

On Peak Sets for Certain Function Spaces

Shinya YAMAGUCHI and Junzo WADA

Waseda University

Introduction.

Let A be a function space on a compact Hausdorff space X . In this paper, we show that some theorems on function algebras can be generalized to the case of function spaces A having certain conditions. E. Briem [2] proved the following: Let A be a function algebra. If any peak set for the real part $\text{Re } A$ of A is a peak set for A , then $A = C(X)$, where $C(X)$ denotes the Banach algebra of complex-valued continuous functions on X with the supremum norm. In association with the theorem of Briem, we consider the class of function spaces having the condition (A) (see § 1). It is a wider class containing the class of function algebras. We here discuss whether theorems on function algebras can be generalized to the case of the class.

In § 1, the Bishop antisymmetric decomposition theorem for function spaces is given. This is a generalization of Bishop's theorem [1] on function algebras. In § 2 we give some examples of function spaces having (A). In § 3 we consider the class \mathcal{A} of function spaces having (A) and give characterizations to assert that $A = C(X)$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}$. These results are generalizations of theorems on function algebras.

§ 1. Bishop antisymmetric decomposition for function spaces.

Throughout this paper, X will denote a compact Hausdorff space. A is said to be a *function space* (resp. *function algebra*) on X if A is a closed subspace (resp. subalgebra) in $C(X)$ containing constant functions and separating points in X .

Let A be a function space on X . For a subset E in X , we denote

$$A(E) = \{f \in C(E) : fg \in A|_E \text{ for any } g \in A|_E\},$$
$$A_R(E) = \{f \in C_R(E) : fg \in A|_E \text{ for any } g \in A|_E\}$$

where $A|_E$ denotes the restriction of A to E and $C_R(E)$ is the set of all real-valued continuous functions on E .

We here see that $1 \in A_R(E) \subset A(E) \subset A|_E$, $A_R(E) = A(E) \cap C_R(E)$ and that $A(E)$ and $A_R(E)$ are both algebras.

Let E be a subset in X . Then we call E an *antisymmetric set* for A if any function in $A_R(E)$ is constant. We easily see that (i) if $\bigcap_{\lambda} E_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$ for a family $\{E_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in A}$ of antisymmetric sets for A then $\bigcup_{\lambda} E_{\lambda}$ is an antisymmetric set for A and (ii) the closure \bar{E} of an antisymmetric set E for A is an antisymmetric set for A . Hence the sum of all antisymmetric sets for A containing a point x in X is a maximal antisymmetric set for A which is closed in X . Thus X is decomposed by a family of maximal antisymmetric sets for A . We write $\mathcal{K}(A)$ the family of maximal antisymmetric sets for A .

Let A be a uniformly closed subspace in $C(X)$ or $C_R(X)$. Then a closed subset F in X is called a *peak set* for A if $f(x)=1$ ($x \in F$) and $|f(x)| < 1$ ($x \in X \setminus F$) for an $f \in A$. A *p-set* for A is an intersection of peak sets for A . A closed subset F is called a *BEP-set* for A if for any $f \in A|_F$ and for any closed subset G in X with $G \cap F = \emptyset$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $g \in A$ such that $g=f$ on F , $|g(x)| < \varepsilon$ on G and $\|g\| = \|f\|_F$, where $\|g\| = \sup_{x \in X} |g(x)|$ and $\|f\|_F = \sup_{x \in F} |f(x)|$. For a uniformly closed subspace A in $C(X)$, F is a BEP-set for A if and only if $\mu_F \in A^{\perp}$ for any $\mu \in A^{\perp}$, where A^{\perp} denotes the set of measures μ on X such that $\int f d\mu = 0$ for any $f \in A$ (cf. [7]).

Now we here consider the Bishop antisymmetric decomposition theorem for function spaces. This is a generalization of Bishop's theorem on function algebras ([1], [3], [9]).

