

On the Exponentially Bounded C -semigroups

Naoki TANAKA

Waseda University
(Communicated by J. Wada)

Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with exponentially bounded C -semigroups introduced by Davies and Pang [1].

Let X be a Banach space and let $C: X \rightarrow X$ be an injective bounded linear operator with dense range. A family $\{S(t): 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ of bounded linear operators from X into itself is called an *exponentially bounded C -semigroup* if

$$(0.1) \quad S(t+s)C = S(t)S(s) \text{ for } t, s \geq 0, \text{ and } S(0) = C,$$

$$(0.2) \quad \text{for every } x \in X, S(t)x \text{ is continuous in } t \geq 0,$$

$$(0.3) \quad \text{there exist } M \geq 0 \text{ and } a \geq 0 \text{ such that } \|S(t)\| \leq Me^{at} \text{ for } t \geq 0.$$

For every $t \geq 0$, let $T(t)$ be the closed linear operator defined by $T(t)x = C^{-1}S(t)x$ for $x \in D(T(t)) \equiv \{x \in X: S(t)x \in R(C)\}$. We define the operator G by

$$(0.4) \quad \begin{aligned} D(G) &= \{x \in R(C): \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (T(t)x - x)/t \text{ exists}\} \text{ and} \\ Gx &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (T(t)x - x)/t \quad \text{for } x \in D(G). \end{aligned}$$

For every $\lambda > a$, define the bounded linear operator $L_\lambda: X \rightarrow X$ by $L_\lambda x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x dt$ for $x \in X$. It is known that G is closable with dense domain (see [1]) and by [2, (2.3)]

$$(0.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (\lambda - \bar{G})L_\lambda x &= Cx \quad \text{for } x \in X \text{ and } \lambda > a, \\ L_\lambda(\lambda - \bar{G})x &= Cx \quad \text{for } x \in D(\bar{G}) \text{ and } \lambda > a, \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{G} denotes the closure of G . \bar{G} is called the *C -c.i.g.* (*C -complete infinitesimal generator*) of $\{S(t): t \geq 0\}$.

§1 is devoted to the representation of exponentially bounded C -semigroups. §2 treats the generation of exponentially bounded C -semigroups, and §3 deals with the abstract Cauchy problem. Finally, §4 concerns the connections with semigroups of growth order $\alpha > 0$.

§1. Representation of exponentially bounded C -semigroups.

We start with the following

LEMMA 1.1. *Let T be a closed linear operator satisfying the following conditions*

$$(1.1) \quad D(T^n) \supset R(C) \text{ for } n \geq 1,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \text{there are } M > 0 \text{ and } \alpha \geq 1 \text{ such that } \|T^k C\| \leq M\alpha^k \text{ for } k \geq 1,$$

$$(1.3) \quad TCx = CTx \text{ for } x \in R(C).$$

Then for $x \in X$ we have

$$(i) \quad \left\| e^{-m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^k}{k!} T^k C^2 x - T^m C^2 x \right\| \\ \leq M\alpha^m e^{m(\alpha-1)} \{m^2(\alpha-1)^2 + m(\alpha-1) + m\}^{1/2} \|(T-I)Cx\|$$

for $m \geq 1$ and

$$(ii) \quad \left\| e^{-t/h} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t/h)^k}{k!} T^k C^2 x - T^{[t/h]} C^2 x \right\| \\ \leq M e^{t(\alpha-1)/h} \left(\alpha^{t/h} \left\{ ht^2 \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{h} \right)^2 + ht \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{h} \right) + t \right\}^{1/2} + \sqrt{h} \right) \sqrt{h} \|A^h Cx\|$$

for $t \geq 0$ and $h > 0$, where $A^h = h^{-1}(T-I)$ for $h > 0$ and $[\]$ denotes the Gaussian bracket.

PROOF. We first note that by (1.2), $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (m^k/k!) T^k C$ converges in norm and defines a bounded linear operator.

