## Generalized Hilbert Transforms in Tempered Distributions

#### Shiro ISHIKAWA

### Keio University

#### Introduction

A Hilbert transform H of a function f on real field R is defined as:

$$Hf(x) = \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \to 0+\\ N \to \infty}} H_{\epsilon,N}f(x) = \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \to 0+\\ N \to \infty}} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\epsilon < |t| < N} \frac{f(x-t)}{t} dt \qquad (x \in \mathbf{R}).$$

The Hilbert transform H plays an important role in Fourier analysis. The properties of Hilbert transforms in the following Proposition are fundamental.

Let  $L^p(R)$  be the class of all measurable functions f on R for which

$$||f||_{L^{p}} = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^{p} dt\right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

PROPOSITION. Let p be a real number such that 1 . Then

(i) [existence] for any  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R})$ ,

$$Hf(x) = \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \to 0+\\ N \to \infty}} H_{\epsilon,N} f(x)$$

exists in the topology of  $L^{p}(\mathbf{R})$ ,

(ii) [boundedness] there exists a constant C>0 (independent of  $\varepsilon$ , N and f) such that

$$||Hf||_{L^{p}} \leq C||f||_{L^{p}} (||H_{\epsilon,N}f||_{L^{p}} \leq C||f||_{L^{p}}) \text{ for all } f \in L^{p}(R)$$
,

(iii) [inversion formula]

$$H(H(f)) = -f$$
 for all  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R})$ ,

(iv) [signum rule]

$$(Hf)^{\hat{}} = -i\operatorname{sgn}(x)\hat{f} \text{ for } all f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}),$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is a Fourier transform of f.

Many mathematicians have tried to define the Hilbert transforms naturally on more general space (see, for example, [2], [3], [4], [7], [8], [9], [11], [12], [14] and [16]).

S. Koizumi ([11], [12]) introduced a generalized Hilbert transform H for  $f \in W^2(\mathbf{R})$  through

$$Hf(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \frac{x+i}{\pi} \int_{\epsilon < |\epsilon|} \frac{f(x-t)}{t(x-t+i)} dt$$

where  $W^2(R)$  (often called Wiener's class) is the class of all measurable functions f for which  $f(x)/(1+|x|) \in L^2(R)$ . And he obtained the similar results in the above Proposition for  $W^2(R)$  instead of  $L^p(R)$ . Moreover, he studied Hilbert transforms on the class of functions f for which  $|f(x)|^p/(1+|x|^\alpha) \in L^1(R)$  for some  $p \ge 1$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ .

Also, H.G. Tillmann ([16]), E.J. Beltrami and M.R. Wohlers ([2]) have studied Hilbert transforms in connection with distribution theory. They showed that the Hilbert transform could be well defined on the space  $\mathscr{D}_{L^p}^*$  which is the dual of  $\mathscr{D}_{L^p}$ , firstly introduced by L. Schwartz (see [15]). The class  $\mathscr{D}_{L^p}$  will be studied in the following section as  $\mathscr{D}_{L^p}(R)$ . And they obtained the similar results in the above Proposition for  $\mathscr{D}_{L^p}$  (or its dual space  $\mathscr{D}_{L^p}^*$ ) instead of  $L^p(R)$ .

In this paper, we generally consider the Hilbert transform on tempered distributions  $\mathcal{S}'$  (which includes  $\mathcal{D}_{L^p}^*$  and  $W^2(R)$ ) and show that it has the suitable properties as in the above Proposition (i) $\sim$ (iv).

# §1. A space $D_{L_k^p}(R)$ and its dual space $D_{L_k^p}(R)^*$ .

Let R be a real field. We denote by  $\mathscr{D}'(R)$ , or simply by  $\mathscr{D}'$  (throughout this paper we consider only about one variable functions), the space of distributions.  $\mathscr{D}'$  is the strong dual of  $\mathscr{D}$ , the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in R. And we denote a continuous bilinear functional on  $\mathscr{D}' \times \mathscr{D}$  by  $\langle u, \phi \rangle$  for all  $u \in \mathscr{D}'$  and  $\phi \in \mathscr{D}$ .

 $\mathscr{S}$  will denote the space of functions on R having derivatives of all order satisfying  $\sup_{x\in R}|x^{\beta}D^{\alpha}\phi(x)|<\infty$  for all indicies  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of nonnegative integers, where  $D^{\alpha}=d^{\alpha}/dx^{\alpha}$ . It is well-known that  $\mathscr{S}$  is a Fréchet space with the system of semi-norms  $\{\sup_{x\in R}|x^{\beta}D^{\alpha}\phi(x)|:\alpha,\beta \text{ are nonnegative integers}\}$ .  $\mathscr{S}'$  is the dual space of  $\mathscr{S}$ , called a space of tempered distributions.

The Fourier transformation  $\hat{\phi}$  of a function  $\phi \in \mathscr{S}$  is defined by

$$\hat{\phi}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} \phi(x) dx$$
.