THEOREM 1.1. *Let A be a function space on a compact Hausdorff space X . Then X is decomposed by the family $\mathcal{K}(A)$ of maximal antisymmetric sets for A and the following is satisfied.*

- (i) *Any $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ is a BEP-set for A :*
- (ii) *If $f \in C(X)$ and if $f|_K \in A|_K$ for any $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$, then $f \in A$.*

PROOF. (i) For $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$, let F be the intersection of all BEP-sets for A containing K . Then F is the smallest BEP-set for A containing K . We here need only to show that $F=K$. If $K \subsetneq F$, then there is an $f \in A_R(F)$ which is not constant since K is a maximal antisymmetric set for A . As $f|_K \in A_R(K)$, f is a constant c on K . Hence if we put $E = \{x \in F: f(x)=c\}$, then $K \subset E \subsetneq F$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, we put

$$g = -\varepsilon(f-c)^2 + 1.$$

Since $A_R(F)$ is a real algebra containing 1, $g \in A_R(F)$. For a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, we see that $g|_E = 1$ and $|g| < 1$ on $F \setminus E$. So E is a peak set for $A|_F$ and $g^n \in A_R(F)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Since F is a BEP-set, $\mu_F \in A^\perp$ for any $\mu \in A^\perp$. Here for any $h \in A$, $g^n h|_F \in A|_F$ and $0 = \mu_F(g^n h) \rightarrow \mu_F(\chi_E h) = \mu_E(h)$, where χ_E is the characteristic function for E . This shows that $\mu_E \in A^\perp$ for any $\mu \in A^\perp$, that is, E is a BEP-set for A . This is a contradiction since F is the smallest BEP-set for A containing K .

(ii) It is proved by a similar method to the proof due to Glicksberg [9]. We first show that the support F of μ is an antisymmetric set for A for $\mu \in \text{ext}(\text{ball } A^\perp)$, where $\text{ext}(\text{ball } A^\perp)$ is the set of all extreme points of closed unit ball in A^\perp . To do this we prove that f is constant for any $f \in A_R(F)$. We here can assume that $0 < f < 1$ on F and f is considered as a function in A by extending on X for convenience. From the definition of $A_R(F)$, $f\mu/\|f\mu\|, (1-f)\mu/\|(1-f)\mu\| \in \text{ball } A^\perp$.

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\mu\| + \|(1-f)\mu\| &= \int |f|d|\mu| + \int |1-f|d|\mu| \\ &= \int_F f d|\mu| + \int_F (1-f)d|\mu| = \int_F d|\mu| = \|\mu\| = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mu = \|f\mu\| \cdot \frac{f\mu}{\|f\mu\|} + \|(1-f)\mu\| \cdot \frac{(1-f)\mu}{\|(1-f)\mu\|}.$$

Since μ is an extreme point of ball A^\perp , we have $\mu = f\mu/\|f\mu\|$ and $f = \|f\mu\|$ (a.e. $|\mu|$). So $U = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq \|f\mu\|\}$ is open in X and $|\mu|(U) = 0$. It implies that $\emptyset = U \cap F$ and $f(x) = \|f\mu\|$ on F . This shows that F is an antisymmetric set for A . Hence there is a $K_0 \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ such that $F \subset K_0$.

Now let $f \in C(X)$ and $f|_K \in A|_K$ for any $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$. Then there is a $g \in A$ with $g|_{K_0} = f|_{K_0}$, and for any $\mu \in \text{ext}(\text{ball } A^\perp)$

$$\int f d\mu = \int_{K_0} f d\mu = \int_{K_0} g d\mu = \int g d\mu = 0.$$

By the Krein-Milman theorem, $\int f d\mu = 0$ for any $\mu \in A^\perp$. It follows that $f \in A$.

REMARK. In [6], Ellis discussed the Bishop antisymmetric decomposition for a function space on its Shilov boundary.

We here describe $A(X)$ for some function spaces A on X . Let Γ, D and \bar{D} be $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ respectively.

EXAMPLES. (1) Let A be the function space on \bar{D} consisting of continuous functions on \bar{D} which are complex harmonic on D . Then $A(\bar{D})$ is the set of constant functions.

(2) Let B be the disc algebra on Γ and $\varphi \in B$, $\varphi \neq 0$ on Γ . Then $A = \varphi^{-1}B$ is a function space on Γ and $A(\Gamma) = B$.

(3) Let B be the disc algebra on Γ . We put $A = \{f \in B : f = \lambda + g, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, g(0) = 0 \text{ and } g(1/2) + g(-1/2) = 0\}$, where $g(\lambda)$ is the value at λ of g which is considered as a function in the disc algebra on \bar{D} . Then A is a function space on Γ and $A(\Gamma) = \{f \in B : f = \lambda + g, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } g(0) = g(1/2) = g(-1/2) = 0\}$.

Next we consider the following three conditions for a function space A on X .

(A) Any peak set for A is a peak set for $A(X)$.

