

Ringed Spaces of Valuation Rings over Hilbert Rings

Koji SEKIGUCHI

Sophia University

Introduction.

Given a field K and a subring A of K , we consider the local ringed space $\text{Zar}(K|A)$ consisting of all valuation rings of K which contain A (see [4] or [5]). If A is a Hilbert ring, in other words, if any prime ideals of A are intersections of maximal ideals (see [1], p. 373), then the ringed space $X = \text{Zar}(K|A)$ satisfies the condition

$$(1) \quad \beta_X: t(X_{\text{cl}}, \mathcal{F}_X|_{X_{\text{cl}}}) \simeq (X, \mathcal{F}_X).$$

Here X_{cl} is the set of closed points of X and \mathcal{F}_X is the structure sheaf on X . For the morphism β_X of ringed spaces, see (17). Given a topological space W , we denote by tW the set of irreducible closed subsets of W . If (W, \mathcal{F}_W) is a ringed space, then tW also has a structure of ringed spaces denoted by $t(W, \mathcal{F}_W)$. The correspondence $(W, \mathcal{F}_W) \mapsto t(W, \mathcal{F}_W)$ gives rise to a covariant functor from the category of ringed spaces to itself. Moreover, if W is a T_1 -space, then the ringed space $(X, \mathcal{F}_X) = t(W, \mathcal{F}_W)$ satisfies the condition (1), and the morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of ringed spaces obtained by t from a morphism of T_1 -ringed spaces satisfies the condition

$$(2) \quad f(X_{\text{cl}}) \subset Y_{\text{cl}}.$$

In this case, t gives an equivalence of the categories (see section 1). Therefore, we shall consider the following problem.

PROBLEM 1. Characterize the ringed spaces (X, \mathcal{F}_X) satisfying the condition (1).

EXAMPLES. (i) Let X be an affine scheme $\text{Spec } A$. Then X satisfies the condition (1) if and only if A is a Hilbert ring.

(ii) Any integral scheme X of finite type over a field satisfies the condition (1).

For a local ringed space (W, \mathcal{O}_W) , we introduce a morphism $\pi_W: W \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_W(W)$ defined by $\pi_W(x) = \rho_{W,x}^{-1}(m(\mathcal{O}_{W,x}))$. Here $\rho_{W,x}: \mathcal{O}_W(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W,x}$ are the canonical mappings and $m(R)$ denotes the unique maximal ideal of a local ring R . The next problem is closely related to Problem 1.

PROBLEM 2. Characterize the local ringed space (W, \mathcal{O}_W) satisfying the condition:

$$(3) \quad \overline{\pi_W(\pi_W^{-1}(F))} = F, \quad \text{for any closed subsets } F \text{ of } \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_W(W).$$

Relating to these problems, the following three theorems are obtained.

THEOREM 1. *Let A be an integral domain, $X = \text{Spec } A$ and $W = X_{\text{cl}}$. Then the next three conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) X satisfies the condition (1).
- (b) W is irreducible and satisfies the condition (3).
- (c) A is a Hilbert ring.

For a field K and a subring A of K , let $\text{Loc}(K|A)$ denote the set of local subrings of K which contain A . Then the set $\text{Loc}(K|A)$ has a structure of local ringed spaces (see [6]).

THEOREM 2. *Let $X = \text{Loc}(K|A)$ and $W = X_{\text{cl}}$. Then*

- (i) X satisfies the condition (1) if and only if A is a Hilbert Prüfer ring with quotient field K .
- (ii) W is irreducible and satisfies the condition (3) if and only if A is Hilbert.

THEOREM 3. *Let $X = \text{Zar}(K|A)$ and $W = X_{\text{cl}}$. Then the next three conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) X satisfies the condition (1).
- (b) W is irreducible and satisfies the condition (3).
- (c) A is a Hilbert ring.

COROLLARY. *Suppose that A is a Hilbert ring and $i: W \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion mapping. Then*

- (i) $\mathcal{O}_W = i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{O}_X = i_*\mathcal{O}_W$.
- (ii) Let Ω_X^m (resp. Ω_W^m) be the sheaf of regular differential forms on X (resp. W) for any multi-index m . Then $\Omega_W^m = i^{-1}\Omega_X^m$, $\Omega_X^m = i_*\Omega_W^m$ and hence $\Omega_X^m(X) = \Omega_W^m(W)$ (see also [6], Theorem 2).