Since the mapping  $\phi \to \hat{\phi}$  of  $\mathscr{S}$  onto  $\mathscr{S}$  is linear continuous in the topology of  $\mathscr{S}$ , the Fourier transform  $\hat{u}$  of at empered distribution u can be defined as the tempered distribution  $\hat{u}$  defined through

$$\langle \hat{u}, \phi \rangle = \langle u, \hat{\phi} \rangle \quad (\phi \in \mathscr{S})$$
.

DEFINITION 1. Let p be a real number such that 1 . And let <math>l and k be non-negative integers.  $L_{k,l}^p(R)$  denotes the space in  $\mathscr{S}'$  of functions on R satisfying

$$q_{k,l}^{p}(\phi) = \max\{\|x^{\alpha}D^{\beta}\phi(x)\|_{L^{p}}: 0 \le \alpha \le k, 0 \le \beta \le l\} < \infty$$

where  $D^{\beta} = d^{\beta}/dx^{\beta}$  in the sense of distributional derivative. Moreover  $C_k^{(l)}(\mathbf{R})$  denotes the space of functions on  $\mathbf{R}$  such that  $\beta$ -th derivative  $(0 \le \beta \le l)$  is continuous and

$$||\phi||_{C_k^{(l)}} = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in R} |x^{lpha} D^{eta} \phi(x)| \colon 0 \leq lpha \leq k, \ 0 \leq eta \leq l \right\} < \infty$$
 .

The following Lemmas 1 and 2 easily follow by the usual arguments of functional analysis.

LEMMA 1. Let p be a real number such that 1 . And let l and k be non-negative integers. Then,

- (i)  $L_{k,l}^p(\mathbf{R})$  is a reflexive Banach space with norm  $q_{k,l}^p$ ,
- $(ii) \quad \mathscr{S} \subset L^p_{k,l+1}(R) \subset L^p_{k,l}(R) \subset \mathscr{S}' \quad and \quad \mathscr{S} \subset L^p_{k+1,l}(R) \subset L^p_{k,l}(R) \subset \mathscr{S}' \quad and \quad$
- (iii) each imbedding map in (ii) is continuous and  $\mathcal S$  is a dense set in each space.

LEMMA 2. If we define

$$\begin{split} \widehat{q}_{k,l}^{p}(\phi) &= \max \left\{ \|D^{\beta}x^{\alpha}\phi(x)\|_{L^{p}} \colon \right. \left. 0 \! \leq \! \alpha \! \leq \! k, \, 0 \! \leq \! \beta \! \leq \! l \right\} \\ &(\|\phi\|_{C_{k}^{(l)}}' \! = \! \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in R} |D^{\beta}x^{\alpha}\phi(x)| \colon \right. \left. 0 \! \leq \! \alpha \! \leq \! k, \, 0 \! \leq \! \beta \! \leq \! l \right\}) \text{ ,} \end{split}$$

then  $q_{k,l}^p$  and  $\hat{q}_{k,l}^p$  (||  $||_{\mathcal{C}_k^{(l)}}$  and ||  $||'_{\mathcal{C}_k^{(l)}}$ ) are equivalent norms in  $L_{k,l}^p(R)$  ( $C_k^{(l)}(R)$ ).

DEFINITION 2. We can, by Lemma 1, define, for 1 and non-negative integer <math>k,

$$\mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R) = \liminf_{l \to \infty} [L_k^p_l(R)]$$
.

Clearly,  $\mathscr{D}_{L_{k}^{p}}(R)$  is a Fréchet space with the system of countable seminorms  $\{q_{l,l}^{p}: l=0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$ .  $\mathscr{D}_{L_{k}^{p}}(R)^{*}$  is the dual space of  $\mathscr{D}_{L_{k}^{p}}(R)$ .

By Lemma 1 and the properties of the projective limit, the following Lemma 3 immediately follows.

LEMMA 3. Let p be a real number such that 1 . And let k be a non-negative integer. Then,

- $(i) \quad \mathscr{S} \subset \mathscr{D}_{L^p_{k+1}}(R) \subset \mathscr{D}_{L^p_k}(R) \subset \mathscr{S}'$  and
- (ii) each imbedding map in (i) is continuous and  $\mathcal S$  is a dense set in each space.

THEOREM 1. Let p be a real number such that 1 . And let k be a non-negative integer. Then,

- $and (i) \quad \mathscr{D}_{L_{k}^{p}}(R)^{*} = \lim \operatorname{ind}_{l \to \infty}[L_{k,l}^{p}(R)^{*}]$ 
  - (ii)  $\mathscr{D}_{L^p_{\mathbf{L}}}(\mathbf{R})$  is a reflexive Fréchet space.

