

Conjugate Connections and Moduli Spaces of Connections

Shoshichi KOBAYASHI and Eriko SHINOZAKI

University of California, Berkeley and International Christian University

(Communicated by T. Nagano)

1. Introduction.

Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and H a closed subgroup with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} . Let $\text{Aut}(G, H)$ be the group of automorphisms of G leaving all elements of H fixed, and $\text{Inn}(G, H)$ its normal subgroup consisting of inner automorphisms.

Given a principal G -bundle P , let $\mathcal{C}(P)$ be the space of connections in P and $\mathcal{G}(P)$ the group of gauge transformations of P . The main purpose of this note is to prove the following statement, (see Theorem 2 for more details).

If the structure group G of P can be reduced to H , then the group $\text{Aut}(G, H)/\text{Inn}(G, H)$ acts on the moduli space $\mathcal{C}(P)/\mathcal{G}(P)$, and the action is free on the generic part of $\mathcal{C}(P)/\mathcal{G}(P)$.

2. Definitions and theorems.

In our previous paper [2] we introduced the concept of conjugate connection in principal bundles. We first recall its definition.

Let P be a principal G -bundle over a manifold M with projection π . Let Q be a principal H -subbundle of P ; in general, such a subbundle Q may not exist. We cover M by open sets U_i with local sections $s_i: U_i \rightarrow Q$. Then the transition functions a_{ij} are defined by

$$s_j(x) = s_i(x)a_{ij}(x) \quad x \in U_i \cap U_j.$$

It is important to take local sections of the subbundle Q so that the a_{ij} 's are H -valued.

A connection in P is given by a family of \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form $\{\omega_i\}$, where each ω_i is defined on U_i and the forms ω_i and ω_j are related by (see [1; Proposition 1.4 on p. 66])

$$(1) \quad \omega_j = a_{ij}^{-1}\omega_i a_{ij} + a_{ij}^{-1}da_{ij} \quad \text{on } U_i \cap U_j.$$

Given $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G, H)$, we set

$$\omega_i^\sigma = \sigma(\omega_i).$$

Apply σ to (1). Since a_{ij} is H -valued and hence invariant by σ , $\{\omega_i^\sigma\}$ defines a connection in P . We call it the σ -conjugate of the connection $\{\omega_i\}$ relative to Q . This defines an action of $\text{Aut}(G, H)$ on the space $\mathcal{C}(P)$ of connections in P .

Given a gauge transformation $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}(P)$ and an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G, H)$, we constructed a gauge transformation φ^σ so that $\text{Aut}(G, H)$ acts on $\mathcal{G}(P)$ as an automorphism group. We recall the definition. A gauge transformation φ of P is a transformation of P commuting with the right action of G and inducing the identity transformation on the base space M . With respect to a local section s_i of Q we express φ by a map $\varphi_i: U_i \rightarrow G$ as follows:

$$(2) \quad \varphi(s_i(x)) = s_i(x)\varphi_i(x) \quad x \in U_i.$$

Then

$$(3) \quad \varphi_j(x) = a_{ij}(x)^{-1}\varphi_i(x)a_{ij}(x) \quad x \in U_i \cap U_j.$$

Conversely, a family $\{\varphi_i\}$ which is related by (3) defines a gauge transformation φ of P .

Applying $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G, H)$ to (3), we obtain

$$\sigma(\varphi_j(x)) = a_{ij}(x)^{-1}\sigma(\varphi_i(x))a_{ij}(x) \quad x \in U_i \cap U_j.$$

Therefore, the family $\{\sigma(\varphi_i)\}$ defines a gauge transformation of P , which we denote by φ^σ .

Given a connection form $\{\omega_i\}$ on P , a gauge transformation $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}$ induces a new connection $\{\theta_i\}$ by

$$(4) \quad \theta_i = \varphi_i^{-1}\omega_i\varphi_i + \varphi_i^{-1}d\varphi_i.$$

In [2] we proved

THEOREM 1. *If two connections $\{\omega_i\}$ and $\{\theta_i\}$ in P are gauge equivalent under φ , then their σ -conjugates $\{\omega_i^\sigma\}$ and $\{\theta_i^\sigma\}$ are gauge equivalent under φ^σ . Thus, the group $\text{Aut}(G, H)$ acts on the moduli space of connections $\mathcal{C}(P)/\mathcal{G}(P)$.*

It was pointed out by M. Itoh that $\text{Inn}(G, H)$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{C}(P)/\mathcal{G}(P)$. Thus $\text{Aut}(G, H)/\text{Inn}(G, H)$ acts on $\mathcal{C}(P)/\mathcal{G}(P)$. The purpose of this note is to show that this action is generically free in the following sense.

Fix a point $u_0 \in Q$, and let Ψ_{u_0} be the holonomy group of the connection ω with respect to the reference point u_0 . We call a connection in P *generic* if its holonomy group coincides with G .