Given an integral Hilbert ring A , we introduce the following three categories.

$\mathcal{C}_0(A)$: the category of fields K which contain A and A -ring homomorphisms.

$\mathcal{C}_1(A)$: the category of local ringed spaces $\text{Zar}(K|A)$ and dominant morphisms over $\text{Spec } A$ satisfying the condition (2).

$\mathcal{C}_2(A)$: the category of local ringed spaces $\text{Zar}(K|A)_{\text{cl}}$ and dominant morphisms over $\text{Spec } A$.

We can give an explicit characterization for objects of both the categories $\mathcal{C}_1(A)$ and $\mathcal{C}_2(A)$ among local ringed spaces (see Theorem 1 in [5] and Lemma 15).

From Theorem 1 in [5], the category $\mathcal{C}_0(A)$ is anti-equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_1(A)$. Moreover, the next result is obtained as an application of Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. *Let A be an integral Hilbert ring. Then*

(i) *the categories $\mathcal{C}_1(A)$ and $\mathcal{C}_2(A)$ are equivalent. Therefore the categories $\mathcal{C}_0(A)$ and $\mathcal{C}_2(A)$ are anti-equivalent.*

(ii) *If A is an algebraically closed field k and K is a field finitely generated over k , then*

$$\text{Zar}(K|k)_{\text{cl}} \simeq \text{proj.lim } V,$$

where V runs over all complete algebraic varieties over k with rational function field K .

In the following we shall prove Theorems 1, 2, 3 and 4.

The author wishes to express his thanks to Professor Shigeru Iitaka for his advices and warm encouragement.

§1. Here we collect some properties of the functor t omitting proofs. First we consider in topological spaces. By (Top) we denote the category of topological spaces and continuous mappings.

For a topological space X , let tX denote the totality of irreducible closed subsets of X . There exists a unique topology on tX with the family of closed subsets $\{tE \mid E \text{ is closed in } X\}$. Then the mapping: $\{\text{closed subsets of } X\} \rightarrow \{\text{closed subsets of } tX\}$ defined by

$$(4) \quad E \mapsto tE, \quad \text{for closed subsets } E \text{ of } X$$

is an inclusion-preserving bijection, and

$$(5) \quad E \text{ is irreducible if and only if } tE \text{ is irreducible,}$$

$$(6) \quad tE = \overline{\{E\}} : \text{the closure in } tX, \quad \text{for any } E \in tX.$$

Thus the mapping: $tX \rightarrow t(tX)$ defined by

$$(7) \quad E \mapsto \overline{\{E\}}, \quad \text{for } E \in tX$$

is also an inclusion-preserving bijection.

For a continuous mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$, a mapping $tf: tX \rightarrow tY$ is defined by

$$(8) \quad (tf)(E) = \overline{f(E)} : \text{the closure in } Y, \quad \text{for } E \in tX.$$

Then

$$(9) \quad (tf)^{-1}(tF) = t(f^{-1}(F)), \quad \text{for any closed subsets } F \text{ of } Y.$$

Therefore tf is continuous, and hence $t: (\text{Top}) \rightarrow (\text{Top})$ is a covariant functor.

For a topological space X , a mapping $\alpha_X: X \rightarrow tX$ is defined by

$$(10) \quad \alpha_X(x) = \overline{\{x\}} : \text{the closure in } X, \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

Then, for any closed subsets E of X ,

$$(11) \quad \alpha_X^{-1}(tE) = E, \quad \overline{\alpha_X(E)} = tE,$$

and hence α_X is continuous and dominant. Therefore $\alpha: \text{id} \rightarrow t$ is a natural transformation, where id is the identity functor of the category (Top). Moreover the mapping: {closed subsets of tX } \rightarrow {closed subsets of X } defined by

$$(12) \quad F \mapsto \alpha_X^{-1}(F), \quad \text{for closed subsets } F \text{ of } tX$$

is an inclusion-preserving bijection and is the inverse of the mapping defined by (4).

LEMMA 1. *Let X be a topological space. Then*

- (i) X satisfies $T_0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha_X$ is injective
 $\Leftrightarrow \alpha_X$ is an into-homeomorphism.
- (ii) $\alpha_{tX}: tX \rightarrow t(tX)$ is an inclusion-preserving homeomorphism.
- (iii) X satisfies T_1 if and only if X satisfies T_0 and $\text{Im} \alpha_X = (tX)_{\text{cl}}$.