(B) For each $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$, any peak set for $A|_K$ is a peak set for $A(K)$.

(C) For each $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$, any peak set for $A|_K$ is a peak set for $A_R(K)$.

Here that (C) \rightarrow (B) is clear and furthermore we have

THEOREM 1.2. *Let A be a function space on X . Then the following are satisfied:*

(i) *If A has (A), then it has (B).*

(ii) *If A satisfies (C), then $A = C(X)$.*

(iii) *If A has (B) and it is self-adjoint, then $A = C(X)$.*

PROOF. (i) Let $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ and F be a peak set for $A|_K$. Then F is a p-set for A since K is a BEP-set for A . So F is a p-set for $A(K)$ by the hypothesis. But since F is a peak set for $A|_K$, it is a G_δ -set in K . It implies that F is a peak set for $A(K)$.

(ii) By Theorem 1.1 it suffices to show that any $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ is a singleton. If some $K_0 \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ has at least two points, there is a subset F in K_0 such that F is a peak set for $A|_{K_0}$ and $F \subsetneq K_0$. By the hypothesis, F is a peak set for $A_R(K_0)$. This is a contradiction since K_0 is an anti-symmetric set for A .

(iii) Since A is self-adjoint, for any $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$, $A|_K$ is self-adjoint and so is $A(K)$. It implies $A_R(K) = \text{Re } A(K)$. By the hypothesis, any peak set for $A|_K$ is a peak set for $A(K)$ and it is a peak set for $A_R(K)$. By (ii), the proof is complete.

Theorem 1.2 (ii) implies a theorem of Ellis [6] as follows.

COROLLARY 1.3 (Ellis). *Let A be a function space on X . If any peak set for A is a peak set for $A_R(X)$, then $A = C(X)$.*

PROOF. For any $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$, $A_R(X)|_K \subset A_R(K)$. Any peak set F for $A|_K$ is a p-set for A and it will follow by the hypothesis that F is a p-set for $A_R(X)$. Hence F is a p-set for $A_R(K)$, and F is a peak set for $A_R(K)$ since it is a G_δ -set in K . From Theorem 1.2 (ii), we have $A=C(X)$.

§ 2. Condition (A).

We here consider function spaces A which satisfy the condition (A), i.e., if any peak set for A is a peak set for $A(X)$.

We first give examples of such function spaces.

EXAMPLES. (1) Any function algebra has (A).

(2) In § 1, Examples (2), A has (A). For, if F is a peak set for A , then there is an $f \in B$ such that $\varphi^{-1}f=1$ on F and $|\varphi^{-1}f| < 1$ on $\Gamma \setminus F$. So $f=\varphi$ on F and $f \neq \varphi$ on $\Gamma \setminus F$. Hence $F=\{x \in \Gamma: f-\varphi=0\}$ is a zero-set for B . We easily see that F is a peak set for $B=A(\Gamma)$.

(3) In general, if B is a function algebra on X satisfying that any zero-set for B is a peak set for B and if $\varphi \in B$, $\varphi \neq 0$ on X , then $A=\varphi^{-1}B$ has (A). Examples of B which satisfy the condition above are the disc algebra on Γ and the algebra of generalized analytic functions ([5]).

(4) Let B be the disc algebra on Γ . Then $A=(z-a)^{-1}B+(z-b)^{-1}B$ ($|a| < 1$, $|b| < 1$) is a function space and satisfies (A) (a special case of (2)).

(5) In § 1, Examples (3), A satisfies (A). For, let $\lambda+f_0 \in A$ be a peaking function of a peak set F for A . Then $F=\{z \in \Gamma: \lambda+f_0(z)-1=0\}$. Hence F is Γ or a set of zero Lebesgue measure on Γ . It is not hard to see that F is a peak set for $A(\Gamma)$.

