

## Complete Intersections Which Are Abelian Extensions of a Factorial Domain

Yuji KAMOI

*Tokyo Metropolitan University*  
(Communicated by T. Ishikawa)

### Introduction.

Let  $A$  be a Noetherian local factorial domain having a field of fractions  $K$  and  $L$  be an Abelian extension of  $K$  with  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ . An Abelian extension  $R$  of  $A$  is an integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$ .

Assume that  $ch(A)$  does not divide  $n = |G|$  and  $A$  has a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity. Roberts [12] showed that  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay, if  $A$  is a Cohen-Macaulay factorial domain. Also Itoh [8] studied a condition for a cyclic extension of a formal power series ring to be Gorenstein. Furthermore, Griffith [7] showed that if  $A$  is regular and if  $R$  is factorial, then  $R$  is a complete intersection.

Our purpose of this article is to give a condition for  $R$  to be a complete intersection. We shall show in section 4 that an Abelian extension of a local factorial domain  $A$  which is a complete intersection, is completely determined by a *datum* of  $A$ . A datum is a pair  $(\Gamma, w)$  of a finite subset  $\Gamma$  of  $\text{Div}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  ( $= \text{P}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  since  $A$  is factorial) and a map  $w : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$  satisfying the following condition.

- (1) For  $D, E \in \Gamma$  ( $D \neq E$ ), one of the following cases occurs;  
(a)  $\text{Supp}(D) \subsetneq \text{Supp}(E)$ , (b)  $\text{Supp}(D) \supsetneq \text{Supp}(E)$ , (c)  $\text{Supp}(D) \cap \text{Supp}(E) = \emptyset$ .
- (2) For  $E \in \Gamma$ , there is a relation  $w(E)E = \sum_{i=1}^k E_i + \sum_{j=1}^l p_j$  where  $\{E_1, \dots, E_k\} = \{D \in \Gamma \mid D < E\}$  and  $\{p_1, \dots, p_l\} = \text{Supp}(E) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{Supp}(E_i)$ .

Here we denote by  $\text{Supp}(D)$  ( $D \in \text{Div}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ) the set of prime divisors of  $A$  which appear in  $D$  with non-zero coefficients. We write  $D < E$ , if  $\text{Supp}(D) \subsetneq \text{Supp}(E)$  and if there is no element  $D' \in \Gamma$  such that  $\text{Supp}(D) \subsetneq \text{Supp}(D') \subsetneq \text{Supp}(E)$ .

Then we state our main result as follows.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be as above and  $R$  be a local ring such that  $R \supset A$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  *$R$  is an Abelian extension of  $A$  which is a complete intersection.*

(2) *There exists a datum  $(\Gamma, w)$  such that*

$$R \cong A[Y_E \mid E \in \Gamma] / \left( Y_E^{w(E)} - a_E \prod_{D < E} Y_D \mid E \in \Gamma \right)$$

where  $A[Y_E \mid E \in \Gamma]$  is a polynomial ring and  $a_E$  is an element of  $A$  satisfying  $\text{div}(a_E) = w(E)E - \sum_{D < E} D$ .

A datum was first defined by Watanabe [15] for normal simplicial semigroup rings (cf. Definition 1.1 of [15]). He used it to determine normal simplicial semigroup rings which are complete intersections. In his case, an affine semigroup ring can be regarded as a  $\mathbf{Z}^n$ -graded ring in natural way. In our case, an Abelian extension  $R$  is graded by its Galois group. (See (4.1).) By this reason, the concept of *rings graded by an Abelian group* is essential for us. In particular, we state a *group graded* version of the divisor group theory (in section 1).

### 1. Preliminaries.

Let us recall some concepts of graded rings and graded modules from [6], [11] and [9].

Let  $G$  be an Abelian group. We say that a ring  $R$  is a  *$G$ -graded ring*, if there exists a family  $\{R_g\}_{g \in G}$  of additive subgroups of  $R$  such that  $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$  and  $R_g R_h \subset R_{g+h}$  for every  $g, h \in G$ . Similarly, a  *$G$ -graded  $R$ -module* is an  $R$ -module  $M$  for which there is given a family  $\{M_g\}_{g \in G}$  of additive subgroups of  $M$  such that  $M = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M_g$  and  $R_g M_h \subset M_{g+h}$  for every  $g, h \in G$ .

A *homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$*  of  $G$ -graded  $R$ -modules is an  $R$ -linear map such that  $f(M_g) \subset N_g$  for all  $g \in G$ .

Let  $R$  be a  $G$ -graded ring and  $M$  a  $G$ -graded  $R$ -module. For  $g \in G$ , we define a  $G$ -graded  $R$ -module  $M(g)$  by  $M = M(g)$  as the underlying  $R$ -module and graded by  $[M(g)]_h = M_{g+h}$  for all  $h \in G$ . We say that  $M$  is *free*, if it is isomorphic to a direct sum of  $G$ -graded  $R$ -modules of the form  $R(g)$  ( $g \in G$ ).

We denote by  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(M, N)_g$  the Abelian group of all the  $G$ -graded homomorphisms from  $M$  to  $N(g)$ . We put  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(M, N) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \underline{\text{Hom}}_R(M, N)_g$  and consider it as a  $G$ -graded  $R$ -module.

The elements of  $\bigcup_{g \in G} M_g$  are called *homogeneous elements* of  $M$ . Every non-zero element  $x \in M_g$  is said to be *homogeneous of degree  $g$* , and we denote  $\text{deg}(x) = g$ . For a subset  $N \subset M$ , we set  $h(N) = \bigcup_{g \in G} (N \cap M_g)$ . Any element  $x \in M$  has a unique expression as a sum of homogeneous elements,  $x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g$  where  $x_g \in M_g$  and  $x_g = 0$  for almost all  $g \in G$ . With this notation, we call nonzero  $x_g$  the *homogeneous component* (of degree  $g$ ) of  $x$ .

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and  $g \in G$ . We define  $R^{(H)} = \bigoplus_{h \in H} R_h$  and  $M^{(g, H)} = \bigoplus_{h \in H} M_{g+h}$ . Then  $R^{(H)}$  is a subring of  $R$  and  $M^{(g, H)}$  is an  $R^{(H)}$ -submodule of  $M$ . We

define a  $G$ -grading on  $M^{(g,H)}$  as

$$[M^{(g,H)}]_{g'} = \begin{cases} M_{g'}, & \text{if } g - g' \in H \\ (0), & \text{if } g - g' \notin H \end{cases}$$

for all  $g' \in G$ . If  $g - g' \in H$ , then we have  $M^{(g,H)} = M^{(g',H)}$  as a  $G$ -graded  $R^{(H)}$ -module. Hence  $M$  has the following decomposition as a  $G$ -graded  $R^{(H)}$ -module

$$M = \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma} M^{(g_i,H)}$$

where  $\{g_i\}_{i \in \Gamma}$  is a system of representatives of  $G \bmod H$ . Also, we have  $R^{(g_i,H)}M^{(g_j,H)} \subset M^{(g_i+g_j,H)}$  for all  $i, j \in \Gamma$ . Hence a  $G$ -graded ring  $R$  (resp.  $G$ -graded  $R$ -module  $M$ ) can be regarded as a  $G/H$ -graded ring (resp.  $G/H$ -graded  $R$ -module).

We say that  $R$  is a  $G$ -domain (resp.  $G$ -simple), if every nonzero  $G$ -homogeneous element of  $R$  is a nonzero divisor of  $R$  (resp. a unit of  $R$ ).

A  $G$ -graded ideal  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $R$  is said to be a  $G$ -prime ideal (resp. a  $G$ -maximal ideal), if the  $G$ -graded ring  $R/\mathfrak{P}$  is a  $G$ -domain (resp.  $G$ -simple). Note that a  $G$ -prime ideal is not necessarily a prime ideal, if  $G$  has a torsion. We denote by  $V_G(R)$  the set of all  $G$ -prime ideals of  $R$ . For  $\mathfrak{P} \in V_G(R)$ , we denote by  $M_{(\mathfrak{P})}$  the module of fractions of  $M$  with respect to the multiplicatively closed subset  $h(R \setminus \mathfrak{P})$  and call it the *homogeneous localization* of  $M$  at  $\mathfrak{P}$ . We set  $V_G(M) = \{\mathfrak{P} \in V_G(R) \mid M_{(\mathfrak{P})} \neq (0)\}$ . For an ideal  $P$  of  $R$ , we denote by  $P^*$  the maximal graded ideal of  $R$  contained in  $P$  (or the graded ideal generated by  $h(P)$ ). If  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ , then  $P^*$  is a  $G$ -prime ideal of  $R$ . Furthermore, for a  $G$ -graded  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ ,  $P \in \text{Supp}_R(M)$  if and only if  $P^* \in V_G(M)$ . We denote by  $\underline{\dim}(M)$  the largest length of a chain of  $G$ -prime ideals in  $V_G(M)$  (cf. section 2 of [9]).