We introduce the following three conditions for a continuous mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

$$(13) \quad tf: tX \rightarrow tY \text{ is a homeomorphism.}$$

$$(14) \quad f: X \rightarrow f(X) \text{ is a closed mapping and } \overline{F \cap f(X)} = F$$

for any closed subsets F of Y .

$$(15) \quad f: X \rightarrow Y \text{ is an into-homeomorphism and } \overline{F \cap f(X)} = F$$

for any closed subsets F of Y .

LEMMA 2. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous mapping. Then*

- (i) f is dominant if and only if tf is dominant.
- (ii) (15) \Rightarrow (13) \Rightarrow (14).

Next we consider the functor t in ringed spaces. By (R. Spaces), we denote the category of ringed spaces.

For a ringed space (X, \mathcal{F}_X) , we put $t(X, \mathcal{F}_X) = (tX, \alpha_{X*} \mathcal{F}_X)$. We also write $\mathcal{F}_{tX} = \alpha_{X*} \mathcal{F}_X$.

For a morphism $(f, f^*): (X, \mathcal{F}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{F}_Y)$ of ringed spaces, we put $t(f, f^*) = (tf, \alpha_{Y*} f^*)$. We also write $(tf)^* = \alpha_{Y*} f^*$. Accordingly t becomes a functor: (R. Spaces) \rightarrow (R. Spaces).

Letting α_X^* be the natural identity of $\alpha_{X*} \mathcal{F}_X$ for any ringed space (X, \mathcal{F}_X) , we obtain a morphism $\alpha_{(X, \mathcal{F}_X)} = (\alpha_X, \alpha_X^*): (X, \mathcal{F}_X) \rightarrow t(X, \mathcal{F}_X)$ of ringed spaces. Thus $\alpha: \text{id} \rightarrow t$ is a natural transformation, where id is the identity functor of (R. Spaces). Note that $\mathcal{F}_{tX, Y} = \mathcal{F}_{X, Y}$ for any $Y \in tX$, and hence $(\alpha_X^*)_x: \mathcal{F}_{tX, \alpha_X(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{X, x}$ is the identity mapping for any $x \in X$. Moreover,

$$(16) \quad \text{any irreducible closed subset of } X \text{ has a unique generic point in } X$$

$\Leftrightarrow \alpha_X$ is bijective

$\Leftrightarrow \alpha_{(X, \mathcal{F}_X)}$ is an isomorphism of ringed spaces.

LEMMA 3. Let X be a topological space. Then the category of sheaves on X and the category of sheaves on tX are equivalent by the functors α_{X*} and α_X^{-1} .

LEMMA 4. Let $(f, f^*): (X, \mathcal{F}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{F}_Y)$ be a morphism of ringed spaces. Then

(i) $t(f, f^*)$ is an isomorphism of ringed spaces if and only if tf is a homeomorphism and $f^*: \mathcal{F}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{F}_X$ is an isomorphism of sheaves on Y .

(ii) If $\mathcal{F}_X = f^{-1}\mathcal{F}_Y$, then $\mathcal{F}_{tX} = (tf)^{-1}\mathcal{F}_{tY}$.

(iii) If tf is a homeomorphism and $\mathcal{F}_X = f^{-1}\mathcal{F}_Y$, then $\mathcal{F}_Y = f_*\mathcal{F}_X$, and hence $t(f, f^*)$ is an isomorphism of ringed spaces.

COROLLARY. Let (X, \mathcal{F}_X) be a ringed space, $W \subset X$, $\mathcal{F}_W = \mathcal{F}_X|_W$ and let $(i, i^*): (W, \mathcal{F}_W) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{F}_X)$ be the inclusion morphism of ringed spaces. Then $t(i, i^*)$ is an isomorphism of ringed spaces if and only if $\overline{E \cap W} = E$ for any closed subsets E of X .

Suppose that α_X is an isomorphism of ringed spaces for a ringed space (X, \mathcal{F}_X) . Then we can define a morphism β_X of ringed spaces by

$$(17) \quad \beta_X = \alpha_X^{-1} \circ ti : t(X_{cl}) \rightarrow X.$$

Here $i: X_{cl} \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion morphism of ringed spaces. Thus the following diagram commutes:

$$(18) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_{cl} & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ \alpha_{X_{cl}} \downarrow & \nearrow \beta_X & \downarrow \alpha_X \\ t(X_{cl}) & \xrightarrow{ti} & tX \end{array}$$

Therefore (X, \mathcal{F}_X) satisfies the condition (1) if and only if any irreducible closed subset of X has a unique generic point in X and $\overline{E \cap X_{cl}} = E$ for any closed subsets E of X .

