t| < N} \frac{U_t x}{t} dt, y \right) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+ \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\epsilon < |t| < N} \frac{1}{t} (U_t x, y) dt \\ &= \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+ \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\epsilon < |t| < N} \frac{1}{t} (x, U_t^* y) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+ \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\varepsilon < |t| < N} \frac{1}{t} (x, U_{-t}y) dt \\
&= \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+ \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \left( x, \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\varepsilon < |t| < N} \frac{U_{-t}y}{t} dt \right) \\
&= - \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+ \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} (x, H_{\varepsilon, N}y) \\
&= -(x, Hy),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (i).

Also we see, from Theorem 1, that

$$\begin{aligned}
&4(Hx, Hy) \\
&= \|Hx + Hy\|^2 - \|Hx - Hy\|^2 + i\|Hx + iHy\|^2 - i\|Hx - iHy\|^2 \\
&= \|(x+y) - (x+y)^-\|^2 - \|(x-y) - (x-y)^-\|^2 \\
&\quad + i\|(x+iy) - (x+iy)^-\|^2 - i\|(x-iy) - (x-iy)^-\|^2 \\
&= \|(x-\bar{x}) + (y+\bar{y})\|^2 - \|(x-\bar{x}) - (y-\bar{y})\|^2 \\
&\quad + i\|(x-\bar{x}) + i(y-\bar{y})\|^2 - i\|(x-\bar{x}) - i(y-\bar{y})\|^2 \\
&= 4(x-\bar{x}, y-\bar{y}),
\end{aligned}$$

which is (ii). This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\{U_t; t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  be a one parameter group of unitary operators on a Hilbert space  $X$ . Then it follows that*

$$H(Hx) = -(x-\bar{x}) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $x$  be any elements in  $X$ . Then we see, from Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, that, for any  $y \in X$ ,

$$(H(Hx), y) = -(Hx, Hy) = -(x-\bar{x}, y-\bar{y}) = -(x-\bar{x}, y) + (x-\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

Since  $(x-\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 0$ , we obtain that

$$(H(Hx), y) = -(x-\bar{x}, y) \text{ for all } y \in X,$$

which gives us  $H(Hx) = -(x-\bar{x})$ . This completes the proof.

## §2. General case (in a complete locally convex space).

In this section, we shall generalize the theorems in the section 2. The following lemma is fundamental for our theory.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $\{U_t; t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  be a one parameter group of operators on*

a complete locally convex space  $X$ . Let  $x$  be any element in  $X$  and let  $\eta, \epsilon, N$  and  $M$  be positive numbers such that  $0 < \eta < \epsilon < (1/2) < 2 < N < 2N + 1 < M < \infty$  (more precisely,  $0 < \epsilon - \eta < \epsilon + \eta < N - \eta < N + \eta < M - N < M - \epsilon < M + \epsilon < M + N$ ). Then it follows that

$$H_{\eta, M} H_{\epsilon, N} x = -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[ \int_{-(M-\epsilon)/\epsilon}^{(M-\epsilon)/\epsilon} \frac{U_{st} x}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt - \int_{-(M-N)/N}^{(M-N)/N} \frac{U_{Nt} x}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt + R(\eta, \epsilon, N, M; x) \right]$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R(\eta, \epsilon, N, M; x) &= \int_{\epsilon-\eta}^{\epsilon+\eta} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{(a+\eta)(a-\epsilon)}{\epsilon\eta} \right| da + \int_{\epsilon+\eta}^{N-\eta} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\eta}{a-\eta} \right| da \\ &+ \int_{N-\eta}^{N+\eta} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{N\eta}{(a-N)(a-\eta)} \right| da \\ &+ \int_{M-N}^{M-\epsilon} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{(a-M)(a-N)}{MN} \right| da \\ &+ \int_{M-\epsilon}^{M+\epsilon} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{\epsilon(a-N)}{N(a-\epsilon)} \right| da \\ &+ \int_{M+\epsilon}^{M+N} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{(a-M)(a-N)}{MN} \right| da . \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let  $x$  be any element in  $X$ , and let  $\eta, \epsilon, N$  and  $M$  be a positive numbers such that

$$0 < \eta < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2} < 2 < N < 2N + 1 < M < \infty .$$

