On Deformations of Einstein-Weyl Structures

Minyo KATAGIRI

Nara Women's University
(Communicated by T. Nagano)

1. Introduction.

Let M be an n-dimensional manifold with a conformal class C. A conformal connection on M is an affine connection D preserving the conformal class C. We also assume D is torsion-free. The triple (M, C, D) is called a Weyl manifold or (C, D) is called a Weyl structure on M. A Weyl manifold admits an Einstein-Weyl structure if the symmetric part of the Ricci curvature of the conformal connection is proportional to a conformal metric which belongs to C. The Einstein-Weyl equations on the metric and affine connection are conformally invariant nonlinear partial differential equations. If (M, g) is an Einstein manifold, then this conformal class C and the Levi-Civita connection defines an Einstein-Weyl structure. So the notion of the Einstein-Weyl manifolds is a generalization of an Einstein metric to conformal structures.

In this paper we consider infinitesimal deformations of an Einstein metric as an Einstein-Weyl structure, and we prove any such deformation comes from conformal Killing vector fields provided certain conditions of curvatures are satisfied.

2. Preliminaries.

Let (M, C, D) be a Weyl manifold. We assume $n = \dim M \ge 3$. This implies the existence of a 1-form ω_g such that $Dg = \omega_g \otimes g$. Let Ric^D denote the Ricci curvature of D. In general, Ricci curvature of conformal connection is not symmetric, so we denote by $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathrm{Ric}^D)$ its symmetric part. The scalar curvature R_g^D of D with respect to $g \in C$ is defined by

$$R_g^D = \operatorname{tr}_g \operatorname{Ric}^D. \tag{1}$$

A Weyl manifold (M, C, D) is said to be *Einstein-Weyl manifold* if the symmetric part of the Ricci curvature Ric^D is proportional to the metric g in C. So the

Einstein-Weyl equations are

$$\operatorname{Sym}(\operatorname{Ric}^{D}) = \frac{R_{g}^{D}}{n} g. \tag{2}$$

Note that $R_g^D g$ is conformally invariant quantity. In terms of the Ricci curvature and the scalar curvature of the metric $g \in C$, the Einstein-Weyl equations can be written by

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{g} + \frac{n-2}{4} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\omega_{g}} g + \omega_{g} \otimes \omega_{g} \right) = \frac{1}{n} \left\{ R_{g} + \frac{n-2}{4} |\omega_{g}|^{2} - \frac{n-2}{2} \delta_{g} \omega_{g} \right\} g \tag{3}$$

where \mathscr{L} is the Lie derivative, δ_g is the codifferential of g, and the vector field ω_g^* is defined as $\omega_g(X) = g(X, \omega_g^*)$ for all vector fields X.

LEMMA 1. Let (M, g) be an Einstein manifold, then the conformal class [g] of g, and the Levi-Civita connection ∇_a of g defines an Einstein-Weyl structure on M.

PROOF. Obvious from the definition.

3. Deformations of Einstein-Weyl structures.

In this section, we consider deformations of Einstein-Weyl structures at Einstein metrics. Let (M, g) be an Einstein *n*-manifold. Consider a 1-parameter family of Riemannian metrics g_t with $g_0 = g$, and 1-forms ω_t with $\omega_0 = 0$. These define Weyl structures (C_t, D_t) on M by $D_t g_t = \omega_t \otimes g_t$. Set $h := dg_t/dt|_{t=0}$, and $\alpha := d\omega_t/dt|_{t=0}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathrm{tr}_a h = 0$ and $\delta_a h = 0$.

DEFINITION 2. The Einstein-Weyl structure $(M, [g], \nabla_g)$ on an Einstein manifold is *conformally rigid* if h=0 and α^* is a conformal Killing vector of g for all deformations $(g(t), \omega(t))$ as above.

