

## Between Lie Norm and Dual Lie Norm

Mitsuo MORIMOTO and Keiko FUJITA

*International Christian University and Saga University*

(Communicated by K. Uchiyama and K. Shinoda)

### Introduction.

In August 1999, we discussed the double series expansion of holomorphic functions on the dual Lie ball ([2]). Looking at our results we conjectured that there was a series of norms between the Lie norm and the dual Lie norm.

The Lie norm  $L(z)$  on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  is defined by

$$L(z) = \sqrt{\|z\|^2 + \sqrt{\|z\|^4 - |z^2|^2}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\|z\|^2 = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2$ ,  $z^2 = z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \cdots + z_n^2$  for  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ .

The dual Lie norm  $L^*(z)$  is defined as follows:  $L^*(z) = \sup\{|z \cdot \zeta|; L(\zeta) \leq 1\}$ , where  $z \cdot \zeta = z_1\zeta_1 + z_2\zeta_2 + \cdots + z_n\zeta_n$  for  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  and  $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n)$ .  $L^*(z)$  has the following expression:

$$L^*(z) = \sqrt{(\|z\|^2 + |z^2|)/2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( L(z) + \frac{|z^2|}{L(z)} \right).$$

Noting  $|z^2|/L(z) = \sqrt{\|z\|^2 - \sqrt{\|z\|^4 - |z^2|^2}}$ , we can write

$$L^*(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\|z\|^2 + \sqrt{\|z\|^4 - |z^2|^2}} + \sqrt{\|z\|^2 - \sqrt{\|z\|^4 - |z^2|^2}} \right) \quad (2)$$

(see [1] and [5]).

For  $p \geq 1$ , we define the function  $N_p(z)$  on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  as follows:

$$N_p(z) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( (\|z\|^2 + \sqrt{\|z\|^4 - |z^2|^2})^{p/2} + (\|z\|^2 - \sqrt{\|z\|^4 - |z^2|^2})^{p/2} \right) \right\}^{1/p}.$$

It is clear that  $N_2(z)$  is equal to the Euclidean norm  $\|z\|$ . We have  $N_1(z) = L^*(z)$  by (2) and  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} N_p(z) = L(z)$  by (1). If  $n = 2$ , then  $N_p(z)$  is equivalent to the Lebesgue  $L^p$  norm (see (5)).

We shall prove in this note that  $N_p(z)$  are norms on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  for  $p \geq 1$  and that  $N_q(z)$  is the dual norm of  $N_p(z)$ , where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$  (see Theorem 13 and Corollary 14).

In Section 1, we shall prove that  $L(z)$  and  $N_1(z)$  are norms on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  and dual to each other. Our new proof relies on the rotation invariance and is reduced to the 2 dimensional case. In Section 2, using the same idea we shall prove our main theorems. T. Kimura ([4]) proved that  $N_p(z)$  are norms on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Our proof is different from his.

### 1. Lie norm and dual Lie norm.

For  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$  and  $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we denote the canonical bilinear form by

$$z \cdot \zeta = z_1 \zeta_1 + \dots + z_n \zeta_n,$$

$z^2 = z \cdot z$  and  $\|z\|^2 = z \cdot \bar{z}$ , where  $\bar{z} = (\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n)$  is the complex conjugate of  $z$ . Further we define

$$\begin{aligned} L(z) &= \sqrt{\|z\|^2 + \sqrt{\|z\|^4 - |z^2|^2}}, \\ M(z) &= \sqrt{\|z\|^2 - \sqrt{\|z\|^4 - |z^2|^2}}, \\ N_1(z) &= \frac{1}{2}(L(z) + M(z)). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

It is clear that  $L(x) = M(x) = N_1(x) = \|x\|$  for  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

It is known that  $L(z)$  and  $N_1(z)$  are norms on the complex vector space  $\mathbf{C}^n$  and dual to each other.  $L(z)$  is called the Lie norm and  $N_1(z)$  is equal to the dual Lie norm  $L^*(z)$ . In this section, we give a new proof of these facts relying only on (3).

