

## On the Existence and Uniqueness of the Stationary Solution to the Equations of Natural Convection

Hiroko MORIMOTO

*Meiji University*

(Communicated by S.T. Kuroda)

### 1. Notations and results.

In this paper, we discuss the existence of weak solutions of a system of equations which describes the motion of fluid with natural convection (Boussinesq approximation) in a bounded domain  $\Omega$  in  $R^n$ ,  $2 \leq n$ . We consider the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} (u \cdot \nabla)u = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p + \nu \Delta u + \beta g \theta, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ (u \cdot \nabla)\theta = \chi \Delta \theta, \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (1)$$

where  $u \cdot \nabla = \sum_j u_j \partial / \partial x_j$ . Here  $u$  is the fluid velocity,  $p$  is the pressure,  $\theta$  is the temperature,  $g$  is the gravitational vector function, and  $\rho$  (density),  $\nu$  (kinematic viscosity),  $\beta$  (coefficient of volume expansion),  $\chi$  (thermal diffusivity) are positive constants. We study this system of equations with mixed boundary condition for  $\theta$ . Let  $\partial\Omega$  (the boundary of  $\Omega$ ) be divided into two parts  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  such that

$$\partial\Omega = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2, \quad \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset.$$

The boundary conditions are as follows.

$$\begin{cases} u = 0, \quad \theta = \xi, & \text{on } \Gamma_1, \\ u = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} = \eta, & \text{on } \Gamma_2, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where  $\xi$  (resp.  $\eta$ ) is a given function on  $\Gamma_1$  (resp.  $\Gamma_2$ ),  $n$  is the outward normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$ .

In this paper, we show the existence of weak solution of this problem for bounded domain  $\Omega$  in  $R^n$ ,  $2 \leq n$ , using the Galerkin method (Theorem 1). Some uniqueness result

is also obtained (Theorem 2). In the previous paper [7], we treated this problem only for the case  $n=3$ .

In order to state the definition of weak solution and our results, we introduce some function spaces. The functions considered in this paper are all real valued.  $L^p$  and the Sobolev space  $W_p^m$  are defined as usual. We also denote  $H^m = W_2^m$ . Whether the elements of the space are scalar or vector functions is understood from the context unless stated explicitly. For the inner product and the norm of  $L^2(\Omega)$ , we use the notation  $(u, v)_\Omega$  and  $\|u\|_\Omega$ , or simply,  $(u, v)$  and  $\|u\|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} D_\sigma &= \{\text{vector function } \varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega) \mid \text{supp } \varphi \subset \Omega, \text{ div } \varphi = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}, \\ H &= \text{completion of } D_\sigma \text{ under the } L^2(\Omega)\text{-norm,} \\ V &= \text{completion of } D_\sigma \text{ under the } H^1(\Omega)\text{-norm,} \\ \tilde{V} &= \text{completion of } D_\sigma \text{ under the norm } \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^n(\Omega)}, \\ D_0 &= \{\text{scalar function } \varphi \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) \mid \varphi \equiv 0 \text{ in a neighborhood of } \Gamma_1\}, \\ W &= \text{completion of } D_0 \text{ under the } H^1(\Omega)\text{-norm,} \\ \tilde{W} &= \text{completion of } D_0 \text{ under the norm } \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^n(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider  $L^2$  inner product of the first equation of (1) with  $v$  in  $\tilde{V}$ , and the third equation of (1) with  $\tau$  in  $\tilde{W}$ . Using the integration by parts, we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} v(\nabla u, \nabla v) + B(u, u, v) - (\beta g \theta, v) = 0, & \text{for all } v \in \tilde{V}, \\ \chi(\nabla \theta, \nabla \tau) + b(u, \theta, \tau) = \chi(\eta, \tau)_{\Gamma_2}, & \text{for all } \tau \in \tilde{W}, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where

$$B(u, v, w) = ((u \cdot \nabla)v, w) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n u_j(x) \frac{\partial v_i(x)}{\partial x_j} w_i(x) dx,$$

and

$$b(u, \theta, \tau) = ((u \cdot \nabla)\theta, \tau) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n u_j(x) \frac{\partial \theta(x)}{\partial x_j} \tau(x) dx.$$

Now, we define the weak solution of (1), (2).