REMARK 1.1. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/H$  is torsion. If  $\mathfrak{P} \in V_G(R)$ , then  $\mathfrak{P}^{(H)} \in V_H(R^{(H)})$ . Furthermore, if  $\mathfrak{p} \in V_H(R^{(H)})$ , then a  $G$ -graded ideal  $(\sqrt{\mathfrak{p}R})^*$  is  $G$ -prime. This gives a bijective correspondence between  $V_H(R^{(H)})$  and  $V_G(R)$ .  $M_{(\mathfrak{P})} \cong M \otimes_{R^{(H)}} (R^{(H)})_{(\mathfrak{P}^{(H)})}$  holds for a  $G$ -graded  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $\mathfrak{P} \in V_G(R)$ .

DEFINITION 1.2.  $R$  is said to be a  $G$ -Noetherian graded ring, if every strictly ascending chain of  $G$ -graded ideals of  $R$  has finite length.

DEFINITION 1.3. We say that  $R$  is a  $G$ -local graded ring, if it has a unique  $G$ -maximal ideal. Often we use the notation  $(R, \mathfrak{M})$  to say that  $R$  is a  $G$ -local ring with the unique  $G$ -maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

Next, we state a  $G$ -graded version of the theory of *divisors*. All propositions shall be proved in the same way as in the non graded case or  $\mathbf{Z}^n$ -graded case (cf. Anderson [1]). Therefore, we omit proofs.

Let  $R$  be a  $G$ -domain and  $K$  be the homogeneous localization of  $R$  at  $(0)$ .

DEFINITION 1.4. A  $G$ -domain  $R$  is called  $G$ -normal, if every element of  $h(K)$ , which is integral over  $R$ , is in  $R$ .

DEFINITION 1.5.  $R$  is said to be *completely  $G$ -normal*, if it satisfies the following condition; if  $x \in h(K)$  and  $R[x]$  is contained in a finitely generated  $G$ -graded  $R$ -submodule of  $K$ , then  $x \in R$ .

A  $G$ -graded  $R$ -submodule  $0 \neq I$  of  $K$  is said to be a  $G$ -fractional ideal of  $R$ , if there exists  $a \in R$  such that  $aI \subset R$ . We denote by  $\underline{I}(R)$  the set of all  $G$ -fractional ideals of  $R$  and by  $\underline{P}(R)$  the set of all homogeneous principal idelas. We set  $\underline{\text{div}}_R(I) = \bigcap_{0 \neq x \in h(K), I \subset R_x} R_x$  for  $I \in \underline{I}(R)$ .

DEFINITION 1.6. A  $G$ -fractional ideal  $I$  is said to be a  $G$ -divisorial ideal of  $R$ , if  $I = \underline{\text{div}}_R(I)$ . We denote by  $\underline{\text{Div}}(R)$  the set of all  $G$ -divisorial ideals of  $R$ .

REMARK 1.7. Let  $I, J \in \underline{I}(R)$ . Then the following hold.

- (0)  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(I, J) \cong [J : I]$ .
- (1)  $[I : J]_K = \bigcap_{x \in h(J)} x^{-1}I$ .
- (2) If  $I \in \underline{\text{Div}}(R)$ , then so is  $[I : J]_K$ .
- (3)  $\underline{\text{div}}_R(I) = [R : [R : I]_K]_K$ .
- (4)  $\underline{\text{div}}_R(I) \subset \underline{\text{div}}_R(J)$  if and only if  $[R : I]_K \supset [R : J]_K$ .

DEFINITION 1.8. We define a commutative monoid structure on  $\underline{\text{Div}}(R)$  by  $\underline{\text{div}}_R(I) + \underline{\text{div}}_R(J) := \underline{\text{div}}_R(IJ)$  for  $I, J \in \underline{I}(R)$ . Also, we denote  $\underline{\text{div}}_R(I) \sim \underline{\text{div}}_R(J)$ , if  $\underline{\text{div}}_R(I) = \underline{\text{div}}_R(J) + \underline{\text{div}}_R(a)$  for some  $0 \neq a \in h(K)$ . Here we denote  $\underline{\text{div}}_R(a) = \underline{\text{div}}_R(aR)$  for  $0 \neq a \in h(K)$ . We put  $\underline{Cl}(R) = \underline{\text{Div}}(R) / \sim$ .

The following proposition is proved in the same way as in the non-graded case (cf. Chap. VII, §1.2, Theorem 1 of [3]).

PROPOSITION 1.9.  $R$  is completely  $G$ -normal if and only if  $\underline{\text{Div}}(R)$  is an Abelian group.

DEFINITION 1.10. Let  $\Gamma$  be an ordered Abelian group and  $v : h(K \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \Gamma$  be a map. We call  $v$  a  $G$ -valuation on  $K$ , if it satisfies the following two conditions; for  $x, y \in h(K \setminus \{0\})$ ,

- (1)  $v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$
- (2)  $v(x+y) \geq \min(v(x), v(y))$ , if  $\deg(x) = \deg(y)$  and  $x+y \neq 0$ .

Let  $v$  be a  $G$ -valuation on  $K$ . Then  $v|_{K'_0}$  is a valuation on  $K'_0 := K_0 \setminus \{0\}$ . We denote by  $R_{v_0}$  the valuation ring of  $v|_{K'_0}$ . We set  $R_v = R_{v_0}[x \in h(K \setminus \{0\}) \mid v(x) \geq 0]$  and call it the  $G$ -valuation ring of  $v$ .

A  $G$ -valuation  $v : h(K \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \Gamma$  is said to be *equivalent* to a  $G$ -valuation  $v' : h(K \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \Gamma'$ , if there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : \text{Im}(v) \rightarrow \text{Im}(v')$  of ordered Abelian groups such that  $v' = \phi \circ v$ .

DEFINITION 1.11. A  $G$ -valuation  $v$  on  $K$  is said to be *discrete*, if  $\text{Im}(v) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ .

We say that  $R$  is a  $G$ -discrete valuation ring ( $G$ -DVR), if there exists a discrete  $G$ -valuation  $v$  on  $K$  such that  $R = R_v$ .

As in the non-graded case, the following hold.

PROPOSITION 1.12. Let  $(R, \mathfrak{M})$  be a  $G$ -Noetherian  $G$ -local  $G$ -domain of  $\dim(R) = 1$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $R$  is  $G$ -DVR.
- (2)  $R$  is  $G$ -normal.
- (3)  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a principal ideal.
- (4) Every proper  $G$ -homogeneous ideal is a power of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

EXAMPLE 1.13. Let  $(R, \mathfrak{M})$  be a  $G$ -DVR and  $x \in h(R)$  such that  $\mathfrak{M} = xR$ . We put  $H = \{g \in G \mid R_g \neq \mathfrak{M}_g\} (= \{g \in G \mid R_g \text{ contains a unit of } R\})$ . (Note that  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .) Then  $\mathfrak{M}^{(H)} = \mathfrak{M}_0 R^{(H)}$  (cf. (1.4) of [9]).

- If  $\mathfrak{M}_0 = (0)$  (i.e.  $\mathfrak{M}^{(H)} = (0)$ ), then  $R^{(H)}$  is  $H$ -simple and  $x^n \notin R^{(H)}$  for every  $n > 0$ . Hence  $n \deg(x) \notin H$  for every  $n > 0$  (or  $H \oplus \mathbf{Z} \deg(x) \subset G$ ) and  $R = R^{(H)}[x]$  the polynomial ring over  $R^{(H)}$ .
- If  $\mathfrak{M}_0 \neq 0$ , then  $(R_0, \mathfrak{M}_0)$  is a DVR. We take  $x_0 \in \mathfrak{M}_0$  such that  $\mathfrak{M}_0 = x_0 R_0$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $e > 0$  such that  $x_0 = ux^e$  for some homogeneous unit  $u \in R^{(H)}$ . Hence  $R = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{e-1} R^{(H)} x^i$ .

EXAMPLE 1.14. Let  $K = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} K_n$  be a simple  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded ring. We assume that  $K \neq K_0$ . Then there exists  $t \in h(K \setminus \{0\})$  such that  $t$  is algebraically independent over  $K_0$  and  $K = K_0[t, t^{-1}]$ . We define  $\mathbf{Z}$ -valuations as follows;

- (i)  $v_t : h(K \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  by  $v_t(at^n) = n$  ( $a \in K_0$ ),
- (ii)  $v_{t^{-1}} : h(K \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  by  $v_{t^{-1}}(at^n) = -n$  ( $a \in K_0$ ),
- (iii)  $v_0^{d,e} : h(K \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(1/e)$  ( $\subset \mathbf{Q}$ ) by  $v_0^{d,e}(at^n) = v_0(a) + n(d/e)$  ( $a \in K_0$ ) where  $v_0$  is a discrete valuation of  $K_0$  and  $d, e \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $e > 0$  and either  $d=0$  or  $(e, d)=1$ .