(6) If $\{A_\lambda\}$ is a family of function spaces having (A), then the direct sum $\bigoplus A_\lambda$ has (A). It is proved in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let A_λ be a function space on X_λ having (A) ($\lambda \in \Lambda$). Then the direct sum $\bigoplus A_\lambda$ of $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ has (A).*

PROOF. The direct sum $A=\bigoplus A_\lambda$ is regarded as a function space on the one-point compactification $X=\bigcup X_\lambda \cup \{p\}$ of $\bigcup X_\lambda$. Let E be a peak set for A . Then there is an $f \in A$ such that $f(x)=1$ on E and $|f(x)| < 1$ on $X \setminus E$. If $E \cap X_\lambda \neq \emptyset$, $E \cap X_\lambda$ is a peak set for A_λ . Since A_λ has (A), it is also a peak set for $A_\lambda(X_\lambda)$. So there is an $f_\lambda \in A_\lambda(X_\lambda)$ such that $f_\lambda(x)=1$ on $E \cap X_\lambda$ and $|f_\lambda(x)| < 1$ on $X_\lambda \setminus E$. We here put $f_\lambda \equiv 0$ when $E \cap X_\lambda = \emptyset$. If $p \notin E$, there is a finite subset $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda$ with $E \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} X_\lambda$. So by putting $g(x)=f_\lambda(x)$ ($x \in X_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$), $g(x)=0$ ($x \in X_\lambda$, $\lambda \notin \Lambda_0$) and $g(p)=0$, $E=\{x \in X: g(x)=1\}$ becomes a peak set for $A(X)$. If $p \in E$, we put $g_{\lambda'}(x)=f_{\lambda'}(x)$ ($x \in X_{\lambda'}$), $g_{\lambda'}(x)=1$ ($x \in X_\lambda$, $\lambda \neq \lambda'$) and $g_{\lambda'}(p)=1$ for any fixed $\lambda' \in \Lambda$. Then

$E_{\lambda'} = \{x \in X : g_{\lambda'}(x) = 1\}$ is a peak set for $A(X)$. Hence $E = \bigcap \{E_{\lambda'} : \lambda' \text{ is any element in } \Lambda\}$ is a p-set for $A(X)$. Since E is a G_δ -set in X , it is a peak set for $A(X)$.

§ 3. Characterizations assert that $A = C(X)$.

Let A be a function space on X satisfying (A). We consider conditions under which A is identical with $C(X)$.

The following is the Stone-Weierstrass theorem for function spaces satisfying (A).

THEOREM 3.1. *Let A have (A). If A is self-adjoint, then $A = C(X)$.*

PROOF. By Theorem 1.2 (i), if A has (A), then it has (B). By Theorem 1.2 (iii) we have $A = C(X)$.

A Briem's theorem [2] is generalized as follows:

THEOREM 3.2. *Let A satisfy (A). If any peak set for $\operatorname{Re} A$ is a peak set for A , then $A = C(X)$.*

PROOF. Let F be any peak set for $\operatorname{Re} A$. Then if it can be proved that F is a BEP-set for A , we have $A = C(X)$ by ([12], Theorem 2.2) and this proves the theorem. To do this suppose that F is a peak set for $\operatorname{Re} A$. By the hypothesis F is a peak set for A . Since A has (A), it is a peak set for $A(X)$. Let g be a peaking function in $A(X)$ for F . Then for any $f \in A$ and for any $\mu \in A^\perp$, $0 = \mu(g^n f) \rightarrow \mu(\chi_F f) = \mu_F(f)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and so $\mu_F(f) = 0$. This shows that F is a BEP-set for A .

The following is a generalization of a Glicksberg's theorem ([10], [13]) on function algebras.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let A have (A). If $A|_F$ is closed in $C(F)$ for any closed subset F in X , then $A = C(X)$.*

We need the following lemma in order to prove the theorem.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let A satisfy the hypothesis in Theorem 3.3. Then any peak set for $\operatorname{Re} A(X)$ is a peak set for $A(X)$.*

PROOF. We use a similar argument to Briem ([2], Prop. 2). Let F be a peak set for $\operatorname{Re} A(X)$. Then there is an $a \in A(X)$ such that $a = u + iv$, u and v are real functions, $u = 0$ on F and $u < 0$ on $X \setminus F$. Since $A(X)$ is a closed subalgebra containing 1, $a_1 = \exp a \in A(X) \subset A$. Here $|a_1| = \exp u = 1$ on F and $|a_1| = \exp u < 1$ on $X \setminus F$. By the hypothesis, $A|_F$