Then we see that,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad H_{\eta, M}(H_{\epsilon, N} x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\eta < |s| < M} \frac{U_s}{s} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\epsilon < |t| < N} \frac{U_t x}{t} dt \right) ds \\ &\quad \text{(change variable } t \longrightarrow -t) \\ &= \frac{-1}{\pi^2} \iint_{\substack{\eta < |s| < M \\ \epsilon < |t| < N}} \frac{U_{s-t} x}{st} ds dt \\ &\quad \text{(change variable } s-t \longrightarrow a, t \longrightarrow v) \\ &= \frac{-1}{\pi^2} \iint_{\substack{\eta < |a+v| < M \\ \epsilon < |v| < N}} \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} da dv \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[ \int_{-(\varepsilon-\eta)}^{\varepsilon-\eta} \left\{ \left( \int_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon}^N \right) \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} dv \right\} da \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[ \int_{\varepsilon-\eta}^{\varepsilon+\eta} \left\{ \left( \int_{-N}^{-(a+\eta)} + \int_{\varepsilon}^N \right) \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} dv \right\} da \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + \int_{-(\varepsilon+\eta)}^{-(\varepsilon-\eta)} + \left\{ \left( \int_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{-a+\eta}^N \right) \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} dv \right\} da \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[ \int_{\varepsilon+\eta}^{N-\eta} \left\{ \left( \int_{-N}^{-(a+\eta)} + \int_{-(a-\eta)}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon}^N \right) \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} dv \right\} da \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + \int_{-(N-\eta)}^{-(\varepsilon+\eta)} \left\{ \left( \int_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon}^{-a-\eta} + \int_{-a+\eta}^N \right) \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} dv \right\} da \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[ \int_{N-\eta}^{N+\eta} \left\{ \left( \int_{-(a-\eta)}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon}^N \right) \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} dv \right\} da \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + \int_{-(N+\eta)}^{-(N-\eta)} \left\{ \left( \int_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon}^{-a-\eta} \right) \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} dv \right\} da \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[ \int_{N+\eta}^{M-N} \left\{ \left( \int_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon}^N \right) \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} dv \right\} da \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + \int_{-(M-N)}^{-(N+\eta)} \left\{ \left( \int_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon}^N \right) \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} dv \right\} da \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[ \int_{M-N}^{M-\varepsilon} \left\{ \left( \int_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon}^{M-a} \right) \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} dv \right\} da \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + \int_{-(M-\varepsilon)}^{-(M-N)} \left\{ \left( \int_{-(M+a)}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon}^N \right) \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} dv \right\} da \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[ \int_{M-\varepsilon}^{M+\varepsilon} \left\{ \int_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} dv \right\} da + \int_{-(M+\varepsilon)}^{-(M-\varepsilon)} \left\{ \int_{\varepsilon}^N \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} dv \right\} da \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[ \int_{M+\varepsilon}^{M+N} \left\{ \int_{-N}^{-(a-M)} \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} dv \right\} da + \int_{-(M+N)}^{-(M+\varepsilon)} \left\{ \int_{-a-M}^N \frac{U_a x}{v(a+v)} dv \right\} da \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{\pi^2} I_1 - \frac{1}{\pi^2} I_2 - \frac{1}{\pi^2} I_3 - \dots - \frac{1}{\pi^2} I_8, \quad \text{say.}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we shall calculate  $I_1, I_2, I_3 \dots I_7$  and  $I_8$ , and successively have the followings;