THEOREM 2. *Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G, H)$ and $\{\omega_i\} \in \mathcal{C}(P)$. Assume that $\{\omega_i^\sigma\}$ is gauge equivalent to $\{\omega_i\}$ under a gauge transformation φ . If we define an element $a \in G$ by $\varphi(u_0) = u_0a$, then,*

$$\sigma(g) = a^{-1}ga \quad \text{for } g \in \Psi_{u_0}.$$

In particular, if the holonomy group is G , then σ is the inner automorphism defined by

a^{-1} above.

As a consequence, $\text{Aut}(G, H)/\text{Inn}(G, H)$ acts freely on the generic part of $\mathcal{C}(P)/\mathcal{G}(P)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.

We shall first show that if $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G, H)$ is inner so that

$$\sigma(g) = a^{-1}ga, \quad g \in G,$$

then there is a gauge transformation φ_a such that a connection $\{\omega_i\}$ and its σ -conjugate $\{\omega_i^\sigma\}$ are gauge equivalent under φ_a .

Since σ leaves every element of H fixed, a commutes with every element of H . Define a constant map $\varphi_i: U_i \rightarrow G$ by

$$\varphi_i(x) = a, \quad x \in U_i.$$

Then $\{\varphi_i\}$ satisfies (3) and defines a gauge transformation of P , which we call φ_a . Then from (4) it is evident that φ_a sends $\{\omega_i\}$ to $\{\omega_i^\sigma\}$. This proves that $\text{Aut}(G, H)/\text{Inn}(G, H)$ acts on $\mathcal{C}(P)/\mathcal{G}(P)$.

Before we start the proof of Theorem 2, we first need to express a connection $\{\omega_i\}$ by a globally defined \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form ω on P . Identifying $U_i \times G$ with $\pi^{-1}(U_i)$ by

$$(x, g) \mapsto s_i(x)g, \quad x \in U_i, g \in G,$$

we use (x, g) as a local coordinate for P . Then

$$(5) \quad \omega = g^{-1}\omega_i g + g^{-1}dg,$$

so that $\omega_i = s_i^*(\omega)$.

Every element $u \in P$ is of the form $u = s_i(x)g$, $g \in G$. Given $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G, H)$, define a transformation h_σ of P by

$$(6) \quad h_\sigma(s_i(x)g) = s_i(x)\sigma(g), \quad g \in G.$$

As a transformation of $U_i \times G$, h_σ is given by

$$(7) \quad h_\sigma: (x, g) \mapsto (x, \sigma(g)).$$

We note that $\sigma(\omega)$ is not, in general, a connection in P , let alone the σ -conjugate of ω . The σ -conjugate ω^σ of ω is given by

$$(8) \quad \omega^\sigma = (h_\sigma^{-1})^*(\sigma(\omega)) = \sigma(((h_\sigma^{-1})^*\omega)).$$

In fact, by (5) and (7)

$$\omega^\sigma = g^{-1}\sigma(\omega_i)g + g^{-1}dg = (h_\sigma^{-1})^*(\sigma(g^{-1}\omega_i g + g^{-1}dg)) = (h_\sigma^{-1})^*(\sigma(\omega)).$$

We quickly recall the definition of the holonomy group. Fix points x_0 in M and $u_0 \in Q \subset P$ such that $\pi(u_0) = x_0$. Given a curve $x(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, in M with $x(0) = x_0$, the

parallel displacement of u_0 along $x(t)$ is a curve $u(t)$ in P such that

- (a) $\pi(u(t)) = x(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$,
- (b) The velocity vector $u'(t)$ of $u(t)$ is horizontal, i.e., $\omega(u'(t)) = 0$,
- (c) $u(0) = u_0$.

A curve $u(t)$ satisfying (a) and (b) is called a horizontal lift of $x(t)$.

Assume that $c = x(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, is a closed curve, i.e., $x(0) = x(1) = x_0$. Let $\tilde{c} = u(t)$ be the parallel displacement of u_0 along $x(t)$. Then $u(0) = u_0$ and $u(1)$ are in the same fiber of P . So, there is a unique element τ_c of G such that $u(1) = u_0 \tau_c$. Consider all piecewise smooth closed curves c starting from and ending at x_0 . Then the set of all τ_c forms a subgroup of G . This group, denoted by Ψ_{u_0} , is the holonomy group with reference to u_0 .

LEMMA 1. *Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G, H)$. If a curve $u(t)$ in P is horizontal with respect to a connection ω , i.e., $\omega(u'(t)) = 0$, then $h_\sigma(u(t))$ is horizontal with respect to ω^σ .*

PROOF. By (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^\sigma(h_\sigma(u'(t))) &= ((h_\sigma^{-1})^*(\omega))(h_\sigma(u'(t))) = (\sigma(\omega))(h_\sigma^{-1}(h_\sigma(u'(t)))) \\ &= (\sigma(\omega))(u'(t)) = \sigma(\omega(u'(t))) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The following two lemmas are even more trivial.

