

Deligne-Lusztig Induction for Invariant Functions on Finite Lie Algebras of Chevalley's Type

Emmanuel LETELLIER

Sophia University

(Communicated by K. Shinoda)

Abstract. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group defined over \mathbf{F}_q with Lie algebra \mathcal{G} . We define a Deligne-Lusztig induction for the $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ -valued functions on $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ which are invariant under the adjoint action of $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$ on $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{F}_q)$, by making use of the “character formula” where the “two-variable Green functions” are defined via a G -equivariant homeomorphism $\mathcal{G}_{nil} \rightarrow G_{uni}$. We verify that it satisfies properties analogous to the group case like transitivity, the Mackey formula or the commutation with duality. The interest of a Deligne-Lusztig induction for invariant functions comes from a conjecture on a commutation formula with Fourier transforms which has no counterpart in the group case. In a forthcoming paper, this conjecture will be proved in almost all cases.

Introduction

Let G be a connected reductive group over an algebraic closure \mathbf{F} of the finite field \mathbf{F}_q with q elements and let p be the characteristic of \mathbf{F} . Assume that G is defined over \mathbf{F}_q with associated Frobenius endomorphism F . Then the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} of G and the adjoint action of G on \mathcal{G} are also defined over \mathbf{F}_q . We still denote by F the corresponding Frobenius endomorphism on \mathcal{G} . We then denote by G^F (resp. \mathcal{G}^F) the set of the elements of G (resp. \mathcal{G}) which are fixed by F . Let ℓ be a prime $\neq p$ and let $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ be an algebraic closure of the field \mathbf{Q}_ℓ of ℓ -adic numbers. We denote by $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}^F)$ the $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ -vector space of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ -valued functions on \mathcal{G}^F which are invariant under the adjoint action of G^F on \mathcal{G}^F . Let L be an F -stable Levi subgroup of a parabolic subgroup P of G and let \mathcal{L} be the Lie algebra of L . If P is F -stable, then we have the Lie algebra version of Harish-Chandra induction $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}^F) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}^F)$. The aim of this paper is to generalize this induction to the case where P is not necessarily F -stable. In the group setting such a generalization, called Deligne-Lusztig induction, has been constructed in [DL76]. In [DM87][Lus86], we have a formula, called “character formula”, which expresses the values of the Deligne-Lusztig induction of a class function f on L^F in terms of the values of f and the values of some unipotently supported functions, called “two-variable Green functions” [DM87]. Our definition of Deligne-Lusztig induction in the Lie algebra setting uses the Lie algebra version of the character formula where the two-variable Green functions are transferred to the Lie algebras via a G -equivariant homeomorphism between the nilpotent

subvariety \mathcal{G}_{nil} of \mathcal{G} and the unipotent subvariety G_{uni} of G . The author was informed that Lusztig already knew this definition (unpublished). In a forthcoming paper, the author will use this definition of Deligne-Lusztig induction to prove for almost all G , a commutation formula between Fourier transforms and Deligne-Lusztig induction. Such a commutation formula was proved by Lehrer [Leh96] for Harish-Chandra induction. It will be also shown that this definition of Deligne-Lusztig induction does not depend on the choice of a G -equivariant homeomorphism $\mathcal{G}_{nil} \rightarrow G_{uni}$.

In this paper we start by recalling some well-known facts about the space $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}^F)$ of G^F -invariant functions on \mathcal{G}^F . The second part will be devoted to the definition of Deligne-Lusztig induction; we will also verify elementary properties analogous to the group case like transitivity or the fact that it generalizes Harish-Chandra induction. In the fourth part, we will prove the Mackey formula (following Bonnafé's method) and its consequences, like the commutation with the duality map.

I wish to thank J. Michel and the referee for useful comments on this paper. This work has been supported by JSPS (Japanese Society for Promotion of Science).

Preliminaries

No assumption on p is required unless otherwise specified.

NOTATION 0.0.1. Let H be a linear algebraic group over \mathbf{F} . If $x \in H$, we denote by x_s the semi-simple part of x and by x_u the unipotent part of x . We denote by H^o the neutral component of H and by Z_H the center of H . If $x \in H$, the centralizer of x in H is denoted by $C_H(x)$; it will be more convenient to denote the neutral component of $C_H(x)$ by $C_H^o(x)$ rather than by $C_H(x)^o$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \text{Lie}(H)$ be the Lie algebra of H , for $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we denote by x_s the semi-simple part of x and by x_n the nilpotent part of x . The adjoint action of H on \mathcal{H} is denoted by $\text{Ad} = \text{Ad}_H$ and we put $\text{ad} = \text{ad}_{\mathcal{H}}$ the differential of Ad at $1 \in H$. If K is a subgroup of H , by “ K -orbit of \mathcal{H} ”, we shall mean “ $\text{Ad}(K)$ -orbit of \mathcal{H} ”. If $x \in \mathcal{H}$, then we denote by $C_H(x)$ the centralizer of x in H i.e. $C_H(x) = \{h \in H \mid \text{Ad}(h)x = x\}$ and by $C_{\mathcal{H}}(x) := \{y \in \mathcal{H} \mid \text{ad}(x)(y) = 0\}$. If $x \in \mathcal{H}$ is semi-simple, we have $\text{Lie}(C_H(x)) = C_{\mathcal{H}}(x)$ [Bor, 9.1].

NOTATION 0.0.2. Let now G be a connected reductive algebraic group over \mathbf{F} with Lie algebra \mathcal{G} . We assume that G is defined over \mathbf{F}_q and we denote by F the corresponding Frobenius endomorphisms on G and on \mathcal{G} . If P is a parabolic subgroup of G , we will denote by U_P the unipotent radical of P and by \mathcal{U}_P the Lie algebra of U_P . Recall that a Levi subgroup L of a parabolic subgroup P of G is a closed subgroup L of P such that $P = L \ltimes U_P$. We will use the shorter expression “Levi subgroup of G ” instead of “Levi subgroup of a parabolic subgroup of G ”. We say that an F -stable Levi subgroup of G is G -split if it is a Levi subgroup of an F -stable parabolic subgroup of G . The letter T will denote a maximal torus of G . The dimension of T is called the *rank* of G and is denoted by $\text{rk}(G)$. We denote by Φ the root system of G with respect to T . If $\alpha \in \Phi$, we denote by \mathcal{G}_{α} the one-dimensional

\mathbf{F} -vector space $\{x \in \mathcal{G} \mid \forall t \in T, \text{Ad}(t)x = \alpha(t)x\}$ and by U_α the unique closed connected one-dimensional unipotent subgroup of G normalized by T such that $\text{Lie}(U_\alpha) = \mathcal{G}_\alpha$. Finally we denote by G_{uni} the subvariety of unipotent elements of G and by \mathcal{G}_{nil} the subvariety of nilpotent elements of \mathcal{G} .