Let  $v$  be a discrete  $\mathbf{Z}$ -valuation of  $K$  and  $(R, xR)$  be a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -valuation ring of  $v$ . We put  $m \geq 0$  such that  $\mathbf{Z}m = \{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid R_n \text{ contains a unit of } R\}$ . Then, by (1.13), either (1)  $R = R^{(m)}[x]$  or (2)  $R = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{e-1} R^{(m)} x^i$ .

Case (1) Since  $\mathbf{Z}m \oplus \mathbf{Z} \deg(x) \subset \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $m=0$  and  $R = R_0[x]$ . On the other hand,  $K$  is a homogeneous localization of  $R$  at  $(0)$  (i.e.  $K = R_0[x, x^{-1}]$ ). Hence  $R_0 = K_0$  and either  $x=t$  or  $x=t^{-1}$ . Namely, either  $v=v_t$  or  $v=v_{t^{-1}}$ .

Case (2) We put  $v(t)=d$  and  $v_0=(1/e)v|_{K_0}$ . Then  $v_0$  is a normalized discrete valuation of  $R_0$ . Furthermore, for  $a \in K_0 \setminus \{0\}$  and  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $v(at^n) = e(v_0(a) + n(d/e)) = ev_0^{d,e}(at^n)$ . Hence  $v$  is equivalent to  $v_0^{d,e}$  and  $R = R_{v_0^{d,e}} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} R_0 x_0^{[-nd/e]} t^n$  where  $x_0$  is the primitive element of  $R_0$  and  $[a]$  is the largest integer not larger than  $a$  for  $a \in \mathbf{Q}$ .

We set  $V_G^1(R) = \{\mathfrak{P} \in V_G(R) \mid \dim(R_{\mathfrak{P}}) = 1\}$ .

DEFINITION 1.15. A  $G$ -domain  $R$  is said to be  $G$ -Krull, if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $R_{(\mathfrak{P})}$  is  $G$ -DVR for every  $\mathfrak{P} \in V_G^1(R)$ ,
- (2)  $R = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{P} \in V_G^1(R)} R_{(\mathfrak{P})}$ ,
- (3) every nonzero element of  $h(R)$  is contained in only a finite members of  $V_G^1(R)$ .

PROPOSITION 1.16 (cf. Chap. VII, §1 of [3]). (1) A  $G$ -domain  $R$  is  $G$ -Krull, if  $R$  is  $G$ -Noetherian and  $G$ -normal. Conversely, a  $G$ -Krull  $R$  is completely  $G$ -normal.

(2) A  $G$ -graded Krull domain is  $G$ -Krull.

(3) Let  $R$  be a  $G$ -Krull  $G$ -domain and  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $h(R)$ . Then a  $G$ -graded ring  $S^{-1}R$  is  $G$ -Krull and  $S^{-1}R = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{P} \in \Lambda} R_{(\mathfrak{P})}$  where  $\Lambda = \{\mathfrak{P} \in V_G^1(R) \mid \mathfrak{P} \cap S = \emptyset\}$ .

(4) Let  $R$  be a  $G$ -Krull  $G$ -domain and  $K$  be the homogeneous localization of  $R$  at (0). If  $K'$  is a simple graded subring of  $K$ , then  $R \cap K'$  is a  $G$ -Krull  $G$ -domain. In particular,  $R^{(H)}$  is an  $H$ -Krull  $H$ -domain for a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .

Throughout this section, we assume that  $R$  is  $G$ -Krull.

PROPOSITION 1.17 (cf. Chap. VII, §1 of [3]). (1) For  $I \in \underline{I}(R)$ ,  $\underline{\text{div}}_R(I) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{P} \in V_G^1(R)} IR_{(\mathfrak{P})}$ .

(2) For  $I, J \in \underline{\text{Div}}(R)$ ,  $I = J$  if and only if  $I_{(\mathfrak{P})} = J_{(\mathfrak{P})}$  for every  $\mathfrak{P} \in V_G^1(R)$ .

COROLLARY 1.18. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/H$  is torsion and  $\{g_i\}_{i \in \Gamma}$  be a system of representatives of  $G \bmod H$ . Then a  $G$ -fractional ideal  $I$  is  $G$ -divisorial if and only if  $I^{(g_i, H)}$  is  $H$ -divisorial for any  $i \in \Gamma$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{P} \in V_G^1(R)$ . Then, by (1.12),  $\mathfrak{P}R_{(\mathfrak{P})}$  defines a discrete  $G$ -valuation on  $K$ . We denote it by  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}$ .

We define a map  $\mu : \underline{\text{Div}}(R) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \in V_G^1(R)} \mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{P}$  by  $\mu(\underline{\text{div}}_R(I)) = \sum_{\mathfrak{P} \in V_G^1(R)} v_{\mathfrak{P}}(I)\mathfrak{P}$ . Then  $\mu$  is an isomorphism of Abelian groups and, for  $I, J \in \underline{\text{Div}}(R)$ ,  $I \subset J$  if and only if  $\mu(I) \geq \mu(J)$  (i.e.  $v_{\mathfrak{P}}(I) \geq v_{\mathfrak{P}}(J)$  for all  $\mathfrak{P} \in V_G^1(R)$ ).

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/H$  is torsion.

DEFINITION 1.19. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in V_H^1(R^{(H)})$  and  $\mathfrak{P} \in V_G^1(R)$  such that  $\mathfrak{P}^{(H)} = \mathfrak{p}$ . We put  $e_R(\mathfrak{p}) = v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathfrak{p}R_{(\mathfrak{P})})$ .

REMARK 1.20. The correspondence  $I \mapsto \underline{\text{div}}_R(IR)$  ( $I \in \underline{\text{Div}}(R^{(H)})$ ) defines a homomorphism  $\underline{\text{Div}}(R^{(H)}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Div}}(R)$  and there is the following commutative diagram of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{P}(R^{(H)}) & \longrightarrow & \underline{Div}(R^{(H)}) & \longrightarrow & \underline{Cl}(R^{(H)}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{P}(R) & \longrightarrow & \underline{Div}(R) & \longrightarrow & \underline{Cl}(R) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & G'/H' & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{Z}/(e_{\mathfrak{p}}) & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $G' = \{g \in G \mid K_g \neq 0\}$ ,  $H'$  is a subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $(G' \cap H) \cup \{g \in G \mid R_g \text{ contains a unit of } R\}$  and the map  $\underline{P}(R) \rightarrow G'/H'$  is defined by  $x \in h(K) \mapsto$  the image of  $\text{deg}(x)$  in  $G'/H'$ .

EXAMPLE 1.21 (Demazure's construction of normal graded rings [4]). Let  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$  be a Krull domain such that  $R \neq R_0$  and  $K = K_0[t, t^{-1}]$  be the homogeneous localization of  $R$  at  $(0)$  with  $\text{deg}(t) > 0$ .

We put  $\{\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_r\} = \{\mathfrak{Q} \in V_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(R) \mid \mathfrak{Q} \not\subset R_+\}$ . Then, by (1.14),  $v_{\mathfrak{P}_i}$  is equivalent to  $v_0^{d_i, e_i}$  for some  $d_i, e_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

We set  $X = \text{Proj}(R)$  and  $D = \sum_{i=1}^r (d_i/e_i) V_i \in \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$  where  $V_i$  is the prime divisor of  $X$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{P}_i$ .

Then, for  $a \in K_0$  and  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 at^n \in R &\iff \text{div}_R(a) + n \text{div}_R(t) \geq 0 \\
 &\iff \text{div}_X(a) + nD \geq 0 \in \text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \\
 &\iff a \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD)).
 \end{aligned}$$

(Note that if  $R_+ := \bigoplus_{n > 0} R_n \in V_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(R)$ , then  $v_{R_+} = v_t$ .) Hence we have

$$R \cong R(X, D) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD)) T^n \quad (\subset K_0[T]).$$

We set  $R(E) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E + nD)) T^n$  for  $E \in \text{Div}(X)$ . Then, the correspondence  $E \mapsto \text{div}_R(R(-E))$  ( $E \in \text{Div}(X)$ ) defines a homomorphism  $\text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Div}}(R)$  and we have the following commutative diagram;

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & P(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Div}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Cl}(X) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{P}(R) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Div}}(R) & \longrightarrow & \text{Cl}(R) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & I & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbf{Z}/(e_i) & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 
\end{array}$$

where  $I \cong \mathbf{Z}$  and is generated by the image of  $\underline{\text{div}}_R(T)$ . (See, for instance, Watanabe [16].)

## 2. A description of $R$ from $R^{(H)}$ .

Let  $R$  be a  $G$ -Noetherian  $G$ -normal  $G$ -domain and  $K$  be the homogeneous localization of  $R$  at  $(0)$ . We assume that  $K_g \neq 0$  for every  $g \in G$ .