Define the curvature operator $\operatorname{Rm}_q: \Gamma(S^2(T^*M)) \to \Gamma(S^2(T^*M))$ by

$$Rm_a(h)_{ij} = -R_{ikil}h^{kl}. (4)$$

Its first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(Rm_a)$ is given by

$$\lambda_1(\mathrm{Rm}_g) = \inf_{h \neq 0} \left(\int_M (\mathrm{Rm}_g(h), h) d\mu_g \right) / \left(\int_M |h|^2 d\mu_g \right). \tag{5}$$

THEOREM 3. Let (M, g) be a closed Einstein n-manifold. Assume that first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(Rm_a)$ of the curvature operator Rm_a satisfies

$$\lambda_1(Rm_g) > \min\left\{\frac{R_g}{n}, -\frac{R_g}{2n}\right\}. \tag{6}$$

Then the Einstein-Weyl structure $(M, [g], \nabla_a)$ is conformally rigid.

PROOF. A direct calculation shows

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left. \Gamma_{jk}^{i} \right|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_{j} h_{k}^{i} + \nabla_{k} h_{j}^{i} - \nabla^{i} h_{jk} \right),$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left. R_{lij}^{k} \right|_{t=0} = \nabla_{i} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left. \Gamma_{lj}^{k} \right|_{t=0} \right) - \nabla_{j} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left. \Gamma_{li}^{k} \right|_{t=0} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_{i} \nabla_{l} h_{j}^{k} + \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} h_{l}^{k} - \nabla_{i} \nabla^{k} h_{lj} - \nabla_{j} \nabla_{l} h_{i}^{k} - \nabla_{j} \nabla_{i} h_{l}^{k} + \nabla_{j} \nabla^{k} h_{li} \right).$$
(7)

Because h is traceless and divergence-free, we get, from the second Bianchi identity,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Ric}_{g}\Big|_{t=0} = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\Delta}_{g}h + \frac{R_{g}}{n}h + \operatorname{Rm}_{g}(h), \qquad (8)$$

where $\bar{\Delta}_g$ is the rough Laplacian acting on symmetric 2-tensor defined by

$$(\bar{\Delta}_{a}h)_{ij} = \nabla^{k}\nabla_{k}h_{ij}. \tag{9}$$

The Einstein-Weyl equation is

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{g} + \frac{n-2}{4} \mathcal{L}_{\omega_{g}^{*}} g + \frac{n-2}{4} \omega_{g} \otimes \omega_{g} = A_{g} g , \qquad (10)$$

where

$$A_g := \frac{1}{n} \left\{ R_g + \frac{n-2}{4} |\omega_g|^2 - \frac{n-2}{2} \delta_g \omega_g \right\}. \tag{11}$$

Differentiating this, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Ric}_{g}\Big|_{t=0} + \frac{n-2}{4}\mathcal{L}_{\alpha} g = A_{g}h + \frac{d}{dt}A_{g}\Big|_{t=0}g. \tag{12}$$

Therefore, we have

$$-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\Delta}_g h + \operatorname{Rm}_g(h) + \frac{n-2}{4} \mathcal{L}_{\alpha} g = \frac{d}{dt} A_g \bigg|_{t=0} g.$$
 (13)

Define the operators $T: \Gamma(S^2(T^*M)) \to \Gamma(T_3^0(M))$ and $S: \Gamma(S^2(T^*M)) \to \Gamma(T_3^0(M))$ by

$$(T(h))(X, Y, Z) = \alpha(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) + \beta(\nabla_Y h)(Z, X) + \gamma(\nabla_Z h)(X, Y),$$

$$(S(h))(X, Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y h)(Z, X)$$
,

where α , β , $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = 1$. Set $u = \alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha$. Then $\max u = 1$ and $\min u = -1/2$. By a direct caluculation, we get

$$\int_{M} |T(h)|^{2} d\mu_{g} = \int_{M} |\nabla h|^{2} d\mu_{g} + 2u \int_{M} (S(h), \nabla h) d\mu_{g}$$

$$= \int_{M} (-\bar{\Delta}_{g}h, h) d\mu_{g} + 2u \int_{M} (\delta_{g}S(h), h) d\mu_{g}.$$

Note that h is divergence-free, and we have, from the second Bianchi identity,

$$\begin{split} (\delta_g S(h))_{ij} &= -\nabla^k (S(h))_{kij} = -\nabla^k \nabla_i h_{jk} \\ &= -\nabla_i \nabla^k h_{jk} + g^{km} R^l_{jmi} h_{lk} + g^{km} R^l_{kmi} h_{jl} \\ &= -(\mathrm{Rm}_g(h))_{ij} - R^l_i h_{jl} = -(\mathrm{Rm}_g(h))_{ij} - \frac{R_g}{n} h_{ij} \,. \end{split}$$