PROOF. Since Lemma 1 shows that  $\{L_{k,l}^p(R)^*\}_{l=0}^{\infty}$  is an increasing sequence of reflexive Banach spaces,  $\liminf_{l\to\infty} [L_{k,l}^p(R)^*]$  is a regular inductive limit ([10]). By the properties of inductive limits and projective limits (see, for example, [6], [10] and [13]) and Lemma 1(i), we get that

$$(1) \quad [\liminf_{l\to\infty} [L_{k,l}^{p}(R)^{*}]]^{*} = \limsup_{l\to\infty} \operatorname{proj} [L_{k,l}^{p}(R)^{**}] = \lim_{l\to\infty} \operatorname{proj} [L_{k,l}^{p}(R)] = \mathscr{D}_{L_{k}^{p}}(R) .$$

Also, we see ([10]) that  $\liminf_{l\to\infty}[L_{k,l}^{p}(R)^{*}]$  is reflexive, that is

(2) 
$$[\liminf_{l\to\infty} [L_{k,l}^{p}(R)^{*}]]^{**} = \liminf_{l\to\infty} [L_{k,l}^{p}(R)^{*}].$$

Then, we, by (1) and (2), get that

$$[\mathscr{D}_{L^p}(R)]^* = [\liminf_{l \to \infty} [L_{k,l}^p(R)^*]]^{**} = \liminf_{l \to \infty} [L_{k,l}^p(R)^*]$$

and

$$[\mathscr{D}_{L_{k}^{p}}(R)]^{**} = [\liminf_{l \to \infty} [L_{k,l}^{p}(R)^{*}]]^{***} = [\liminf_{l \to \infty} [L_{k,l}^{p}(R)^{*}]]^{*} = \mathscr{D}_{L_{k}^{p}}(R).$$

Therefore, we obtain (i) and (ii). This completes the proof.

LEMMA 4. Let q be a real number such that  $1 < q < \infty$ . And let k and  $\alpha$  be any non-negative integers. Let g be any function in  $L^q(\mathbf{R})$  and P be any infinitely differentiable function such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{D^j P(x)}{(1+x^2)^{k/2}} \right| < \infty$$
 for any non-negative integer  $j$ .

Then there exist functions  $g_j$   $(j=0, 1, 2, \dots, \alpha)$  such that

(3) 
$$P(x)D^{\alpha}g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha} D^{i}g_{i}(x) \quad and \quad ||g_{i}(x)/(1+x^{2})^{k/2}||_{L^{p}} < \infty.$$

PROOF. We shall prove this lemma by induction. Let  $\alpha=0$ . Since  $P(x)/(1+x^2)^{k/2}$  is bounded, we see that

$$P(x)g(x)/(1+x^2)^{k/2} \in L^q(\mathbf{R})$$
.

Then, (3) immediately follows, if we put  $g_0(x) = P(x)g(x)$ .

Next we prove (3) for  $\alpha+1$  under the assumption that (3) is true for  $\alpha$ . Since DP is a function having derivatives of all order such that

$$\sup_{x\in R}\left|rac{D^{j}DP(x)}{(1+x^{2})^{k/2}}
ight|<\infty \quad ext{for all non-negative integer } j$$
 ,

there exist  $g'_{j}$   $(j=0, 1, \dots \alpha)$  such that

$$DP(x)D^{\alpha}g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} D^{j}g'_{j}(x)$$
 and  $||g'_{j}(x)/(1+x^{2})^{k/2}||_{L^{q}} < \infty$ .

Hence, we, by assumption, see that

$$\begin{split} P(x)D^{\alpha+1}g(x) &= D[P(x)D^{\alpha}g(x)] - [DP(x)][D^{\alpha}g(x)] \\ &= D\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\alpha}D^{j}g_{j}\Big) - \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha}D^{j}g'_{j} \\ &= -g'_{0} + \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha}D^{j}(g_{j-1} - g'_{j}) + D^{\alpha+1}g_{\alpha} \; . \end{split}$$

Since  $||g_0'(x)/(1+x^2)^{k/2}||_{L^q} < \infty$ ,  $||(g_{j-1}-g_j'(x))/(1+x^2)^{k/2}||_{L^q} < \infty$   $(j=1, 2, \cdots \alpha)$  and  $||g_\alpha(x)/(1+x^2)^{k/2}||_{L^q} < \infty$ , the proof is completed.

THEOREM 2. Let p be a real number such that 1 . And let k be a non-negative integer. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $u \in D_{L_{\mu}^p}(\mathbf{R})^*$ ,
- (ii) there exist functions  $u_j$   $(j=0, 1, \dots, l)$  such that

$$u = \sum_{j=0}^{l} D^{j} u_{j}$$
 and  $||u_{j}/(1+x^{2})^{k/2}||_{L^{q}} < \infty$ 

where 1/p + 1/q = 1.

**PROOF.** Firstly, we shall prove that (ii) implies (i). Put C=

 $\max_{0 \le j \le l} \|u_j/(1+x^2)^{k/2}\|_{L^q}$ . We see, by Lemma 2, that, for any  $\phi \in \mathscr{D}$ ,

$$egin{aligned} |\langle u, \phi 
angle| &= \left| \langle \sum_{j=0}^{l} D^{j} u_{j}, \phi 
angle \, \right| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{l} (-1)^{-j} \langle u_{j}, D^{j} \phi 
angle \, \right| \ &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{l} (-1)^{-j} \langle u_{j}/(1+x^{2})^{k/2}, (1+x^{2})^{k/2} D^{j} \phi 
angle \, \right| \ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{l} \|u_{j}/(1+x^{2})^{k/2}\|_{L^{q}} \|(1+x^{2})^{k/2} D^{j} \phi\|_{L^{p}} \ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{l} \|(1+x^{2})^{k/2} D^{j} \phi\|_{L^{p}} \leq C' q_{k,l}^{p}(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (i) holds.