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/H \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbf{Z}/(d_i)$  and put  $A = R^{(H)}$ . We put  $\underline{\text{Div}}(A)_{\mathbf{Q}} = \underline{\text{Div}}(A) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ . For  $D = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in V_G^1(A)} a_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p} \in \underline{\text{Div}}(A)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Supp}(D) &= \{ \mathfrak{p} \mid a_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0 \}, & D(\mathfrak{p}) &= a_{\mathfrak{p}}, \\
[D] &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in V_G^1(R)} [a_{\mathfrak{p}}] \mathfrak{p}, & \{D\} &= D - [D],
\end{aligned}$$

where we denote by  $A(D)$  the  $H$ -divisorial ideal of  $A$  generated by  $\{a \in h(K) \mid \underline{\text{div}}_A(a) + D \geq 0\}$ . Note that  $A(D) = A([D])$  and  $\underline{\text{div}}_A(A(D)) = -[D]$ .

Tomari-Watanabe [14] constructed normal  $\mathbf{Z}_r$ -graded rings using an element of  $\underline{P}(A)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ . In this section, we repeat Tomari-Watanabe's construction.

We put  $K'$  the homogeneous localization of  $A$  at  $(0)$ . Then  $K' = K^{(H)}$  and  $K = R \otimes_A K'$ . Furthermore, there exists  $x_i \in h(K \setminus \{0\})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) such that  $x_i^{d_i} = f_i \in A$  and

$$K = \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 0 \leq m_i < d_i}} K' x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \cdots x_r^{m_r} \cong K'[X_1, \dots, X_r] / (X_1^{d_1} - f_1, \dots, X_r^{d_r} - f_r)$$

where  $K'[X_1, \dots, X_r]$  is a polynomial ring over  $K'$  (cf. (1.6) of [9]).

We put  $D_i = (1/d_i) \underline{\text{div}}_A(f_i) \in \underline{\text{Div}}(A)_{\mathbf{Q}}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *We have*

$$R = \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 0 \leq m_i < d_i}} A \left( \sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i \right) \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{m_i}.$$

We can give a proof in the same way as in Proposition 1.4 of Tomari-Watanabe [14].

**REMARK 2.2.** (1) The converse of (2.1) is also true. Let  $A$  be an  $H$ -Noetherian  $H$ -normal  $H$ -domain and  $D_i \in \underline{\text{Div}}(A)_{\mathbf{Q}}$  such that  $d_i D_i = \underline{\text{div}}_A(f_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ). Put  $G =$



$H \oplus \mathbf{Z}^r / \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{Z}(\deg(f_i), -d_i e_i)$  where  $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{i}{1}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{Z}^r$ . Then a  $G$ -graded subring

$$R(A; D_1, \dots, D_r, f_1, \dots, f_r) := \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 0 \leq m_i < d_i}} A \left( \sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i \right) \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{m_i}$$

of  $K'[X_1, \dots, X_r] / (X_1^{d_1} - f_1, \dots, X_r^{d_r} - f_r)$  is a  $G$ -normal  $G$ -domain where  $K'$  is the homogeneous localization of  $A$  at  $(0)$ .

(2) It is easy to verify that  $e_R(\mathfrak{p}) > 1$  if and only if  $D_i(\mathfrak{p}) \notin \mathbf{Z}$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Furthermore, if we denote  $D_i(\mathfrak{p}) = a_i/b_i \in \mathbf{Q}$  with  $(a_i, b_i) = 1$ , then  $e_R(\mathfrak{p})$  is equal to  $\text{LCM}(\{b_i \mid a_i \neq 0, 1 \leq i \leq r\})$ .

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let  $k$  be a field and  $A = k[s^6, t^{12}] \subset k[s, t]$  and  $D_1 = \frac{1}{2} \text{div}_A(s^6 t^{12})$ ,  $D_2 = \frac{1}{3} \text{div}_A(s^6 t^{24})$ . Then we have

$$R(A; D_1, D_2, s^{12} t^{12}, s^6 t^{24}) \cong k[s^6, st^{10}, s^2 t^8, s^3 t^6, s^4 t^4, s^5 t^2, t^{12}].$$

For  $E \in \text{Div}(A)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ , we define a  $G$ -graded  $R$ -module  $R(E; D_1, \dots, D_r, f_1, \dots, f_r)$  as

$$R(E; D_1, \dots, D_r, f_1, \dots, f_r) = \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 0 \leq m_i < d_i}} A \left( E + \sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i \right) \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{m_i}.$$

Then  $R(E; D_1, \dots, D_r, f_1, \dots, f_r)$  is a  $G$ -divisorial ideal of  $R$ . We denote the  $G$ -divisorial ideal as above simply by  $R(E)$ , if no confusion is possible.

PROPOSITION 2.4. We define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in V_H(A)} \mathbf{Z} \frac{1}{e_R(\mathfrak{p})} \mathfrak{p} &\longrightarrow \text{Div}(R) \\ E &\longmapsto R(-E; D_1, \dots, D_r, f_1, \dots, f_r). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of Abelian groups.

PROOF. Since  $\text{div}_R(R(D)R(E)) = R(D+E)$  (cf. (1.17)),  $\phi$  is a group homomorphism. Let  $\mathfrak{P} \in V_G^1(R)$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P}^{(H)}$ . Then  $\text{div}_R(\mathfrak{p}R) = R(-\mathfrak{p})$  (cf. (1.17) and (1.18)). Since  $e_R(\mathfrak{p}) \text{div}_R(\mathfrak{P}) = \text{div}_R(\mathfrak{p}R) = \phi(\mathfrak{p}) = e_R(\mathfrak{p})\phi((1/e_R(\mathfrak{p}))\mathfrak{p})$  (in  $\text{Div}(R)$ ), we have  $\phi((1/e_R(\mathfrak{p}))\mathfrak{p}) = \text{div}_R(\mathfrak{P})$  and  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

REMARK 2.5. (1) We note that  $\phi(D_i) = \text{div}_R(Rx_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and, therefore,  $\underline{\mathbf{P}}(R)$  is isomorphic to  $\{E + \sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i \mid E \in \underline{\mathbf{P}}(A), 0 \leq m_i < d_i, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ .

(2) For  $0 \leq m_i < d_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ),  $A(\sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i) \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{m_i}$  contains a  $G$ -homogeneous unit of  $R$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i \in \underline{\mathbf{P}}(A)$ .

We put  $D_R = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in V_H(A)} ((e_R(\mathfrak{p}) - 1)/e_R(\mathfrak{p}))\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Div}(A)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ .

LEMMA 2.6. For  $E \in \text{Div}(A)$ , there is the following isomorphism

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A(R, A(E)) \cong R(E + D_R; D_1, \dots, D_r, f_1, \dots, f_r).$$

PROOF. Let  $0 \leq m_i < d_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) and  $g = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \deg(x_i)$ . Then, for  $h \in H$ ,  $[\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A(R, A(E))]_{g+h} = [\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A(R^{(-g,H)}, A(E))]_{g+h}$  and

$$R^{(-g,H)} = A\left(\sum_{m_i > 0} (d_i - m_i)D_i\right) \prod_{m_i > 0} x_i^{d_i - m_i} = A\left(\sum_{m_i > 0} ((d_i - m_i)D_i - \underline{\mathrm{div}}_A(f_i))\right) \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{-m_i}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A(R^{(-g,H)}, A(E)) &= \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A\left(A\left(-\sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i\right) \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{-m_i}, A(E)\right) \\ &\cong \left[A(E) : A\left(-\sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i\right)\right] \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{m_i} = A\left(E - \left[-\sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i\right]\right) \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{m_i} \\ &= A\left(E + \left[D_R + \sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i\right]\right) \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{m_i} = A\left(E + D_R + \sum_{i=0}^r m_i D_i\right) \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{m_i} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A(R, A(E)) &= \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 0 \leq m_i < d_i}} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A\left(A\left(-\sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i\right) \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{-m_i}, A(E)\right) \\ &\cong R(E + D_R; D_1, \dots, D_r, f_1, \dots, f_r). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

If  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is  $H$ -local, then an  $H$ -canonical module  $\underline{K}_A$  is defined as an  $H$ -graded  $A$ -module satisfying  $\underline{K}_A \otimes_{A_0} \hat{A}_0 \cong [H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\hat{A})]^\vee$  where  $\hat{A} = A \otimes_{A_0} \hat{A}_0$  and  $d = \underline{\mathrm{dim}}(A)$  (cf. section 3 of [9]). Furthermore, if  $A$  is  $H$ -normal and  $\underline{K}_A$  exists, then  $\underline{K}_A$  is  $H$ -divisorial. We denote by  $\mathfrak{R}_A \in \underline{\mathrm{Div}}(A)$  the  $H$ -divisor satisfying  $\underline{K}_A = A(\mathfrak{R}_A)$ , if  $\underline{K}_A$  exists.