Let us introduce the following two categories.

\mathcal{C}_1 : the category of ringed spaces satisfying the condition (1) and morphisms of ringed spaces satisfying the condition (2).

\mathcal{C}_2 : the full subcategory of ringed spaces consisting of objects which satisfy the separable condition T_1 .

Then the functor $t: \mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$ gives an equivalence of categories, and $X \mapsto X_{cl}$ is the inverse functor of t .

§2. In this section we study the relationship between the functor t and intersection sheaves.

Let K be a field, A a subring of K , X an irreducible topological space and

$s: X \rightarrow \text{Loc}(K|A)$ a continuous mapping. For any non empty open subsets V of X , define $\mathcal{O}_X(V)$ to be $\bigcap_{x \in V} s(x)$. Thus we obtain an integral local ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) . Then \mathcal{O}_X is said to be an intersection sheaf of X with respect to the mapping s (see [6]).

LEMMA 5. Let K, A, X and s be as above. For any irreducible subset Y of X , we put $\xi_Y = \bigcup_{x \in Y} s(x) \subset K$. Then

(i) $\xi_Y \in \text{Loc}(K|A)$ and $\overline{s(Y)} = \overline{\{\xi_Y\}}$ in $\text{Loc}(K|A)$. Therefore s is dominant if and only if $\xi_X = K$.

(ii) If Y is dense in X , then $\xi_Y = \xi_X$.

(iii) Let \mathcal{O}_X be the intersection sheaf of X with respect to s . Then $\mathcal{O}_{X,Y} \simeq \xi_Y$. Thus $\text{Rat } X \simeq \xi_X$. In what follows, we identify the above two rings. Then $\text{dom}(\alpha) = s^{-1}(\text{Loc}(K|A[\alpha]))$ for any $\alpha \in \text{Rat } X \subset K$.

(iv) (X, \mathcal{O}_X) satisfies the condition (8) in [5] if and only if $\text{Rat } X$ is a field.

PROOF. For $\alpha \in K$, we put $Y(\alpha) = Y \cap s^{-1}(\text{Loc}(K|A[\alpha]))$. Then $\alpha \in \xi_Y$ if and only if $Y(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$.

(i) For any $\alpha, \beta \in \xi_Y$, there exists $x \in Y$ such that $\alpha, \beta \in s(x)$. Thus ξ_Y is a subring of K . Note that $\xi_Y^\times = \bigcup_{x \in Y} s(x)^\times$. Since $\xi_Y - \xi_Y^\times$ is an ideal of ξ_Y , we obtain $\xi_Y \in \text{Loc}(K|A)$. Moreover,

$$(19) \quad \bigcap_{x \in Y} m(s(x)) \subset m(\xi_Y).$$

It is clear that $s(Y) \subset \overline{\{\xi_Y\}}$. If we put $V = \text{Loc}(K|A[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r])$ for any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in K$, then $Y \cap s^{-1}(V) = Y(\alpha_1) \cap \dots \cap Y(\alpha_r)$. If $\xi_Y \in V$, then $Y(\alpha_i) \neq \emptyset$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$). Since Y is irreducible, we obtain $Y \cap s^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$. Thus $s(Y) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and hence $\xi_Y \in \overline{s(Y)}$. Therefore $\overline{s(Y)} = \overline{\{\xi_Y\}}$.

(ii) Since $s(X) = s(\overline{Y}) \subset \overline{s(Y)} \subset \overline{s(X)}$, we have $\overline{s(Y)} = \overline{s(X)}$. By (i), we see that $\overline{\{\xi_Y\}} = \overline{s(Y)} = \overline{s(X)} = \overline{\{\xi_X\}}$. Thus $\xi_Y = \xi_X$.

(iii) The mapping $\xi_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,Y}$ defined by $\alpha \mapsto \langle X(\alpha), \alpha \rangle_Y$ is an isomorphism of rings.