LEMMA 1. For  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we have

- (a)  $L(z) \geq M(z)$  and  $L(z)M(z) = |z^2|$ ,
- (b)  $N_1(z) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\|z\|^2 + |z^2|)}$ ,
- (c)  $L(z) \geq \|z\| \geq N_1(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}L(z)$ .

PROOF. (a) is clear. By (3) we have

$$N_1(z)^2 = \frac{1}{4}(L(z)^2 + 2L(z)M(z) + M(z)^2) = \frac{1}{2}(\|z\|^2 + |z^2|),$$

which implies (b). (c) results from (3) and (b). □

The following lemma asserts the complex homogeneity of  $L(z)$  and  $N_1(z)$ .

LEMMA 2. For  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we have

$$L(\lambda z) = |\lambda|L(z), \quad M(\lambda z) = |\lambda|M(z), \quad N_1(\lambda z) = |\lambda|N_1(z).$$

PROOF. Lemma results from  $\|\lambda z\| = |\lambda|\|z\|$  and  $(\lambda z)^2 = \lambda^2 z^2$ .  $\square$

In the sequel we identify  $\mathbf{C}^2$  with the subspace

$$\{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n; z_3 = z_4 = \dots = z_n = 0\}.$$

For  $z = (z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we denote by  $\tilde{z}$  the projection of  $z$  to  $\mathbf{C}^2$ :

$$\tilde{z} = (z_1, z_2, 0, \dots, 0).$$

We put  $\hat{z} = z - \tilde{z} = (0, 0, z_3, \dots, z_n)$ . Then we have

$$z^2 = \tilde{z}^2 + \hat{z}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|z\|^2 = \|\tilde{z}\|^2 + \|\hat{z}\|^2.$$

LEMMA 3. For  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we have

- (a)  $\|\tilde{z}\|^2 - |\tilde{z}^2| \leq \|z\|^2 - |z^2|$ ,
- (b)  $\|\tilde{z}\|^2 + |\tilde{z}^2| \leq \|z\|^2 + |z^2|$ ,
- (c)  $L(\tilde{z}) \leq L(z)$ ,
- (d)  $N_1(\tilde{z}) \leq N_1(z)$ .

PROOF. (a) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|^2 - |z^2| &= \|\tilde{z}\|^2 + \|\hat{z}\|^2 - |\tilde{z}^2 + \hat{z}^2| \\ &\geq \|\tilde{z}\|^2 + \|\hat{z}\|^2 - |\tilde{z}^2| - |\hat{z}^2| \geq \|\tilde{z}\|^2 - |\tilde{z}^2|. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|^2 + |z^2| &= \|\tilde{z}\|^2 + \|\hat{z}\|^2 + |\tilde{z}^2 + \hat{z}^2| \\ &\geq \|\tilde{z}\|^2 + \|\hat{z}\|^2 + |\tilde{z}^2| - |\hat{z}^2| \geq \|\tilde{z}\|^2 + |\tilde{z}^2|. \end{aligned}$$

(c) By (3), (a) and (b) imply  $L(z)^2 \geq L(\tilde{z})^2$ , and  $L(z) \geq L(\tilde{z})$ .

(d) By Lemma 1, (b) implies  $N_1(z)^2 \geq N_1(\tilde{z})^2$ , and  $N_1(z) \geq N_1(\tilde{z})$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 4. (a) For  $a \geq b \geq 0$  and  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we have

$$aL(\tilde{z}) + bM(\tilde{z}) \leq aL(z) + bM(z).$$

(b) For  $z, \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we have

$$L(\tilde{z})L(\zeta) + M(\tilde{z})M(\zeta) \leq L(z)L(\zeta) + M(z)M(\zeta).$$

PROOF. (a) By Lemma 3 (c) and (d) we have

$$\begin{aligned} aL(\tilde{z}) + bM(\tilde{z}) &= (a - b)L(\tilde{z}) + 2bN_1(\tilde{z}) \\ &\leq (a - b)L(z) + 2bN_1(z) = aL(z) + bM(z). \end{aligned}$$