As a direct consequence of (2.6), we have the following:

PROPOSITION 2.7 (Theorem 3.2 of Tomari-Watanabe [14]). *Assume that  $A$  is  $H$ -local and has an  $H$ -canonical module  $\underline{K}_A = \underline{\mathrm{div}}_A(\mathfrak{R}_A)$ .*

- (1)  $\underline{K}_R = R(\mathfrak{R}_A + D_R; D_1, \dots, D_r, f_1, \dots, f_r)$ .
- (2)  $\underline{K}_R$  is free if and only if  $\mathfrak{R}_A + D_R - \sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i \in \underline{\mathrm{P}}(A)$  for some  $0 \leq m_i < d_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ).

### 3. Complete intersections.

We keep notations as in section 2. Suppose that  $R$  has a unique  $G$ -maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$ . We put  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{M}^{(H)} \subset A$  and assume that  $A/\mathfrak{m} = A_0/\mathfrak{m}_0$ .

In this section, we consider a condition for  $R$  to be (locally) a complete intersection under the following assumptions.

ASSUMPTION 3.1. (1)  $A$  is a factorial domain and locally a complete intersection.

(2)  $R/\mathfrak{M} = A/\mathfrak{m}$  ( $= A_0/\mathfrak{m}_0$ ) (or  $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i \notin \underline{P}(A)$  for  $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ ).

Throughout this section, we assume the above conditions (1) and (2).

We set  $\text{Deg}(R) = \{ \{ \sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i \} \mid 0 \leq m_i < d_i (1 \leq i \leq r) \}$  and denote by  $\text{Fund}(R)$  the set of minimal elements of  $\text{Deg}(R)$  with respect to the order of  $\underline{\text{Div}}(A)_{\mathbf{0}}$ .

Since  $[\sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i] \in \underline{P}(A)$ ,  $\{ \sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i \}$  is a principal ideal of  $R$  and  $\text{Deg}(R) \subset \underline{P}(R)$ . For  $E \in \text{Fund}(R)$ , we put  $y_E \in h(R)$  a homogeneous element satisfying  $\underline{\text{div}}_R(y_E) = E$ . Also, by (3.1),  $\alpha : G/H \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in V_H^1(A)} \mathbf{Z}/(e_R(\mathfrak{p}))$  is injective where  $\alpha$  is as in (1.20).

Put  $\Lambda = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in V_H^1(A) \mid e_R(\mathfrak{p}) > 1 \}$ . For  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ , we denote by  $G_{\Lambda'}$  a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G_{\Lambda'} \supset H$  and  $G_{\Lambda'}/H = \alpha^{-1}(\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Lambda'} \mathbf{Z}/(e_R(\mathfrak{p})))$ .

LEMMA 3.2. (1) For  $E \in \underline{P}(R)$  with  $E > 0$  and  $E \notin \underline{P}(A)$ , there exists  $D \in \text{Fund}(R)$  such that  $D \leq E$  (i.e.  $\text{Fund}(R)$  is the set of minimal elements of  $\{ E \in \underline{P}(R) \mid E > 0 \text{ and } E \notin \underline{P}(A) \}$ ).

(2)  $R = A[y_E \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R)]$ .

(2') Every element of  $\text{Deg}(R)$  is a sum of elements of  $\text{Fund}(R)$ .

(3)  $\text{Fund}(R^{G_{\Lambda'}}) = \{ E \in \text{Fund}(R) \mid \text{Supp}(E) \subset \Lambda' \}$  for  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ .

PROOF. (1) For every  $E \in \underline{P}(R) \setminus \underline{P}(A)$  with  $E > 0$ , there exist  $0 \leq m_i < d_i (1 \leq i \leq r)$  and  $E' \in \underline{P}(A)$  such that  $E = E' + \sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i$  and  $E' + [\sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i] \geq 0$  (cf. (1) of (2.5)). Hence  $E \geq \{ \sum_{i=1}^r m_i D_i \}$ . This implies the assertion (1).

(2) Let  $D \in \underline{P}(R)$  such that  $D > 0$  and  $D \notin \underline{P}(A)$ . Then there exists  $E_1 \in \text{Fund}(R)$  such that  $D \geq E_1$  by (1). Since  $0 \leq D - E_1 \in \underline{P}(R)$ , if  $D - E_1 \notin \underline{P}(A)$ , then there exists  $E_2 \in \text{Fund}(R)$  such that  $D - E_1 \geq E_2$ . Continuing this process, we can write  $D = \underline{\text{div}}_A(a) + \sum_{i=1}^s p_i E_i$  where  $a \in h(A)$ ,  $E_i \in \text{Fund}(R)$  and  $p_i$ 's are non-negative integers. This implies that  $R$  is generated by  $\{ y_E \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R) \}$  as an  $A$ -algebra.

(3) For  $y \in h(R)$ ,  $\text{deg}(y) \in G_{\Lambda'}$  if and only if  $\text{Supp}(\{ \underline{\text{div}}_R(y) \}) \subset \Lambda'$  by (1.20). Hence, by (1),  $\text{Fund}(R^{G_{\Lambda'}})$  is the set of minimal elements of  $\{ E \in \underline{P}(R) \mid [E] \neq E, E > 0 \text{ and } \text{Supp}(\{ E \}) \subset \Lambda' \}$  and coincide with  $\{ E \in \text{Fund}(R) \mid \text{Supp}(E) \subset \Lambda' \}$ .  $\square$

For  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ , we define a polynomial ring  $S_{\Lambda'}$  by  $S_{\Lambda'} = A[Y_E \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R^{G_{\Lambda'}})]$  and  $M_{\Lambda'} = \mathfrak{m}S_{\Lambda'} + (Y_E \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R^{G_{\Lambda'}}))$ . We define a surjective  $A$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\psi_{\Lambda'} : S_{\Lambda'} \rightarrow R^{(G_{\Lambda'})} \quad \text{by} \quad \psi_{\Lambda'}(Y_E) = y_E$$

for  $E \in \text{Fund}(R^{G_{\Lambda'}})$  and put  $J_{\Lambda'} = \ker(\psi_{\Lambda'})$ . It is easy to verify that  $J_{\Lambda'}$  is generated by elements of the form  $Y^\alpha - aY^\beta (\neq 0)$  ( $a \in h(A)$ ,  $Y^\alpha, Y^\beta$  are monomials of  $S_{\Lambda'}$ ) and  $J_{\Lambda'} = J_A \cap S_{\Lambda'}$ .

For  $E \in \text{Fund}(R)$ , there exists an element of  $J_A$  of the form  $Y_E^d - aY^\beta$ , where  $a \in h(A)$  and  $Y^\beta$  is a monomial of  $S_A$ , since  $G/H$  is torsion. Put  $d_E = \inf \{ d \mid 0 \neq Y_E^d - aY^\beta \in J_A \}$ . We fix an element

$$F(E) = Y_E^{d_E} - a_E Y^{\beta_E} \in J_A.$$

For  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ , if  $\text{Supp}(E) \subset \Lambda'$ , then  $F(E) \in J_{\Lambda'}$ . Moreover,  $\{F(E) \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})\}$  is a part of minimal basis of  $(J_{\Lambda'})_{M_{\Lambda'}}$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *For  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ ,  $R^{(G_{\Lambda'})}$  is locally a complete intersection if and only if  $J_{\Lambda'}$  is generated by  $\{F(E) \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})\}$ .*

To prove Proposition 3.3, we need some preliminaries.

For  $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ , we define a directed graph  $\mathcal{G}_{\Lambda'}$  of a vertex set  $V(\mathcal{G}_{\Lambda'}) = \{Y_E \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})\}$  and directed edges  $DE(\mathcal{G}_{\Lambda'})$  as follows: an ordered pair  $(Y_E, Y_D)$  is in  $DE(\mathcal{G}_{\Lambda'})$ , if  $Y_D$  divides  $Y^{\beta E}$  where  $Y^{\beta E}$  is as above.

**LEMMA 3.4.** ((3.5) of Nakajima [10] and (2.1) of Eto [5]). *Suppose that  $(J_{\Lambda'})_{M_{\Lambda'}}$  is generated by  $\{F(E) \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})\}$ .*

(1) *There exists a linear ordering  $\leq_{\Lambda'}$  on  $V(\mathcal{G}_{\Lambda'})$  such that  $Y_E \geq_{\Lambda'} Y_D$ , if  $(Y_E, Y_D) \in DE(\mathcal{G}_{\Lambda'})$ .*

(2)  *$\{F(E) \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})\}$  forms a regular sequence (in any order).*

**PROOF.** (1) We have only to show that  $\mathcal{G}_{\Lambda'}$  has no cycle. Therefore, we assume the contrary. Then there exist  $(Y_{E_1}, Y_{E_2}), (Y_{E_2}, Y_{E_3}), \dots, (Y_{E_{k-1}}, Y_{E_k}), (Y_{E_k}, Y_{E_1}) \in DE(\mathcal{G}_{\Lambda'})$ . We may assume that  $E_i \neq E_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then, by definition of  $DE(\mathcal{G}_{\Lambda'})$ ,  $\{F(E_1), \dots, F(E_k)\}$  is contained in  $(Y_{E_1}, \dots, Y_{E_k})$ . Since  $(J_{\Lambda'})_{M_{\Lambda'}}$  is generated by  $\{F(E) \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})\}$ , the number of minimal generators of  $(J_{\Lambda'})_{M_{\Lambda'}} + (Y_{E_1}, \dots, Y_{E_k})_{M_{\Lambda'}}$  is at most  $|\text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})| - k + k = |\text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})|$ . On the other hand, since  $R^{(G_{\Lambda'})}$  is  $G_{\Lambda'}$ -domain,  $Y_{E_1}$  is not a zero divisor of  $S_{\Lambda'}/J_{\Lambda'}$  and

$$|\text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})| = \text{ht}((J_{\Lambda'})_{M_{\Lambda'}}) < \text{ht}((J_{\Lambda'}, Y_{E_1}, \dots, Y_{E_k})_{M_{\Lambda'}}).$$

This is a contradiction. Hence  $\mathcal{G}_{\Lambda'}$  has no cycle.