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \quad I_1 &= \int_{-(\varepsilon-\eta)}^{\varepsilon-\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \left[ \left( \int_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon}^N \right) \left( \frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{a+v} \right) dv \right] da \\
&= \int_{-(\varepsilon-\eta)}^{\varepsilon-\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \left[ \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} + \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{\varepsilon}^N \right] da \\
&= \int_{-(\varepsilon-\eta)}^{\varepsilon-\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon} \right| da - \int_{-(\varepsilon-\eta)}^{\varepsilon-\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+N}{a-N} \right| da,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad I_2 &= \int_{\varepsilon-\eta}^{\varepsilon+\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \left( \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-N}^{-(a+\eta)} + \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{\varepsilon}^N \right) da \\
 &\quad + \int_{-(\varepsilon+\eta)}^{-(\varepsilon-\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \left( \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} + \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-a+\eta}^N \right) da \\
 &= \int_{\varepsilon-\eta}^{\varepsilon+\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{(a+\varepsilon)(a+\eta)}{\varepsilon\eta} \right| da + \int_{\varepsilon-\eta}^{\varepsilon+\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a-N}{a+N} \right| da \\
 &\quad + \int_{-(\varepsilon+\eta)}^{-(\varepsilon-\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{\varepsilon\eta}{(a-\varepsilon)(a-\eta)} \right| da + \int_{-(\varepsilon+\eta)}^{-(\varepsilon-\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a-N}{a+N} \right| da \\
 &= \int_{\varepsilon-\eta}^{\varepsilon+\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon} \right| da - \int_{\varepsilon-\eta}^{\varepsilon+\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+N}{a-N} \right| da \\
 &\quad + \int_{-(\varepsilon+\eta)}^{-(\varepsilon-\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon} \right| da - \int_{-(\varepsilon+\eta)}^{-(\varepsilon-\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+N}{a-N} \right| da \\
 &\quad + \int_{\varepsilon-\eta}^{\varepsilon+\eta} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{(a-\varepsilon)(a+\eta)}{\varepsilon\eta} \right| da,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad I_3 &= \int_{\varepsilon+\eta}^{N-\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \left( \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-N}^{-(a+\eta)} + \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-(a-\eta)}^{-\varepsilon} + \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{\varepsilon}^N \right) da \\
 &\quad + \int_{-(N-\eta)}^{-(\varepsilon+\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \left( \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} + \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{\varepsilon}^{-a-\eta} + \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-a+\eta}^N \right) da \\
 &= \int_{\varepsilon+\eta}^{N-\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\eta}{a-\eta} \right| da + \int_{\varepsilon+\eta}^{N-\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a-N}{a+N} \right| da \\
 &\quad + \int_{\varepsilon+\eta}^{N-\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon} \right| da + \int_{-(N-\eta)}^{-(\varepsilon+\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a+\varepsilon} \right| da \\
 &\quad + \int_{-(N-\eta)}^{-(\varepsilon+\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a-N}{a+N} \right| da + \int_{-(N-\eta)}^{-(\varepsilon+\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\eta}{a-\eta} \right| da \\
 &= \int_{\varepsilon+\eta}^{N-\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon} \right| da - \int_{\varepsilon+\eta}^{N-\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+N}{a-N} \right| da \\
 &\quad + \int_{-(N-\eta)}^{-(\varepsilon+\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon} \right| da - \int_{-(N-\eta)}^{-(\varepsilon+\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+N}{a-N} \right| da \\
 &\quad + \int_{\varepsilon+\eta}^{N-\eta} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\eta}{a-\eta} \right| da,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad I_4 &= \int_{N-\eta}^{N+\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \left( \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-(a-\eta)}^{-\varepsilon} + \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{\varepsilon}^N \right) da \\
 &\quad + \int_{-(N+\eta)}^{-(N-\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \left( \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} + \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{\varepsilon}^{-a-\eta} \right) da \\
 &= \int_{N-\eta}^{N+\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon} \right| da + \int_{N-\eta}^{N+\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{N}{a+N} \right| da + \int_{N-\eta}^{N+\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{\eta}{a-\eta} \right| da
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{-(N+\eta)}^{-(N-\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon} \right| da - \int_{-(N+\eta)}^{-(N-\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{N}{a-N} \right| da \\
& + \int_{-(N+\eta)}^{-(N-\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\eta}{\eta} \right| da \\
& = \int_{N-\eta}^{N+\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon} \right| da - \int_{N-\eta}^{N+\eta} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+N}{a-N} \right| da \\
& + \int_{-(N+\eta)}^{-(N-\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon} \right| da - \int_{-(N+\eta)}^{-(N-\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+N}{a-N} \right| da \\
& + \int_{N-\eta}^{N+\eta} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{N\eta}{(a-\eta)(a-N)} \right| da,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(6) \quad I_5 & = \int_{N+\eta}^{M-N} \frac{U_a x}{a} \left( \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} + \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{\varepsilon}^N \right) da \\
& + \int_{-(M-N)}^{-(N+\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \left( \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} + \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{\varepsilon}^N \right) da \\
& = \int_{N+\eta}^{M-N} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon} \right| da - \int_{N+\eta}^{M-N} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+N}{a-N} \right| da \\
& + \int_{-(M-N)}^{-(N+\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon} \right| da - \int_{-(M-N)}^{-(N+\eta)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+N}{a-N} \right| da,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(7) \quad I_6 & = \int_{M-N}^{M-\varepsilon} \frac{U_a x}{a} \left( \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} + \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{\varepsilon}^{M-a} \right) da \\
& + \int_{-(M-\varepsilon)}^{-(M-N)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \left( \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-(M+a)}^{-\varepsilon} + \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{\varepsilon}^N \right) da \\
& = \int_{M-N}^{M-\varepsilon} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon} \right| da + \int_{-(M-\varepsilon)}^{-(M-N)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon} \right| da \\
& + \int_{M-N}^{M-\varepsilon} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{(a-M)(a-N)}{MN} \right| da,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(8) \quad I_7 & = \int_{M-\varepsilon}^{M+\varepsilon} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-N}^{-\varepsilon} da + \int_{-(M+\varepsilon)}^{-(M-\varepsilon)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{\varepsilon}^N da \\
& = \int_{M-\varepsilon}^{M+\varepsilon} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{\varepsilon(a-N)}{N(a-\varepsilon)} \right| da
\end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \quad I_8 & = \int_{M+\varepsilon}^{M+N} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-N}^{-(a-M)} da + \int_{-(M+N)}^{-(M+\varepsilon)} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{v}{a+v} \right| \Big|_{-a-M}^N da \\
& = \int_{M+\varepsilon}^{M+N} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{(a-M)(a-N)}{MN} \right| da.
\end{aligned}$$



Therefore we obtain, from (1), (2), ..., (8) and (9), that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\pi^2 H_{\eta, M} H_{\varepsilon, N} x \\
 &= \int_{-(M-\varepsilon)}^{M-\varepsilon} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\varepsilon}{a-\varepsilon} \right| da - \int_{-M+N}^{M-N} \frac{U_a x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+N}{a-N} \right| da + R(\eta, \varepsilon, N, M; x) \\
 & \quad (\text{change variables } a \longrightarrow \varepsilon t \text{ and } a \longrightarrow Nt \text{ respectively}) \\
 &= \int_{-(M-\varepsilon)/\varepsilon}^{(M-\varepsilon)/\varepsilon} \frac{U_{\varepsilon t} x}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt - \int_{-(M-N)/N}^{(M-N)/N} \frac{U_{Nt} x}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt \\
 & \quad + R(\eta, \varepsilon, N, M; x).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $\{U_t; t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  be a one parameter group of operators on a complete locally convex space  $X$ . Let  $R(\eta, \varepsilon, N, M; x)$  be defined as in Lemma 1. Then it follows that

(i)  $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0+, M \rightarrow \infty} R(\eta, \varepsilon, N, M; x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and all  $\varepsilon, N$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon < (1/2) < 2 < N < \infty$ ,

and

(ii)  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} R(\eta, \varepsilon, N, M; x) = 0$  uniformly for  $\eta, M, N$  such that  $0 < \eta < \varepsilon < (1/2) < 2 < N < 2N+1 < M < \infty$ .