REMARK 0.0.3. We will have to consider the Lie algebra of the intersection of closed subgroups of G . This appears for instance in the Mackey formula. Let M and N be two closed subgroups of G , we always have

$$(*) \text{Lie}(M \cap N) \subset \text{Lie}(M) \cap \text{Lie}(N).$$

In general this inclusion is not an equality; it is an equality exactly when the quotient morphism $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$ induces a separable morphism $M \rightarrow \pi(M)$, see [Bor, Proposition 6.12]. However if $M \cap N$ contains a maximal torus of G , then by [Bor, Proposition 13.20], the inclusion (*) is an equality; note that [Bor, Corollary 13.21], which asserts that (*) is an equality whenever M and N are normalized by a maximal torus of G , is not correct since the intersection of two subtori of a maximal torus of G may have finite intersection while their Lie algebras have an intersection of strictly positive dimension. For instance, let $G = SL_3(\mathbf{F})$ and let T be the maximal torus of G consisting of diagonal matrices, then the set Z_G is finite and it is the intersection of the two subtori $T_\alpha = \text{Ker}(\alpha)$ and $T_\beta = \text{Ker}(\beta)$ of T where $\alpha : T \rightarrow \mathbf{F}, (t_1, t_2, t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1}) \mapsto t_1t_2^{-1}$ and $\beta : T \rightarrow \mathbf{F}, (t_1, t_2, t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1}) \mapsto t_2^2t_1$. The intersection of the Lie algebras of T_α and T_β is of dimension 0 unless $p = 3$, in which case the intersection is of dimension 1.

We will be interested only in the cases where the subgroups M and N in (*) are either equal to $L, L', U_P, U_{P'}, P$ or P' where $P = LU_P$ and $P' = L'U_{P'}$ are two Levi decompositions in G such that $L \cap L'$ contains a maximal torus T of G . In any of these cases, the inclusion (*) is always an equality; the case where $M = U_P$ and N is either $U_{P'}, L'$ or P' follows from the fact that the dimension of $M \cap N$ and the dimension of $\text{Lie}(M) \cap \text{Lie}(N)$ are respectively equal to the number of $\alpha \in \Phi$ such that $U_\alpha \subset M \cap N$ and the number of $\alpha \in \Phi$ such that $\mathcal{G}_\alpha \subset \text{Lie}(M) \cap \text{Lie}(N)$.

1. The space of G^F -invariant functions on \mathcal{G}^F

We mostly recall here the parts of [Leh96] which will be used in this paper.

NOTATION 1.0.4. Let H be an F -stable closed subgroup of G with Lie algebra \mathcal{H} . For any $x \in \mathcal{H}^F$, we denote by $\gamma_x^H \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}^F)$ the function which takes the value $|C_H(x)^F|$ on the H^F -orbit of x and the value 0 elsewhere. We denote by $\eta_o^{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}^F)$ the function which takes the value 1 on the set of nilpotent elements of \mathcal{H}^F and the value 0 elsewhere.

NOTATION 1.0.5. Throughout this paper, we choose once for all an automorphism $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell, x \mapsto \bar{x}$ such that $\bar{\zeta} = \zeta^{-1}$ for any root of unity ζ of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$.

DEFINITION 1.0.6. Let H be an F -stable closed subgroup of G with Lie algebra \mathcal{H} . For $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}^F)$, define the non-degenerate bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}^F}$ by,

$$(f, g)_{\mathcal{H}^F} = |H^F|^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{H}^F} f(x) \overline{g(x)}.$$

Note that for $x \in \mathcal{H}^F$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}^F)$, we have $(f, \gamma_x^H)_{\mathcal{H}^F} = f(x)$ and $(\gamma_x^H, f)_{\mathcal{H}^F} = \overline{f(x)}$.

DEFINITION 1.0.7. Let P be an F -stable parabolic subgroup of G and L be an F -stable Levi subgroup of P . Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{U}_P$ be the Lie algebra decomposition corresponding to $P = LU_P$ and let $\pi_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be the canonical projection.

(i) The Harish-Chandra restriction ${}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\subset\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}^F) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}^F)$ is defined by the following formula

$${}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\subset\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f)(x) = |U_P^F|^{-1} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{U}_P^F} f(x + y).$$

(ii) The Harish-Chandra induction $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\subset\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}^F) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}^F)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\subset\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f)(x) = |P^F|^{-1} \sum_{\{g \in G^F \mid \text{Ad}(g)x \in \mathcal{P}^F\}} f(\pi_{\mathcal{P}}(\text{Ad}(g)x)).$$

We have the following proposition (see [Leh96]).

PROPOSITION 1.0.8. *The maps ${}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\subset\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\subset\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ are adjoint with respect to the forms $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{G}^F}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{L}^F}$. Moreover they are independent of P .*

NOTATION 1.0.9. Since the map $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\subset\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ is independent of P , we write $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ instead of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\subset\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}$.

1.0.10. We define (following Kawanaka [Kaw82] in the Lie algebra case and Lusztig, Curtis and Alvis in the group case) the “duality map” $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}^F) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}^F)$. For any connected reductive group H defined over \mathbb{F}_q , we denote by $r(H)$ the semi-simple \mathbb{F}_q -rank of H , i.e. the \mathbb{F}_q -rank of H/Z_H^o .

DEFINITION 1.0.11. Let B be an F -stable Borel subgroup of G . For $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}^F)$, we define $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}}(f)$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}}(f) = \sum_{P \supset B} (-1)^{r(P)} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}_P}^{\mathcal{G}} \circ {}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}_P}^{\mathcal{G}}(f)$$

where the summation is over the set of the F -stable parabolic subgroups P of G containing B and where \mathcal{L}_P denotes the Lie algebra of an arbitrarily chosen F -stable Levi subgroup of P .

It is known that the map \mathcal{D}_G does not depend on the F -stable Borel subgroup B and on the choice of the \mathcal{L}_P .

PROPOSITION 1.0.12. [Kaw82] *We have the following assertions,*

- (i) *The duality map \mathcal{D}_G is an isometry with respect to the form $(\cdot, \cdot)_{G^F}$.*
- (ii) *\mathcal{D}_G is an involution, i.e. $\mathcal{D}_G \circ \mathcal{D}_G = Id_{\mathcal{C}(G^F)}$.*

PROPOSITION 1.0.13. [Leh96, Proposition 3.15] *Let L be an F -stable G -split Levi subgroup of G and let $\mathcal{L} = Lie(L)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{D}_G \circ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}}^G = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}}^G \circ \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

2. Deligne-Lusztig induction: definition and basic properties

2.1. Deligne-Lusztig induction for class functions. If X is a variety over \mathbf{F} , then we denote by $H_c^i(X, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell)$ the i -th group of ℓ -adic cohomology with compact support as in [Del77]. All what we need to know (in this paper) about these groups can be found in [DM91, Chapter 10].

2.1.1. Let L be an F -stable Levi subgroup of G , let $P = LU_P$ be a Levi decomposition of a (possibly non F -stable) parabolic subgroup P of G and let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{U}_P$ be the corresponding Lie algebra decomposition. We denote by \mathcal{L}_G the Lang map $G \rightarrow G, x \mapsto x^{-1}F(x)$. The variety $\mathcal{L}_G^{-1}(U_P)$ is endowed with an action of G^F on the left and with an action of L^F on the right. By [DM91, Proposition 10.2], these actions induce actions on the cohomology and so make $H_c^i(\mathcal{L}_G^{-1}(U_P), \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell)$ into a G^F -module- L^F . The virtual $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ -vector space $H_c^*(\mathcal{L}_G^{-1}(U_P)) := \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(\mathcal{L}_G^{-1}(U_P), \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell)$ is thus a virtual G^F -module- L^F .