LEMMA 2. *Let φ be the gauge transformation of P . If a curve $u(t)$ is horizontal with respect to ω , then the curve $\varphi^{-1}(u(t))$ is horizontal with respect to $\varphi^*\omega$, i.e., $(\varphi^*\omega)(\varphi^{-1}(u'(t))) = 0$.*

LEMMA 3. *If two curves $v(t)$ and $w(t)$ of P are horizontal lifts of a curve $x(t)$ in M with respect to a connection θ , then there is a constant element $a \in G$ such that*

$$w(t) = v(t)a \quad \text{for all } t.$$

Using these lemmas we shall now complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Assuming that ω^σ is gauge equivalent to ω under φ , we set $\theta = \omega^\sigma = \varphi^*\omega$. Let $c = x(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, be a closed curve in M . Let $u(t)$ be the horizontal lift of $x(t)$ with respect to ω such that $u(0) = u_0 \in Q$.

Then, by Lemma 2, $(\varphi^{-1}(u(t)))$ is horizontal with respect to θ , and by Lemma 1, $h_\sigma(u(t))$ is horizontal with respect to θ . Since $\varphi^{-1}(u(t))$ and $h_\sigma(u(t))$ are lifts of $x(t)$,

$$h_\sigma(u(t)) = \varphi^{-1}(u(t))a(t) \quad \text{with } a(t) \in G.$$

By Lemma 3, $a(t)$ is a constant element, say a , of G . Hence,

$$h_\sigma(u(t)) = \varphi^{-1}(u(t))a.$$

Setting $t = 0, 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_\sigma(u(0)) &= \varphi^{-1}(u(0))a, \\ h_\sigma(u(1)) &= \varphi^{-1}(u(1))a. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau_c \in G$ be the holonomy element defined by c , i.e., $u(1) = u(0)\tau_c$. Since $u(0) = u_0 \in Q$ is fixed by h_σ , we have

$$h_\sigma(u(1)) = h_\sigma(u(0)\tau_c) = u(0)\sigma(\tau_c).$$

On the other hand, since the gauge transformation φ^{-1} commutes with the right action of G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(0)\sigma(\tau_c) &= h_\sigma(u(1)) = \varphi^{-1}(u(1))a = \varphi^{-1}(u(0)\tau_c)a \\ &= (\varphi^{-1}(u(0)))\tau_c a = h_\sigma(u(0))a^{-1}\tau_c a = u(0)a^{-1}\tau_c a. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\sigma(\tau_c) = a^{-1}\tau_c a$. Since every element g of Ψ_{u_0} is of the form τ_c for some c , we have $\sigma(g) = a^{-1}ga$ for all $g \in \Psi_{u_0}$, completing the proof of Theorem 2.

4. Example.

Consider the symmetric pair $(SO(r), SO(p) \times SO(q))$, $p+q=r$, which defines the Grassmann manifold of oriented p -planes in an r -dimensional real vector space. Since the case p or q is 2 is a little exceptional in that the Grassmann manifold is a hyperquadric and a Hermitian symmetric space, we assume that neither p nor q is 2. Then $\text{Aut}(SO(r), SO(p) \times SO(q))$ consists of two elements, namely, the identity and the symmetry σ given by

$$\sigma: X \longmapsto I_{p,q}^{-1} X I_{p,q},$$

where

$$I_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & -I_q \end{pmatrix}.$$

The automorphism σ of $SO(r)$ is inner if at least one of p, q is even. (If p is even and q is odd, use $-I_{p,q} \in SO(r)$ to define σ .)

We shall show that it is not inner if both p and q are odd. Let X be an element of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(r)$. Since r is even, $\det(X) = p(X)^2$, where $p(X)$ is the Pfaffian of X . We have

$$p(\sigma(X)) = p(I_{p,q} X I_{p,q}) = \det(I_{p,q}) p(X) = -p(X).$$

If σ is an inner automorphism given by some element $A \in SO(r)$, then

$$p(\sigma(X)) = p(A X A) = \det(A) p(X) = p(X),$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus, if P is an $SO(r)$ -bundle that is reducible to an $SO(p) \times SO(q)$ -subbundle Q , then the group \mathbf{Z}_2 acts on the moduli space $\mathcal{C}(P)/\mathcal{G}(P)$ in such a way that its action on the generic part is free.

As we pointed out in [2], $\text{Aut}(G, H)$ acts also on the moduli space of Yang-Mills

connections, and the statement above holds also as an action on the moduli space of Yang-Mills connections.

References

- [1] S. KOBAYASHI and K. NOMIZU, *Foundations of Differential Geometry, vol. 1*, Wiley (1963).
- [2] S. KOBAYASHI and E. SHINOZAKI, Conjugate connections in principal bundles, *Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, VII, (in honor of K. Nomizu)*, World Scientific Publ., to appear.

Present Addresses:

SHOSHICHI KOBAYASHI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY,
BERKELEY, CA 94720, USA.

ERIKO SHINOZAKI

DIVISION OF NATURAL SCIENCES, INTERNATIONAL CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY,
MITAKA, TOKYO, 181 JAPAN.