(iv) The "only if" part is verified from Lemma 7 in [5]. For "if" part, it suffices to prove that $\bigcap_{x \in V} \pi_V(x) = 0$ for any non empty open sets V of X , by Lemma 3 in [5]. By (19), (ii) and (iii), $\bigcap_{x \in V} \pi_V(x) = \bigcap_{x \in V} m(s(x)) \subset m(\xi_V) = m(\xi_X) = m(\text{Rat } X) = 0$. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 6. Let K be a field, A a subring of K and X a topological space. Then

(i) the mapping: $C(tX, \text{Loc}(K|A)) \rightarrow C(X, \text{Loc}(K|A))$ defined by $r \mapsto r \circ \alpha_X$ is a bijection. Here $C(X, Y)$ is the set of continuous mappings from X to Y .

(ii) Assume that X is irreducible. Let $s = r \circ \alpha_X$ and let \mathcal{O}_X (resp. \mathcal{O}_{tX}) be the intersection sheaf of X (resp. tX) with respect to s (resp. r). Then $\mathcal{O}_X = \alpha_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{tX}$, $\mathcal{O}_{tX} = \alpha_{X*} \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\text{Rat}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Rat}(tX, \mathcal{O}_{tX})$.

PROOF. (i) Since $\text{Loc}(K|A)$ is a T_0 -space, the mapping in question is injective. For any continuous mapping $s: X \rightarrow \text{Loc}(K|A)$, we put $r(Y) = \xi_Y$ for $Y \in tX$. Then $r: tX \rightarrow \text{Loc}(K|A)$ is continuous and $s = r \circ \alpha_X$.

(ii) is induced from Lemma 2 in [6], Lemmas 3 and 5.

COROLLARY. (i) *If (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a local ringed space and \mathcal{O}_X is an intersection sheaf, then $t(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is also a local ringed space and \mathcal{O}_{tX} is an intersection sheaf.*

(ii) *If (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is an integral local ringed space satisfying the condition (8) in [5], then $t(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is an integral local ringed space satisfying the condition (8) in [5].*

LEMMA 7. *Let W be a subset of $\text{Loc}(K|A)$. Take a continuous mapping $r: tW \rightarrow \text{Loc}(K|A)$ such that $r \circ \alpha_W$ is the inclusion mapping from W to $\text{Loc}(K|A)$. If we put $X = \text{Im}r$, then*

(i) *$W \subset X$ and $r: tW \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism. Moreover the mapping $\gamma: X \rightarrow tW$ defined by $R \mapsto \overline{\{R\}} \cap W$ is the inverse mapping of r .*

(ii) *Assume that W is irreducible. Let \mathcal{O}_W (resp. \mathcal{O}_X) be the intersection sheaf of W (resp. X) with respect to the inclusion mapping. Then $r: t(W, \mathcal{O}_W) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is an isomorphism of local ringed spaces, and the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\
 \alpha_W \downarrow & \nearrow r & \downarrow \alpha_X \\
 tW & \xrightarrow{ti} & tX
 \end{array}$$

The proof is complete from Lemmas 5 and 6.

REMARK. If $W = X_{c_1}$, then $r = \beta_X$ by (18).

§3. Using some elementary properties of Hilbert rings, we shall prove Theorems 1, 2 and 3.

For Hilbert rings, the next two lemmas are well-known (see [1]).

LEMMA 8. *The following four conditions for a ring A are equivalent:*

- (a) *A is a Hilbert ring.*
- (b) *$\overline{F} \cap \text{m-Spec } A = F$, for any closed subsets F of $\text{Spec } A$.*
- (c) *If $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is a ring homomorphism of finite type and $m \in \text{m-Spec } B$, then $\varphi^{-1}(m) \in \text{m-Spec } A$.*
- (d) *For any $f \in A$, let $\varphi: A \rightarrow A_f$ denote the canonical mapping. Then $\varphi^{-1}(m) \in \text{m-Spec } A$ for any $m \in \text{m-Spec } A_f$.*

LEMMA 9. *Let A be a ring and B a ring integral over A . Then A is Hilbert if and only if B is Hilbert.*

COROLLARY. *Suppose that A and B are subrings of a field K which satisfy $\text{Zar}(K|A) = \text{Zar}(K|B)$. Then A is Hilbert if and only if B is Hilbert.*

LEMMA 10. *Let (W, \mathcal{O}_W) be a local ringed space such that $\pi_W(W) = \text{m-Spec } \mathcal{O}_W(W)$.*

Then (W, \mathcal{O}_W) satisfies the condition (3) if and only if $\mathcal{O}_W(W)$ is a Hilbert ring.