**DEFINITION 1.** A pair of functions  $\{u, \theta\}$  is called a weak solution of (1), (2), if there exists a function  $\theta_0$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$  such that  $u \in V$ ,  $\theta - \theta_0 \in W$ ,  $\theta_0 = \xi$  on  $\Gamma_1$ , and,  $\{u, \theta\}$  satisfies (3).

For the domain  $\Omega$ , we assume that  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $C^1$  boundary. Concerning the partition of  $\partial\Omega$  into  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  appearing in (2), we further assume that the following condition is satisfied;

**CONDITION (H).**

$$\partial\Omega = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2, \quad \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset, \quad \text{measure of } \Gamma_1 \neq 0,$$

and the intersection

$$\bar{\Gamma}_1 \cap \bar{\Gamma}_2$$

is an  $n-2$  dimensional  $C^1$  manifold.

Now, we state our results.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $C^1$  boundary satisfying Condition (H). If  $g(x)$  is in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $\xi$  is in  $C^1(\bar{\Gamma}_1)$ , and  $\eta$  is in  $L^2(\Gamma_2)$ , then there exists a weak solution of (1), (2).*

**REMARK 1.** Generally,  $\tilde{V} \subset V \cap L^n(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{W} \subset W \cap L^n(\Omega)$ . For  $2 \leq n \leq 4$ ,  $\tilde{V} = V$  and  $\tilde{W} = W$  (cf. Masuda [6], Giga [3]). Therefore our theorem contains the result of [7].

Let  $g_\infty = \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ , and  $c, c_1, c_2$  be constants in Lemma 3 (Section 2). As for the uniqueness, we have:

**THEOREM 2.** *The weak solution  $\{u, \theta\}$  of (1), (2) satisfying*

(i)  $u \in L^n(\Omega), \theta \in L^n(\Omega),$

(ii)  $c\|u\|_n + \frac{\beta g_\infty c c_1 c_2}{\chi} \|\theta\|_n < \nu,$  when  $n \geq 3,$

((ii)'  $c\|u\|_p + \frac{\beta g_\infty c c_1 c_2}{\chi} \|\theta\|_p < \nu,$  for some  $p > 2,$  when  $n = 2$ ),

is, if it exists, unique.

**REMARK 2.** The condition (i) is automatically satisfied when  $2 \leq n \leq 4$ .

**REMARK 3.** If we set

$$Re = \frac{c}{\nu} \|u\|_n \quad (\text{Reynolds number}),$$

$$Ra = \frac{\beta g_\infty c c_1 c_2}{\nu \chi} \|\theta\|_n \quad (\text{Rayleigh number}),$$

then the condition (ii) reads as

$$Re + Ra < 1.$$

See also Joseph [5].

## 2. Some lemmas.

Here, we state some lemmas for the convenience of reference. For instance, the constants in Lemma 3 appear in the statement of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 1.  $\tilde{V}$  and  $\tilde{W}$  are separable Banach spaces.

PROOF. A subset of separable metric space is separable (e.g. Brezis [2]). If we show  $V \cap L^n(\Omega)$  is separable, Lemma 1 is proved. We can identify  $V \cap L^n(\Omega)$  as a subset

$$F = \left\{ \left( v, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_n} \right); v \in V \cap L^n(\Omega) \right\}$$

of  $L^n(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \times \dots \times L^2(\Omega)$ . Since the latter space is separable, the set  $F$  is also separable and Lemma 1 is proved.