Hence using the tracelessness and divergence-freeness of h, we get

$$0 \leq \int_{M} \left(-\overline{\Delta}_{g}h - 2u\operatorname{Rm}_{g}(h) - 2u\frac{R_{g}}{n}h, h \right) d\mu_{g}.$$

$$= \int_{M} \left(-(1+u)\operatorname{Rm}_{g}(h) - 2u\frac{R_{g}}{n}h - \frac{n-2}{2}\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^{1}}g, h \right) d\mu_{g}$$

$$= \int_{M} \left(-2(1+u)\operatorname{Rm}_{g}(h) - 2u\frac{R_{g}}{n}h, h \right) d\mu_{g}.$$

Thus we get

$$u \frac{R_g}{n} \int_M |h|^2 d\mu_g \le -(1+u) \int_M (Rm_g(h), h) d\mu_g.$$
 (14)

Assume $h \neq 0$. If $u = -\frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\frac{R_g}{n} \ge \left(\int_M (\operatorname{Rm}_g(h), h) d\mu_g \right) / \left(\int_M |h|^2 d\mu_g \right) \ge \lambda_1(\operatorname{Rm}_g) > \frac{R_g}{n}, \tag{15}$$

which is a contradiction. If u=1, then

$$-\frac{R_g}{2n} \ge \left(\int_M (\operatorname{Rm}_g(h), h) d\mu_g \right) / \left(\int_M |h|^2 d\mu_g \right) \ge \lambda_1(\operatorname{Rm}_g) > -\frac{R_g}{2n} , \qquad (16)$$

which leads also a contradiction. Therefore h=0, and α^* is a conformal Killing vector of g. \square

REMARK 4. (1) The deformations of Einstein-Weyl structures on odd dimensional sphere includes all the perturbation of the standard Einstein metric.

- (2) If (M, g) is not conformal to the standard sphere, then all conformal Killing vector fields are Killing ([4]).
 - (3) Recently, Pedersen et al. also consider the deformations of Einstein-Weyl

structures at Einstein metrics using the Gauduchon metrics (see [7]).

References

- [1] A. L. Besse, Einstein Manifolds, Erg. Math. Grenzgeb. 10 (1987), Springer.
- [2] G. B. FOLLAND, Weyl manifolds, J. Diff. Geom. 4 (1970), 145-153.
- [3] P. GAUDUCHON, La 1-forme de torsion d'une varièté hermitienne compact, Math. Ann. 267 (1984), 495-518.
- [4] S. Kobayashi, Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry, CIM, Springer (1995).
- [5] N. Koiso, Non-deformability of Einstein metrics, Osaka J. Math. 15 (1978), 419-433.
- [6] H. PEDERSEN, Y. S. POON and A. SWANN, The Hitchin-Thorpe inequality for Einstein-Weyl manifolds, Bull. London Math. Soc. 26 (1994), 191-194.
- [7] H. PEDERSEN, Y. S. POON and A. SWANN, Einstein-Weyl deformations and submanifolds, International J. Math. 7 (1996), 705-719.
- [8] H. Pedersen and A. Swann, Riemannian submersions, four-manifolds and Einstein-Weyl geometry, Proc. London Math. Soc. 66 (1993), 381-399.
- [9] H. Pedersen and A. Swann, Einstein-Weyl geometry, the Bach tensor and conformal scalar curvature, J. Reine Angew. Math. 441 (1993), 99-113.
- [10] H. PEDERSEN and K. P. Tod, Three-dimensional Einstein-Weyl geometry, Adv. in Math. 97 (1993), 74-109
- [11] K. P. Tod, Compact 3-dimensional Einstein-Weyl structures, J. London Math. Soc. 45 (1992), 341-351.

Present Address:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NARA WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, KITA-UOYA NISHIMACHI, NARA, 630–8506 JAPAN. *e-mail*: katagiri@cc.nara-wu.ac.jp