(b) Because  $L(\zeta) \geq M(\zeta)$  (Lemma 1 (a)), (b) results from (a).  $\square$

We denote by  $O(n)$  the orthogonal group. It is known that  $O(n)$  acts transitively on the real unit sphere  $\mathbf{S} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n; \|x\| = 1\}$ . For complex vectors we have the following

LEMMA 5. For any  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$  there exists  $T \in O(n)$  such that  $Tz \in \mathbf{C}^2$ .

PROOF. For  $z = x + iy$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$  take  $T_1 \in O(n)$  such that  $a = T_1x = (a_1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Then we can find  $T_2 \in O(n)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} T_2e_1 &= e_1 = (\text{the first unit vector}), \\ b &= T_2(T_1y) = (b_1, b_2, 0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Take  $T = T_2T_1$ . Then we have  $Tz = a + ib = (a_1 + ib_1, ib_2, 0, \dots, 0)$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 6. For  $T \in O(n)$  and  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we have

$$L(Tz) = L(z), \quad M(Tz) = M(z), \quad N_1(Tz) = N_1(z).$$

PROOF. Take  $z = x + iy$ ,  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ ,  $x, y, \xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Then we have  $Tz = Tx + iTy$ ,  $T\zeta = T\xi + iT\eta$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} Tz \cdot T\zeta &= Tx \cdot T\xi - Ty \cdot T\eta + i(Tx \cdot T\eta + Ty \cdot T\xi) \\ &= x \cdot \xi - y \cdot \eta + i(x \cdot \eta + y \cdot \xi) = z \cdot \zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\overline{Tz} = T\bar{z}$ , we have  $\|Tz\|^2 = Tz \cdot \overline{Tz} = Tz \cdot T\bar{z} = z \cdot \bar{z} = \|z\|^2$ . Therefore, Lemma results from (3).  $\square$

THEOREM 7. For  $z, \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we have

$$|z \cdot \zeta| \leq L(z)N_1(\zeta).$$

PROOF. Suppose first  $z, \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^2$ . Then we have

$$\|z\|^4 - |z^2|^2 = (z_1\bar{z}_1 + z_2\bar{z}_2)^2 - (z_1^2 + z_2^2)(\bar{z}_1^2 + \bar{z}_2^2) = -(z_1\bar{z}_2 - z_2\bar{z}_1)^2.$$

Therefore,

$$L(z) = \max \sqrt{z_1\bar{z}_1 + z_2\bar{z}_2 \pm i(z_1\bar{z}_2 - z_2\bar{z}_1)} = \max\{|z_1 \pm iz_2|\}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$M(z) = \min\{|z_1 \pm iz_2|\}, \quad N_1(z) = \frac{1}{2}(|z_1 + iz_2| + |z_1 - iz_2|).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$z \cdot \zeta = z_1\zeta_1 + z_2\zeta_2 = \frac{1}{2}\{(z_1 + iz_2)(\zeta_1 - i\zeta_2) + (z_1 - iz_2)(\zeta_1 + i\zeta_2)\}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |z \cdot \zeta| &\leq \frac{1}{2}\{|z_1 + iz_2||\zeta_1 - i\zeta_2| + |z_1 - iz_2||\zeta_1 + i\zeta_2|\} \\ &\leq \max\{|z_1 \pm iz_2|\} \times \frac{1}{2}(|\zeta_1 - i\zeta_2| + |\zeta_1 + i\zeta_2|) = L(z)N_1(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now  $z, \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n$ . By Lemma 5 there exists  $T \in O(n)$  such that  $\alpha = T\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^2$ . Put  $w = Tz$ . By the rotation invariance, we have  $|z \cdot \zeta| = |w \cdot \alpha|$ . Then by the first step, we have

$$|w \cdot \alpha| = |\tilde{w} \cdot \alpha| \leq L(\tilde{w})N_1(\alpha).$$

By Lemma 3 (c) and Lemma 6 we have

$$|z \cdot \zeta| = |w \cdot \alpha| \leq L(\tilde{w})N_1(\alpha) \leq L(w)N_1(\alpha) = L(z)N_1(\zeta). \quad \square$$