**PROOF.** We can easily find a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$(1) \quad \int_{\alpha-1}^{\alpha+1} \frac{1}{t} |\log |t \pm 1|| dt < K \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{1-\beta}^{1+\beta} \frac{1}{t} |\log |t \pm 1|| dt < K,$$

for all  $1 < \alpha < \infty$  and all  $0 < \beta < 1$ . Also, we see that

$$(2) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\alpha-1}^{\alpha+1} \frac{1}{t} |\log |t \pm 1|| dt = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_{1-\alpha}^{1+\alpha} \frac{1}{t} |\log |t \pm 1|| dt = 0.$$

Let  $R(\eta, \varepsilon, N, M; x)$  be defined as in Lemma 1, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & R(\eta, \varepsilon, N, M; x) \\
 &= \int_{\varepsilon-\eta}^{\varepsilon+\eta} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{(a+\eta)(a-\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon\eta} \right| da + \int_{\varepsilon+\eta}^{N-\eta} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\eta}{a-\eta} \right| da \\
 & \quad + \int_{N-\eta}^{N+\eta} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{N\eta}{(a-N)(a-\eta)} \right| da \\
 & \quad + \int_{M-N}^{M-\varepsilon} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{(a-M)(a-N)}{MN} \right| da \\
 & \quad + \int_{M-\varepsilon}^{M+\varepsilon} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{\varepsilon(a-N)}{N(a-\varepsilon)} \right| da
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{M+\varepsilon}^{M+N} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{(a-M)(a-N)}{MN} \right| da \\
& = J_1 + J_2 + \cdots + J_\varepsilon, \text{ say.}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we are going to estimate  $R(\eta, \varepsilon, N, M; x)$ . Let  $x$  be any element in  $X$ . Let  $q$  be any semi-norm from the system  $\{q\}$  semi-norms defining the topology of  $X$ .

Let  $\theta$  be any positive number. Since  $U_t: X \rightarrow X$  is continuous uniformly for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , we take a balanced convex neighborhood  $W$  of 0 in  $X$  such that

$$q(U_t x) < \theta \quad \text{for all } x \in W \text{ and } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

First we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
q(J_1) &= q \left( \int_{\varepsilon-\eta}^{\varepsilon+\eta} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{(a+\eta)(a-\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon\eta} \right| da \right) \\
&\leq 2\theta \int_{\varepsilon-\eta}^{\varepsilon+\eta} \frac{1}{a} \left| \log \left| \frac{a-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right| \right| da + 2\theta \int_{\varepsilon-\eta}^{\varepsilon+\eta} \frac{1}{a} \left| \log \left| \frac{a+\eta}{\eta} \right| \right| da \\
&\leq 2\theta \int_{1-(\eta/\varepsilon)}^{1+(\eta/\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{t} |\log |t-1|| dt + 2\theta \int_{(\varepsilon/\eta)-1}^{(\varepsilon/\eta)+1} \frac{1}{t} |\log |t+1|| dt
\end{aligned}$$

which implies, by (1) and (2), that

$$(3) \quad \lim_{\substack{\eta \rightarrow 0+ \\ M \rightarrow \infty}} J_1 = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ and all } 0 < \varepsilon < N < \infty$$

and

$$(3)' \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} J_1 = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for } 0 < \eta < \varepsilon < 1/2 < 2 < N < 2N+1 < M < \infty.$$

Next we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
q(J_2) &= q \left( \int_{\varepsilon+\eta}^{N-\eta} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{a+\eta}{a-\eta} \right| da \right) \\
&\leq 2\theta \int_{(\varepsilon/\eta)-1}^{(\varepsilon/\eta)+1} \frac{1}{t} \left| \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| \right| dt.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(4) \quad \lim_{\substack{\eta \rightarrow 0+ \\ M \rightarrow \infty}} J_2 = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ and all } 0 < \varepsilon < N < \infty$$

and

$$(4)' \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} J_2 = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for } 0 < \eta < \varepsilon < 1/2 < 2 < N < 2N+1 < M < \infty,$$

since  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1/t)|\log|(t+1)/(t-1)||dt = \pi^2$ .