Next, we shall prove that (i) implies (ii). Assume that  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{L_k^p}(R)^*$ . Then, there exist M>0 and non-negative integer m such that, for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$|\langle u, \phi \rangle| \leq Mq_{k,m}^p(\phi) = M \max\{||x^{\alpha}D^{\beta}\phi(x)||_{L^p}: 0 \leq \alpha \leq k, 0 \leq \beta \leq m\} < \infty$$
.

Since  $\sup_{x \in R} |[D^j(1+x^2)^{-k/2}](1+x^2)^{k/2}| < \infty$ ,  $(j=0, 1, 2, \cdots)$ , this implies that, for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$egin{aligned} |\langle u/(1+x^2)^{k/2}, \, \phi 
angle| &= |\langle u, \, \phi/(1+x^2)^{k/2} 
angle| \ & \leq M \max_{\substack{0 \leq lpha \leq k \ 0 \leq eta \leq l}} \|x^{lpha} D^{eta}(\phi/(1+x^2)^{k/2})\|_{L^p} \ &= M \max_{\substack{0 \leq lpha \leq k \ 0 \leq eta \leq l}} \left\|x^{lpha} \sum_{j=0}^{eta} inom{eta}{j} D^{eta-j}(1/(1+x^2)^{k/2}) D^{j} \phi 
ight\|_{L^p} \ &\leq M' \max_{0 \leq eta \leq l} \|D^{eta} \phi\|_{L^p} \; . \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that

$$u(x)/(1+x^2)^{k/2} \in \mathscr{D}_{L_0^p}(\mathbf{R})^*$$
.

Then, from the theorem of L. Schwartz [15], this implies that there exist functions  $g_{\alpha}(\alpha=0, 1, 2, \cdots l) (\in L^{q}(\mathbf{R}))$  such that

$$u(x) = (1+x^2)^{k/2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{l} D^{\alpha} g_{\alpha}$$
.

Putting  $P(x) = (1+x^2)^{k/2}$  in Lemma 4, we see that there exist functions  $u_{\alpha,j}(\alpha=0, 1, \dots, l \text{ and } j=0, 1, \dots, \alpha)$  such that

$$u(x) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{l} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} D^{j} u_{\alpha,j} = \sum_{j=0}^{l} D^{j} \left( \sum_{\alpha=j}^{l} u_{\alpha,j} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_{\alpha,j}/(1+x^{2})^{k/2}\|_{L^{q}} < \infty .$$

This completes the proof.

Though the following Theorem 3 is seemed to be known (for instance see [5], for p=2), we mention the proof for the self-consistency as follows.

LEMMA 5. Let p be a real number such that 1 . And let l and k be non-negative integers. Then,

 $(\mathrm{i})$   $L_{k,l+1}^p(\pmb{R}) \subset C_k^{(l)}(\pmb{R})$  and

(ii)  $C_{k+1}^{(l)}(R) \subset L_{k,l}^p(R)$ .

Moreover each natural imbedding map in (i) and (ii) is continuous.

**PROOF.** By Lemma 2 and the Sobolev imbedding theorem ([1]), we see that, for any  $\phi \in L^p_{k,l+1}(R)$ ,

$$egin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{C_k^{(l)}} &= \max_{\substack{0 \leq lpha \leq k \\ 0 \leq eta \leq l}} \sup_{x \in R} |x^lpha D^eta \phi| \ & \leq C \max_{\substack{0 \leq lpha \leq k \\ 0 \leq eta \leq l}} \sup_{x \in R} |D^eta x^lpha \phi| \ & \leq C' \max_{\substack{0 \leq lpha \leq k \\ 0 \leq eta \leq l+1}} \|D^eta x^lpha \phi\|_{L^p} \ & \leq C'' q_{k,l+1}^lpha(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (i) is true and the natural imbedding map is continuous. Next we see that, for any  $\phi \in C_{k+1}^{(l)}(\mathbf{R})$ ,

$$\begin{split} q_{k,l}^{p}(\phi) &= \max_{\substack{0 \le \alpha \le k \\ 0 \le \beta \le l}} \|x^{\alpha} D^{\beta} \phi\|_{L^{p}} \\ &= \max_{\substack{0 \le \alpha \le k \\ 0 \le \beta \le l}} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(1+x^{2})^{1/2}}{(1+x^{2})^{1/2}} x^{\alpha} D^{\beta} \phi \right|^{p} dx \right]^{1/p} \\ &\le \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+x^{2})^{p/2}} dx \right]^{1/p} \left[ \max_{\substack{0 \le \alpha \le k \\ 0 \le \beta \le l}} \left\{ \sup_{x \in R} |(1+x^{2})^{1/2} x^{\alpha} D^{\beta} \phi| \right\} \right] \\ &\le C \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}_{k+1}^{(l)}} \end{split}$$

which implies that (ii) is true and the natural imbedding map is continuous. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 3. Let p be a real number such that  $1 . Then, (i) <math>\lim \operatorname{proj}_{k\to\infty}[\mathscr{D}_{L^p_k}(R)] = \mathscr{S}$ 

and

(ii)  $\lim \inf_{k\to\infty} [\mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R)^*] = \mathscr{S}'.$ 