Lemma 2 (Sobolev imbedding theorem), Lemma 3 (Inequalities of Poincaré type) and Lemma 4 (Continuity of the trace operator) are essentially well-known. On the other hand, Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 are concerned with the trilinear form familiar in the study of the Navier-Stokes equation (see e.g. Temam [8]).

LEMMA 2. Sobolev space  $H^1(\Omega)$  is continuously imbedded in  $L^q(\Omega)$ , where  $q = 2n/(n-2)$  for  $n \geq 3$ , and  $+\infty > q \geq 1$  for  $n = 2$ .

For the proof, see Adams [1].

LEMMA 3. There exist constants  $c_1, c_2, c$  depending on  $\Omega$  and  $n$  such that

$$(i) \quad \|u\| \leq c_1 \|\nabla u\| \quad \text{for } \forall u \in V,$$

$$(ii) \quad \|u\|_q \leq c \|\nabla u\| \quad \text{for } \forall u \in V \quad \begin{cases} q = \frac{2n}{n-2} & (n \geq 3), \\ q = 4 & (n = 2), \end{cases}$$

$$(iii) \quad \|\theta\| \leq c_2 \|\nabla \theta\| \quad \text{for } \forall \theta \in W.$$

The inequalities (i), (iii) are well known, and (ii) follows from (i) and Lemma 2. For the boundary value of  $H^1$  functions, we have:

LEMMA 4. There exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\|v\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq C \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all } v \text{ in } H^1(\Omega).$$

In particular there is a positive constant  $c_3$  such that

$$\|\theta\|_{L^2(\Gamma_2)} \leq c_3 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all } \theta \text{ in } W.$$

By Hölder's inequality and Lemmas 2, 3, we have:

LEMMA 5. Let  $n \geq 3$ . There exists a constant  $c_B$  depending on  $\Omega$  and  $n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |B(u, v, w)| &\leq c_B \|\nabla u\| \|\nabla v\| \|w\|_n & \text{for } \forall u \in V, \forall v \in H^1(\Omega), \forall w \in L^n(\Omega), \\ |b(u, \theta, \tau)| &\leq c_B \|\nabla u\| \|\nabla \theta\| \|\tau\|_n & \text{for } \forall u \in V, \forall \theta \in H^1(\Omega), \forall \tau \in L^n(\Omega), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

hold.

Using the integration by parts, we obtain:

LEMMA 6.

$$(i) \quad B(u, v, w) = -B(u, w, v) \quad \text{for } \forall u \in V, \quad \forall v, w \in H^1 \cap L^n$$

holds. In particular,

$$B(u, v, v) = 0 \quad \text{for } \forall u \in V, \quad \forall v \in H^1 \cap L^n.$$

$$(ii) \quad b(u, \theta, \tau) = -b(u, \tau, \theta) \quad \text{for } \forall u \in V, \quad \forall \theta, \tau \in H^1 \cap L^n$$

holds. In particular,

$$b(u, \theta, \theta) = 0 \quad \text{for } \forall u \in V, \quad \forall \theta \in H^1 \cap L^n.$$

LEMMA 7. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying the condition (H). If  $\xi$  is a  $C^1$  function defined on  $\bar{\Gamma}_1$ , then for any positive number  $\varepsilon$  and any  $p \geq 1$ , there exists an extension  $\theta_0$  of  $\xi$  such that

$$\theta_0 \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \theta_0 = \xi \quad \text{on } \bar{\Gamma}, \quad \|\theta_0\|_p < \varepsilon.$$

For the proof, see e.g. [4] Lemma 6.38.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.