Let $x \in X$. We have

$$e^{-m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^k}{k!} T^k C^2 x - T^m C^2 x = e^{-m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^k (T^k C^2 x - T^m C^2 x)}{k!}.$$

But, by (1.3) for $k > m$,

$$T^k C^2 x - T^m C^2 x = \sum_{t=m}^{k-1} T^t (TC^2 x - C^2 x)$$

$$= \sum_{i=m}^{k-1} T^i C(T-I)Cx$$

and hence by (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^k C^2 x - T^m C^2 x\| &\leq M \left(\sum_{i=m}^{k-1} \alpha^i \right) \|(T-I)Cx\| \\ &\leq M \alpha^k (k-m) \|(T-I)Cx\|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $k < m$,

$$\|T^k C^2 x - T^m C^2 x\| \leq M \alpha^m (m-k) \|(T-I)Cx\|.$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\left\| e^{-m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^k}{k!} T^k C^2 x - T^m C^2 x \right\| \leq M \alpha^m e^{-m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|k-m| \alpha^k m^k}{k!} \|(T-I)Cx\|.$$

By the Schwarz inequality

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|k-m| \alpha^k m^k}{k!} \leq e^{m\alpha} \{m^2(\alpha-1)^2 + m(\alpha-1) + m\}^{1/2}.$$

So that (i) is proved.

Using (i) with $m = [t/h]$ ($\leq t/h$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1.4) \quad &\left\| e^{-[t/h]} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[t/h]^k}{k!} T^k C^2 x - T^{[t/h]} C^2 x \right\| \\ &\leq M \alpha^{t/h} e^{t(\alpha-1)/h} \left\{ t^2 \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{h} \right)^2 + t \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{h} \right) + t/h \right\}^{1/2} \|(T-I)Cx\| \\ &= M \alpha^{t/h} e^{t(\alpha-1)/h} \left\{ h t^2 \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{h} \right)^2 + h t \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{h} \right) + t \right\}^{1/2} \sqrt{h} \|A^h Cx\|. \end{aligned}$$

We define the bounded linear operator $S_h(s)$ for every $s \geq 0$ by

$$S_h(s) = e^{-s/h} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s/h)^k}{k!} T^k C.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{d}{ds} S_h(s) Cx = S_h(s) A^h Cx.$$

Integrating this from $s = [t/h]h$ to $s = t$, we obtain

$$S_h(t)Cx - S_h([t/h]h)Cx = \int_{[t/h]h}^t S_h(s) A^h Cx ds.$$

Since $\|S_h(s)\| \leq e^{-s/h} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ((s/h)^k/k!) M \alpha^k = M e^{s(\alpha-1)/h}$, we have

$$\left\| S_h(t)Cx - e^{-[t/h]} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[t/h]^k}{k!} T^k C^2 x \right\| \leq M h e^{t(\alpha-1)/h} \|A^h Cx\|.$$

Combining this with (1.4), the desired inequality is proved. Q.E.D.

REMARK. If $C=I$ in Lemma 1.1, the estimates (i) and (ii) are known. (See [3] or [5].)

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $\{S(t): t \geq 0\}$ be an exponentially bounded C -semi-group. If \bar{G} is the C -c.i.g. of $\{S(t): t \geq 0\}$, then*

$$(1.5) \quad S(t)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} S_\lambda(t)x \quad \text{for } x \in X,$$

where $S_\lambda(t)x = e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (t^n \lambda^{2n}/n!) (\lambda - \bar{G})^{-n} Cx$ for $x \in X$ and $t \geq 0$, and the limit is uniform in t on any bounded interval.