PROOF. Since

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} [\mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R)] \! = \! \limsup_{\substack{k \to \infty \\ l \to \infty}} [L_{L_{k,l}^p}(R)] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{S} \! = \! \limsup_{\substack{k \to \infty \\ l \to \infty}} [C_k^{(l)}(R)] \; ,$$

we see, by Lemma 5, that (i) is true. Also, since

$$\liminf_{k o\infty}\left[\mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R)^*
ight]\!=\!\liminf_{k o\infty\atop l o\infty}\left[L_{L_k^p,l}(R)^*
ight] \;\; ext{ and }\;\;\mathscr{S}'\!=\!\liminf_{k o\infty\atop l o\infty}\left[C_k^{(l)}(R)^*
ight]$$
 ,

we see, by Lemma 5, that (ii) is true.

# §2. Generalized Hilbert transforms in $\mathscr{D}_{L^2_{\epsilon}}(R)$ .

DEFINITION 3. Let  $a=(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  be a k-tuple of complex numbers such that  $\text{Im}[a_j] \neq 0$   $(j=1, 2, \dots, k)$ , where  $\text{Im}[a_j]$  denotes the imaginary part of a complex number  $a_j$ . We define that, for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{L_k^p}(R)$ ,

$$(H_a^{\epsilon,N}\phi)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(x-a_1)\cdots(x-a_k)} \int_{\epsilon<|t|< N} (x-t-a_1)\cdots(x-t-a_k) \frac{\phi(x-t)}{t} dt ,$$

specially, if k=0,

$$(H^{\varepsilon,N}\phi)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\varepsilon<|t|< N} \frac{\phi(x-t)}{t} dt.$$

The following lemma easily follows.

LEMMA 6. Let  $a=(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  be a k-tuple of complex numbers such that  $\text{Im}[a_j] \neq 0$   $(j=1, 2, \dots, k)$  (where  $\text{Im}[a_j]$  is an imaginary part of a complex number  $a_j$ ). A mapping  $T_a: \mathscr{D}_{L_0^p}(R) \to \mathscr{D}_{L_p^p}(R)$  such that

$$T_a\psi(x) = \frac{\psi(x)}{(x-a_1)\cdots(x-a_k)}$$
 for all  $\psi \in \mathscr{D}_{L_0^p}(\mathbb{R})$ 

is a bi-continuous surjection.

If a generalized sequence  $\{x_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda} \in A}$  in a Hausdorff topological vector space X converges to x as  ${\lambda} \to {\lambda}_0$  in the topology of X, we denote it by  $(X)\lim_{{\lambda} \to {\lambda}_0} x_{\lambda} = x$ .

THEOREM 4. Let p be a real number such that  $1 . Let <math>a = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  be a k-tuple of complex numbers such that  $\text{Im}[a_j] \neq 0$   $(j=1, 2, \dots, k)$ . Then, for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{L_k^p}(R)$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}_{L_k^p}) \lim_{\substack{k \to 0+ \\ N \to \infty}} (H_a^{\epsilon,N}\phi)$  exists in  $\mathcal{D}_{L_k^p}(R)$ .

PROOF. Let k=0. By Proposition (i), we see that, for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{L_0^p}(\mathbb{R})$  and any  $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon < N < N' < \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & q_{0,l}^{p}(H^{\epsilon,N}\phi - H^{\epsilon',N'}\phi) \\ & \leq \max_{0 \leq \beta \leq l} \left\| D^{\beta} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\substack{\epsilon' < |t| < \epsilon \\ N < |t| < N'}} \frac{\phi(x-t)}{t} dt \right\|_{L^{p}} \\ & = \max_{0 \leq \beta \leq l} \left\| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\substack{\epsilon' < |t| < \epsilon \\ N < |t| < N'}} \frac{(D^{\beta}\phi)(x-t)}{t} dt \right\|_{L^{p}} \\ & \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \epsilon \cdot \epsilon' \to 0 + \quad \text{and} \quad N \cdot N' \to \infty \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\{H^{\epsilon,N}\phi\}$  is a Cauchy net as  $\epsilon \to 0+$ ,  $N \to \infty$  in  $\mathscr{D}_{L_0^p}(R)$ . Hence  $\lim_{\substack{\epsilon \to 0+\\ N \to \infty}} (H^{\epsilon,N}\phi)$  exists in the topology of  $\mathscr{D}_{L_0^p}(R)$ .