Under our assumptions, we can extend  $\xi$  to a  $C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  function which we denote by  $\theta_0$ . Using the Galerkin method, we construct approximate solutions of (3). Let  $\{\varphi_j\}$  be a sequence of functions in  $D_\sigma$ , linearly independent and total in  $\tilde{V}$ . We can assume

$$(\nabla \varphi_j, \nabla \varphi_k) = \delta_{jk}$$

without loss of generality. Let  $\{\psi_j\}$  be a sequence of functions in  $D_0$ , linearly independent and total in  $\tilde{W}$ . We can assume

$$(\nabla \psi_j, \nabla \psi_k) = \delta_{jk}.$$

Since  $\tilde{V}$  (resp.  $\tilde{W}$ ) is separable and  $D_\sigma$  (resp.  $D_0$ ) is dense there, we can find these functions. We put

$$u^{(m)} = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j \varphi_j,$$

$$\theta^{(m)} = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{m+j} \psi_j,$$

and we consider the following system of equations:

$$v(\nabla u^{(m)}, \nabla \varphi_j) + ((u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla) u^{(m)}, \varphi_j) - (\beta g \theta^{(m)}, \varphi_j) - (\beta g \theta_0, \varphi_j) = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m. \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\nabla\theta^{(m)}, \nabla\psi_j) + ((u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla)\theta^{(m)}, \psi_j) + ((u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla)\theta_0, \psi_j) \\ + \chi(\nabla\theta_0, \nabla\psi_j) - \chi(\eta, \psi_j)_{\Gamma_2} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Substituting  $u^{(m)}, \theta^{(m)}$  into these equations, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_j + \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{k,l} \xi_k \xi_l ((\varphi_k \cdot \nabla)\varphi_l, \varphi_j) - \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_k \xi_{m+k} (\beta g \psi_k, \varphi_j) \\ - \frac{1}{\nu} (\beta g \theta_0, \varphi_j) = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{m+j} + \frac{1}{\chi} \sum_{k,l} \xi_k \xi_{m+k} ((\varphi_k \cdot \nabla)\psi_l, \psi_j) + \frac{1}{\chi} \sum_k \xi_k ((\varphi_k \cdot \nabla)\theta_0, \psi_j) \\ + (\nabla\theta_0, \nabla\psi_j) - (\eta, \psi_j)_{\Gamma_2} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The left hand sides of (7) and (8) determine polynomials which we denote by

$$\xi_j - P_j(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{2m}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2m.$$

$P_j$  is a polynomial in  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{2m})$  of degree 2. Let  $P$  be a mapping from  $R^{2m}$  to  $R^{2m}$  defined by  $P(\xi) = (P_1(\xi), \dots, P_{2m}(\xi))$ . Then the fixed point of  $P$ , if it exists, is a solution of (7), (8). We show the existence of a fixed point of  $P$ . Let  $\xi = \xi(\lambda)$  be any solution of  $\xi = \lambda P(\xi)$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . First we treat the case  $n \geq 3$ . Multiplying (7) by  $\xi_j$  and summing with respect to  $j$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m |\xi_j|^2 = \|\nabla u^{(m)}\|^2 &= \lambda \sum_{j=1}^m P_j(\xi) \xi_j \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{\nu} \sum_{j,k,l} \xi_j \xi_k \xi_l ((\varphi_k \cdot \nabla)\varphi_l, \varphi_j) + \frac{\lambda\beta}{\nu} \sum_{j,k} \xi_{m+k} \xi_j (g\psi_k, \varphi_j) + \frac{\lambda\beta}{\nu} \sum_j \xi_j (g\theta_0, \varphi_j) \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{\nu} ((u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla)u^{(m)}, u^{(m)}) + \frac{\lambda\beta}{\nu} \{(g\theta^{(m)}, u^{(m)}) + (g\theta_0, u^{(m)})\} \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda\beta g_\infty}{\nu} \{\|\theta^{(m)}\| + \|\theta_0\|\} \|u^{(m)}\| \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda\beta g_\infty c_1}{\nu} \{c_2 \|\nabla\theta^{(m)}\| + \|\theta_0\|\} \|\nabla u^{(m)}\| \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemmas 3, 6. Therefore,