The proof is obvious from Lemma 8.

LEMMA 11. Let A be an integral domain, $W = \text{m-Spec } A$ and $\mathcal{O}_W = \tilde{A}|_W$. If W is irreducible, then $\mathcal{O}_W(W) = A$ and $\pi_W(W) = \text{m-Spec } \mathcal{O}_W(W)$.

PROOF. Since A is integral, the structure sheaf \tilde{A} on $\text{Spec } A$ is the intersection sheaf with respect to the mapping: $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow \text{Loc}(QA|A)$ defined by $P \mapsto A_P$. Thus \mathcal{O}_W is also an intersection sheaf and hence $\mathcal{O}_W(W) = \bigcap_{m \in W} A_m = A$. Since $\pi_W: W \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ is the inclusion mapping, we obtain $\pi_W(W) = \text{m-Spec } A$. Q.E.D.

Now the proof of Theorem 1 is complete from Lemmas 8, 10 and 11.

LEMMA 12. Let K be a field, A a subring of K and $W = \text{Loc}(K|A)_{\text{cl}}$. Then $W = \{A_m \mid m \in \text{m-Spec } A\}$.

The proof is easy.

COROLLARY. If W is irreducible, then $\mathcal{O}_W(W) = A$ and $\pi_W(W) = \text{m-Spec } \mathcal{O}_W(W)$. Here \mathcal{O}_W is the intersection sheaf of W with respect to the inclusion mapping.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. (i) First, we show the "only if" part. Note that $X = \text{Im } r$ by Lemma 7. For any $P \in \text{Spec } A$, there exists $Y \in t(\text{m-Spec } A)$ such that $A_P = \bigcup_{m \in Y} A_m$. Then $P = \bigcap_{m \in Y} m$. Thus A is Hilbert. For any $P \in \text{Spec } A$, there exists $R \in \text{Zar}(K|A)$ such that R dominates A_P . Since $\text{Loc}(K|A) = \{A_P \mid P \in \text{Spec } A\}$, we can take $Q \in \text{Spec } A$ such that $R = A_Q$. Then $P = Q$ and hence $A_P = R \in \text{Zar}(K|A)$. Thus A is a Prüfer ring with quotient field K . Then we check the "if" part. Since $\text{Loc}(K|A) = \text{Zar}(K|A) \simeq \text{Spec } A$ and $\beta_{\text{Spec } A}: t(\text{m-Spec } A) \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ is an isomorphism of local ringed spaces, $\beta_X = r: tW \rightarrow X$ is also an isomorphism of local ringed spaces.

(ii) is derived from Lemmas 8, 10 and the corollary to Lemma 12. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 13. Let K be a field, A a subring of K , $X = \text{Zar}(K|A)$ and $W = X_{\text{cl}}$. Suppose that \mathcal{O}_X is the intersection sheaf of X with respect to the inclusion mapping and $\mathcal{O}_W = \mathcal{O}_X|_W$.

(i) If W is irreducible, then $\mathcal{O}_W(W) = \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ and $\pi_W(W) = \text{m-Spec } \mathcal{O}_W(W)$.

(ii) The following three conditions are equivalent.

- (a) $\bar{W} = X$.
- (b) W is irreducible and $K = \text{Rat } W$.
- (c) For any intermediate ring B between A and K such that B is of finite type over A , there exists $m \in \text{m-Spec } B$ such that $A \cap m \in \text{m-Spec } A$.

(iii) The following two conditions are equivalent.

- (a') $r: tW \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism of local ringed spaces.
- (c') If a ring B is an intermediate ring between A and K such that B is of finite type over A and $m \in \text{m-Spec } B$, then $A \cap m \in \text{m-Spec } A$.

PROOF. (i) is induced from Lemma 7 and Proposition 8 in [4].