(2) We put  $J' = (\{F(E) \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})\})$  and extend  $\leq_{\Lambda'}$  to a monomial ordering of  $S_{\Lambda'}$ , lexicographically. Then, for  $E \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})$ ,  $Y_E^{d_E} >_{\Lambda'} Y^{\beta E}$  and  $\{F(E) \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})\}$  forms a Gröbner basis of  $J'$  (cf. Proposition 9.2(b) of [13]). In particular, the initial term  $\text{in}(J')$  of  $J'$  is generated by  $\{Y_E^{d_E} \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})\}$ . By standard arguments of the theory of Gröbner basis, this implies  $\{F(E) \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})\}$  is a regular sequence. (See for instance [13].)  $\square$

**PROOF OF (3.3).** The “if” part follows from (3.4). We shall show the “only if” part.

We put  $S = S_{\Lambda'}$ ,  $M = M_{\Lambda'}$ , and  $J = J_{\Lambda'}$ . Since  $R^{(G_{\Lambda'})}$  is  $A$ -free, we have  $J/mJ \cong \ker(\psi_{\Lambda'} \otimes_A A/m)$ . Thus the number  $\mu(J_M)$  of minimal generators of  $J_M$  is equal to the number  $\mu(J_M + mS_M/mS_M)$ . Then, since  $(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})_M$  is complete intersection,  $\mu(J_M) = |\text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})|$  (cf. Theorem 2 of [2]). Namely, if we put  $J' = (\{F(E) \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})\})$ , then  $J_M = J'_M$  since  $\{F(E) \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})\}$  is part of minimal generators of  $J_M$ . Thus  $J = J' + MJ$  and  $J = J' + M^n J$  for every  $n > 0$ . For  $E \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})$ , we put  $d = \prod_{Y_D \leq_{\Lambda'} Y_E} d_D$  where  $<_{\Lambda'}$  is as in (1) of (3.4). By (2) of (3.1), there exists  $D \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\Lambda'})})$

such that  $Y_D <_{A'} Y_E$  and  $F(D) = Y_D^{a_D} - a_D$  for some  $a_D \in \mathfrak{m}$ . This implies that  $Y_E^d \in J' + \mathfrak{m}S$ . Hence, for  $n \gg 0$ ,  $M_{A'}^n J \subset J' + \mathfrak{m}S$  and  $J + \mathfrak{m}S/\mathfrak{m}S = J' + \mathfrak{m}S/\mathfrak{m}S$  (or  $S/J' + \mathfrak{m}S \cong (S/J') \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m} \cong R^{(G_{A'})} \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m}$ ). On the other hand,  $S/J'$  is  $A$ -free, by the proof of (2) of (3.4). Then  $\text{rank}_A(S/J') = \dim_{A/\mathfrak{m}}((S/J') \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m}) = \dim_{A/\mathfrak{m}}(R^{(G_{A'})} \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m}) = \text{rank}_A(R^{(G_{A'})})$  and the canonical surjection  $S/J' \rightarrow R^{(G_{A'})}$  is isomorphism. Hence we have  $J = J'$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *If  $R$  is locally a complete intersection, then so is  $R^{(G_{A'})}$  for any  $A' \subset A$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $R$  is locally a complete intersection. Then, by (3.3),  $J_A$  is generated by  $\{F(E) \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R)\}$ . Also, by the proof of (3.4),  $J_{A'} = J_A \cap S_{A'}$  is generated by  $\{F(E) \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R), F(E) \in S_{A'}\} = \{F(E) \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{A'})})\}$  for  $A' \subset A$ . Thus  $R^{(G_{A'})}$  is locally a complete intersection for  $A' \subset A$ .  $\square$

**DEFINITION 3.6.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite subset of  $\text{Div}(A)_{\mathbf{Q}} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $w : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_+$  be a map. Here we denote by  $\mathbf{N}_+$  the set of all positive integers. We call that  $(\Gamma, w)$  is a *datum*, if it satisfies the following conditions;

- (1) for  $D, E \in \Gamma$  ( $D \neq E$ ), one of the following cases occurs;
  - (a)  $\text{Supp}(D) \subsetneq \text{Supp}(E)$ , (b)  $\text{Supp}(D) \supsetneq \text{Supp}(E)$ , (c)  $\text{Supp}(D) \cap \text{Supp}(E) = \emptyset$ ,
- (2) for  $E \in \Gamma$ , there is a relation  $w(E)E = \sum_{i=1}^k E_i + \sum_{j=1}^l \mathfrak{p}_j$  where  $\{E_1, \dots, E_k\} = \{D \in \Gamma \mid D < E\}$  and  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_l\} = \text{Supp}(E) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{Supp}(E_i)$ . (We write  $D < E$  if  $\text{Supp}(D) \subsetneq \text{Supp}(E)$  and there is no element  $D' \in \Gamma$  such that  $\text{Supp}(D) \subsetneq \text{Supp}(D') \subsetneq \text{Supp}(E)$ .)

Let  $(\Gamma, w)$  be a datum. For  $E \in \Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(E)$ , we set

$$\Gamma_{E, \mathfrak{p}} = \{D \in \Gamma \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(D) \subset \text{Supp}(E)\},$$

$$e_E(\mathfrak{p}) = \prod_{D \in \Gamma_{E, \mathfrak{p}}} w(D).$$

Then we have  $E = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(E)} (1/e_E(\mathfrak{p}))\mathfrak{p}$ .

**DEFINITION 3.7.** Let  $(\Gamma, w)$  be a datum.

(1) Let  $A[Y_E \mid E \in \Gamma]$  be a polynomial ring over  $A$ . We put  $a_E \in h(A)$  a homogeneous element satisfying  $\text{div}_A(a_E) = w(E)E - \sum_{D < E} D$  for  $E \in \Gamma$  and set

$$R(\Gamma) = A[Y_E \mid E \in \Gamma] \left/ \left( Y_E^{w(E)} - a_E \prod_{D < E} Y_D \mid E \in \Gamma \right) \right.$$

(2) We put  $G(\emptyset) = H$  and define an Abelian group  $G(\Gamma)$  such that  $R(\Gamma)$  is a  $G(\Gamma)$ -graded ring by

$$G(\Gamma) = \mathbf{Z}^{(\Gamma)} \left/ \left\langle w(E)\mathbf{e}_E - \sum_{D < E} \mathbf{e}_D \mid E \in \Gamma \right\rangle \right.,$$

where  $\mathbf{Z}^{(\Gamma)} = \bigoplus_{E \in \Gamma} \mathbf{Z}e_E$  is a free abelian group with free basis  $\{e_E \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R)\}$ .

(3) Let  $\{E_1, \dots, E_t\} = \{E \in \Gamma \mid \text{Supp}(E) \text{ is maximal in } \{\text{Supp}(D) \mid D \in \Gamma\}\}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in V_H^1(A)$ . We put

$$e(\mathfrak{p}) = \begin{cases} e_{E_i}(\mathfrak{p}) & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(E_i) \text{ for some } i \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

EXAMPLE 3.8. Let  $k$  be a field. We put  $A = k[[a, b, c, d]]$  the formal power series ring and

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \frac{1}{12} \text{div}_A(ac) + \frac{1}{8} \text{div}_A(bd), \\ E_2 &= \frac{1}{6} \text{div}_A(a) + \frac{1}{4} \text{div}_A(b), & E_3 &= \frac{1}{6} \text{div}_A(c) + \frac{1}{4} \text{div}_A(d), \\ E_4 &= \frac{1}{3} \text{div}_A(a), & E_5 &= \frac{1}{2} \text{div}_A(b), & E_6 &= \frac{1}{3} \text{div}_A(c), & E_7 &= \frac{1}{2} \text{div}_A(d), \end{aligned}$$

and  $\Gamma = \{E_1, \dots, E_7\}$ . We define the map  $w : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_+$  by  $w(E_1) = w(E_2) = w(E_3) = w(E_5) = w(E_7) = 2$  and  $w(E_4) = w(E_6) = 3$ . Then  $(\Gamma, w)$  is a datum and

$$R(\Gamma) = A[Y_{E_1}, \dots, Y_{E_7}]/J$$

where  $J = (Y_{E_1}^2 - Y_{E_2}Y_{E_3}, Y_{E_2}^2 - Y_{E_4}Y_{E_5}, Y_{E_3}^2 - Y_{E_6}Y_{E_7}, Y_{E_4}^3 - a, Y_{E_5}^2 - b, Y_{E_6}^3 - c, Y_{E_7}^2 - d)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.9. *Let  $(\Gamma, w)$  be a datum. Then the following hold.*

- (1)  $R(\Gamma)$  is  $G(\Gamma)$ -normal and  $\underline{\text{Div}}(R(\Gamma)) \cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in V_H^1(A)} \mathbf{Z}(1/e(\mathfrak{p}))\mathfrak{p}$ .
- (2)  $\text{div}_R(Y_E R(\Gamma)) = E$  for  $E \in \Gamma$  by the identification as in (2.4).
- (3)  $\text{Fund}(R(\Gamma)) = \Gamma$ .