$$\|\nabla u^{(m)}\| \leq \frac{\lambda\beta g_\infty c_1}{\nu} \{c_2 \|\nabla\theta^{(m)}\| + \|\theta_0\|\}. \quad (9)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m |\xi_{m+j}|^2 &= \|\nabla\theta^{(m)}\|^2 = \lambda \sum_{j=1}^m P_{m+j}(\xi)\xi_{m+j} \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{\chi} \{((u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla)\theta^{(m)}, \theta^{(m)}) - ((u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla)\theta^{(m)}, \theta_0)\} - \lambda(\nabla\theta_0, \nabla\theta^{(m)}) + \lambda(\eta, \theta^{(m)})_{\Gamma_2} \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{\chi} \|u^{(m)}\|_{2n/(n-2)} \|\nabla\theta^{(m)}\| \|\theta_0\|_n + \lambda \|\nabla\theta^{(m)}\| \|\nabla\theta_0\| + \lambda \|\eta\|_{\Gamma_2} \|\theta^{(m)}\|_{\Gamma_2} \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{(by Hölder's inequality)} \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda c}{\chi} \|\nabla u^{(m)}\| \|\nabla\theta^{(m)}\| \|\theta_0\|_n + \lambda \{\|\nabla\theta_0\| + c_3 \|\eta\|_{\Gamma_2}\} \|\nabla\theta^{(m)}\| \quad \text{(by Lemma 3)}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n=2$ , we have

$$\|\nabla\theta^{(m)}\|^2 \leq \frac{\lambda c}{\chi} \|\nabla u^{(m)}\| \|\nabla\theta^{(m)}\| \|\theta_0\|_4 + \lambda \{\|\nabla\theta_0\| + c_3 \|\eta\|_{\Gamma_2}\} \|\nabla\theta^{(m)}\|.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\nabla\theta^{(m)}\| \leq \frac{\lambda c}{\chi} \|\theta_0\|_p \|\nabla u^{(m)}\| + \lambda \{\|\nabla\theta_0\| + c_3 \|\eta\|_{\Gamma_2}\} \tag{10}$$

where  $p=n$  when  $n \geq 3$ , and  $p=4$  when  $n=2$ . Substituting (10) into (9), we obtain:

$$\left(1 - \frac{cc_1c_2\beta g_\infty \lambda^2}{\chi v} \|\theta_0\|_p\right) \|\nabla u^{(m)}\| \leq \frac{\lambda c_1 \beta g_\infty}{v} (c_2 \lambda \|\nabla\theta_0\| + \lambda c_2 c_3 \|\eta\|_{\Gamma_2} + \|\theta_0\|).$$

According to Lemma 7, we can choose  $\theta_0$  satisfying the estimate

$$1 - \frac{cc_1c_2\beta g_\infty}{\chi v} \|\theta_0\|_p > \frac{1}{2}. \tag{11}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u^{(m)}\| &\leq \frac{2\lambda c_1 \beta g_\infty}{v} (c_2 \lambda \|\nabla\theta_0\| + \|\theta_0\| + \lambda c_2 c_3 \|\eta\|_{\Gamma_2}) \\ &\leq \frac{2c_1 \beta g_\infty}{v} (c_2 \|\nabla\theta_0\| + \|\theta_0\| + c_2 c_3 \|\eta\|_{\Gamma_2}) \equiv \rho_1. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

From (11),  $\theta_0$  satisfies the inequality:

$$\|\theta_0\|_p < \frac{\chi v}{2cc_1c_2\beta g_\infty}.$$

Therefore the estimate

$$\|\nabla\theta^{(m)}\| \leq 2\|\nabla\theta_0\| + \frac{1}{c_2}\|\theta_0\| + 2c_3\|\eta\|_{L^2} \equiv \rho_2 \quad (13)$$

follows from (10), (12). Note that  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are constants independent of  $\lambda$  and  $m$ . Therefore the solution  $\xi$  of  $\xi = \lambda P(\xi)$  satisfies:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2m} |\xi_j|^2 \leq \rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 \equiv \rho^2, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \forall \lambda \leq 1.$$

Brouwer's theorem [4] tells us the existence of a fixed point of the mapping  $P: \xi = P(\xi)$ , such that  $|\xi| \leq \rho$ .