(ii) The equivalence between (a) and (b) is verified from Lemma 5. (a) \Rightarrow (c): let $V = \text{Zar}(K|B)$ for any B . Then $V \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Take $R \in V \cap W$ and let $m = B \cap m(R)$. Since $A/A \cap m \subset B/m \subset R/m(R)$ are integral extensions by Lemma 7 in [4], we obtain $m \in \text{m-Spec } B$ and $A \cap m \in \text{m-Spec } A$. (c) \Rightarrow (a): it suffices to prove that $\text{Zar}(K|B) \cap W \neq \emptyset$ for any B . There exists $m \in \text{m-Spec } B$ such that $A \cap m \in \text{m-Spec } A$. By a weak form of Hilbert's zero-point theorem, B/m is integral over $A/A \cap m$. On the other hand, since the mapping $\Phi_{K|B}: \text{Zar}(K|B)_{\text{cl}} \rightarrow \text{m-Spec } B$ is onto, there exists $R \in \text{Zar}(K|B)_{\text{cl}}$ such that $m = B \cap m(R)$. Then $R/m(R)$ is integral over $A/A \cap m$. By Lemma 7 in [4], we have $R \in W$. Therefore $\text{Zar}(K|B) \cap W \neq \emptyset$.

(iii) (a') \Rightarrow (c'): given B and m , there exists $R_0 \in \text{Zar}(K|B)$ such that $m = B \cap m(R_0)$, since $\Phi_{K|B}$ is surjective. We let $E = \overline{\{R_0\}}^-$. By the corollary to Lemma 4, we obtain $\overline{E \cap W} = E \ni R_0$, and hence $\text{Zar}(K|B) \cap E \cap W \neq \emptyset$. If $R \in \text{Zar}(K|B) \cap E \cap W$, then $m = B \cap m(R)$ and $R/m(R)$ is integral over $A/A \cap m$. Thus $A \cap m \in \text{m-Spec } A$. (c') \Rightarrow (a'): it suffices to prove $r(tW) = X$ by Lemma 7. The inclusion $r(tW) \subset X$ is easy. Conversely, for any $R_0 \in X$, we put $Y = \overline{\{R_0\}} \cap W$. Let $V = \text{Zar}(K|B)$ for any intermediate ring B between A and K such that B is of finite type over A . If $R_0 \in V$, then $B \subset R_0$. By Proposition 8 in [4], there exists $R \in \text{Zar}(K|B)_{\text{cl}}$ such that $R \subset R_0$. By Lemma 7 in [4], $R/m(R)$ is integral over $B/B \cap m(R)$, and so $B \cap m(R) \in \text{m-Spec } B$. By the assumption (c'), we have $A \cap m(R) \in \text{m-Spec } A$. By a weak form of Hilbert's zero-point theorem, $B/B \cap m(R)$ is integral over $A/A \cap m(R)$. Therefore $R \in W$ and hence $V \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. This implies $R_0 \in \overline{Y}$ and $\overline{\{R_0\}} = \overline{Y}$. Since $Y \in tW$ and $R_0 = r(Y)$, we obtain $X = r(tW)$. Q.E.D.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. The equivalence between (a) and (c) is verified from Lemmas 8 and 13. The equivalence between (b) and (c) is induced from Lemmas 9, 10 and 13.

§4. Here we characterize the local ringed spaces $\text{Zar}(K|A)_{\text{cl}}$ explicitly, and prove Theorem 4.

For an integral domain A and a local ringed space (W, \mathcal{O}_W) , we introduce the following six conditions:

- (20) W satisfies the separable condition T_0 .
- (21) (W, \mathcal{O}_W) is an integral local ringed space satisfying the condition (8) in [5].
- (22) (W, \mathcal{O}_W) is a local ringed space over $\text{Spec } A$ and the structure morphism is dominant.

REMARK. By (21) and (22), $\text{Rat } W$ is a field and $A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_W(W) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W,x} \hookrightarrow \text{Rat } W$ for any $x \in W$.

- (23) The topology of W is generated by $\{\text{dom}(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \text{Rat } W\}$.
- (24) For any $x \in W$, the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{W,x}$ is a valuation ring of $\text{Rat } W$.

and $\mathcal{O}_{W,x}/m(\mathcal{O}_{W,x})$ is an integral extension over $A/A \cap m(\mathcal{O}_{W,x})$.

- (25) If R is a valuation ring of $\text{Rat } W$ which contains A , then there exists $x \in W$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{W,x} \subset R$.

LEMMA 14. (i) Let K be a field, A a subring of K and $W = \text{Zar}(K|A)_{\text{cl}}$. If $\bar{W} = \text{Zar}(K|A)$, then (W, \mathcal{O}_W) satisfies the conditions (20), (21), (22), (23), (24), (25) and $K = \text{Rat } W$.