Similarly we see that

$$q(J_3) = q\left(\int_{N-\eta}^{N+\eta} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{N\eta}{(a-N)(a-\eta)} \right| da\right) \\ \leq 2\theta \int_{1-(\eta/N)}^{1+(\eta/N)} \frac{1}{t} |\log|t-1|| dt + 2\theta \int_{(N/\eta)-1}^{(N/\eta)+1} \frac{1}{t} |\log|t-1|| dt,$$

which implies, by (1) and (2), that

(5)  $\lim_{\substack{\eta \rightarrow 0+ \\ M \rightarrow \infty}} J_3 = 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and all  $0 < \epsilon < N < \infty$

and

(5)'  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} J_3 = 0$  uniformly for  $0 < \eta < \epsilon < 1/2 < 2 < N < 2N+1 < M < \infty$ ,

and we see that

$$q(\{J_4 + J_6\}) = q\left(\int_{M-N}^{M-\epsilon} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{(a-M)(a-N)}{MN} \right| da\right) \\ + \int_{M+\epsilon}^{M+N} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{(a-M)(a-N)}{MN} \right| da \\ \leq 2\theta \int_{M-N}^{M+N} \frac{1}{a} \log \left| \frac{(a-M)(a-N)}{MN} \right| da \\ \leq 2\theta \int_{1-(N/M)}^{1+(N/M)} \frac{1}{t} |\log|t-1|| dt + 2\theta \int_{(M/N)-1}^{(M/N)+1} \frac{1}{t} |\log|t-1|| dt,$$

which implies, by (1) and (2), that

(6)  $\lim_{\substack{\eta \rightarrow 0+ \\ M \rightarrow \infty}} \{J_4 + J_6\} = 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and all  $0 < \epsilon < N < \infty$

and

(6)'  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \{J_4 + J_6\} = 0$  uniformly for  $0 < \eta < \epsilon < 1/2 < 2 < N < 2N+1 < M < \infty$ .

Lastly we see that

$$q(J_5) = q\left(\int_{M-\epsilon}^{M+\epsilon} \frac{U_a x + U_{-a} x}{a} \log \left| \frac{\epsilon(a-N)}{N(a-\epsilon)} \right| da\right) \\ \leq 2\theta \int_{M-\epsilon}^{M+\epsilon} \frac{1}{a} \log \left| \frac{(a-N)}{N} \right| da + 2\theta \int_{M-\epsilon}^{M+\epsilon} \frac{1}{a} \log \left| \frac{\epsilon}{a-\epsilon} \right| da \\ \leq 2\theta \int_{(M/N)-1}^{(M/N)+1} \frac{1}{t} |\log|t-1|| dt + 2\theta \int_{(M/\epsilon)-1}^{(M/\epsilon)+1} \frac{1}{t} |\log|t-1|| dt,$$

which implies, by (1) and (2), that

$$(7) \quad \lim_{\substack{\eta \rightarrow 0+ \\ M \rightarrow \infty}} J_\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in X \text{ and } 0 < \varepsilon < N < \infty$$

and

$$(7)' \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} J_\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for } 0 < \eta < \varepsilon < 1/2 < 2 < N < 2N + 1 < M < \infty .$$

Putting above estimates (3),  $\dots$ , (7) and (3)',  $\dots$ , (7)' together, we see that (i) and (ii) are true. The proof of the lemma is now complete.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\{U_t; t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  be a one parameter group of operators on a complete locally convex space  $X$ . Then, for any  $x \in X$ ,  $HH_{\varepsilon, N} \circ x$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < N < \infty$ ) exists in  $X$ , and*

$$HH_{\varepsilon, N} x = -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{U_{it} x}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{U_{Nt} x}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt .$$

**PROOF.** Let  $x$  be any element in  $X$ . By Lemma 1 and (i) in Lemma 2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} HH_{\varepsilon, N} x &= \lim_{\substack{\eta \rightarrow 0+ \\ M \rightarrow \infty}} H_{\eta, M} H_{\varepsilon, N} x \\ &= \lim_{\substack{\eta \rightarrow 0+ \\ M \rightarrow \infty}} \left[ -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[ \int_{-(M-\varepsilon)/\varepsilon}^{(M-\varepsilon)/\varepsilon} \frac{U_{it} x}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_{-(M-N)/N}^{(M-N)/N} \frac{U_{Nt} x}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt + R(\eta, \varepsilon, N, M; x) \right] \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{U_{it} x}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{U_{Nt} x}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt . \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

The following lemmas are useful to prove Theorem 5.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $\{U_t; t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  be a one parameter group of operators on a complete locally convex space  $X$ . Let  $x$  be any element in  $X$  such that  $\bar{x}$  exists. Then, for any  $\phi \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) dt = 1$ ,  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi(t) dt$  exists in  $X$  and is equal to  $\bar{x}$ .*

**PROOF.** We define a characteristic function  $\chi_{(a, b]}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  such that

$$\chi_{(a, b]}(t) = 1 \quad (\text{for } t \in (a, b]) \text{ and } 0 \quad (\text{elsewhere}) .$$

First we assume that  $\phi$  is represented by a linear combination of above

characteristic functions i.e.