PROOF. By (0.3), $\|S(t)\| \leq M e^{at}$ for $t \geq 0$ and by [2, Theorem 1], $\|(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-n} C\| \leq M/(\lambda - a)^n$ so that

$$\|S_\lambda(t)\| \leq e^{-\lambda t} M e^{t \cdot 2/(\lambda - a)} = M e^{\lambda a t / (\lambda - a)} \leq M e^{2a t} \quad \text{for } \lambda > 2a.$$

It is easily seen that

$$\frac{d}{ds} S_\lambda(s)Cx = S_\lambda(s) \lambda \bar{G} (\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1} Cx \quad \text{for } x \in X,$$

and by [1, Lemma 8]

$$\frac{d}{ds} S(s)x = S(s) \bar{G} x \quad \text{for } x \in CD(\bar{G}).$$

By (0.5), $S(s)(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1} Cx = S(s)L_\lambda x = L_\lambda S(s)x = (\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1} CS(s)x$ for $x \in X$, i.e., $\bar{G}(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1} C (= \lambda(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1} C - C)$ commutes with $S(s)$. Now, let $x \in CD(\bar{G})$ and $x = Cy$, $y \in D(\bar{G})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} (S_\lambda(t-s)S(s)x) &= -S_\lambda(t-s) \lambda \bar{G} (\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1} CS(s)y \\ &\quad + S_\lambda(t-s)S(s) \bar{G} x \\ &= S_\lambda(t-s)S(s)(\bar{G}x - \lambda \bar{G} (\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1} x). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this from $s=0$ to $s=t$, it follows that

$$S(t)Cx - S_\lambda(t)Cx = \int_0^t S_\lambda(t-s)S(s)(\bar{G}x - \lambda \bar{G} (\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1} x) ds.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(t)Cx - S_\lambda(t)Cx\| &\leq \int_0^t \|S_\lambda(t-s)\| \|S(s)\| ds \|\bar{G}x - \lambda\bar{G}(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1}x\| \\ &\leq M^2 e^{3\alpha t} \|\bar{G}x - \lambda\bar{G}(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1}x\| \end{aligned}$$

and hence we have that for $\lambda > 2\alpha$, $T > 0$, and $x \in CD(\bar{G})$

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|S(t)Cx - S_\lambda(t)Cx\| \leq M^2 e^{3\alpha T} T \|\bar{G}x - \lambda\bar{G}(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1}x\| .$$

By [1, Theorem 11 (b)],

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda\bar{G}(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1}Cx = \bar{G}Cx \quad \text{for } x \in D(\bar{G}) .$$

So that

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|S(t)x - S_\lambda(t)x\| \} = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in C^2D(\bar{G}) .$$

Since $C^2D(\bar{G})$ is dense in X , $\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{\alpha t}$ and $\|S_\lambda(t)\| \leq Me^{2\alpha t}$ for $\lambda > 2\alpha$ and $0 \leq t \leq T$, (1.7) holds for every $x \in X$. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $\{S(t): t \geq 0\}$ be an exponentially bounded C-semigroup. If \bar{G} is the C-c.i.g. of $\{S(t): t \geq 0\}$, then*

$$(1.8) \quad S(t)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\bar{G}\right)^{-n} Cx \quad \text{for } x \in X$$

and the limit is uniform in t on any bounded interval.

PROOF. By virtue of [2, Theorem 1], $D((\lambda - \bar{G})^{-n}) \supset R(C)$, $\|(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-n}C\| \leq M(\lambda - \alpha)^{-n}$ for $\lambda > \alpha$ and $n \geq 1$, and $(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1}Cx = C(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1}x$ for $x \in D((\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1})$. Using (ii) in Lemma 1.1 with $T = \lambda(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1}$, $\alpha = \lambda/(\lambda - \alpha)$ and $h = \lambda^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} \lambda^k (\lambda - \bar{G})^{-k} C^2 x - (\lambda(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1})^{[\lambda t]} C^2 x \right\| \\ &\leq M \exp\left(\frac{\alpha t}{1 - \alpha/\lambda}\right) \left((1 - \alpha/\lambda)^{-\lambda t} \left\{ \frac{t^2}{\lambda} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha/\lambda}\right)^2 + \frac{t}{\lambda} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha/\lambda}\right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + t \right\}^{1/2} + 1/\sqrt{\lambda} \right) (1/\sqrt{\lambda}) \|\lambda\bar{G}(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1}Cx\| \quad \text{for } x \in X . \end{aligned}$$