is closed in $C(F)$. So the open mapping theorem implies the existence of a constant c_F such that each $f \in A|_F$ has an extension $g \in A$ with $\|g\| \leq c_F \|f\|_F$. Since $\exp(-na) \in A(X) \subset A$, there is a $b_n \in A$ such that $b_n = \exp(-na)$ on F and $\|b_n\| \leq c_F \|\exp(-na)\|_F = c_F$. From that $a_1^n \in A(X)$, it follows $a_1^n b_n \in A$, $\|a_1^n b_n\| \leq c_F$ and $a_1^n b_n = 1$ on F . Moreover for any compact set G in X with $G \cap F = \emptyset$, we have $a_1^n b_n \rightarrow 0$ on G . So given $\varepsilon > 0$, by taking a sufficiently large n , $f = a_1^n b_n \in A$ satisfies that $\|f\| \leq c_F$, $f|_F = 1$ and $|f| \leq \varepsilon$ on G . Since F is a G_δ -set, there is an $f \in A$ such that $\|f\| = 1$, $f|_F = 1$ and $|f(x)| < 1$ ($x \notin F$) ([4], Lemma 13), that is, F is a peak set for A . By the hypothesis, F is a peak set for $A(X)$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3. We introduce a relation \sim in X as follows:

$$x \sim y \iff f(x) = f(y) \text{ for any } f \in A(X).$$

Then \sim is an equivalence relation in X .

We put $\tilde{x} = \{y \in X : y \sim x\}$ for $x \in X$ and $\tilde{X} = \{\tilde{x} : x \in X\}$. By defining the topology in \tilde{X} such that the mapping $x \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ from X to \tilde{X} is continuous, \tilde{X} becomes a compact Hausdorff space. By putting $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) = f(x)$ for $f \in A(X)$, $A(X) \sim = \{\tilde{f} : f \in A(X)\}$ becomes a function algebra on \tilde{X} . Now if \tilde{F} is a peak set for $\text{Re } A(X) \sim$ then there is an $\tilde{f} \in A(X) \sim$ such that $\text{Re } \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) = 1$ ($\tilde{x} \in \tilde{F}$) and $|\text{Re } \tilde{f}(\tilde{x})| < 1$ ($\tilde{x} \notin \tilde{F}$). If we put $F = \{x \in X : \tilde{x} \in \tilde{F}\}$, F is a closed set in X , $\text{Re } f(x) = 1$ ($x \in F$) and $|\text{Re } f(x)| < 1$ ($x \notin F$). From this F is a peak set for $\text{Re } A(X)$, and Lemma 3.4 implies that F is a peak set for $A(X)$. So \tilde{F} is a peak set for $A(X) \sim$. By a theorem of Briem [2] we have that $A(X) \sim = C(\tilde{X})$. Hence $A(X)$ is self-adjoint. By the hypothesis any peak set F for A is a peak set for $A(X)$ and a peak set for $\text{Re } A(X)$. Since $A(X)$ is self-adjoint, F is a peak set for $A_R(X) = \text{Re } A(X)$ and so $A = C(X)$ from Corollary 1.3.

We next generalize a wellknown theorem on function algebras to the case of function spaces having (A) (cf. [8], [11], [3]).

Let A be a function space. Then a closed subset F in X is called an *interpolation set* for A if $A|_F = C(F)$.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let A be a function space on X having (A). If X is the sum of a sequence $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of interpolation sets for A , then $A = C(X)$.*

We need some lemmas to prove the theorem.

Let A be a function space and $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$. Then we denote by $\partial(A|_K)$ the Shilov boundary for $A|_K$. Since $A(K) \subset A|_K$, $\partial(A|_K)$ is a boundary for $A(K)$. So $A(K)|_{\partial(A|_K)}$ is a uniformly closed subalgebra in $C(\partial(A|_K))$. A function space A is called to be *essential* if for any proper closed subset

F in X there is an $f \in C(X)$ such that $f(x)=0$ ($x \in F$) and $f \notin A$. We begin with the following

LEMMA 3.6. *If A has (A), for any $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ a peak set for $A|_{\partial(A|_K)}$ is a peak set for $A(K)|_{\partial(A|_K)}$. And $A(K)|_{\partial(A|_K)}$ is an essential algebra and so $A|_{\partial(A|_K)}$ is essential.*