The above proposition follows from the next remark.

REMARK 3.10. Let  $B$  be a  $G'$ -normal and  $a \in h(B)$ . We put  $G'' = G' \oplus \mathbf{Z}/\langle (\text{deg}(a), -n) \rangle$ . Then, by (2.1) and (2.2),  $B' := B[X]/(X^n - a)$  is  $G''$ -normal if and only if  $\underline{\text{div}}_B(a)(\mathfrak{q}) \leq 1$  for every  $\mathfrak{q} \in V_{G'}^1(B)$ . In this case,  $\underline{\text{Div}}(B') = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in V_{G'}^1(B)} \mathbf{Z}(1/e(\mathfrak{q}))\mathfrak{q}$  and  $\underline{\text{div}}_{B'}(XB') = (1/n)\underline{\text{div}}_B(a)$  where  $e(\mathfrak{q}) = n$  (resp.  $e(\mathfrak{q}) = 1$ ), if  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Supp}(\underline{\text{div}}_B(a))$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{q} \notin \text{Supp}(\underline{\text{div}}_B(a))$ ) (cf. (2.4)).

We state our main result as follows.

THEOREM 3.11. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $R$  is locally a complete intersection.
- (2) There exists a datum  $(\Gamma, w)$  such that  $G \cong G(\Gamma)$  and  $R \cong R(\Gamma)$ .

The proof of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) of (3.11) is easy. Therefore, it is enough to show the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.12. *Suppose that  $R$  is locally a complete intersection. We put  $\Gamma = \text{Fund}(R)$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1) There exists a map  $w : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_+$  such that  $(\Gamma, w)$  is a datum.

- (2)  $R(\Gamma) = S_A/J_A (\cong R)$ .
- (3)  $G \cong G(\Gamma)$ .

PROOF. (1) We prove the assertion by induction on  $|A|$ . If  $A = \emptyset$ , then  $R = A$  and  $\text{Fund}(R) = \emptyset$ . We assume that  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Then, by (3.5),  $R^{(G_{A'})}$  is locally a complete intersection and, by induction hypothesis,  $\text{Fund}(R^{(G_{A'})})$  is a datum for all  $A' \subsetneq A$ . Since  $A$  is factorial,  $\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in A} \mathfrak{p} \in \underline{P}(A)$  and, by (2) of (2.7),  $D := \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in A} (1/e_R(\mathfrak{p}))\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Deg}(R)$ . The proof is divided into two cases: (1)  $D \notin \text{Fund}(R)$ , (2)  $D \in \text{Fund}(R)$ .

Case (1). We can write  $D = E_1 + \dots + E_p$  for  $E_1, \dots, E_p \in \text{Fund}(R)$  ( $p \geq 2$ ) by (3.2), (2'). Then  $\text{Supp}(E_i) \cap \text{Supp}(E_j) = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ . We put  $A_i = \text{Supp}(E_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ .

Let  $E' \in \text{Fund}(R)$ . If  $A_i \subset \text{Supp}(E')$ , then  $E_i \leq E'$  and, since  $E' \in \text{Fund}(R)$ , we have  $E_i = E'$ . We assume that  $A_i \not\subset \text{Supp}(E')$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ . Since  $\text{Supp}(E') \subset A$ ,  $\text{Supp}(E_j) \cap \text{Supp}(E') \neq \emptyset$  for some  $j$ . Then  $A' := A_j \cup \text{Supp}(E') \subsetneq A$  since  $A_j \not\subset \text{Supp}(E')$  for all  $i$  and  $A_i \cap A_k = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq k$ . By induction hypothesis and the fact that  $E_j, E' \in \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{A'})})$  (cf. (3.2)), we have  $\text{Supp}(E') \subset \text{Supp}(E_j)$ . Hence  $\text{Fund}(R)$  is disjoint union of  $\text{Fund}(R^{(G_{A_i})})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ). On the other hand,  $\text{Fund}(R^{(G_{A_i})})$  is a datum ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ), by induction hypothesis. Thus  $\text{Fund}(R)$  is also a datum.

Case (2)  $D \in \text{Fund}(R)$ . We put  $\{E_1, \dots, E_p\} = \{E \in \text{Fund}(R) \mid E < D\}$ .

Claim 1.  $\text{Supp}(E_i) \cap \text{Supp}(E_j) = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Assume that  $\text{Supp}(E_i) \cap \text{Supp}(E_j)$  is not empty for some  $i \neq j$ . Then we have that  $A = \text{Supp}(E_i) \cup \text{Supp}(E_j)$ , since  $\text{Fund}(R^{(G_{A'})})$  is a datum for any  $A' \subsetneq A$ . Since  $E_i + E_j \geq D$ , we have a relation  $E_i + E_j = nD + E$  where  $0 \leq E \in \underline{P}(R)$  and  $\text{Supp}(E) \subsetneq A$ . In other words,  $Y_{E_i} Y_{E_j} - a Y_D^n Y^E \in J_A$  where  $a \in h(A)$  such that  $\text{div}_R(a\psi_A(Y^E)) = E$ . Then, by (3.3), there exists  $E' \in \text{Fund}(R)$  such that  $Y^{\beta E'}$  divides  $Y_{E_i} Y_{E_j}$  and  $a_{E'}$  is unit where  $a_{E'} Y^{\beta E'}$  is the term of the polynomial  $F(E') \in S_A$ . Since  $E_i, E_j \in \text{Fund}(R)$ , we have  $Y^{\beta E'} = Y_{E_i} Y_{E_j}$  and  $F(E') = Y_{E_i}^{d_{E_i}} - a_{E'} Y_{E_i} Y_{E_j}$ . Also, since  $\text{Supp}(E') \supseteq \text{Supp}(E_i)$ , we have  $E' = D$ . Namely,  $d_D D = E_i + E_j$ . On the other hand, if there exists  $1 \leq k \leq p$ ,  $k \neq i, j$ , then  $\text{Supp}(E_i) \cup \text{Supp}(E_k) = A$  by induction hypothesis. Then  $E_i + E_k = d_D D$  and  $E_k = E_j$ . This is a contradiction. Hence we have  $p = 2$ .

We put  $\text{Supp}(E_1) \setminus \text{Supp}(E_2) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s\}$ ,  $\text{Supp}(E_1) \cap \text{Supp}(E_2) = \{\mathfrak{p}_{s+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t\}$  and  $\text{Supp}(E_2) \setminus \text{Supp}(E_1) = \{\mathfrak{p}_{t+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_m\}$ . Since  $d_D D = E_1 + E_2$ , we can write

$$E_1 = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{d_D}{e_R(\mathfrak{p}_i)} \mathfrak{p}_i + \sum_{i=s+1}^t \frac{n_i}{e_R(\mathfrak{p}_i)} \mathfrak{p}_i \quad \text{and} \quad E_2 = \sum_{i=s+1}^t \frac{n'_i}{e_R(\mathfrak{p}_i)} \mathfrak{p}_i + \sum_{i=t+1}^m \frac{d_D}{e_R(\mathfrak{p}_i)} \mathfrak{p}_i$$

where  $n_i + n'_i = d_D$  for  $s+1 \leq i \leq t$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $n_{s+1}$  is minimal in  $\{n_{s+1}, \dots, n_t, n'_{s+1}, \dots, n'_t\}$ . We put  $E = E_1 + \sum_{j=t+1}^m \mathfrak{p}_j - n_{s+1} D \in \underline{P}(R)$ . Then  $E \not\leq E_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $E = \{E\}$ , there exists  $E' \in \text{Fund}(R) \setminus \{D, E_1, E_2\}$  such that  $E' \leq E$  and  $\text{Supp}(E') \cap \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s\} \neq \emptyset$ . We note that, for every  $E'' \in \text{Fund}(R) \setminus \{D, E_1, E_2\}$ , either  $\text{Supp}(E'') \subset \text{Supp}(E_1)$  or  $\text{Supp}(E'') \subset \text{Supp}(E_2)$ . Hence  $\text{Supp}(E') \subset \text{Supp}(E_1)$  and  $E' \leq \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(E_1)} E(\mathfrak{p}) < E_1$ . This contradicts  $E_1 \in \text{Fund}(R)$ .