NOTATION 2.1.2. If $(g, l) \in G^F \times L^F$, define $S_{L \subset P}^G(g, l) := \text{Trace}((g, l^{-1}) | H_c^*(\mathcal{L}_G^{-1}(U_P)))$.

To each L^F -module M , corresponds thus a virtual G^F -module $R_{L \subset P}^G(M) := H_c^*(\mathcal{L}_G^{-1}(U_P)) \otimes_{L^F} M$ (see [Lus76]). Hence, using the basis of the $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ -vector space of class functions on L^F formed by the irreducible characters of L^F , the map $R_{L \subset P}^G$ gives rise to a natural $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ -linear map, so-called *Deligne-Lusztig induction* and still denoted by $R_{L \subset P}^G$, from the $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ -vector space of class functions on L^F onto the $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ -vector space of class functions on G^F . More precisely if f is a class function on L^F , the class function $R_{L \subset P}^G(f)$ on G^F is given by the following formula:

$$2.1.3. \quad R_{L \subset P}^G(f)(g) = |L^F|^{-1} \sum_{h \in L^F} S_{L \subset P}^G(g, h) f(h) \quad \text{for any } g \in G^F.$$

REMARK 2.1.4. It is conjectured and proved for large enough values of q that $R_{L \subset P}^G$ is independent of the parabolic subgroup P having L as a Levi subgroup (see section 3 for more details).

We now define the two-variable Green functions; they appear naturally in the computation of the values of the Deligne-Lusztig induction of class functions (see 2.1.6 below).

DEFINITION 2.1.5. The function $Q_{LCP}^G : G^F \times L^F \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ defined by

$$Q_{LCP}^G(u, v) = \begin{cases} |L^F|^{-1} \text{Trace} \left((u, v^{-1}) | H_c^*(\mathcal{L}_G^{-1}(UP)) \right) & \text{if } (u, v) \in G_{uni}^F \times L_{uni}^F, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

is called a two-variable Green function.

In the case where L is a maximal torus of G , the two-variable Green functions become one-variable functions and are the ordinary Green functions introduced for any reductive groups by Deligne-Lusztig [DL76]. In the case of $G = GL_n(\mathbf{F})$, they were first introduced by Green [Gre55].

The following formula [DM91, 12.2][DM87][Lus86], the so-called character formula for R_{LCP}^G , expresses the values of the functions $R_{LCP}^G(f)$, where f is a class function on L^F , in terms of the values of f and in terms of the values of some two-variable Green functions:

2.1.6. For any $x \in G^F$,

$$R_{LCP}^G(f)(x) = |L^F|^{-1} |C_G^o(x_s)^F|^{-1} \sum_{\{h \in G^F | x_s \in {}^hL\}} |C_{hL}^o(x_s)^F| \sum_{v \in (C_{hL}^o(x_s)_{uni})^F} Q_{C_{hL}^o(x_s)}^{C_G^o(x_s)}(x_u, v)^h f(x_s v)$$

where ${}^hL := hLh^{-1}$ and ${}^h f(y) := f(h^{-1}yh)$.

To simplify the notation, we usually omit the parabolic subgroup ${}^hP \cap C_G^o(x_s)$ from the notation $Q_{C_{hL}^o(x_s)}^{C_G^o(x_s)}$.

2.2. Deligne-Lusztig induction for invariant functions. In the Lie algebra setting, we define the Deligne-Lusztig induction using the Lie algebra version of the character formula where the two-variable Green functions are transferred to the Lie algebra by means of a G -equivariant homeomorphism $\mathcal{G}_{nil} \rightarrow G_{uni}$, where G acts by conjugacy on G_{uni} and by the adjoint action on \mathcal{G}_{nil} .

ASSUMPTION 2.2.1. From now we assume that p is good for G so that there exists a G -equivariant homeomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{G}_{nil} \rightarrow G_{uni}$ defined over \mathbf{F}_q [Spr69].

LEMMA 2.2.2. [Bon02, Lemma 3.2] For any Levi decomposition $P = LU_P$ in G with corresponding Lie algebra decomposition $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{U}_P$, we have:

- (i) $\phi(\mathcal{L}_{nil}) = L_{uni}$,
- (ii) for any $x \in \mathcal{L}_{nil}$, $\phi(x + \mathcal{U}_P) = \phi(x)U_P$.

DEFINITION 2.2.3. With the notation of 2.1.1, the two-variable Green function $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G}^F \times \mathcal{L}^F \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(u, v) = \begin{cases} |L^F|^{-1} \text{Trace}((\phi(u), \phi(v)^{-1}) | H_c^*(\mathcal{L}_G^{-1}(U_P))) & \text{if } (u, v) \in \mathcal{G}_{nil}^F \times \mathcal{L}_{nil}^F, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

REMARK 2.2.4. Assume that ϕ is the exponential map (which is well-defined if $p > 3(h_o^G - 1)$ where h_o^G is the Coxeter number of G). Let T be an F -stable maximal torus of G contained in a (possibly non F -stable) Borel subgroup B of G . Assume that $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}^F$ satisfies $C_G^o(\sigma) = T$ and let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{U}_B$ be the Lie algebra decomposition corresponding to $B = TU_B$. By a result of Kazhdan-Springer [Kaz77][Spr76], for any non-trivial additive character $\Psi : \mathbf{F}_q \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell^\times$, any non-degenerate, symmetric, G -invariant bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$ defined over \mathbf{F}_q , we have, for any $u \in \mathcal{G}_{nil}^F$:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{G}}(u, 0) = \varepsilon_G \varepsilon_T q^{\frac{|\Phi|}{2}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}_\sigma^{G^F}} \Psi(\langle x, u \rangle)$$

where $\varepsilon_G = (-1)^{\mathbf{F}_q - \text{rank}(G)}$ and where $\mathcal{O}_\sigma^{G^F}$ denotes the G^F -orbit of σ .

DEFINITION 2.2.5. Let L be an F -stable Levi subgroup of G and let $P = LU_P$ be a Levi decomposition of P with corresponding Lie algebra decomposition $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{U}_P$.

(i) Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}^F)$, then the Deligne-Lusztig induction $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}^F)$ of f is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f)(x_s + x_n) \\ &= |L^F|^{-1} |C_G^o(x_s)^F|^{-1} \sum_{\{h \in G^F | x_s \in {}^h\mathcal{L}\}} |C_{hL}^o(x_s)^F| \sum_{v \in C_{h\mathcal{L}}(x_s)_{nil}^F} \mathcal{Q}_{C_{h\mathcal{L}}(x_s)}^{C_{\mathcal{G}}(x_s)}(x_n, v) \text{Ad}_h(f)(x_s + v) \end{aligned}$$

where for any $g \in G^F$, ${}^g L := gLg^{-1}$, ${}^g \mathcal{L} = \text{Ad}(g)\mathcal{L}$ and $\text{Ad}_g : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}^F) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{Ad}(g)\mathcal{L}^F)$ is given by, $\text{Ad}_g(f)(x) = f(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})x)$.