**THEOREM 8.** For  $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(\zeta) &= \sup\{|z \cdot \zeta|; z \in \mathbf{C}^n, L(z) = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|x \cdot \zeta|; x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \|x\| = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF.** By Theorem 7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(\zeta) &\geq \sup\{|z \cdot \zeta|; z \in \mathbf{C}^n, L(z) = 1\} \\ &\geq \sup\{|x \cdot \zeta|; x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \|x\| = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose first  $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{C}^2$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup\{|x \cdot \zeta|; x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \|x\| = 1\} \\ &\geq \sup\left\{\frac{1}{2}|(x_1 + ix_2)(\zeta_1 - i\zeta_2) + (x_1 - ix_2)(\zeta_1 + i\zeta_2)|; x \in \mathbf{R}^2, \|x\| = 1\right\} \\ &= \sup\left\{\frac{1}{2}|e^{i\theta}(\zeta_1 - i\zeta_2) + e^{-i\theta}(\zeta_1 + i\zeta_2)|; \theta \in \mathbf{R}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(|\zeta_1 - i\zeta_2| + |\zeta_1 + i\zeta_2|) = N_1(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now  $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n$ . By Lemma 5 there exists  $T \in O(n)$  such that  $T\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^2$ . Then by Lemma 6 and the first step we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup\{|x \cdot \zeta|; x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \|x\| = 1\} \\ &\geq \sup\{|Tx \cdot T\zeta|; x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \|x\| = 1\} \\ &\geq \sup\{|y \cdot T\zeta|; y \in \mathbf{R}^n, \|y\| = 1\} = N_1(T\zeta) = N_1(\zeta) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 9.** For  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we have

$$L(z) = \sup\{|z \cdot \zeta|; \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n, N_1(\zeta) = 1\}.$$

**PROOF.** By Theorem 7 we have

$$L(z) \geq \sup\{|z \cdot \zeta|; \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n, N_1(\zeta) = 1\}.$$

Suppose first  $z \in \mathbf{C}^2$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup\{|z \cdot \zeta|; \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n, N_1(\zeta) = 1\} \\ & \geq \sup\{|z \cdot \zeta|; \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^2, N_1(\zeta) = 1\} \\ & = \sup\left\{\frac{1}{2}|(z_1 + iz_2)(\zeta_1 - i\zeta_2) + (z_1 - iz_2)(\zeta_1 + i\zeta_2)|; \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{1}{2}(|\zeta_1 + i\zeta_2| + |\zeta_1 - i\zeta_2|) = 1\right\} \\ & = \max\{|z_1 \pm iz_2|\} = L(z). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$ . By Lemma 5 there exists  $T \in O(n)$  such that  $Tz \in \mathbf{C}^2$ . Then by Lemma 6 and the first step, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup\{|z \cdot \zeta|; \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n, N_1(\zeta) = 1\} \\ & = \sup\{|Tz \cdot T\zeta|; \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n, N_1(\zeta) = 1\} \\ & = \sup\{|Tz \cdot \alpha|; \alpha \in \mathbf{C}^n, N_1(\alpha) = 1\} = L(Tz) = L(z). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**COROLLARY 10.**  $L(z)$  and  $N_1(z)$  are norms on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  and dual to each other.

**PROOF.** In view of Lemmas 1 and 2, to show  $L(z)$  (resp.  $N_1(z)$ ) is a norm on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  we have only to show the subadditivity, which results from Theorem 9 (resp. Theorem 8).  $\square$

**N.B.** The Lie norm  $L(z)$  is equal to the cross norm of the Euclidean norm  $\|x\|$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ :

$$L(z) = \inf\left\{\sum_{j=1}^M |\lambda_j| \|x_j\|; z = \sum_{j=1}^M \lambda_j x_j, \lambda_j \in \mathbf{C}, x_j \in \mathbf{R}^n\right\}.$$

This important fact can be proved by the method of this section (see [1] or [5]).