$$\phi(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \chi_{(a_i, b_i]}(t)$$

where  $(a_i, b_i]$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, m$ , are disjoint intervals, and hence  $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i(b_i - a_i) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) dt = 1$ . Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi(t) dt &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \int_{a_i}^{b_i} U_{Nt} x dt = \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ c_i(b_i - a_i) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(b_i - a_i)N} \int_{a_i N}^{b_i N} U_t x dt \right] \\ &= \bar{x} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(b_i - a_i) = \bar{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we shall consider the case of a general  $\phi$ . Let  $\phi$  be any element in  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) dt = 1$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be any positive real and let  $q$  be any semi-norm from the system of semi-norms defining the topology of  $X$ . Then we can find an  $L > 0$  and a linear combination  $\phi_0(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \chi_{(a_i, b_i]}(t)$  such that

$$q(U_t x) \leq L \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}$$

and

$$\|\phi - \phi_0\|_1 < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & q\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi(t) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Mt} x \phi(t) dt\right) \\ & \leq q\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x (\phi(t) - \phi_0(t)) dt\right) + q\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi_0(t) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Mt} x \phi_0(t) dt\right) \\ & \quad + q\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Mt} x (\phi(t) - \phi_0(t)) dt\right) \\ & \leq 2L\varepsilon + q\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi_0(t) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Mt} x \phi_0(t) dt\right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi(t) dt \right\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ , and has a certain limit  $y$  in  $X$ , since as was shown above  $\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi_0(t) dt \right\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$  is a convergent sequence in  $X$ . Moreover, it is clear that  $y = \bar{x}$ , and this completes the proof.

**LEMMA 4.** Let  $\{U_t; t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  be a one parameter group of operators on a complete locally convex space  $X$ . Let  $x$  be an element in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi(t) dt$  exists for some  $\phi \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$  with  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) dt = 1$ . Then

$\bar{x}$  exists in  $X$  and  $\bar{x} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi(t) dt$ .

PROOF. Let  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi(t) dt$  be denoted by  $x^*$ . Firstly, we shall prove that  $U_s x^* = x^*$  for all  $s \in \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $s$  be any fixed real number. Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad & U_s x^* - x^* \\
 &= U_s \left[ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi(t) dt \right] - x^* \\
 &= U_s \left[ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_t x \phi\left(\frac{t}{N}\right) dt \right] - x^* \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{t+s} x \phi\left(\frac{t}{N}\right) dt - x^* \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_t x \phi\left(\frac{t-s}{N}\right) dt - x^* \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi\left(t - \frac{s}{N}\right) dt - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi(t) dt \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \left( \phi\left(t - \frac{s}{N}\right) - \phi(t) \right) dt \\
 &= 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

since  $U_t: X \rightarrow X$  is continuous uniformly for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\phi(t - (s/N)) - \phi(t) \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence we get that  $U_s x^* = x^*$  for all  $s \in \mathbf{R}$ .

Now let  $D(t)$  be a function on  $\mathbf{R}$  such that

$$D(t) = 1/2 \quad (t \in [-1, 1]) \quad \text{and } 0 \text{ (elsewhere)}.$$

Let  $V$  be any balanced convex neighborhood of 0 in  $X$ . By the continuity of  $U_t: X \rightarrow X$  uniformly for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , there exists a balanced convex neighborhood  $W$  of 0 in  $X$  such that

$$(2) \quad U_t W \subset \frac{V}{3} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Also, there exists an  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$(3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x D(t) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D(t+s) \frac{1}{\eta} \phi\left(\frac{s}{\eta}\right) ds \right) dt \in \frac{V}{3} \quad \text{for all } N \geq 0,$$

since  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D(t+s) (1/\eta) \phi(s/\eta) ds \rightarrow D(t)$  ( $\eta \rightarrow 0+$ ) in  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ .

And there exists an  $N_0 = N_0(\eta) > 0$  such that

$$(4) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{N\eta t} x \phi(t) dt - x^* \in W \quad (N \geq N_0)$$

and

$$(5) \quad x^* - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi(dt) \in \frac{V}{3} \quad (N \geq N_0).$$

Then we see, by (1), (4) and (2), that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D(t+s) \frac{1}{\eta} \phi\left(\frac{s}{\eta}\right) ds \right) dt - x^* \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D(s) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \frac{1}{\eta} \phi\left(\frac{t+s}{\eta}\right) dt - x^* \right] ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \left[ U_{-Ns} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{N\eta t} x \phi(t) dt - x^* \right) \right] ds \\ &\in V/3 \quad (N \geq N_0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we, by (3), (6) and (5), find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2N} \int_{-N}^N U_t x dt - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi(t) dt \\ &= \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x D(t) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D(t+s) \frac{1}{\eta} \phi\left(\frac{s}{\eta}\right) ds \right) dt \right] \\ &+ \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D(t+s) \frac{1}{\eta} \phi\left(\frac{s}{\eta}\right) ds \right) dt - x^* \right] \\ &+ \left[ x^* - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi(t) dt \right] \\ &\in \frac{V}{3} + \frac{V}{3} + \frac{V}{3} = V \quad (N \geq N_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $V$  is arbitrary convex balanced neighborhood of 0 in  $X$ , this implies that  $\bar{x}$  exists and  $\bar{x} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_{Nt} x \phi(t) dt$ . This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $\{U_t; t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  be a one parameter group of operators on a complete locally convex space  $X$ . Let  $x$  be any element in  $D(H)$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\bar{x}$  exists in  $X$ ,
- (ii)  $Hx$  belongs to  $D(H)$ .