Thus we have obtained the solutions  $u^{(m)}$ ,  $\theta^{(m)}$  of (5), (6). Moreover, they satisfy the estimates:

$$\|\nabla u^{(m)}\| \leq \rho_1, \quad \|\nabla \theta^{(m)}\| \leq \rho_2.$$

Since  $V$  (resp.  $W$ ) is compactly imbedded in  $H$  (resp.  $L^2$ ), we can choose subsequences of  $\{u^{(m)}, \theta^{(m)}\}$  which we denote by the same symbols, and elements  $u \in V$ ,  $\tilde{\theta} \in W$  such that the following convergences hold:

$$u^{(m)} \rightarrow u \quad \text{weakly in } V, \text{ strongly in } H \quad (14)$$

$$\theta^{(m)} \rightarrow \tilde{\theta} \quad \text{weakly in } W, \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega). \quad (15)$$

For these convergent sequences, the following lemma holds:

LEMMA 8.

$$B(u^{(m)}, u^{(m)}, v) \rightarrow B(u, u, v), \quad \text{for } \forall v \in D_\sigma,$$

$$b(u^{(m)}, \theta^{(m)}, \tau) \rightarrow b(u, \tilde{\theta}, \tau), \quad \text{for } \forall \tau \in D_0.$$

The proof is found in [8] and omitted. Using this lemma for (5), (6), we find

$$v(\nabla u, \nabla v) + B(u, u, v) - (\beta g \tilde{\theta}, v) - (\beta g \theta_0, v) = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$\chi(\nabla \tilde{\theta}, \nabla \tau) + b(u, \tilde{\theta}, \tau) + b(u, \theta_0, \tau) + \chi(\nabla \theta_0, \nabla \tau) - \chi(\eta, \tau)_{L^2} = 0, \quad (17)$$

hold for  $v = \varphi_j$ ,  $\tau = \psi_j$ ,  $\forall j$ . By Lemma 5, we see the linear functional

$$v \rightarrow B(u, u, v) \quad (\text{resp. } \tau \rightarrow b(u, \tilde{\theta}, \tau))$$

is continuous in  $L^n$ . Therefore the linear functional

$$\begin{aligned} v &\rightarrow \text{the left hand side of (16)} \\ (\text{resp. } \tau &\rightarrow \text{the left hand side of (17)}) \end{aligned}$$

is continuous in  $V \cap L^n$  (resp.  $W \cap L^n$ ). Since  $\{\varphi_j\}$  (resp.  $\{\psi_j\}$ ) is total in  $\tilde{V}$  (resp.  $\tilde{W}$ ), (16) (resp. (17)) holds for any  $v$  in  $\tilde{V}$  (resp. for any  $\tau$  in  $\tilde{W}$ ). Therefore  $\{u, \theta\}$  ( $\theta = \tilde{\theta} + \theta_0$ )



is a required weak solution.

**4. Proof of Theorem 2.**

Let  $\{u_i, \theta_i\}$ ,  $i=1, 2$ , be weak solutions of (1), (2) satisfying (i), (ii). For  $i=1, 2$ , there is a function  $\theta_0^{(i)}$  satisfying the condition in Definition 1, and  $u_i$  and  $\theta_i$  satisfy (3). Since the trace of  $\theta_0^{(1)} - \theta_0^{(2)}$  is 0 on  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $\theta_0^{(1)} - \theta_0^{(2)}$  belongs to  $W$ . Therefore,  $\theta_1 - \theta_2$  is also in  $W$ . Put  $u = u_1 - u_2$ ,  $\theta = \theta_1 - \theta_2$ . Then, they satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} v(\nabla u, \nabla v) + B(u, u_1, v) + B(u_2, u, v) - (g\beta\theta, v) &= 0, & \forall v \in \tilde{V}, \\ \chi(\nabla\theta, \nabla\tau) + b(u, \theta_1, \tau) + b(u_2, \theta, \tau) &= 0, & \forall \tau \in \tilde{W}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

