

Local Rings of Cohen-Macaulay F -Rational Rings Are F -Rational

Yukio NAKAMURA*

Tokyo Metropolitan University
(Communicated by M. Ishida)

1. Introduction.

Let p be a prime number and let R be a commutative Noetherian ring of $\text{ch } R = p$. We put $R^0 = R \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min } R} \mathfrak{p}$. Then for each ideal I of R the tight closure I^* of I is defined as follows:

$$I^* := \{x \in R \mid \exists c \in R^0 \text{ such that } c \cdot x^{p^e} \in I^{[p^e]} \text{ for all } e \gg 0\},$$

where $I^{[p^e]}$ denotes the ideal of R generated by the elements i^{p^e} ($i \in I$). Notice that I^* is an ideal of R and

$$I \subset I^* \subset \bar{I},$$

where \bar{I} denotes the integral closure of I .

The notion of tight closure was introduced by Hochster and Huneke [3] and they are now developing a marvellous theory on tight closures. For example using it they gave a beautiful new proof of the Briançon-Skoda theorem in characteristic p . See [4] for the detail.

The purpose of the present paper is to prove the following

THEOREM (1.1). *Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of $\text{ch } R = p$ and suppose that $Q^* = Q$ for some parameter ideal Q of R . Then for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ and for any parameter ideal J of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ we have $J^* = J$ in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

We say that a Noetherian local ring R of $\text{ch } R = p$ is F -rational if $Q^* = Q$ for any parameter ideal Q of R (cf. [1]). With this terminology our theorem (1.1) guarantees that every local ring of a Cohen-Macaulay F -rational local ring is again F -rational. The ring R is called F -regular if $I^* = I$ in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ and for any ideal I of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. When R is a Gorenstein local ring, it is proved in [3, Proposition 5.1] that $I^* = I$ for any ideal I of R once $Q^* = Q$ for some parameter ideal Q of R . Therefore as an immediate consequence of Theorem (1.1) we get

Received April 12, 1990

* Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Co-operative Research.

COROLLARY (1.2). *Let R be a Gorenstein local ring of $\text{ch } R = p$ and suppose that $Q^* = Q$ for some parameter ideal Q of R . Then R is F -regular.*

2. Proof of Theorem (1.1).

Let R be a Noetherian ring of $\text{ch } R = p$. The aim of this section is to prove Theorem (1.1). We begin with the following

LEMMA (2.1). *Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r ($r \geq 1$) be a regular sequence in R . Let $I = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{r-1})R$ and $S = R[1/f_r]$. Then we have*

$$I^*S = (IS)^*.$$

PROOF. Let $x \in R$ and assume that $x/1 \in (IS)^*$. We want to show that $x \in I^*$. First of all choose $c \in R$ so that $c/1 \in S^0$ and $(c/1) \cdot (x/1)^{p^e} \in I^{[p^e]}S$ for all $e \gg 0$. Notice that we may assume $c \in R^0$. In fact, suppose $c \notin R^0$ and put $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min } R \mid \mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq c\}$. Choose $d \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}} \mathfrak{p}$ so that $d \notin \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min } R \setminus \mathcal{F}} \mathfrak{p}$. Then $d/1$ is nilpotent in S and so replacing d by a suitable power of it, we may assume that $d/1 = 0$ in S . Then $c + d \in R^0$ and $c/1 = (c + d)/1$ in S ; thus we can take c inside of R^0 .

Now let $e \gg 0$ be an integer with $(c/1) \cdot (x/1)^{p^e} \in I^{[p^e]}S$. Then $f_r^k \cdot (cx)^{p^e} \in I^{[p^e]} = (f_1^{p^e}, f_2^{p^e}, \dots, f_{r-1}^{p^e})R$ for some $k > 0$ and so we have that $c \cdot x^{p^e} \in I^{[p^e]}$ because $f_1^{p^e}, \dots, f_{r-1}^{p^e}, f_r^k$ is an R -regular sequence. Hence $x \in I^*$ and we have $(IS)^* \subset I^*S$. As the opposite inclusion is obvious, this completes the proof of (2.1).

The next result is a generalization of [4, (4.14) Proposition]. They proved it in the case where $\#\text{Ass}_R R/I = 1$.

LEMMA (2.2). *Let I be an ideal of R such that $\text{Ass}_R R/I \subset \text{Max } R$. Then*

$$I^*R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (IR_{\mathfrak{p}})^*$$

for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R R/I$.