(ii) Let $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}^F)$, then the Deligne-Lusztig restriction ${}^* \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(g) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}^F)$ of g is defined by

$${}^* \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(g)(x_s + x_n) = |C_L^o(x_s)^F| |C_G^o(x_s)^F|^{-1} \sum_{u \in C_{\mathcal{G}}(x_s)_{nil}^F} \mathcal{Q}_{C_{\mathcal{L}}(x_s)}^{C_{\mathcal{G}}(x_s)}(u, x_n) g(x_s + u).$$

The group version of 2.2.5(ii) is due to Digne-Michel [DM87].

REMARK 2.2.6. Since p is good for G , the connected component of the centralizer in G of a semi-simple element of \mathcal{G} is a Levi subgroup of G . Indeed, if \mathcal{T} is the Lie algebra of the maximal torus T of G , then for $x \in \mathcal{T}$, the set $\{\alpha \in \Phi | d\alpha(x) = 0\}$, where $d\alpha : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$ is the differential of α at 1, is a \mathbf{Q} -closed root subsystem of Φ [Slo80, 3.14]. Hence, with the notation of 2.2.5, the map ϕ induces a well-defined map $C_{\mathcal{G}}(x_s)_{nil} \rightarrow C_G^o(x_s)_{uni}$.

REMARK 2.2.7. The notation $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ is used both for Deligne-Lusztig induction and Harish-Chandra induction; this is justified by 2.3.7.

OPEN PROBLEM 2.2.8. Define $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ using ℓ -adic cohomology but without using any G -equivariant homeomorphism $\mathcal{G}_{nil} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{uni}$.

REMARK 2.2.9. It follows easily from the formulae of 2.2.5 that

(i) for any $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}^F)$, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f.\eta_o^{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f).\eta_o^{\mathcal{G}},$$

(ii) for any $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}^F)$, we have

$$*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(g.\eta_o^{\mathcal{G}}) = *\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(g).\eta_o^{\mathcal{L}}.$$

2.3. Basic properties of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}$

In this section, we prove the transitivity of the $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}$. We also verify that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ coincides with Harish-Chandra induction if P is F -stable, and that $*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ are adjoint with respect to $(,)_{\mathcal{L}^F}$ and $(,)_{\mathcal{G}^F}$. Note that the group version of these properties are proved from the general properties of generalized induction associated to a bi-module [DM91, Chapters 4, 11], and so it is not possible to adapt these proofs to our Lie algebra version of Deligne-Lusztig induction; we will thus come down to problems on two-variable Green functions.

As it can be seen from 2.1.3, the function $S_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G}^F \times \mathcal{L}^F \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\ell}$ plays a fundamental role in Deligne-Lusztig’s theory. We would like to have such a function in the Lie algebra case; this is possible thanks to [DM91, Lemma 12.3] which gives an expression of $S_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(g, l)$ (where $g \in \mathcal{G}^F, l \in \mathcal{L}^F$) in terms of the values of some two-variable Green functions. More precisely the function $S_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G}^F \times \mathcal{L}^F \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\ell}$ we are looking for is defined as follows:

DEFINITION 2.3.1. For $x \in \mathcal{G}^F, y \in \mathcal{L}^F$, we define $S_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(x, y)$ by

$$S_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(x, y) = \sum_{\{h \in \mathcal{G}^F \mid \text{Ad}(h)y_s = x_s\}} |C_L^o(y_s)^F| |C_G^o(y_s)^F|^{-1} Q_{\mathcal{L}^F(y_s)}^{C_{\mathcal{G}}(y_s)}(\text{Ad}(h^{-1})x_n, y_n).$$

REMARK 2.3.2. Note that $S_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(x, y) = |L^F| Q_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(x, y)$ for any $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}_{nil}^F \times \mathcal{L}_{nil}^F$.

The following lemma is the Lie algebra version of 2.1.3:

LEMMA 2.3.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}^F), g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}^F)$, we have

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(g)(x) = |L^F|^{-1} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{L}^F} S_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(x, y)g(y),$$

$$(2) \quad {}^* \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f)(y) = |G^F|^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{G}^F} S_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(x, y) f(x).$$

PROOF. We first prove (2).

$$\begin{aligned} & |G^F|^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{G}^F} S_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(x, y) f(x) \\ &= |G^F|^{-1} |C_L^o(y_s)^F| |C_G^o(y_s)^F|^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{G}^F} \sum_{\{h \in G^F \mid \text{Ad}(h)y_s = x_s\}} \mathcal{Q}_{C_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{G}}(y_s)}(\text{Ad}(h^{-1})x_n, y_n) f(x) \\ &= |G^F|^{-1} |C_L^o(y_s)^F| |C_G^o(y_s)^F|^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{h \in G^F} \sum_{x_n \in C_{\mathcal{G}}(\text{Ad}(h)y_s)_{\text{nil}}^F} \mathcal{Q}_{C_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{G}}(y_s)}(\text{Ad}(h^{-1})x_n, y_n) f(\text{Ad}(h)y_s + x_n) \\ &= |G^F|^{-1} |C_L^o(y_s)^F| |C_G^o(y_s)^F|^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{h \in G^F} \sum_{x_n \in C_{\mathcal{G}}(y_s)_{\text{nil}}^F} \mathcal{Q}_{C_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{G}}(y_s)}(x_n, y_n) f(y_s + x_n) = {}^* \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Using the G -equivariance of ϕ , it is straightforward to see that,

$$S_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(x, y) = \sum_{h \in G^F \mid \text{Ad}(h)y_s = x_s} |C_{hL}^o(x_s)^F| |C_G^o(x_s)^F|^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_{C_{hL}^{\mathcal{G}}(x_s)}(x_n, \text{Ad}(h)y_n).$$

It is then not difficult to get (1). □

PROPOSITION 2.3.4. *The maps $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ and ${}^* \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ are adjoint with respect to the forms $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{G}^F}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{L}^F}$.*

PROOF. Let $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}^F)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}^F)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (f, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(g))_{\mathcal{G}^F} &= |G^F|^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{G}^F} f(x) \overline{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(g)(x)} \\ &= |L^F|^{-1} |G^F|^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{G}^F} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{L}^F} f(x) \overline{S_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(x, y) g(y)} \quad \text{by 2.3.3(1)} \\ &= |L^F|^{-1} |G^F|^{-1} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{L}^F} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{G}^F} S_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(x, y) f(x) \overline{g(y)}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the fact that $S_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(x, y) \in \mathbf{Q}$. We thus get from 2.3.3 (2) that $(f, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(g))_{\mathcal{G}^F} = ({}^* \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f), g)_{\mathcal{L}^F}$. □

We now prove the transitivity of Deligne-Lusztig induction, that is, if $M \subset L \subset G$ is an inclusion of F -stable Levi subgroups of G , we have $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}} \circ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{G}}$

where $\mathcal{L} = \text{Lie}(L)$ and $\mathcal{M} = \text{Lie}(M)$ and where $\mathcal{P} = \text{Lie}(P)$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \text{Lie}(Q)$ with P, Q two parabolic subgroups of G having respectively L and M as Levi subgroup and such that $Q \subset P$. We start by proving a “transitivity formula” for two-variable Green functions:

LEMMA 2.3.5. *With the above notation, for any $(x, z) \in \mathcal{G}_{nil}^F \times \mathcal{M}_{nil}^F$, we have*

$$Q_{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{Q}}^G(x, z) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{L}_{nil}^F} Q_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^G(x, v) Q_{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{L}}(v, z).$$