PROOF. Let F be a peak set for $A|_{\partial(A|_K)}$. Then there is an $f \in A|_{\partial(A|_K)}$ such that $f=1$ on F and $|f|<1$ on $\partial(A|_K) \setminus F$. That $\partial(A|_K)$ is a boundary for $A|_K$ implies the existence of $g \in A|_K$ such that $g|_F=f|_F=1$, $|g|<1$ on $\partial(A|_K) \setminus F$ and $\|g\|_K=1$. So $E=\{x \in K: g(x)=\|g\|=1\}$ is a peak set for $A|_K$ and $F=\partial(A|_K) \cap E$. By (A) E is a peak set for $A(K)$ and so F is a peak set for $A(K)|_{\partial(A|_K)}$. Since any $f \in A_R(K)$ is constant, if $g \in A(K)|_{\partial(A|_K)}$ is real on $\partial(A|_K)$, then it is constant. It follows that $A(K)|_{\partial(A|_K)}$ is an essential algebra. We here show that $A|_{\partial(A|_K)}$ is essential. Suppose otherwise. Then there is a closed subset $E \subsetneq \partial(A|_K)$ such that if $f \in C(\partial(A|_K))$, $f|_E=0$ then $f \in A|_{\partial(A|_K)}$. For a fixed closed subset F in $\partial(A|_K)$ with $F \cap E = \emptyset$, there is an $f \in C_R(\partial(A|_K))$ such that $f|_F=1$, $f|_E=0$ and $0 \leq f \leq 1$. So $f \in A|_{\partial(A|_K)}$. From this F is a p-set for $A|_{\partial(A|_K)}$ and a p-set for $A(K)|_{\partial(A|_K)}$. Since $A(K)|_{\partial(A|_K)}$ is a uniformly closed algebra, F is a BEP-set for $A(K)|_{\partial(A|_K)}$. Similarly, any closed subset which is contained in F is a BEP-set for $A(K)|_{\partial(A|_K)}$. It implies $A(K)|_F=C(F)$ since $1 \in A(K)|_F$. Now for any $\mu \in (A(K)|_{\partial(A|_K)})^\perp$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an open subset U in $\partial(A|_K)$ such that $U \supset E$ and $|\mu|(U \setminus E) < \varepsilon$. If $F = \partial(A|_K) \setminus U$, it is a closed subset in $\partial(A|_K)$ and $F \cap E = \emptyset$. By the fact stated above, $A(K)|_F=C(F)$. Suppose that $g \in C(\partial(A|_K))$ and $g|_E=0$. Then $g|_F \in A(K)|_F$ and there is an $h \in A(K)|_{\partial(A|_K)}$ such that $h|_F=g|_F$, $\|h\|=\|g\|_F$ and $|h|<\varepsilon$ on E . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(g)| &= |\mu(g) - \mu(h)| = |\mu(g-h)| \\ &\leq \left| \int_E (g-h) d\mu \right| + \left| \int_{U \setminus E} (g-h) d\mu \right| + \left| \int_{\partial(A|_K) \setminus U} (g-h) d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \int_E |h| d|\mu| + \int_{U \setminus E} |g-h| d|\mu| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\mu\| + \|g-h\| |\mu|(U \setminus E) \leq \varepsilon (\|\mu\| + 2\|g\|). \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, $\mu(g)=0$. So $g \in A(K)|_{\partial(A|_K)}$. It follows that $A(K)|_{\partial(A|_K)}$ is not essential. This contradiction proves that $A|_{\partial(A|_K)}$ is essential.

LEMMA 3.7. *For each $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$, any p-set for $A(K)$ is a BEP-set for $A|_K$.*

PROOF. Let F be a p-set for $A(K)$. For any $\mu \in (A|_K)^\perp$ and any

$\epsilon > 0$, $|\mu|(U \setminus F) < \epsilon$ for some open subset U in K containing F . Here take a peak set E for $A(K)$ with $F \subset E \subset U$, and choose $f \in A(K)$ such that $f|_E = 1$ and $|f| < 1$ on $K \setminus E$. Then since $f^n \in A(K)$ for any n , $f^n g \in A|_K$ for $g \in A|_K$. Hence

$$0 = \mu(f^n g) \rightarrow \mu(\chi_E \cdot g) = \mu_E(g) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

and so $\mu_E(g) = 0$. Furthermore we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_F(g)| &= |\mu_F(g) - \mu_E(g)| \leq \|g\| |\mu|(E \setminus F) \\ &\leq \|g\| |\mu|(U \setminus F) \leq \|g\| \cdot \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $\mu_F(g) = 0$. This implies $\mu_F \in (A|_K)^\perp$. This shows that F is a BEP-set for $A|_K$.

LEMMA 3.8. Let A has (A). Then for any $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$, $\partial(A|_K)$ is equal to the closure $\bar{P}_{A(K)}$ of $P_{A(K)}$, where $P_{A(K)} = \{x \in K: \{x\} \text{ is a BEP-set for } A(K)\}$.