Here we used $A^h C = \lambda(\lambda(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1}C - C) = \lambda\bar{G}(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1}C$. Noting that $(1 - \alpha/\lambda)^{-\lambda t} \leq (1 - \alpha/\lambda)^{-\lambda T} \rightarrow e^{\alpha T}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$, and by (1.6), $\|\lambda\bar{G}(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1}Cx\| \rightarrow \|\bar{G}Cx\|$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ for $x \in D(\bar{G})$, we have that for $x \in D(\bar{G})$

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|S_\lambda(t)Cx - (\lambda(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1})^{[\lambda t]}C^2x\| = 0$$

uniformly in t on any bounded interval. Combining this with (1.5), it follows that

$$(1.9) \quad S(t)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1})^{[\lambda t]}Cx \quad \text{for } x \in CD(\bar{G}).$$

Since $CD(\bar{G})$ is dense in X and $\|(\lambda(\lambda - \bar{G})^{-1})^{[\lambda t]}C\| \leq M(\lambda/(\lambda - a))^{\lambda t} \leq M(1 + (2a/\lambda))^{\lambda t} \leq Me^{2at}$ for $\lambda > 2a$, (1.9) holds for $x \in X$. Setting $\lambda = n/t$ in (1.9), we obtain (1.8). Q.E.D.

REMARK. Let $x \in R(C)$ and $x = Cy$. By (1.5)

$$\begin{aligned} S(t)x &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n \lambda^{2n}}{n!} (\lambda - \bar{G})^{-n} C \cdot Cy \\ &= C \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n \lambda^{2n}}{n!} (\lambda - \bar{G})^{-n} Cy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(1.10) \quad T(t)x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n \lambda^{2n}}{n!} (\lambda - \bar{G})^{-n} x \quad \text{for } x \in R(C).$$

By the same argument and (1.8), we obtain

$$(1.11) \quad T(t)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{t}{n} \bar{G}\right)^{-n} x \quad \text{for } x \in R(C).$$

§2. Generation of exponentially bounded C -semigroups.

The following is a generalization of generation theorem of (C_0) -semigroups.

THEOREM 2.1. *A closed linear operator T is the C -c.i.g. of an exponentially bounded C -semigroup $\{S(t): t \geq 0\}$ with $\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{at}$ if and only if T satisfies the following conditions*

- (A1) $D(T)$ is dense in X ,
- (A2) $\lambda - T$ is injective for $\lambda > a$,
- (A3) $D((\lambda - T)^{-n}) \supset R(C)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\lambda > a$,
- (A4) $\|(\lambda - T)^{-n}C\| \leq M/(\lambda - a)^n$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\lambda > a$,
- (A5) $(\lambda - T)^{-1}Cx = C(\lambda - T)^{-1}x$ for $x \in D((\lambda - T)^{-1})$ and $\lambda > a$,
- (A6) $CD(T)$ is a core of T .

PROOF. By virtue of [2, Theorem 1], \bar{G} satisfies (A1)–(A5). To prove

that \bar{G} satisfies (A6), i.e., $CD(\bar{G})$ is a core of \bar{G} , we first note that

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^t S(\tau)z d\tau \in D(\bar{G}) \quad \text{and} \\ \bar{G} \int_0^t S(\tau)z d\tau = S(t)z - Cz \quad \text{for } z \in X \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

In fact, let $z \in X$ and $t \geq 0$. Since $D(G)$ is dense in X , we can choose $z_n \in D(G)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z$. Noting $S(\tau)z_n \in D(G)$ and $(d/d\tau)S(\tau)z_n = GS(\tau)z_n = S(\tau)Gz_n$, we obtain

$$S(t)z_n - Cz_n = \int_0^t S(\tau)Gz_n d\tau \\ = \int_0^t GS(\tau)z_n d\tau = \bar{G} \int_0^t S(\tau)z_n d\tau.$$

Now, the closedness of \bar{G} implies (2.1) because $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t S(\tau)z_n d\tau = \int_0^t S(\tau)z d\tau$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{G} \int_0^t S(\tau)z_n d\tau = S(t)z - Cz$.