Moreover, if  $\bar{x}$  exists in  $X$ , then  $H^2x = -(x - \bar{x})$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $x$  be any element in  $D(H)$ . Since  $H_{t,N}$  is continuous,

we see, by Theorem 4, that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+ \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} H_{\varepsilon, N} Hx &= \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+ \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} H_{\varepsilon, N} (\lim_{\substack{\eta \rightarrow 0+ \\ M \rightarrow \infty}} H_{\eta, M} x) = \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+ \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \lim_{\substack{\eta \rightarrow 0+ \\ M \rightarrow \infty}} H_{\eta, M} H_{\varepsilon, N} x = \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+ \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} HH_{\varepsilon, N} x \\
 &= -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{U_{\varepsilon t} x}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{U_{Nt} x}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt \\
 &= -x + \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+ \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{U_{Nt} x}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get, by (1) and Lemma 3, that (i) implies (ii). Moreover, it immediately follows that (i) implies that  $H^2x = -(\bar{x} - x)$ .

Also, we get, by (1) and Lemma 4, that (ii) implies (i). This completes the proof.

**LEMMA 5.** *Let  $\{U_t; t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  be a one parameter group of operators on a complete locally convex space  $X$ . Then, it follows that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} H_{\eta, M} H_{\varepsilon, N} x = 0$  uniformly for  $\varepsilon, \eta, M, N$  such that  $0 < \eta < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2} < 2 < N < 2N + 1 < M < \infty$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\theta$  be any positive number. Let  $q$  be any semi-norm from the system  $\{q\}$  of semi-norms defining the topology of  $X$ . Since  $U_t: X \rightarrow X$  is continuous uniformly for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , we can take a neighborhood  $W$  of 0 in  $X$  such that

$$q(U_t x) \leq \theta \quad \text{for all } x \in W \text{ and all } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Then, we see, by Lemma 2, that, for any  $x \in W$  and any  $\varepsilon, \eta, M, N$  such that  $0 < \eta < \varepsilon < (1/2) < 2 < N < 2N + 1 < M < \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & q(H_{\eta, M} H_{\varepsilon, N} x) \\
 & \leq q \left( -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[ \int_{-(M-\varepsilon)/\varepsilon}^{(M-\varepsilon)/\varepsilon} \frac{U_{\varepsilon t} x}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. - \int_{-(M-N)/N}^{(M-N)/N} \frac{U_{Nt} x}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt + R(\eta, \varepsilon, N, M; x) \right] \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{\theta}{\pi^2} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \left| \frac{t+1}{t-1} \right| dt + K \right] \\
 & \leq \theta \left( 2 + \frac{K}{\pi^2} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $K$  is a positive constant independent of  $\eta, \varepsilon, N$  and  $M$  (such  $K$  exists by Lemma 2, (ii)). This completes the proof.

**LEMMA 6.** *Let  $\{U_t; t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  be a one parameter group of operators on*



a complete locally convex space  $X$ . Then, it follows that

(i) for any  $x \in X$  and any  $0 < \varepsilon < N < \infty$ ,  $(H_{\varepsilon, N}x)^- = 0$ ,

and

(ii) for any  $x \in D(H)$ ,  $(Hx)^- = 0$ .

PROOF. Firstly we shall prove the first part of lemma. Let  $x$  be any element in  $X$ . We see that, for large  $T > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad I &= \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T U_t H_{\varepsilon, N} x dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T U_t \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\varepsilon < |s| < N} \frac{U_s x}{s} ds \right] dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\varepsilon < s < N} \frac{U_{t+s} x - U_{t-s} x}{s} ds \right] dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\varepsilon < s < N} \frac{1}{s} \left[ \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T (U_{t+s} x - U_{t-s} x) dt \right] ds \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\varepsilon < s < N} \frac{1}{s} \left[ -\frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T-s}^{-T+s} U_t x dt + \frac{1}{2T} \int_{T-s}^{T+s} U_t x dt \right] ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $q$  be and semi-nom from the system  $\{q\}$  of semi-norms defining the topology of  $X$ . By the uniform continuity of  $\{U_t; t \in \mathbf{R}\}$ , we can take  $C > 0$  such that

$$q(U_t x) \leq C \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Hence we get, by (1), that

$$q(I) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\varepsilon < s < N} \frac{1}{s} \left[ \frac{2Cs}{T} \right] ds = \frac{C(N-\varepsilon)}{\pi T} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } T \longrightarrow +\infty.$$

This implies that  $(H_{\varepsilon, N}x)^- = 0$ .