PROOF. A BEP-set for $A|_K$ is a p-set for $A|_K$. By (A) it is a p-set for $A(K)$. Since $A(K)$ is an algebra, it is a BEP-set for $A(K)$. Conversely, a BEP-set for $A(K)$ is a p-set for $A(K)$ and it is a BEP-set for $A|_K$ from Lemma 3.7. Hence

$$P_{A(K)} = \{x \in K: \{x\} \text{ is a BEP-set for } A|_K\}.$$

We first show that if F is a peak set for $A|_K$, then $F \cap P_{A(K)} \neq \emptyset$. If F is a peak set for $A|_K$, then it is a peak set for $A(K)$ by (A). From Lemma 3.7 it is a BEP-set for $A|_K$. Now we put $\mathcal{F} = \{E: E \text{ is a BEP-set for } A|_K \text{ and } E \subset F\}$. Then \mathcal{F} becomes a partially ordered set by the inclusion. For any chain $\{F_\alpha\}$ in \mathcal{F} , $\bigcap_\alpha F_\alpha$ is a BEP-set for $A|_K$. By Zorn's lemma, there is a minimal element F_0 in \mathcal{F} . It suffices to show that F_0 is a singleton to prove that $F \cap P_{A(K)} \neq \emptyset$. If F_0 has at least two points there is an $E \subsetneq F_0$ such that E is a peak set for $A|_{F_0}$. Since F_0 is a BEP-set for $A|_K$, E is a p-set for $A|_K$. By (A) E is a p-set for $A(K)$. By Lemma 3.7, it is a BEP-set for $A|_K$. This is a contradiction since F_0 is a minimal element in \mathcal{F} . We next show that $P_{A(K)}$ is a boundary for $A|_K$. Put $F = \{x \in K: |f(x)| = \|f\|_K\}$ for $f \in A|_K$. Then $E = \{x \in K: \alpha f(x) = \|\alpha f\|_K = \|f\|_K\}$ (some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\alpha| = 1$) is a non-void peak set for $A|_K$. Take an $x_0 \in P_{A(K)} \cap E$. Then

$$|f(x_0)| = \alpha f(x_0) = \|\alpha f\|_K = \|f\|_K,$$

and

$$P_{A(K)} \cap F \neq \emptyset.$$

This shows that $P_{A(K)}$ is a boundary for $A|_K$. We denote by $\text{Ch}(A|_K)$ the Choquet boundary for $A|_K$. Then we show that $P_{A(K)} \subset \text{Ch}(A|_K)$. Indeed, for each $x \in P_{A(K)}$, for any open set U in K containing x and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $f \in A|_K$ such that $f(x) = \|f\|_K = 1$ and $|f| < \varepsilon$ on $K \setminus U$, since $\{x\}$ is a BEP-set for $A|_K$. If μ is a representing measure for x ,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = |f(x)| &= \left| \int_U f d\mu + \int_{K \setminus U} f d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \mu(U) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So $\mu(U) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the support of $\mu = \{x\}$. Hence $x \in \text{Ch}(A|_K)$ and so $P_{A(K)} \subset \text{Ch}(A|_K)$. $\bar{P}_{A(K)} \subset \overline{\text{Ch}(A|_K)} = \partial(A|_K)$. So $\bar{P}_{A(K)} = \partial(A|_K)$.

LEMMA 3.9. *Let A has (A). Then if, for any $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$, $A|_{\bar{V}} = C(\bar{V})$ for some non-void open subset V in $\partial(A|_K)$, then $A = C(X)$.*

PROOF. For any $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$, $\bar{P}_{A(K)} = \partial(A|_K)$ from Lemma 3.8. By the hypothesis, $V \cap P_{A(K)} \neq \emptyset$. If $x_0 \in V \cap P_{A(K)}$, we show that $\partial(A|_K) = \{x_0\}$. If otherwise, there is a $y \in \partial(A|_K)$, $y \neq x_0$. Then $x_0 \in U \subset V$, $y \notin U$ for some open subset U in $\partial(A|_K)$. From that $\{x_0\}$ is a BEP-set for $A(K)$, there is a $g \in A(K)$ such that $g(x_0) = \|g\|_K = 1$ and $|g| < 1/3$ on $\partial(A|_K) \setminus U$. If we put $U_0 = \{x \in \partial(A|_K) : |1 - g(x)| < 1/3\}$, then $x_0 \in U_0 \subset U \subset V$ and $y \notin U_0$.