PROOF. The lemma will follow from its group version. From the proof of [DM91, 11.5], we have

$$S_{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{Q}}^G(x, z) = |L^F|^{-1} \sum_{y \in L^F} S_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^G(x, y) S_{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{Q}}^G(y, z)$$

for any $(x, z) \in G_{uni}^F \times M_{uni}^F$. By [DM91, Lemma 12.3], we have $S_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^G(x, y) = 0$ if x_s and y_s are not G^F -conjugate. Hence for any $(x, z) \in G_{uni}^F \times M_{uni}^F$, we deduce that

$$S_{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{Q}}^G(x, z) = |L^F|^{-1} \sum_{y \in L_{uni}^F} S_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^G(x, y) S_{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{Q}}^G(y, z).$$

It follows that

$$Q_{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{Q}}^G(x, z) = \sum_{y \in L_{uni}^F} Q_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^G(x, y) Q_{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{L}}(y, z)$$

for any $(x, z) \in G_{uni}^F \times M_{uni}^F$. □

PROPOSITION 2.3.6. *We have*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^G \circ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{Q}}^G.$$

PROOF. Thanks to 2.3.3 (1), it is enough to prove the following statement: for any $x \in \mathcal{G}^F, z \in \mathcal{M}^F$, we have

$$|L^F|^{-1} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{L}^F} S_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^G(x, y) S_{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{L}}(y, z) = S_{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{Q}}^G(x, z).$$

Now a simple calculation shows that this statement reduces to 2.3.5. □

We have the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 2.3.7. *If the parabolic subgroup P is F -stable, then the Deligne-Lusztig induction $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^G$ coincides with Harish-Chandra induction.*

PROOF. From the adjunction property 2.3.4 it is equivalent to prove that Deligne-Lusztig restriction ${}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ coincides with Harish-Chandra restriction. Let $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}^F \times \mathcal{L}^F$. We first compute the quantity $S_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(x, y)$. Define $L_{y_s} := C_L^o(y_s)$, $G_{y_s} := C_G^o(y_s)$ and $V_{y_s} := G_{y_s} \cap U_P$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{y_s} = \text{Lie}(L_{y_s})$, $\mathcal{G}_{y_s} = \text{Lie}(G_{y_s})$ and $\mathcal{V}_{y_s} = \text{Lie}(V_{y_s})$; then $L_{y_s} V_{y_s}$ is a Levi decomposition of the parabolic subgroup $P \cap G_{y_s}$ of G_{y_s} . We denote by $\mathcal{L}_{G_{y_s}} : G_{y_s} \rightarrow G_{y_s}$ the Lang map $x \mapsto x^{-1}F(x)$. Since V_{y_s} is F -stable, by [DM91, p. 81], the bi-module $H_c^*(\mathcal{L}_{G_{y_s}}^{-1}(V_{y_s}))$ is isomorphic as $G_{y_s}^F$ -module- $L_{y_s}^F$ to $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\ell}[G_{y_s}^F/V_{y_s}^F]$. Let $h \in G^F$ be such that $\text{Ad}(h)y_s = x_s$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L}_{y_s}}^{\mathcal{G}_{y_s}}(\text{Ad}(h^{-1})x_n, y_n) &= |L_{y_s}^F|^{-1} \text{Trace}((h^{-1}\phi(x_n)h, \phi(y_n)^{-1}) | \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\ell}[G_{y_s}^F/V_{y_s}^F]) \\ &= |L_{y_s}^F|^{-1} \#\{zV_{y_s}^F \in (G_{y_s}^F/V_{y_s}^F) \mid (hz)^{-1}\phi(x_n)hz \in \phi(y_n)V_{y_s}^F\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the G -equivariance of ϕ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L}_{y_s}}^{\mathcal{G}_{y_s}}(\text{Ad}(h^{-1})x_n, y_n) &= |L_{y_s}^F|^{-1} \#\{zV_{y_s}^F \in (G_{y_s}^F/V_{y_s}^F) \mid \phi(\text{Ad}((hz)^{-1})x_n) \in \phi(y_n)V_{y_s}^F\} \\ &= |L_{y_s}^F|^{-1} \#\{zV_{y_s}^F \in (G_{y_s}^F/V_{y_s}^F) \mid \text{Ad}((hz)^{-1})x_n \in y_n + \mathcal{V}_{y_s}^F\} \quad \text{by 2.2.2} \\ &= |L_{y_s}^F|^{-1} \#\{zV_{y_s}^F \in (G_{y_s}^F/V_{y_s}^F) \mid \text{Ad}((hz)^{-1})x \in y + \mathcal{V}_{y_s}^F\}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$S_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(x, y) = |G_{y_s}^F|^{-1} \sum_{\{h \in G^F \mid \text{Ad}(h)y_s = x_s\}} \#\{zV_{y_s}^F \in (G_{y_s}^F/V_{y_s}^F) \mid \text{Ad}((hz)^{-1})x \in y + \mathcal{V}_{y_s}^F\}.$$

Thus for any $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}^F)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f)(y) &= |G^F|^{-1} |G_{y_s}^F|^{-1} \\ &\times \sum_{x \in \mathcal{G}^F} \sum_{\{h \in G^F \mid \text{Ad}(h)y_s = x_s\}} \#\{zV_{y_s}^F \in (G_{y_s}^F/V_{y_s}^F) \mid \text{Ad}((hz)^{-1})x \in y + \mathcal{V}_{y_s}^F\} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

By interchanging the sums we get that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f)(y) &= |G^F|^{-1} |G_{y_s}^F|^{-1} \\ &\times \sum_{h \in G^F} \sum_{\{x \in \mathcal{G}^F \mid x_s = \text{Ad}(h)y_s\}} \#\{zV_{y_s}^F \in (G_{y_s}^F/V_{y_s}^F) \mid \text{Ad}((hz)^{-1})x \in y + \mathcal{V}_{y_s}^F\} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that,

$${}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f)(y) = |G^F|^{-1} |G_{y_s}^F|^{-1} \sum_{h \in G^F} \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{G}^F \\ x_s = \text{Ad}(h)y_s}} \sum_{\substack{zV_{y_s}^F \in (G_{y_s}^F/V_{y_s}^F) \\ \text{Ad}((hz)^{-1})x \in y + \mathcal{V}_{y_s}^F}} f(x).$$

By interchanging the second with the third sum, we get that

$$*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f)(y) = |G^F|^{-1}|G_{y_s}^F|^{-1} \sum_{h \in G^F} \sum_{\{z \in V_{y_s}^F \in (G_{y_s}^F / V_{y_s}^F)\}} \sum_{\substack{x \in G^F \\ x \in \text{Ad}(hz)(y + V_{y_s}^F)}} f(x).$$

Since the function f is G^F -invariant, we deduce that

$$*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f)(y) = |G^F|^{-1}|G_{y_s}^F|^{-1} \sum_{h \in G^F} \sum_{\{z \in V_{y_s}^F \in (G_{y_s}^F / V_{y_s}^F)\}} \sum_{v \in V_{y_s}^F} f(y + v).$$

Hence

$$*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f)(y) = |V_{y_s}^F|^{-1} \sum_{v \in V_{y_s}^F} f(y + v). \tag{1}$$