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{F} = \text{Ass}_R R/I$ and let $I = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}} I(\mathfrak{p})$ denote a primary decomposition of I with $\sqrt{I(\mathfrak{p})} = \mathfrak{p}$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}$. Then we have that

$$I^* \subset \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}} I(\mathfrak{p})^* = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}} I(\mathfrak{p})^*,$$

because $I(\mathfrak{p})^*$ is again a \mathfrak{p} -primary ideal of R . Let $\{x_{\mathfrak{p}}\}_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}}$ be a family of elements of R such that $x_{\mathfrak{p}} \in I(\mathfrak{p})^*$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}$. Choose $c_{\mathfrak{p}} \in R^0$ so that $c_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{p^e} \in I(\mathfrak{p})^{[p^e]}$ for all $e \gg 0$. Then since

$$\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}} c_{\mathfrak{p}} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}} x_{\mathfrak{p}}^{p^e} \right) \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}} I(\mathfrak{p})^{[p^e]} = \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}} I(\mathfrak{p}) \right)^{[p^e]},$$

we see that $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}} x_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}} I(\mathfrak{p}) \right)^* = I^*$. Hence $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}} I(\mathfrak{p})^* \subset I^*$ and so we get

$$I^* = \bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{F}} I(p)^*$$

Now let $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Then since $I^*R_p = I(p)^*R_p$ and since $I(p)^*R_p = (I(p)R_p)^*$ by [4, (4.14) Proposition], we have $I^*R_p = (I(p)R_p)^* = (IR_p)^*$. Hence the result follows.

COROLLARY (2.3). *Suppose that R is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of $\dim R = d \geq 1$ and let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{d-1} be a subsystem of parameters of R . Let $I = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{d-1})R$. Then we have*

$$I^*R_p = (IR_p)^*$$

for any $p \in \text{Spec } R$.

PROOF. Let m be the maximal ideal of R . We may assume that $I \subset p \subsetneq m$. Hence $\dim R/p = 1$. Choose $f_d \in R$ so that f_1, \dots, f_{d-1}, f_d forms a system of parameters of R and let $S = R[1/f_d]$. Then by (2.1) we get $I^*S = (IS)^*$. Notice that pS is a maximal ideal of S , because $\dim R/p = 1$ and $f_d \notin p$. By the same reason we find $\text{Ass}_S S/IS \subset \text{Max } S$ and so it follows from (2.2) that $(IS)^* \cdot S_{pS} = ((IS) \cdot S_{pS})^*$. Hence we get $I^*R_p = (IR_p)^*$ as $I^*S = (IS)^*$.

We note the following striking result of Fedder and Watanabe [1].

PROPOSITION (2.4) ([1, Proposition 2.2]). *Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and assume that $Q^* = Q$ for some parameter ideal Q of R . Then R is F -rational.*

PROOF OF THEOREM (1.1). Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d be a system of parameters of R and put $Q_k = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k)R$ for $0 \leq k \leq d$. Then because R is F -rational by (2.4) and because $Q_k \subset Q_k + (f_{k+1}^n, \dots, f_d^n)R$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k^* &\subset [Q_k + (f_{k+1}^n, \dots, f_d^n)R]^* \\ &= Q_k + (f_{k+1}^n, \dots, f_d^n)R \end{aligned}$$

for any integer $n \geq 1$. Hence $Q_k^* = Q_k$ for all $0 \leq k \leq d$.

Now let $p \in \text{Spec } R$ of $\dim R/p = 1$ and choose a subsystem f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{d-1} of parameters of R inside of p . We put $I = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{d-1})R$. Then by (2.3) we see $I^*R_p = (IR_p)^*$ in R_p . Consequently we have $(IR_p)^* = IR_p$ because $I^* = I$ as we have checked above. Since IR_p is a parameter ideal of R_p , we finally find by (2.4) that R_p is F -rational. Thus by the induction on $\dim R$, we complete the proof of Theorem (1.1).

REMARK (2.5). A generalization of Theorem (1.1) and its consequences will be given in the subsequent joint paper [2].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author is grateful to Professor S. Goto for his hearty guidance during this research. This paper is part of the author's Master thesis in the graduate course of Tokyo Metropolitan University.

References

- [1] R. FEDDER and K.-I. WATANABE, A characterization of F -regularity in terms of F -purity, *Commutative Algebra*, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., **15** (1989), 227–245, Springer-Verlag.
- [2] S. GOTO and Y. NAKAMURA, On the length $l_R(I^*/I)$, in preparation.
- [3] M. HOCHSTER and C. HUNEKE, Tight closure, *Commutative Algebra*, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., **15** (1989), 305–324, Springer-Verlag.
- [4] M. HOCHSTER and C. HUNEKE, Tight closure, invariant theory, and the Briançon-Skoda theorem, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **3** (1990), 31–116.

Present Address:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY
MINAMI-OHSAWA, HACHIOJI-SHI, TOKYO 192-03, JAPAN