From the condition (i), we see

$$u \in \tilde{V}, \quad \theta \in \tilde{W}.$$

Therefore, we can take  $v = u$ ,  $\tau = \theta$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} v\|\nabla u\|^2 &= B(u, u, u_1) + \beta(g\theta, u), \\ \chi\|\nabla\theta\|^2 &= b(u, \theta, \theta_1). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Here we have used Lemma 6.

Let  $n \geq 3$ . Making use of the Hölder's inequality to estimate (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} v\|\nabla u\|^2 &\leq \|u\|_{2n/(n-2)}\|\nabla u\| \|u_1\|_n + g_\infty\beta\|\theta\| \|u\|, \\ \chi\|\nabla\theta\|^2 &\leq \|u\|_{2n/(n-2)}\|\nabla\theta\| \|\theta_1\|_n. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3, we estimate the right hand side of the above equations, and we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} v\|\nabla u\| &\leq c\|u_1\|_n\|\nabla u\| + \beta g_\infty c_1 c_2 \|\nabla\theta\|, \\ \chi\|\nabla\theta\| &\leq c\|\theta_1\|_n\|\nabla u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$v\|\nabla u\| \leq \left\{ c\|u_1\|_n + \frac{\beta g_\infty c c_1 c_2}{\chi} \|\theta_1\|_n \right\} \|\nabla u\|$$

holds. Since  $u_1, \theta_1$  satisfy the condition (ii):

$$c\|u_1\|_n + \frac{\beta g_\infty c c_1 c_2}{\chi} \|\theta_1\|_n < v,$$

therefore  $\|\nabla u\| = \|\nabla\theta\| = 0$ . Since  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\theta = 0$  on  $\Gamma_1$ , we see  $u = 0, \theta = 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Therefore  $u_1 = u_2, \theta_1 = \theta_2$  in  $\Omega$ .

When  $n = 2$ , we have

$$v\|\nabla u\|^2 \leq \|u\|_{p'}\|\nabla u\| \|u_1\|_p + \beta g_\infty \|\theta\| \|u\| ,$$

$$\chi\|\nabla\theta\|^2 \leq \|u\|_{p'}\|\nabla\theta\| \|\theta_1\|_p ,$$

where  $1/p + 1/p' = 1/2$ . We discuss in a similar way to the case  $n \geq 3$ , and we have  $u=0, \theta=0$ . Theorem 2 is proved.

### References

- [ 1 ] R. A. ADAMS, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, 1975.
- [ 2 ] H. BREZIS, *Analyse Fonctionnelle. Théorie et Applications*, Masson, 1987.
- [ 3 ] Y. GIGA, Solutions for semilinear parabolic equations in  $L^p$  and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system, *J. Diff. Eq.*, **62** (1986), 186–212.
- [ 4 ] D. GILBARG and N. S. TRUDINGER, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer, 1983.
- [ 5 ] D. D. JOSEPH, On the stability of the Boussinesq equations, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, **20** (1965), 59–71.
- [ 6 ] K. MASUDA, Weak solutions of Navier-Stokes equations, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **36** (1984), 623–646.
- [ 7 ] H. MORIMOTO, On the existence of weak solutions of equation of natural convection, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA*, **36** (1989), 87–102.
- [ 8 ] R. TEMAM, *Navier-Stokes Equations*, North-Holland, 1977.

*Present Address:*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, MEIJI UNIVERSITY  
TAMA-KU, KAWASAKI 214, JAPAN