It suffices to show

$$(2.2) \quad \overline{\bar{G}|_{CD(\bar{G})}} \supset G.$$

To this end, let $x \in D(G)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Using (2.1) with $z = C^{-1}x$, we have $t^{-1} \int_0^t S(\tau)C^{-1}x d\tau \rightarrow x$ and $\bar{G}(t^{-1} \int_0^t S(\tau)C^{-1}x d\tau) = t^{-1}(S(t)C^{-1}x - x) = t^{-1}(T(t)x - x) \rightarrow Gx$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$. Hence there is a $t_0 > 0$ such that $\|t_0^{-1} \int_0^{t_0} S(\tau)C^{-1}x d\tau - x\| + \|\bar{G}(t_0^{-1} \int_0^{t_0} S(\tau)C^{-1}x d\tau) - Gx\| < \varepsilon/2$. Since $CD(\bar{G})$ is dense in X , we can choose $x_n \in CD(\bar{G})$ such that $x_n \rightarrow C^{-1}x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (2.1) again, $t_0^{-1} \int_0^{t_0} S(\tau)x_n d\tau \in CD(\bar{G})$ and

$$\bar{G}\left(t_0^{-1} \int_0^{t_0} S(\tau)x_n d\tau\right) = (S(t_0)x_n - Cx_n)/t_0 \\ \rightarrow (S(t_0)C^{-1}x - C \cdot C^{-1}x)/t_0 = \bar{G}\left(t_0^{-1} \int_0^{t_0} S(\tau)C^{-1}x d\tau\right)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Take an n_0 such that

$$\left\|t_0^{-1} \int_0^{t_0} S(\tau)x_{n_0} d\tau - t_0^{-1} \int_0^{t_0} S(\tau)C^{-1}x d\tau\right\| \\ + \left\|\bar{G}\left(t_0^{-1} \int_0^{t_0} S(\tau)x_{n_0} d\tau\right) - \bar{G}\left(t_0^{-1} \int_0^{t_0} S(\tau)C^{-1}x d\tau\right)\right\| < \varepsilon/2.$$

Then we have that $t_0^{-1} \int_0^{t_0} S(\tau)x_{n_0} d\tau \in CD(\bar{G})$ and

$$\left\| \int_0^{t_0} S(\tau)x_{n_0}d\tau - x \right\| + \left\| \bar{G} \left(\int_0^{t_0} S(\tau)x_{n_0}d\tau \right) - Gx \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

So that (2.2) is satisfied.

Conversely, let T satisfies (A1)–(A6). By virtue of [1, Theorem 11], there exists an exponentially bounded C -semigroup $\{S(t): t \geq 0\}$ satisfying $\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{at}$ and

$$(2.3) \quad (\lambda - T)^{-1}Cx = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x dt \quad \text{for } x \in X \text{ and } \lambda > a.$$

By (2.3) and (A5), $L_\lambda(\lambda - T)x = Cx$ for $x \in D(T)$ and $\lambda > a$. Combining this with (0.5), we have $\lambda L_\lambda Tx = \bar{G}(\lambda L_\lambda x)$ for $x \in D(T)$ and $\lambda > a$. Since $\lambda L_\lambda x \rightarrow Cx$ and $\bar{G}(\lambda L_\lambda x) = \lambda L_\lambda Tx \rightarrow CTx$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $Cx \in D(\bar{G})$ and $\bar{G}Cx = CTx = TCx$ for $x \in D(T)$ and hence $CD(T) \subset D(\bar{G})$ and $T|_{CD(T)} = \bar{G}|_{CD(T)} \subset \bar{G}$. So that (A6) implies $T \subset \bar{G}$. Next, by [2, Lemma], for $x \in D(\bar{G})$ $TCx = C\bar{G}x = \bar{G}Cx$, i.e., $CD(\bar{G}) \subset D(T)$ and $\bar{G}|_{CD(\bar{G})} = T|_{CD(\bar{G})} \subset T$. Since $CD(\bar{G})$ is a core of \bar{G} , we obtain $\bar{G} = \overline{\bar{G}|_{CD(\bar{G})}} \subset T$. Therefore $T = \bar{G}$, i.e., T is the C -c.i.g. of $\{S(t): t \geq 0\}$. Q.E.D.