In general case, by the above argument and Lemma 6, we see that, for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{L_{r}^{p}}(\mathbf{R})$ ,

$$\begin{split} &(\mathscr{D}_{L_{k}^{p}})\underset{\overset{\epsilon \to 0+}{N \to \infty}}{\lim} H_{a}^{\epsilon,N}\phi \\ &= (\mathscr{D}_{L_{k}^{p}})\underset{\overset{\epsilon \to 0+}{N \to \infty}}{\lim} \frac{1}{\pi(x-a_{1})\cdots(x-a_{k})} \int_{\epsilon<|t|< N} \frac{(x-t-a_{1})\cdots(x-t-a_{k})}{t} \phi(x-t)dt \\ &= (\mathscr{D}_{L_{k}^{p}})\underset{\overset{\epsilon \to 0+}{N \to \infty}}{\lim} T_{a}H^{\epsilon,N}(T_{a}^{-1}\phi) \\ &= T_{a}[(\mathscr{D}_{L_{0}^{p}})\underset{\overset{\epsilon \to 0+}{N \to \infty}}{\lim} H^{\epsilon,N}(T_{a}^{-1}\phi)] \qquad \text{(by Lemma 6)} \end{split}$$

which exists since  $T_a^{-1}\phi \in D_{L_0^p}(R)$ . This completes the proof. By this theorem, we can obtain the following definition.

DEFINITION 4. Let  $a=(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  be a k-tuple of complex numbers such that  $\operatorname{Im}[a_j] \neq 0$   $(j=1, 2, \dots, k)$ . We define a generalized Hilbert transform  $H_a: \mathscr{D}_{L^p_k}(R) \to \mathscr{D}_{L^p_k}(R)$  such that

specially, if k=0,

$$H\phi = (\mathscr{D}_{L_0^p}) \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \to 0+ \ N \to \infty}} H^{\epsilon,N}\phi \qquad (\phi \in \mathscr{D}_{L_0^p}(R))$$
.

Note that a generalized Hilbert transform  $H_a$  is also represented by  $T_aHT_a^{-1}$ .

THEOREM 5. Let p be a real number such that  $1 . Let k be a non-negative integer. And let <math>a = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  be a k-tuple of complex numbers such that  $\text{Im}[a_j] \neq 0$   $(j=1, 2, \dots, k)$ . Then,

(i)  $H_a$  is a bounded linear operator on  $\mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R)$ 

and

(ii)  $H_a(H_a\phi) = -\phi \quad (\phi \in \mathscr{D}_{L^p_k}(\mathbf{R})).$ 

Moreover,  $H_a: \mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R) \to \mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R)$  is a bi-continuous surjection such that  $H_a^{-1} = -H_a$ .

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove (i) and (ii) for k=0 since  $H_a=T_aHT_a^{-1}$ . Though this theorem for k=0 has been proved in [16], we shall show the proof for the self-consistency.

By the similar way in the Theorem 4, we can easily obtain, from Proposition (ii), that for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{L_n^p}(R)$  and any  $0 < \varepsilon < N < \infty$ ,

$$\begin{split} q_{\scriptscriptstyle 0,l}^{p}(H^{\scriptscriptstyle \epsilon,N}\phi) &\leq \max_{\scriptscriptstyle 0 \leq \beta \leq l} \left\| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\scriptscriptstyle \epsilon < |t| < N} \frac{D^{\beta}\phi(x-t)}{t} dt \right\|_{\scriptscriptstyle L^{p}} \\ &\leq C \max_{\scriptscriptstyle 0 \leq \beta \leq l} \|D^{\beta}\phi\|_{\scriptscriptstyle L^{p}} \\ &= C q_{\scriptscriptstyle 0,l}^{p}(\phi) \end{split}$$

which implies (i) for k=0. Also, by Proposition (iii), (ii) immediately follows since  $\mathcal{O}_{L_0^p}(\mathbf{R}) \subset L^p(\mathbf{R})$ . This completes the proof.

## §3. Generalized Hilbert transforms in S'.

DEFINITION 5. Let p be any 1 and <math>k be any non-negative integer. Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  be a k-tuple of complex numbers such that  $\operatorname{Im}[a_j] \neq 0$   $(j=1, \dots, k)$ . Since the generalized Hilbert transform  $H_a \colon \mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R) \to \mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R)$  is linear continuous in the topology of  $\mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R)$ , we can define the generalized Hilbert transform  $H_a^*u$  of  $u \in \mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R)^*$  as the element of  $\mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R)^*$  defined through

$$\langle H_a^*u,\,\phi\rangle\!=\!\langle u,\,H_a\phi\rangle\quad (\phi\in\mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R))$$
 .

Similarly,  $H_a^{\epsilon,N^*}$  is defined as the adjoint operator of  $H_a^{\epsilon,N}$ .

Note that the adjoint operator  $T_a^*$  of  $T_a$ :  $\mathscr{D}_{L_0^p}(R) \to \mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R)$  is a bicontinuous linear operator from  $\mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R)^*$  onto  $\mathscr{D}_{L_0^p}(R)^*$ , which is represented by

$$T_a^* u = \frac{u}{(x-a_1)\cdots(x-a_k)}$$
 for all  $u \in \mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R)^*$ .