Put $B = \{z \in C : |z| < 1/3\}$ and $D = \{z \in C : |1 - z| < 1/3\}$. Then there is a sequence $\{p_n\}$ of polynomials of z such that p_n converges to χ_D uniformly on $B \cup D$ by Runge's theorem. We here show that if $f \in C(\partial(A|_K))$ and $f|_{\partial(A|_K) \setminus U_0} = 0$ then $f \in A|_{\partial(A|_K)}$. If it should be proved, $A|_{\partial(A|_K)}$ would be not essential. This is a contradiction by Lemma 3.6 and this shows that $\partial(A|_K) = \{x_0\}$. This means that for any $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$ K is a singleton. Thus the lemma is proved. Hence to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that if $f \in C(\partial(A|_K))$, $f|_{\partial(A|_K) \setminus U_0} = 0$, then $f \in A|_{\partial(A|_K)}$. If $f \in C(\partial(A|_K))$, $f|_{\partial(A|_K) \setminus U_0} = 0$, then there is an $h \in A|_K$ with $h = f$ on \bar{V} since $\bar{V} \subset K$ and $A|_{\bar{V}} = C(\bar{V})$. Hence $h|_{(\bar{V} \setminus U_0)} = 0$. Since $g(U_0) \subset D$, $g(\partial(A|_K) \setminus V) \subset B$, $p_n \circ g$ converges uniformly to χ_{U_0} on $U_0 \cup (\partial(A|_K) \setminus V)$. Of course, $h \cdot (p_n \circ g) \Rightarrow h \cdot \chi_{U_0} = f$ on $\partial(A|_K)$. Since $A(K)$ is an algebra containing 1 and $h \in A|_K$, $h \cdot (p_n \circ g) \in A|_K$. So $f \in A|_{\partial(A|_K)}$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.5. Let $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ for a sequence $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of interpolation sets for A . For any $K \in \mathcal{K}(A)$,

$$\partial(A|_K) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\partial(A|_K) \cap F_n).$$

By Baire's theorem, for some n_0 , $\bar{V} \subset \partial(A|_K) \cap F_{n_0}$, where V is a non-void

open subset in $\partial(A|_K)$. Since $A|_{F_{n_0}} = C(F_{n_0})$, $A|_{\bar{V}} = C(\bar{V})$. By Lemma 3.9, $A = C(X)$.

References

- [1] E. BISHOP, A generalization of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, *Pacific J. Math.*, **11** (1961), 777-783.
- [2] E. BRIEM, Peak sets for the real part of a function algebra, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **85** (1982), 77-78.
- [3] R. B. BURCKEL, *Characterizations of $C(X)$ among its Subalgebras*, Marcel Dekker, 1972.
- [4] P. C. CURTIS, *Topics in Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions*, Lecture Note, **25** (1970), Aarhus Univ.
- [5] K. DE LEEUW and I. GLICKSBERG, Interpolating sets and zero sets for generalized analytic functions, *Function Algebras* (Proc. Intern. Symp., Tulane Univ., 1965), Scott, Foresman, 1966, 12-14.
- [6] A. J. ELLIS, Some approximation results for function spaces, *Indag. Math.*, **42** (1980), 125-130.
- [7] T. W. GAMELIN, Restrictions of subspaces of $C(X)$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **112** (1964), 278-286.
- [8] T. W. GAMELIN and D. R. WILKEN, Closed partitions of maximal ideal spaces, *Ill. J. Math.*, **13** (1969), 789-795.
- [9] I. GLICKSBERG, Measures orthogonal to algebras and sets of antisymmetry, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **105** (1962), 415-435.
- [10] I. GLICKSBERG, Function algebras with closed restrictions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **14** (1963), 158-161.
- [11] H. ISHIKAWA, J. TOMIYAMA and J. WADA, On the local behavior of function algebras, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **22** (1970), 48-55.
- [12] S. YAMAGUCHI and J. WADA, On peak sets for the real part of a function space, *Tokyo J. Math.*, **7** (1984), 141-146.
- [13] J. WADA, On the Šilov boundaries of function algebras, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **39** (1963), 425-428.

Present Address:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF EDUCATION, WASEDA UNIVERSITY
NISHIWASEDA, SHINJUKU-KU, TOKYO 160, JAPAN