(ii) Conversely, suppose that an integral domain A and a local ringed space (W, \mathcal{O}_W) satisfy the conditions (20), (21), (22), (23), (24) and (25). If we put $K = \text{Rat } W$, then K is a field containing A that satisfies $\overline{\text{Zar}(K|A)}_{\text{cl}} = \text{Zar}(K|A)$ and $(W, \mathcal{O}_W) \simeq \text{Zar}(K|A)_{\text{cl}}$.

PROOF. (i) is induced from Lemma 7, Proposition 8 in [4] and Lemma 5.

(ii) By Lemmas 6, 7 in [5], Lemma 3 in [6] and (21), W is irreducible, $K = \text{Rat } W$ is a field and \mathcal{O}_W is the intersection sheaf of W with respect to Ψ_W . By (22), A is a subring of K . Note that (20), (23) induce that Ψ_W is an into-homeomorphism, and (24), (25) imply that $\Psi_W(W) = \text{Zar}(K|A)_{\text{cl}}$. Thus $W \simeq \text{Zar}(K|A)_{\text{cl}}$. By Lemma 5, we obtain $\overline{\text{Zar}(K|A)}_{\text{cl}} = \{K\} = \text{Zar}(K|A)$. Q.E.D.

Here we consider the following two categories for an integral ring A .

$\mathcal{C}'_1(A)$: the category of local ringed spaces (X, \mathcal{O}_X) satisfying the conditions (29), (30), (32), (33), (35) and (36) in [5] and morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of local ringed spaces over $\text{Spec } A$ satisfying the condition (2).

$\mathcal{C}'_2(A)$: the full subcategory of local ringed spaces over $\text{Spec } A$ consisting of local ringed spaces (W, \mathcal{O}_W) which satisfy the conditions (20), (21), (22), (23), (24) and (25).

By Theorem 1 in [5], the objects of $\mathcal{C}_1(A)$ coincide with those of $\mathcal{C}'_1(A)$. Moreover,

LEMMA 15. Let A be an integral Hilbert ring. Then

(i) the functor $t: \mathcal{C}'_2(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'_1(A)$ gives an equivalence of categories.

(ii) A local ringed space (W, \mathcal{O}_W) is an object of $\mathcal{C}_2(A)$ if and only if (W, \mathcal{O}_W) satisfies the conditions (20), (21), (22), (23), (24) and (25). Therefore the category $\mathcal{C}_i(A)$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{C}'_i(A)$ obtained by assuming morphisms to be dominant ($i = 1, 2$).

PROOF. (i) is induced from Theorem 1 in [5], Lemmas 1, 14 and Theorem 3.

(ii) is obvious from Theorem 1 in [5] and Lemma 14.

REMARK. Let $\mathcal{C}'_0(A)$ be the category of projective fields over A , in which morphisms are places that fix all elements of A . Then $\mathcal{C}'_0(A)$ and $\mathcal{C}'_1(A)$ are anti-equivalent (see [5], Lemma 11).

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. (i) is verified from Theorem 1 in [5], Lemmas 2 and 15. In order to show (ii), we first notice by Theorem 2 in [5];

$$(26) \quad \text{Zar}(K|k) \simeq \text{proj.lim } X,$$

where X runs over all integral schemes proper over $\text{Spec } k$ with rational function field K . By Examples, (ii) and Theorem 3, all objects and morphisms in (26) belong to the category \mathcal{C}_1 , and X_{cl} become complete algebraic varieties V . Since \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are equivalent, we obtain

$$\text{Zar}(K|k)_{\text{cl}} \simeq \text{proj.lim } V. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

References

- [1] R. GILMER, *Multiplicative Ideal Theory*, Marcel Dekker (1972).
- [2] A. GROTHENDIECK and J. DIEUDONNÉ, *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, I.H.E.S. (1960–1967).
- [3] R. HARTSHORNE, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag (1977).
- [4] K. SEKIGUCHI, Prüfer domain and affine scheme, *Tokyo J. Math.* **13** (1990), 259–275.
- [5] K. SEKIGUCHI, Ringed spaces of valuation rings and projective limits of schemes, *Tokyo J. Math.* **16** (1993), 191–203.
- [6] K. SEKIGUCHI, Differential forms on ringed spaces of valuation rings, *Tokyo J. Math.* **18** (1995), 133–145.

Present Address:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOPHIA UNIVERSITY,
KIOICHO, CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO, 102 JAPAN.