To complete the proof, we need the following proposition (which is the Lie algebra version of [DM91, Proposition 7.1]):

PROPOSITION 2.3.8. *With the above notation, let $h : U_P^F \times V_{y_s}^F \rightarrow y + \mathcal{U}_P^F$ be the morphism given by $h(u, v) = \text{Ad}(u)(y + v)$. Then h is surjective and the cardinality of its fibers is equal to $|V_{y_s}^F|$.*

PROOF OF 2.3.8. Since $y \in \mathcal{L}$, the map h is well-defined. To prove the surjectivity of h it is enough to prove that $|\text{Im}(h)| = |U_P^F|$. Let $X = \text{Im}(h)$ and $z \in X$. There exists $\delta \in U_P^F$ and $v \in V_{y_s}^F$ such that $z = \text{Ad}(\delta)(y + v)$. Now the map $h^{-1}(z) \rightarrow h^{-1}(y)$ which sends (γ, w) onto $(\delta^{-1}\gamma, w - \text{Ad}(\gamma^{-1}\delta)v)$ is a bijection whose inverse is given by $(a, x) \mapsto (\delta a, x + \text{Ad}(a^{-1})v)$. Hence the fibers of the map $h : U_P^F \times V_{y_s}^F \rightarrow X$ are all of same cardinality equal to $|h^{-1}(y)|$. We deduce that $|X| = \frac{|U_P^F||V_{y_s}^F|}{|h^{-1}(y)|}$. Thus we need to prove that $|h^{-1}(y)| = |V_{y_s}^F|$. Since $y \in \mathcal{L}_{y_s}$, we have $\text{Ad}(u)y - y \in V_{y_s}$ for any $u \in V_{y_s}$. We thus have an injective map $\psi : V_{y_s}^F \rightarrow h^{-1}(y)$ mapping u onto $(u, \text{Ad}(u^{-1})y - y)$. It remains to prove the surjectivity of ψ . Let $(\delta, v) \in h^{-1}(y)$; we have $\text{Ad}(\delta)(y + v) = y$. Since $y \in \mathcal{L}_{y_s}$, by [Leh96, 3.7], there exists $\zeta \in V_{y_s}$ such that $\text{Ad}(\zeta)y_s = (y + v)_s$. We thus have $\text{Ad}(\delta\zeta)y_s = y_s$ from which we deduce that $\delta \in G_{y_s} \cap U_P = V_{y_s}$ which proves the surjectivity of ψ since $\psi(\delta) = (\delta, v)$. \square

From 2.3.8 and (1) we deduce that

$$*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f)(y) = |U_P^F|^{-1} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{U}_P^F} f(y + v).$$

Hence $*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{LCP}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ coincides with Harish-Chandra restriction. \square

PROPOSITION 2.3.9. *Let L be an F -stable Levi subgroup of G and P be a parabolic subgroup of G having L as a Levi subgroup. Let $\mathcal{L} := \text{Lie}(L)$ and $\mathcal{P} := \text{Lie}(P)$. Let $x \in \mathcal{L}^F$ be such that $C_G^o(x_s) \subseteq L$, then $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}}^G(\gamma_x^L) = \gamma_x^G$.*

PROOF. We compute the values of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}}^G(\gamma_x^L)$. Let $y \in \mathcal{G}^F$, then

$$(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}}^G(\gamma_x^L), \gamma_y^G)_{\mathcal{G}^F} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}}^G(\gamma_x^L)(y).$$

From 2.3.4 we have

$$(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}}^G(\gamma_x^L), \gamma_y^G)_{\mathcal{G}^F} = (\gamma_x^L, {}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}}^G(\gamma_y^G))_{\mathcal{L}^F}.$$

Combining the above two equations we get that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}}^G(\gamma_x^L)(y) = \overline{{}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}}^G(\gamma_y^G)(x)}. \tag{1}$$

Now, by definition we have

$${}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}}^G(\gamma_y^G)(x) = |C_L^o(x_s)^F| |C_G^o(x_s)^F|^{-1} \sum_{n \in C_{\mathcal{G}(x_s)}^F{}_{\text{nil}}} \mathcal{Q}_{C_L^o(x_s)}^{C_{\mathcal{G}(x_s)}}(n, x_n) \gamma_y^G(x_s + n).$$

Since by assumption $C_G^o(x_s) \subseteq L$, we have $C_G^o(x_s) = C_L^o(x_s)$, and so we get that

$${}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}}^G(\gamma_y^G)(x) = \sum_{n \in C_{\mathcal{G}(x_s)}^F{}_{\text{nil}}} \mathcal{Q}_{C_G^o(x_s)}^{C_{\mathcal{G}(x_s)}}(n, x_n) \gamma_y^G(x_s + n).$$

This formula shows that if x_s is not G^F -conjugate to y_s , then ${}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}}^G(\gamma_y^G)(x) = 0$. Hence we may assume that $y_s = x_s$, and we have

$${}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}}^G(\gamma_y^G)(x) = |C_G(y)^F| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{O}_{y_n}^{C_G(y_s)^F}} \mathcal{Q}_{C_G(y_s)}^{C_{\mathcal{G}(y_s)}}(n, x_n). \tag{2}$$

We now compute the quantity $\mathcal{Q}_{C_G(y_s)}^{C_{\mathcal{G}(y_s)}}(n, x_n)$. By definition of Green functions, we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_{C_G(y_s)}^{C_{\mathcal{G}(y_s)}}(n, x_n) = |C_G^o(y_s)^F|^{-1} \text{Trace}((\phi(n), \phi(x_n)^{-1}) | H_c^*(C_G^o(y_s)^F)).$$

From [DM91, Proposition 10.8], we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{C_G(y_s)}^{C_{\mathcal{G}(y_s)}}(n, x_n) &= |C_G^o(y_s)^F|^{-1} \text{Trace}((\phi(n), \phi(x_n)^{-1}) | \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}[C_G^o(y_s)^F]) \\ &= |C_G^o(y_s)^F|^{-1} \#\{g \in C_G^o(y_s)^F \mid \phi(n)g\phi(x_n)^{-1} = g\} \\ &= |C_G^o(y_s)^F|^{-1} \#\{g \in C_G^o(y_s)^F \mid \text{Ad}(g)x_n = n\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the last formula and (2), we deduce that ${}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}}^G(\gamma_y^G)(x) = |C_G(y)^F|$ if x is G^F -conjugate to y and ${}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}}^G(\gamma_y^G)(x) = 0$ otherwise. From (1), it follows that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P}}^G(\gamma_x^L) = \gamma_x^G$. \square

3. The Mackey formula and its applications

In this section, we first discuss the validity of the Mackey formula for $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\subset\mathcal{P}}^G$: in the group case, this has been discussed by many authors including Deligne-Lusztig [DL83, Theorem 7], and Bonnafé [Bon98] [Bon00]. Here, we prove that the Mackey formula holds in the Lie algebra case whenever it does in the group case (assuming that p is good for G so that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\subset\mathcal{P}}^G$ exists). To prove this, we follow [Bon98] where it is shown (in the group case) that the Mackey formula is equivalent to a formula on two-variable Green-functions. In a second part, we will see some consequences of the Mackey formula (well-known in the group case) such as the independence of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\subset\mathcal{P}}^G$ from the parabolic subgroup P or the commutation of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\subset\mathcal{P}}^G$ with the duality map.