The proof of Claim 1 is completed.

We put  $\{p_1, \dots, p_q\} = \text{Supp}(D) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^p \text{Supp}(E_i)$ .

Claim 2.  $w(D)D = \sum_{i=1}^p E_i + \sum_{j=1}^q p_j$  for some  $w(D) > 0$ .

There exists an integer  $w > 0$  such that  $E := \sum_{i=1}^p E_i + \sum_{j=1}^q p - wD \geq 0$  and  $\text{Supp}(E) \subsetneq \Lambda$ . If  $E \neq 0$ , then there exists  $E' \in \text{Fund}(R) \setminus \{D\}$  such that  $E' \leq E$ . On the other hand, we have  $\text{Supp}(E') \subset \text{Supp}(E_i)$  for some  $i$ . Since  $\text{Supp}(E_i) \cap \text{Supp}(E_j) = \emptyset$  ( $i \neq j$ ),  $E' \leq \sum_{p \in \text{Supp}(E')} E(p)p < E_i$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $E = 0$  and  $wD = \sum_{i=1}^p E_i + \sum_{j=1}^q p$ .

Combining Claims 1, 2 and the induction hypothesis, we have that  $\text{Fund}(R) = \{D\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^p \text{Fund}(R^{(G_{\text{Supp}(E_i)})})$  is a datum.

(2) We prove that  $J_\Lambda = (Y_E^{w(E)} - a_E \prod_{E' < E} Y_{E'}) \mid E \in \text{Fund}(R)$  by induction on  $|\text{Fund}(R)|$ . If  $\text{Fund}(R) = \emptyset$ , then there is nothing to prove. We assume that  $\text{Fund}(R) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $E \in \text{Fund}(R)$  such that  $\text{Supp}(E)$  is maximal in  $\{\text{Supp}(D) \mid D \in \text{Fund}(R)\}$ . Then it suffices to show that locally a complete intersection  $R^{(G_{\text{Supp}(E)})}$  satisfies the above condition. Therefore we may assume that  $E = \sum_{p \in \Lambda} (1/e_R(p))p \in \text{Fund}(R)$ . We put  $\{E_1, \dots, E_p\} = \{D \in \text{Fund}(R) \mid D < E\}$ . Then, by induction hypothesis,  $R^{(G_{\text{Supp}(E_i)})}$  satisfies the assertion (2) ( $1 \leq i \leq p$ ). Then we have only to show that  $J_\Lambda = (Y_E^{w(E)} - a_E \prod_{i=1}^p Y_{E_i}) + \sum_{i=1}^p J_{\text{Supp}(E_i)}$ .

Let  $E'_1, \dots, E'_t \in \text{Fund}(R) \setminus \{E\}$ . Assume that there exists a relation

$$\underline{\text{div}}_\Lambda(a) + dE + \sum_{i=1}^s d_i E'_i = \underline{\text{div}}_\Lambda(b) + \sum_{i=s+1}^t d_i E'_i.$$

Then, by definition of a datum,  $E'_1, \dots, E'_t \in \bigoplus_{p \in \Lambda} \mathbf{Z}(w(E)/e_R(p))p$ . Hence  $dE \in \bigoplus_{p \in \Lambda} \mathbf{Z}(w(E)/e_R(p))p$  and  $w(E)$  divides  $d$ . This implies  $J_\Lambda = (Y_E^{w(E)} - a_E \prod_{i=1}^p Y_{E_i}) + \sum_{i=1}^p J_{\text{Supp}(E_i)}$ .

The assertion (3) follows from definition of  $G(\text{Fund}(R))$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Abelian extensions which are complete intersections.

Let  $A$  be a Noetherian normal domain with  $K = Q(A)$  and  $L$  be a finite Abelian extension of  $K$  with  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ . An integral closure  $R$  of  $A$  in  $L$  is called an Abelian extension of  $A$  with a Galois group  $G$ .

REMARK 4.1. We put  $\hat{G} = \text{Hom}(G, U(A))$ , where  $U(A)$  is the multiplicative group of units of  $A$ . Assume that  $ch(A)$  does not divide  $|G|$ , if  $ch(A)$  is positive and  $A$  contains a primitive  $|G|$ -th root of unity. Then  $R$  can be regarded as  $\hat{G}$ -graded ring in the following sense.

For  $g \in \hat{G}$ , we set  $R_g = \{a \in R \mid \sigma(a) = g(\sigma)a \text{ for every } \sigma \in G\}$ . Then

- (1)  $R_0 = R^G = A$ ,
- (2)  $R_g R_h \subset R_{g+h}$  for every  $g, h \in \hat{G}$ ,
- (3)  $R = \sum_{g \in \hat{G}} R_g = \bigoplus_{g \in \hat{G}} R_g$ .

(See 2 of Itoh [8].)



As a consequence of (3.11), we have the following.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $A$  be a complete intersection factorial local domain and  $G$  be a finite Abelian group of  $n=|G|$ . Assume that*

- (i) *either  $ch(A)=0$  or  $ch(A)=p>0$  and  $(p, n)=1$ ,*
- (ii)  *$A$  contains a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity.*

*Let  $(R, \mathfrak{n})$  be a local ring such that  $R \supset A$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  *$R$  is an Abelian extension of  $A$  with Galois group  $G$  such that  $R/\mathfrak{n} \cong A/\mathfrak{m}$  and is a complete intersection.*
- (2) *There exists a datum  $(\Gamma, w)$  (in  $\text{Div}(A)_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ ) such that  $G \cong G(\Gamma)$  and  $R \cong R(\Gamma)$ .*

**PROOF.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): This follows from (4.1) and (3.11). (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $E \in \Gamma$  such that  $\text{Supp}(E)$  is maximal in  $\{\text{Supp}(D) \mid D \in \Gamma\}$  and  $\{E_1, \dots, E_s\} = \{D \in \Gamma \mid D < E\}$ . By Proposition 1.12 of Tomari-Watanabe [14], if  $R\{\Gamma \setminus \{E\}\}$  is normal domain, then so is

$$R(\Gamma) \cong R(\Gamma \setminus \{E\})[Y_E] / \left( Y_E^{d_E} - a_E \prod_{i=1}^s y_{E_i} \right).$$

Hence  $R$  is normal domain. Also, by assumptions (i) and (ii), we have  $Q(R)$  is Galois extension of  $K$  and  $\text{Gal}(Q(R)/K) \cong G(\Gamma) \cong G$ .  $\square$

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** The author would like to express his appreciation to Doctor Kazuhiko Kurano for stimulating discussion. Thanks are also due to Professor Kei-ichi Watanabe and Professor Shiro Goto for several useful suggestions.

### References

- [1] D. F. ANDERSON, Graded Krull domains, *Comm. Algebra* **7** (1979), 79–106.
- [2] L. AVRAMOV, Flat morphisms of complete intersections, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **16** (1975), 1413–1417.
- [3] N. BOURBAKI, *Algèbre Commutative*, Hermann (1965).
- [4] M. DEMAZURE, Anneaux gradués normaux, *Seminaire Demazure-Giraud-Teissier, Singularites des Surfaces*, Ecole Polytechnique (1979), 35–68.
- [5] K. ETO, Ideals associated with free abelian groups, preprint (1994).
- [6] S. GOTO and K.-i. WATANABE, On graded rings, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **30** (1978), 172–213.
- [7] P. GRIFFITH, Normal extensions of regular local rings, *J. Algebra* **105** (1987), 465–475.
- [8] S. ITOH, Cyclic Galois extensions of regular local rings, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **19** (1989), 309–318.
- [9] Y. KAMOI, Noetherian rings graded by an Abelian group, *Tokyo J. Math.* **18** (1995), 31–48.
- [10] H. NAKAJIMA, Affine torus embeddings which are complete intersections, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **38** (1986), 85–98.
- [11] C. NĂSTĂSESCU and F. Van OYSTAEYEN, *Graded Ring Theory*, North-Holland (1982).
- [12] P. ROBERTS, Abelian extensions of regular local rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **78** (1980), 307–310.
- [13] L. ROBBIANO, *Introduction to the Theory of Gröbner Basis*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math. **80** (1988).
- [14] M. Tomari and K.-i. WATANABE, Normal  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -graded rings and normal cyclic covers, *Manuscripta Math.* **76** (1992), 325–340.

- [15] K.-i. WATANBE, Invariant subrings which are complete intersections, I, Nagoya Math. J. **77** (1980), 89–98.
- [16] K.-i. WATANABE, Some remarks concerning Demazure's construction of normal graded rings, Nagoya Math. J. **83** (1981), 203–211.

*Present Address:*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY,  
MINAMI-OHSAWA, HACHIOJI-SHI, TOKYO, 192-03 JAPAN.  
*e-mail:* kanoi@math.metro-u.ac.jp