Next we shall prove the second part of lemma. Let  $x$  be any element in  $D(H)$ . Let  $V$  be any balanced convex neighborhood of 0 in  $X$ . By the uniform continuity of  $U_t$ , there exists a balanced convex neighborhood  $W$  of 0 in  $X$  such that

$$(2) \quad U_t W \subset \frac{V}{2} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Since  $x \in D(H)$ , there exist positive number  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $N_0$  such that

$$(3) \quad Hx - H_{\varepsilon_0, N_0} x \in W.$$

And, by the first part of theorem, we take  $T_0 > 0$  such that

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T U_t H_{\varepsilon_0, N_0} x dt \in \frac{V}{2} \quad \text{for all } T \geq T_0.$$

Hence we see, by (2), (3) and (4), that, for any  $T \geq T_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T U_t H x dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T U_t (H - H_{\varepsilon_0, N_0}) x dt + \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T U_t H_{\varepsilon_0, N_0} x dt \\ &\in \frac{V}{2} + \frac{V}{2} = V \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $(Hx)^-$  exists in  $X$  and  $(Hx)^- = 0$ . This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $\{U_t; t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  be a one parameter group of operators on a complete locally convex space  $X$ . Then, the Hilbert transform  $H$  is a closed operator on  $X$  (though  $D(H)$  is not always dense in  $X$ ).*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $\{x_k\}_{k \in K}$  is any generalized sequence in  $D(H)$  such that

$$(1) \quad x_k \longrightarrow x \quad \text{and} \quad Hx_k \longrightarrow y \quad \text{in } X.$$

It is sufficient to prove that  $x \in D(H)$  and  $Hx = y$ . Let  $V$  be any balanced convex neighborhood of 0 in  $X$ . By Lemma 5, we can take a balanced convex neighborhood  $W$  of 0 in  $X$  such that

$$(2) \quad H_{\eta, M} H_{\varepsilon, N} W \subset \frac{V}{4},$$

for all  $\varepsilon, \eta, M, N$  such that  $0 < \eta < \varepsilon < (1/2) < 2 < N < 2N + 1 < M < \infty$ . And we take  $k_0 \in K$  such that

$$(3) \quad Hx_k - y \in W \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0.$$

By (2) and (3), we see that

$$(4) \quad H_{\eta, M} H_{\varepsilon, N} (Hx_k - y) \in \frac{V}{4},$$

for all  $k \geq k_0$  and for all  $\varepsilon, \eta, M, N$  such that  $0 < \eta < \varepsilon < (1/2) < 2 < N < 2N + 1 < M < \infty$ .

Letting  $\eta \rightarrow 0+$ ,  $M \rightarrow \infty$  in (4) and noting  $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0+, M \rightarrow \infty} H_{\eta, M} H_{\varepsilon, N} Hx_k = H^2 H_{\varepsilon, N} x_k$ , we see, by Theorem 4, Theorem 5 and Lemma 6, that

$$(5) \quad -H_{\epsilon,N}x_k - HH_{\epsilon,N}y \in \frac{V}{3},$$

for all  $k \geq k_0$  and for all  $0 < \epsilon < (1/2) < 2 < N < \infty$ .  
 Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (5), we find that

$$(6) \quad -H_{\epsilon,N}x - HH_{\epsilon,N}y \in \frac{V}{2},$$

for all  $0 < \epsilon < (1/2) < 2 < N < \infty$ .

Next we shall prove that  $\bar{y} = 0$ . Let  $G$  be any balanced convex neighborhood of 0 in  $X$ . We can, by the uniform continuity of  $U_t$ , take  $k_0 \in K$  such that

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T U_t(y - Hx_{k_0}) dt \in \frac{G}{2} \quad \text{for all } T > 0,$$

and we can, from Lemma 6, take  $T_0 > 0$  such that

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T U_t(Hx_{k_0}) dt \in \frac{G}{2} \quad \text{for all } T \geq T_0.$$

By (7) and (8), we see that, for large  $T$  such that  $T \geq T_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T U_t y dt &= \left[ \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T U_t(y - Hx_k) dt \right] + \left[ \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T U_t(Hx_{k_0}) dt \right] \\ &\in \frac{G}{2} + \frac{G}{2} = G, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\bar{y} = 0$ .

From this, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5, we see that, for small  $\epsilon$  and large  $N$ ,

$$HH_{\epsilon,N}y - (-y) \in \frac{V}{2}$$

Then it follows, from this and (6), that

$$H_{\epsilon,N}y - y \in V$$

for small  $\epsilon$  and large  $N$ , which implies that  $x \in D(H)$  and  $Hx = y$ . This completes the proof.

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Professor S. Koizumi and the referee for their valuable suggestions regarding the improvement of the paper.

**References**

- [1] M. COTLAR, A unified theory of Hilbert transforms and ergodic theorems, Rev. Mat. Cuyama, **1** (1955), 105-167.
- [2] S. ISHIKAWA, Hilbert transforms on one parameter groups of operators, Tokyo J. Math., **9** (1986), 383-393.
- [3] K. PETERSEN, Ergodic Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- [4] K. PETERSEN, Another proof of the existence of the ergodic Hilbert transform, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. **88**, no. 1 (1983), 39-43.
- [5] K. YOSIDA, Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.

*Present Address:*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
FACULTY OF SCIENCES AND ENGINEERINGS  
KEIO UNIVERSITY  
HIYOSHI, KOHOKU-KU  
YOKOHAMA 223