3.1. The Mackey formula: definition. The Mackey formula describes the composition ${}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\subset\mathcal{P}}^G \circ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}\subset\mathcal{Q}}^G : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}^F) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}^F)$. More precisely, the *Mackey formula with respect to (G, L, M, P, Q)* , is the following equality:

3.1.1.

$${}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}\subset\mathcal{P}}^G \circ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}\subset\mathcal{Q}}^G = \sum_{x \in L^F \backslash \mathcal{S}_G(L, M)^F / M^F} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \cap {}^x\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L} \cap {}^x\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{L}} \circ {}^*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \cap {}^x\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{P} \cap {}^x\mathcal{M}}^{{}^x\mathcal{M}} \circ \text{Ad}_x$$

where $\mathcal{S}_G(L, M)$ denotes the set of $x \in G$ such that $L \cap {}^xM$ contains a maximal torus of G .

3.2. The main result of this section. Here we state the Lie algebra analogue of a result of Bonnafé reducing the proof of the Mackey formula to the proof of a formula on two-variable Green functions so called the “Mackey formula for Green functions”.

NOTATION 3.2.1. If H is a reductive group, we denote by $rk_{ss}(H)$ the semi-simple rank of H , i.e the rank of H/Z_H^o .

Let L and M be two F -stable Levi subgroups of G and let P and Q be two parabolic subgroups of G having respectively L and M as Levi subgroup. Then we denote by $\mathcal{T}(G, L, M)$ the set of triples (G', L', M') such that:

- (i) G' is an F -stable connected reductive subgroup of G such that G' contains a maximal torus of G and $rk_{ss}(G') < rk_{ss}(G)$,
- (ii) L' and M' are two F -stable Levi subgroups of G' which are respectively G^F -conjugate to a subgroup of L and a subgroup of M ,

For each $(G', L', M') \in \mathcal{T}(G, L, M)$, we choose two parabolic subgroups P' and Q' of G' such that L' and M' are Levi factors respectively of P' and Q' , and such that there exists $x, y \in G^F$ verifying $L' \subset {}^xL, M' \subset {}^yM$ and $P' \subset {}^xP, Q' \subset {}^yQ$.

NOTATION 3.2.2. If $(G', L', M') \in \mathcal{T}(G, L, M) \cup \{(G, L, M)\}$, we write $(G', L', M') \leq (G, L, M)$. This defines a partial order on $\mathcal{T}(G, L, M) \cup \{(G, L, M)\}$.

NOTATION 3.2.3. If $(G', L', M') \leq (G, L, M)$, we denote by $\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{L}', \mathcal{M}', \mathcal{P}'$ and \mathcal{Q}' the respective Lie algebras of G', L', M', P' and Q' ; with this notation, we write $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}'}^{\mathcal{G}'}$ instead of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}' \subset \mathcal{P}'}$.

NOTATION 3.2.4. If $(G', L', M') \leq (G, L, M)$, we denote by $\mathcal{M}(G', L', M')$ the equality 3.1.1 (with (G', L', M', P', Q') instead of (G, L, M, P, Q)) and by $M(G', L', M')$ the corresponding equality in the group case (see [Bon98]).

REMARK 3.2.5. The Mackey formula $\mathcal{M}(G, L, M)$ holds if and only if

$$(*) \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}^F), \forall g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}^F),$$

$$(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f), \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{G}}(g))_{\mathcal{G}^F} = \sum_{x \in L^F \backslash \mathcal{S}_G(L, M)^F / M^F} (*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \cap^x \mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{L}}(f), *\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \cap^x \mathcal{M}}^x \mathcal{M} \circ \text{Ad}_x(g))_{\mathcal{L}^F \cap \text{Ad}(x)\mathcal{M}^F}.$$

The next formula is somehow the analogue for Green functions of 3.2.5(*):

DEFINITION 3.2.6 (The Mackey formula for Green functions). For $u \in \mathcal{G}^F$ and $v \in \mathcal{L}^F$, we denote by $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{G}}(u, \cdot)$ (resp. $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\cdot, v)$) the invariant function on \mathcal{L}^F (resp. on \mathcal{G}^F) that takes the value 0 at non-nilpotent elements and that takes the value $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{G}}(u, v)$ at v (resp. u). We call the Mackey formula for Green functions with respect to (G, L, M) the following formula:

$$(*) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{L}_{nil}^F, \forall v \in \mathcal{M}_{nil}^F,$$

$$(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\cdot, u), \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\cdot, v))_{\mathcal{G}^F} = \sum_{x \in L^F \backslash \mathcal{S}_G(L, M)^F / M^F} (\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L} \cap^x \mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{L}}(u, \cdot), \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L} \cap^x \mathcal{M}}^x \mathcal{M}(xv, \cdot))_{\mathcal{L}^F \cap \text{Ad}(x)\mathcal{M}^F}.$$

NOTATION 3.2.7. We denote by $\mathcal{Q}(G, L, M)$ the formula 3.2.6(*) and by $Q(G, L, M)$ the corresponding formula in the group case [Bon98, 2.2].

REMARK 3.2.8. It is clear from our definition of the two-variable Green functions that the formula $\mathcal{Q}(G, L, M)$ holds exactly when $Q(G, L, M)$ does.

The following proposition is the main result of this section (see [Bon98, Proposition 2.3.6] for the group case).

PROPOSITION 3.2.9. *The following assertions are equivalent,*

- (i) *For any $(G', L', M') \leq (G, L, M)$, the Mackey formula for Green functions $\mathcal{Q}(G', L', M')$ holds.*
- (ii) *For any $(G', L', M') \leq (G, L, M)$, the Mackey formula $\mathcal{M}(G', L', M')$ holds.*

COROLLARY 3.2.10. *The following assertions are equivalent,*

- (i) *For any $(G', L', M') \leq (G, L, M)$, the Mackey formula $\mathcal{M}(G', L', M')$ holds.*
- (ii) *For any $(G', L', M') \leq (G, L, M)$, the Mackey formula $M(G', L', M')$ holds.*

The corollary is a straightforward consequence of 3.2.9, 3.2.8 and the group version of 3.2.9 (which is [Bon98, Proposition 2.3.6]).

3.3. Proof of 3.2.9. The proof of 3.2.9 is entirely similar to that of its group version [Bon98]. We sketch it for the convenience of the reader.

For $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}^F)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}^F)$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f, g) &= (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f), \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{G}}(g))_{\mathcal{G}^F} \\ &\quad - \sum_{x \in L^F \backslash \mathcal{S}_G(L, M)^F / M^F} (*\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \cap^x \mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{L}}(f), *\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \cap^x \mathcal{M}}^x \mathcal{M} \circ \text{Ad}_x(g))_{\mathcal{L}^F \cap \text{Ad}(x)\mathcal{M}^F}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $u \in \mathcal{L}_{nil}^F$ and $v \in \mathcal{M}_{nil}^F$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{G}}(u, v) &= (\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\cdot, u), \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\cdot, v))_{\mathcal{G}^F} \\ &\quad - \sum_{x \in L^F \backslash \mathcal{S}_G(L, M)^F / M^F} (\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L} \cap^x \mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{L}}(u, \cdot), \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L} \cap^x \mathcal{M}}^x \mathcal{M}(\cdot, v))_{\mathcal{L}^F \cap \text{Ad}(x)\mathcal{M}^F}. \end{aligned}$$

The following result gives an expression of the $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ in terms of $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{G}}$, see [Bon98, Corollary 2.3.5] for the group case.