## 2. Norms between $L(z)$ and $N_1(z)$ .

**LEMMA 11.** For  $z, \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we have

$$2|z \cdot \zeta| \leq L(z)L(\zeta) + M(z)M(\zeta). \quad (4)$$

**PROOF.** Suppose first  $z, \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^2$ . By Proof of Theorem 7, we have only to show

$$\begin{aligned} & |z_1 + iz_2||\zeta_1 - i\zeta_2| + |z_1 - iz_2||\zeta_1 + i\zeta_2| \\ & \leq \max\{|z_1 \pm iz_2|\} \max\{|\zeta_1 \pm i\zeta_2|\} + \min\{|z_1 \pm iz_2|\} \min\{|\zeta_1 \pm i\zeta_2|\} \end{aligned}$$

which can be checked easily.

Suppose now  $z, \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n$ . By Lemma 5 there exists  $T \in O(n)$  such that  $\alpha = T\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^2$ . Put  $w = Tz$ . Then we have  $|w \cdot \alpha| = |\tilde{w} \cdot \alpha|$  and

$$\begin{aligned} 2|z \cdot \zeta| & = 2|Tz \cdot T\zeta| = 2|w \cdot \alpha| = 2|\tilde{w} \cdot \alpha| \\ & \leq L(\tilde{w})L(\alpha) + M(\tilde{w})M(\alpha) \\ & \leq L(w)L(\alpha) + M(w)M(\alpha) = L(z)L(\zeta) + M(z)M(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the first step and Lemmas 4 and 6.  $\square$

For  $p \geq 1$  and  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we define

$$N_p(z) = \left( \frac{1}{2}(L(z)^p + M(z)^p) \right)^{1/p},$$

where  $L(z)$  and  $M(z)$  are defined in (3). By Lemma 6  $N_p(z)$  is invariant by rotations; that is,  $N_p(Tz) = N_p(z)$  for any  $T \in O(n)$  and  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$ .

If  $1 \leq p \leq r$ , then we have  $N_p(z) \leq N_r(z)$  (see [3]). In fact, by the Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_p(z)^p &= \frac{1}{2}(L(z)^p + M(z)^p) = \left( \frac{L(z)^r}{2} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} + \left( \frac{M(z)^r}{2} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{L(z)^r}{2} + \frac{M(z)^r}{2} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} = N_r(z)^p, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = p/r$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ .

For  $z \in \mathbf{C}^2$  we have

$$N_p(z) = \left( \frac{1}{2}(|z_1 + iz_2|^p + |z_1 - iz_2|^p) \right)^{1/p}, \quad (5)$$

which is equivalent to the Lebesgue  $L^p$  norm. By the Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1 + iz_2||\zeta_1 - i\zeta_2| + |z_1 - iz_2||\zeta_1 + i\zeta_2| \\ \leq (|z_1 + iz_2|^p + |z_1 - iz_2|^p)^{1/p} (|\zeta_1 + i\zeta_2|^q + |\zeta_1 - i\zeta_2|^q)^{1/q} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

that is,  $|z \cdot \zeta| \leq N_p(z)N_q(\zeta)$ .

For general  $n$  we have the following

**THEOREM 12.** For  $z, \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we have

$$|z \cdot \zeta| \leq N_p(z)N_q(\zeta),$$

where  $p, q > 1$  satisfy  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ .

**PROOF.** By the Hölder inequality we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(L(z)L(\zeta) + M(z)M(\zeta)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(L(z)^p + M(z)^p)^{1/p} (L(\zeta)^q + M(\zeta)^q)^{1/q} = N_p(z)N_q(\zeta).$$

Hence, Lemma 11 implies Theorem.  $\square$

**THEOREM 13.** For  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we have

$$N_p(z) = \sup\{|z \cdot \zeta|; \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n, N_q(\zeta) = 1\}, \quad (7)$$

where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ .

PROOF. Suppose first  $z, \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^2$ . Then the equality in the Hölder inequality (6) holds if and only if  $a|z_1 + iz_2|^p = b|\zeta_1 - i\zeta_2|^q$  and  $a|z_1 - iz_2|^p = b|\zeta_1 + i\zeta_2|^q$  for some  $a, b \geq 0$ , not both 0. Therefore, (7) is valid if  $n = 2$ .

Suppose now  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$ . Take  $T \in O(n)$  such that  $w = Tz \in \mathbf{C}^2$ . By Theorem 12 we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_p(z) &\geq \sup\{|z \cdot \zeta|; \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n, N_q(\zeta) = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|Tz \cdot T\zeta|; \zeta \in \mathbf{C}^n, N_q(\zeta) = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|w \cdot \alpha|; \alpha \in \mathbf{C}^n, N_q(\alpha) = 1\} \\ &\geq \sup\{|w \cdot \alpha|; \alpha \in \mathbf{C}^2, N_q(\alpha) = 1\} \\ &= N_p(w) = N_p(Tz) = N_p(z). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 14.  $N_p(z)$  is a norm on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ .

PROOF. We have to show the following three conditions:

- (a)  $N_p(z) \geq 0$ ;  $N_p(z) = 0$  if and only if  $z = 0$ .
- (b)  $N_p(\lambda z) = |\lambda|N_p(z)$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$ .
- (c)  $N_p(z + w) \leq N_p(z) + N_p(w)$  for any  $z, w \in \mathbf{C}^n$ .

(a) and (b) are clear. (c) results from Theorem 13. □

Generalizing Lemma 3 we have the following

COROLLARY 15. For  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$  we denote by  $\tilde{z}$  the projection of  $z$  to  $\mathbf{C}^2$ . Then we have

$$N_p(\tilde{z}) \leq N_p(z). \quad (8)$$

PROOF. Let  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$ . By the homogeneity of (8) we may assume  $N_p(z) = 1$ . By Theorem 13 there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^2$  such that  $N_q(\zeta) = 1$  and  $|\tilde{z} \cdot \zeta| = N_p(\tilde{z})$ . By Theorem 12 we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = N_q(\zeta) &\geq \sup\{|w \cdot \zeta|; w \in \mathbf{C}^n, N_p(w) = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|\tilde{w} \cdot \zeta|; w \in \mathbf{C}^n, N_p(w) = 1\} \\ &\geq |\tilde{z} \cdot \zeta| = N_p(\tilde{z}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have  $N_p(\tilde{z}) \leq 1$ , which proves (8). □

### References

- [ 1 ] L. DRUŹKOWSKI, Effective formula for the cross norm in the complexified unitary space, *Zeszyty Nauk Uniw. Jagiellon. Prace Mat.* **15** (1974), 47–53.
- [ 2 ] K. FUJITA and M. MORIMOTO, Holomorphic functions on the dual Lie ball, *the Proceedings of the Second ISAAC Congress, Fukuoka 1999*, Kluwer Academic Publisher **1** (2000), 771–780.
- [ 3 ] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD and G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press (1934); Second Edition (1952).
- [ 4 ] T. KIMURA, Norms related to the Lie norm, preprint. (This paper is a part of the master's thesis submitted to Sophia University, February 2000.)
- [ 5 ] M. MORIMOTO, Analytic Functionals on the Sphere, *Translations of Mathematical Monograph*, **178**, American Mathematical Society, (1998).

*Present Addresses:*

MITSUO MORIMOTO

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INTERNATIONAL CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY,  
TOKYO, 181-8585 JAPAN.

KEIKO FUJITA

FACULTY OF CULTURE AND EDUCATION, SAGA UNIVERSITY,  
SAGA, 840-8502 JAPAN.