The following theorem immediately follows from the property of the adjoint operator and Theorem 5.

THEOREM 6. It follows that

(i)  $H_a^*$  is linear continuous in the topology of  $\mathscr{Q}_{L_k^p}(R)^*$ ,

(ii)  $H_a^*(H_a^*u) = -u$   $(u \in \mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R)^*).$ Therefore,  $H_a^{*-1} = -H_a^*.$ 

THEOREM 7. Let p be a real number such that  $1 . Let k be a non-negative integer. And let <math>a = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  be a k-tuple of complex numbers such that  $\text{Im}[a_j] \neq 0$   $(j=1, \dots, k)$ . Then, for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{L_i^p}(\mathbf{R})^*$ ,

$$H_a^*u = (\mathscr{D}_{L_k^*}^*) \lim_{\delta \to 0+ \atop N \to \infty} H_a^{\epsilon,N*}u$$
 .

PROOF. Firstly we shall prove this theorem in the case that k=0. Let u be any element in  $\mathscr{D}_{L^p_0}(R)^*$ . We can easily obtain that  $H^{\epsilon,N}D^j\phi=D_jH^{\epsilon,N}\phi$  and  $HD^j\phi=D^jH\phi$  for any  $\phi\in\mathscr{D}_{L^p_0}(R)$   $(j=1,\,2,\,\cdots)$ . Hence we see by Theorem 2 that, for any  $\phi\in\mathscr{D}_{L^p_0}(R)$ ,

$$\begin{split} & |\langle (H^{\epsilon,N^*} - H^*)u, \phi \rangle| \\ & = \left| \left\langle \sum_{j=0}^{l} D^j u_j, (H^{\epsilon,N} - H)\phi \right\rangle \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{l} |\langle u_j, (H^{\epsilon,N} - H)D^j \phi \rangle| \\ & = \sum_{j=0}^{l} |\langle (H^{\epsilon,N^*} - H^*)u_j, D^j \phi \rangle| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{l} ||(H^{\epsilon,N^*} - H^*)u_j||_{L^q} ||D^j \phi||_{L^p} \end{split}$$

where  $u_j$   $(j=1, 2, \dots, l)$  are defined as in Theorem 2. By Proposition (i), this implies that, for any bounded set  $B \subset \mathcal{D}_{L_p^p}(\mathbf{R})$ 

$$\begin{split} &\sup_{\phi \in B} |\langle (H^{\epsilon,N*} - H^*)u, \phi \rangle| \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{l} ||(H^{\epsilon,N*} - H^*)u_j||_{L^q} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \qquad (as \ \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+, \ N \rightarrow \infty) \ . \end{split}$$

Hence we get that, for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{L_0^p}(\mathbf{R})^*$ ,

$$H^*u = (\mathscr{D}_{L_0^p}^*) \lim_{\epsilon \to 0+ \atop N \to \infty} H^{\epsilon,N^*}u$$
.

In general case, we see that, for any  $u \in \mathscr{D}_{L^p_k}(R)^*$ ,

$$\begin{split} (\mathscr{D}_{L_{k}^{p}}^{*}) \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+ \atop N \to \infty} H_{a}^{\varepsilon,N*} u = (\mathscr{D}_{L_{k}^{p}}^{*}) \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+ \atop N \to \infty} ((T_{a}^{*-1} H^{\varepsilon,N*} T_{a}^{*}) u) \\ = T_{a}^{*-1} (\mathscr{D}_{L_{0}^{p}}^{*}) \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+ \atop N \to \infty} ((H^{\varepsilon,N*} T_{a}^{*}) u) \end{split}$$

$$= T_a^{*-1}((H^*T_a^*)u) = H_a^*u.$$

This completes the proof.

THEOREM 8. Let p be a real number such that  $1 . Let k be a non-negative integer. And let <math>a = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  be a k-tuple of complex numbers such that  $\text{Im}[a_j] \neq 0$   $(j=1, \dots, k)$ . Then, for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{L^p_k}(R)^*$ ,

$$\langle (H_a^*u)^{\hat{}}, \phi \rangle = egin{cases} -i \langle \widehat{u}, \phi \rangle & \textit{for all } \phi \in \mathscr{D} \textit{ such that } \operatorname{supp}[\phi] \subset (0, \infty) \\ i \langle \widehat{u}, \phi \rangle & \textit{for all } \phi \in \mathscr{D} \textit{ such that } \operatorname{supp}[\phi] \subset (-\infty, 0) \end{cases}$$

where û is the Fourier transform of u in S'.