§3. The abstract Cauchy problem.

In this section we consider the following abstract Cauchy problem

$$(ACP) \quad (d/dt)u(t) = Au(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } u(0) = x.$$

By a solution $u(t)$ of the (ACP) we mean that $u(t)$ is continuously differentiable in $t \geq 0$, $u(0) = x$, $u(t) \in D(A)$ and $(d/dt)u(t) = Au(t)$ for every $t \geq 0$.

The following is a direct consequence of [1, Corollary 13.1].

THEOREM 3.1. *If A is the C -c.i.g. of an exponentially bounded C -semigroup $\{S(t): t \geq 0\}$ with $\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{at}$, then the (ACP) has a unique solution $u(t)$ satisfying $\|u(t)\| \leq Me^{at} \|C^{-1}x\|$ for every $x \in CD(A)$.*

Conversely the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let A be a densely defined closed linear operator which commutes with C . Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

(a) *The (ACP) has a unique solution $u(t)$ with $\|u(t)\| \leq Me^{at} \|C^{-1}x\|$ for $x \in CD(A)$.*

(b) *$CD(A)$ is a core of A .*

Then A is the C -c.i.g. of an exponentially bounded C -semigroup $\{S(t): t \geq 0\}$ with $\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{at}$.

PROOF. We define the operator $\tilde{T}(t): CD(A) \rightarrow D(A)$ by $\tilde{T}(t)x = u(t)$ for $x \in CD(A)$, and the bounded linear operator $S(t)$ by $S(t)x = \overline{C\tilde{T}(t)x}$ for $x \in X$. Let G be the operator defined by (0.4). Then $\{S(t): t \geq 0\}$ is an exponentially bounded C -semigroup with $\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{at}$ and

$$(3.1) \quad CD(A) \subset D(G) \text{ and } G|_{CD(A)} = A|_{CD(A)},$$

so that (b) implies $A \subset \bar{G}$. (See [1, Theorem 14].) To conclude the proof, we will show that $\bar{G} \subset A$. We first note

$$(3.2) \quad L_\lambda x \in D(A) \text{ and } AL_\lambda x = \lambda L_\lambda x - Cx \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

Indeed, let $x \in X$. Since $CD(A)$ is dense in X , we can choose $x_n \in CD(A)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. Noting that $S(t)x_n = C\tilde{T}(t)x_n \in CD(A)$, we see from (3.1) that $AS(t)x_n = GS(t)x_n = S(t)\bar{G}x_n$. Using the closedness of A ,

$$A \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x_n dt \right] = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} AS(t)x_n dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)\bar{G}x_n dt,$$

i.e., $AL_\lambda x_n = L_\lambda \bar{G}x_n$. Combining this with (0.5), $AL_\lambda x_n = \lambda L_\lambda x_n - Cx_n$. Since A is closed, $L_\lambda x_n \rightarrow L_\lambda x$ and $AL_\lambda x_n = \lambda L_\lambda x_n - Cx_n \rightarrow \lambda L_\lambda x - Cx$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, (3.2) holds. Now, by (3.2) and (0.5), $A(\lambda L_\lambda x) = \lambda L_\lambda \bar{G}x$ for $x \in D(\bar{G})$. Noting that $\lambda L_\lambda x \rightarrow Cx$ and $A(\lambda L_\lambda x) = \lambda L_\lambda \bar{G}x \rightarrow C\bar{G}x$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from the closedness of A that

$$Cx \in D(A) \text{ and } ACx = C\bar{G}x = \bar{G}Cx \quad \text{for } x \in D(\bar{G}), \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$CD(\bar{G}) \subset D(A) \text{ and } \bar{G}|_{CD(\bar{G})} = A|_{CD(\bar{G})} \subset A.$$

Since $CD(\bar{G})$ is a core of \bar{G} we obtain $\bar{G} = \overline{\bar{G}|_{CD(\bar{G})}} \subset A$. Therefore $A = \bar{G}$, i.e., A is the C -c.i.g. of $\{S(t): t \geq 0\}$. Q.E.D.