LEMMA 3.3.1. *We assume that $\mathcal{M}(G', L', M')$ holds for all triples (G', L', M') of $\mathcal{T}(G, L, M)$. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}^F)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}^F)$ we have*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f, g) = \sum_{z \in z(G)^F} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{L}_{nil}^F} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{M}_{nil}^F} f(z + v) \overline{g(z + w)} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{G}}(v, w).$$

The proof of [Bon98, Corollary 2.3.5] can be adapted without difficulties to the Lie algebra case.

PROOF OF 3.2.9. Assuming (i) and using 3.3.1, we can prove (ii) easily by induction on $\dim G' + \dim L' + \dim M'$ where (G', L', M') runs over the set of triples $\leq (G, L, M)$.

Assume that (ii) is true. Let $(G', L', M') \leq (G, L, M)$. We want to prove that for any $u \in \mathcal{L}'_{nil}{}^F$ and $v \in \mathcal{M}'_{nil}{}^F$, we have $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{M}'}^{\mathcal{G}'}(u, v) = 0$. Since the Mackey formula holds for any triple $\leq (G, L, M)$, it does for any triple $\leq (G', L', M')$ and so by 3.3.1, for any $u \in \mathcal{L}'_{nil}{}^F$ and $v \in \mathcal{M}'_{nil}{}^F$, we get that (see notation 1.0.4):

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{M}'}^{\mathcal{G}'}(\gamma_u^{L'}, \gamma_v^{M'}) = |L'^F| |M'^F| \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{M}'}^{\mathcal{G}'}(u, v).$$

But by assumption, the left hand side of the above equation is equal to 0, so $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{M}'}^{\mathcal{G}'}(u, v) = 0$. \square

3.4. Consequences. By [Bon98], there exists an integer q_0 , depending only on G , such that if $q > q_0$, then for any F -stable Levi subgroups L and M of G , the Mackey formula $\mathcal{M}(G', L', M')$ holds for any triple $(G', L', M') \leq (G, L, M)$. Hence by 3.2.10 we have:

THEOREM 3.4.1. *If $q > q_0$, the Mackey formula $\mathcal{M}(G, L, M)$ holds for any F -stable Levi subgroups L and M .*

REMARK 3.4.2. In some cases, we can prove that the Mackey formula $\mathcal{M}(G, L, M)$ holds without assumption on q . This is the case for instance if G is of type A_n , or if L or M is a maximal torus. These results follow from their group versions (see [Bon00] if G is of type A_n , and see [DL83] if L or M is a maximal torus) together with 3.2.10.

PROPOSITION 3.4.3. *If $q > q_o$, the Deligne-Lusztig induction $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^G$ does not depend on the choice of the parabolic subgroup P of G having L as a Levi subgroup.*

PROOF. The proof is entirely similar to that of [DM91, Proposition 6.8]. □

NOTATION 3.4.4. We denote $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}}^G$ instead of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}}^G$; this is justified in view of 3.4.3.

Now we are interested in the relationship between duality maps and Deligne-Lusztig induction. This relationship is known in the group case, see [DM91, p.66]; the corresponding formula for Lie algebras is given in the following theorem:

THEOREM 3.4.5. *Assume $q > q_o$. Let L be an F -stable Levi subgroup of G and let \mathcal{L} be its Lie algebra. Then*

$$\mathcal{D}_G \circ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}}^G = \varepsilon_G \varepsilon_L \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}}^G \circ \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$$

where $\varepsilon_G = (-1)^{\mathbf{F}_q - \text{rank}(G)}$.

PROOF. The proof is entirely similar to that of [DM91, Theorem 8.11] since the only properties of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}}^G$ it uses are transitivity (see 2.3.6), the Mackey formula for (G, M, L) with M a G -split Levi subgroup of G and the following formula (which is easy to verify)

$$\text{Ad}_{x^{-1}} \circ \mathcal{R}_{x\mathcal{M}}^x \circ \text{Ad}_x = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{L}}$$

for any $x \in G^F$ and any \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L}$. □

References

- [Bon98] C. BONNAFÉ, *Formule de Mackey pour q grand*, J. Algebra **201** (1998), no. 1, 207–232.
- [Bon00] C. BONNAFÉ, *Mackey formula in type A*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **80** (2000), no. 3, 545–574.
- [Bon02] C. BONNAFÉ, *A note on centralizers of unipotent elements*, preprint of Laboratoire de mathématiques de Besançon **19** (2002).
- [Bor] A. BOREL, *Linear algebraic groups*, Springer-Verlag (second enlarged edition).
- [Del77] P. DELIGNE, *Cohomologie étale*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA 4 $\frac{1}{2}$, Avec la collaboration de J. F. Boutot, A. Grothendieck, L. Illusie et J. L. Verdier, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 569.
- [DL76] P. DELIGNE and G. LUSZTIG, *Representations of reductive groups over finite fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976), no. 1, 103–161.
- [DL83] P. DELIGNE and G. LUSZTIG, *Duality for representations of a reductive group over a finite field. II*, J. Algebra **81** (1983), no. 2, 540–545.
- [DM87] FRANÇOIS DIGNE and JEAN MICHEL, *Foncteurs de Lusztig et caractères des groupes linéaires et unitaires sur un corps fini*, J. Algebra **107** (1987), no. 1, 217–255.

- [DM91] FRANÇOIS DIGNE and JEAN MICHEL, *Representations of finite groups of Lie type*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [Gre55] J. A. GREEN, *The characters of the finite general linear groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1955), 402–447.
- [Kaw82] N. KAWANAKA, *Fourier transforms of nilpotently supported invariant functions on a simple Lie algebra over a finite field*, Invent. Math. **69** (1982), no. 3, 411–435.
- [Kaz77] D. KAZHDAN, *Proof of Springer’s hypothesis*, Israel J. Math. **28** (1977), no. 4, 272–286.
- [Leh96] GUS LEHRER, *The space of invariant functions on a finite Lie algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), no. 1, 31–50.
- [Lus76] G. LUSZTIG, *On the Finiteness of the Number of Unipotent Classes*, Invent. Math. **34** (1976), 201–213.
- [Lus86] G. LUSZTIG, *On the Character Values of Finite Chevalley Groups at Unipotent Elements*, J. Algebra **104** (1986), no. 2, 146–194.
- [Slo80] PETER SLODOWY, *Simple singularities and simple algebraic groups*, Springer, Berlin (1980).
- [Spr69] T. A. SPRINGER, *The unipotent variety of a semi-simple group*, Algebraic Geometry (Internat. Colloq., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1968), Oxford Univ. Press, London (1969), 373–391.
- [Spr76] T. A. SPRINGER, *Trigonometric sums, Green functions of finite groups and representations of Weyl groups*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 173–207.

Present Address:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOPHIA UNIVERSITY,
KIOICHO, CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 102–8554, JAPAN.