PROOF. Let  $\phi$  be any element in  $\mathscr{D}$  such that  $\text{supp}[\phi] \subset (0, \infty)$ . From the properties of Fourier transforms and Proposition (iv), we see that

$$\langle (H_a^*u)^{\hat{}}, \phi \rangle = \langle H_a^*u, \hat{\phi} \rangle$$

$$= \langle u, T_a^{-1}HT_a\hat{\phi} \rangle$$

$$= \langle u, T_a^{-1}H[[(i^{-1}D - a_1)(i^{-1}D - a_2) \cdots (i^{-1}D - a_k)\phi]^{\hat{}}] \rangle$$

$$= \langle u, T_a^{-1}[-i(i^{-1}D - a_1)(i^{-1}D - a_2) \cdots (i^{-1}D - a_k)\phi]^{\hat{}} \rangle$$

$$= -i \langle u, T_a^{-1}T_a\hat{\phi} \rangle$$

$$= -i \langle u, \hat{\phi} \rangle$$

$$= -i \langle \hat{u}, \phi \rangle$$

In a similar way, we can prove this theorem when  $\phi$  is any element in  $\mathscr{D}$  such that  $\sup[\phi] \subset (-\infty, 0)$ . Hence this completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1. Let p be a real number such that 1 . Let <math>k, m and n be non-negative integers such that  $k \le m \le n$ . And let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  and  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  be respectively m-tuple and n-tuple of complex numbers such that  $\text{Im}[a_j] \ne 0$   $(j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$  and  $\text{Im}[b_j] \ne 0$   $(j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ . Then, for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{L_k^p}(R)^* (\subset \mathcal{D}_{L_m^p}(R)^* \subset \mathcal{D}_{L_n^p}(R)^*)$ ,  $H_a^*u - H_b^*u$  is a polynomial.

**PROOF.** By Theorem 8, we see that, for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$  with supp $[\phi] \subset (0, \infty)$ ,

$$\langle (H_a^* u - H_b^* u)^{\hat{}}, \phi \rangle = \langle (H_a^* u)^{\hat{}}, \phi \rangle - \langle (H_b^* u)^{\hat{}}, \phi \rangle$$
$$= -i \langle \hat{u}, \phi \rangle - (-i) \langle \hat{u}, \phi \rangle = 0.$$

Similarly we see that, for any  $\phi \in \mathscr{D}$  with  $supp[\phi] \subset (-\infty, 0)$ ,

$$\langle (H_a^*u - H_b^*u)^{\hat{}}, \phi \rangle = 0.$$

By (4) and (5), if follows that  $\sup[(H_a^*u - H_b^*u)^{\hat{}}] \subset \{0\}$ . This implies that  $(H_a^*u - H_b^*u)^{\hat{}}$  is a finite linear combination of a Delta function  $\delta(x)$  and its derivatives. Therefore,  $H_a^*u - H_b^*u$  is a certain polynomial. This completes that proof.

REMARK. Let u be any element in  $\mathscr{S}'$ . Since Theorem 3 implies that u belongs to  $\mathscr{D}_{L_k^p}(R)^*$  for some k, the generalized Hilbert transform of u can be defined by  $H_a^*u$ , where  $a=(a_1,\dots,a_k)$  is a k-tuple of complex numbers such that  $\text{Im}[a_i]\neq 0$   $(j=1,2,\dots,k)$ . The above Corollary 1 shows that it is well defined independently of choosing k and a under the identification of the difference of polynomials.

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Professor S. Koizumi of Keio University.

### References

- [1] R.A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] E. J. Beltrami and M. R. Wohlers, Distributional boundary value theorems and Hilbert transforms, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 18 (1965), 304-309.
- [3] E.J. Beltrami and M.R. Wohlers, Distributions and the Boundary Values of Analytic Functions, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [4] M. Cotlar, A unified theory of Hilbert transforms and ergodic theorems, Rev. Mat. Cuyana, 1 (1955), 105-167.
- [5] I. M. GELFAND and N. Y. VILENKIN, Generalized Functions, Vol. 4, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [6] A. GROTHENDIECK, Topological Vector Spaces, Gordon and Breach Science Publisher, New York, 1973.
- [7] J. HORVATH, Singular integral operators and spherical harmonic, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 82 (1956), 52-63.
- [8] S. ISHIKAWA, Hilbert transforms on one parameter group of operators, Tokyo J. Math., 9 (1986), 383-393.
- [9] S. ISHIKAWA, Hilbert transforms on one parameter group of operators II, Tokyo J. Math., **9** (1986), 395-414.
- [10] L. Kantorovitch and G. Akilov, Functional Analysis in Normed Spaces, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1959 (translation: Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1964).
- [11] S. Koizumi, On the singular integrals I-VI. Proc. Japan Acad., **34** (1958), 193-198; 235-240; 594-598; 653-656; **35** (1959), 1-6; 323-328.
- [12] S. Koizumi, On the Hilbert transform I, II, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I, 14 (1959), 153-224; 15 (1960), 93-130.
- [13] G. KÖTHE, Topological Vector Spaces I, Springer-Verlag Berlin, 1969.
- [14] K. Petersen, Another proof of the existence of the ergodic Hilbert transform, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 88 (1983), 39-43.
- [15] L. Schwartz, Théorie des Distributions, Tomes I, II, Hermann, Paris, 1957, 1959.
- [16] H.G. TILLMANN, Randverteilungen analytischer Funktionen und Distributionen, Math. Z., **59** (1953), 61-83.

Present Address:
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
KEIO UNIVERSITY
HIYOSHI, KOHOKU-KU, YOKOHAMA 223