§4. Connections with semigroups of growth order $\alpha > 0$.

We first recall some results on semigroups of growth order α .

THEOREM 4.1 ([4, Theorem 1.2]). *Let n be the integral part of $\alpha > 0$. Then a closed linear operator A in X is the complete infinitesimal generator of a semigroup of growth order α if and only if the following four conditions are satisfied:*

(I) *There is a real number ω such that for each $\xi > \omega$, $R(\xi - A)$ contains $D(A^{n+1})$ and $(\xi - A)^{-1}$ exists.*

(II) *There is a constant $M > 0$ such that*

$$\|(\xi - A)^{-m}x\| \leq \frac{M}{(m-1)!} \frac{\Gamma(m-\alpha)}{(\xi-\omega)^{m-\alpha}} \|x\|$$

for $x \in D(A^{n+1})$, $\xi > \omega$ and $m = k(n+1)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

(III) $D(A^{n+2})$ is a core of A and $D(A)$ is dense in X .

(IV) For some $b > \omega$, $(b-A)^{n+1}$ is closable.

LEMMA 4.2 ([4, Lemma 4.1]). Let A be a closed linear operator in X satisfying conditions (I)–(III). Then for each $\xi > \omega$ there exists a bounded linear operator $V(\xi, A)$ such that

(a) $AV(\xi, A)x = V(\xi, A)Ax$ for $x \in D(A)$,

(b) $V(\xi, A)(\xi - A)^{n+1}x = x$ for $x \in D(A^{n+1})$,

(c) $V(\xi, A)$ is invertible if and only if $(\xi - A)^{n+1}$ is closable.

Our result of this section is the following

THEOREM 4.3. Let $\{U(t): t \geq 0\}$ be a semigroup of growth order $\alpha > 0$. If A is the complete infinitesimal generator of $\{U(t): t \geq 0\}$ then $T = A$ and $C = V(b, A)$ satisfy (A1)–(A6), so that A is the C-c.i.g. of an exponentially bounded C-semigroup $\{S(t): t \geq 0\}$. Moreover, we have $S(t) = V(b, A)U(t)$ and

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} U(t)x &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^m \lambda^{2m}}{m!} (\lambda - A)^{-m} x \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{t}{m} A\right)^{-m} x \quad \text{for } x \in D(A^{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By virtue of [1, Theorem 26], $T = A$ and $C = V(b, A)$ satisfy (A1)–(A5). We will prove that $T = A$ and $C = V(b, A)$ satisfy (A6), i.e., $V(b, A)D(A)$ is a core of A . By (III), it is sufficient to show that

$$(4.2) \quad V(b, A)D(A) \supset D(A^{n+2}).$$

To this end, let $x \in D(A^{n+2})$ and $y = (b - A)^{n+1}x \in D(A)$. Then it follows from Lemma 4.2 (b) that

$$x = V(b, A)(b - A)^{n+1}x = V(b, A)y \in V(b, A)D(A).$$

So that (4.2) is satisfied. Finally, it is seen from [1, Remark after Theorem 26], (1.10) and (1.11) that $S(t) = V(b, A)U(t)$ and (4.1) is satisfied. Q.E.D.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author is very grateful to Prof. I. Miyadera for his kind advice.

References

- [1] E. B. DAVIES and M. M. H. PANG, The Cauchy problem and a generalization of the Hille-Yosida theorem, to appear.

- [2] I. MIYADERA, On the generators of exponentially bounded C -semigroups, to appear.
- [3] I. MIYADERA, Functional Analysis, Rikōgakusha, Tokyo, 1972 (in Japanese).
- [4] N. OKAZAWA, A generation theorem for semigroups of growth order α , Tôhoku Math. J., **26** (1974), 39-51.
- [5] A. PAZY, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

Present Address:
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
WASEDA UNIVERSITY
OKUBO, SHINJUKU-KU, TOKYO 160