

Integral Points and the Rank of Elliptic Curves over Imaginary Quadratic Fields

Michitaka KOJIMA

Waseda University

(Communicated by Y. Yamada)

Abstract. One of Silverman's results gives a relationship between the number of integral points and the rank of elliptic curves over \mathbf{Q} . This paper generalizes this result for all imaginary quadratic fields.

1. Introduction

Let $f(x, y) = ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3 \in \mathbf{Z}[x, y]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 with non-zero discriminant. The discriminant is given by

$$\text{disc}(f) = -27a^2d^2 - 4ac^3 + 18abcd - 4b^3d + b^2c^2.$$

For each non-zero integer $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, let C_m be the projective curve

$$C_m : f(x, y) = mz^3.$$

The curve C_m is non-singular, since $\text{disc}(f) \neq 0$. Suppose that C_m has a \mathbf{Q} -rational point. Then C_m has a structure of an elliptic curve defined over \mathbf{Q} . It is well known that the set $C_m(\mathbf{Q})$ forms a finitely generated abelian group, and the order of its torsion part is bounded by 16. Namely the size of $C_m(\mathbf{Q})$ is measured by $\text{rank}(C_m(\mathbf{Q}))$, the Mordell-Weil rank of $C_m(\mathbf{Q})$. On the other hand, Siegel proved the following fundamental result about the number of integral points:

THEOREM (Siegel [9] Ch. 9). *The number $N_f(m)$ of solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$ of the equation $f(x, y) = m$ is finite.*

The method developed by J. Silverman allows one to give an effective bound for $N_f(m)$ in terms of $\text{rank}(C_m(\mathbf{Q}))$.

THEOREM (J. Silverman [6]). *There are constants κ and m_0 , with κ absolute and m_0 depending on f , so that for all cube-free integers m satisfying $|m| > m_0$,*

$$N_f(m) < \kappa^{\text{rank}(C_m(\mathbf{Q}))+1}.$$

One naturally asks if this result can be generalized for any number field. In the following, we formulate our problem.

Let K be a number field and let $f(x, y) \in o_K[x, y]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 with distinct roots in \bar{K} . For each non-zero integer $\beta \in o_K$, let

$$N_f(\beta) = \#\{(x, y) \in o_K \times o_K \mid f(x, y) = \beta\} \quad (1)$$

and let C_β be the smooth curve

$$C_\beta : f(x, y) = \beta z^3. \quad (2)$$

From Siegel's theorem, $N_f(\beta)$ is finite and if $N_f(\beta) > 0$, then C_β has a structure of an elliptic curve defined over K .

Now we state our problem. In view of Silverman's result and the main theorem of this paper, it may be called a conjecture.

CONJECTURE. *There are constants $\kappa > 0$, $M > 0$ with κ depending only on K and M depending on f such that, for all cube-free integers $\beta \in o_K$ (i.e., integers divisible by no cube of prime ideals of K) satisfying $H_K(\beta) \geq M$, we have*

$$N_f(\beta) < \kappa^{\text{rank } C_\beta(K) + 1},$$

where H_K is a height function (see section 2).

Our main result asserts that this conjecture is true for the case where K is an imaginary quadratic field.

THEOREM A. *The conjecture is true for the case where K is an arbitrary imaginary quadratic field.*

The proof of Theorem A consists of three steps. First, we give an upper bound for the height of the integral solutions to the equation $f(x, y) = \beta$ (Proposition B). Next, we look at the rational points on elliptic curves of the form

$$E_{\beta D} : y^2 = x^3 + \beta D$$

and prove a similar bound for the number of points whose height is bounded in a certain fashion (Proposition C). Finally we map the equation $f(x, y) = \beta$ to its Jacobian, which has a Weierstrass model of the form $E_{\beta D}$, and this allows us to combine the previous two steps to bound the number of integral solutions to the equation $f(x, y) = \beta$.

2. The Size of Solutions

In this section we give an upper bound for the height of the integral solutions to the equation $f(x, y) = \beta$. Before stating our proposition, we set notations and review the definitions of the height functions briefly.

DEFINITIONS. Let M_K be a complete set of primes of K . For each $v \in M_K$, let $|\cdot|_v$ be the normalized valuation on K which belongs to v and let $n_v = [K_v : \mathbf{Q}_v]$ be the local degree

at v , where a normalized valuation means that its restriction to \mathbf{Q} is one of the normalized valuations on \mathbf{Q} . Let $P \in \mathbf{P}^N(K)$ be a point with homogeneous coordinates

$$P = [x_0, \dots, x_N], \quad x_i \in K.$$

The height of P (relative to K) is defined by

$$H_K(P) = \prod_{v \in M_K} \max\{|x_0|_v, \dots, |x_N|_v\}^{n_v}.$$

Further, the absolute height H and the absolute logarithmic height h of P are defined by

$$H(P) = H_K(P)^{1/[K:\mathbf{Q}]} \quad \text{and} \quad h(P) = \log H(P),$$

respectively.

Also for each $x \in K$ the three types of heights of x are defined as follows

$$H_K(x) = H_K([x, 1]), \quad H(x) = H([x, 1]) \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) = \log H(x).$$

Finally, let E/K be an elliptic curve defined over K and let $g \in \bar{K}(E)$ be a non-constant even function. Then for each $P \in E(\bar{K})$ the absolute height H_g , the absolute logarithmic height h_g , and the canonical height \hat{h} (relative to g) are defined by

$$H_g(P) = H(g(P)), \quad h_g(P) = h(g(P)) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{h}(P) = \frac{1}{\deg(g)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{-n} h_g([2^n]P),$$

respectively.

Now we state our proposition.

PROPOSITION B. *Let K be an imaginary quadratic field and $f(x, y) \in o_K[x, y]$ a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 with non-zero discriminant. Then there are constants $c > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ with c depending only on K and γ depending on f so that for all non-zero integers $\beta \in o_K$ the integral solutions $(x, y) \in o_K \times o_K$ to the equation $f(x, y) = \beta$ satisfy*

$$H(x), H(y) < \gamma H(\beta)^c.$$

PROOF. Write

$$f(x, y) = ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3 (a, b, c, d \in o_K).$$

We will prove that there are constants $c' > 0$ and $\gamma' > 0$ with c' depending only on K and γ' depending on f so that

$$x, y \in o_K, f(x, y) \neq 0 \implies H(f(x, y)) > \gamma' \max(H(x), H(y))^{c'}. \quad (3)$$

Once this is done, substituting (3) for $f(x, y) = \beta$ gives the desired result. We consider several cases, and then taking the minimum of c' and γ' obtained by each case gives the desired inequality.

First, we consider the case $y = 0$. Then $f(x, y) = ax^3$. If $a = 0$, then $f(x, y) = 0$ and there is nothing to prove. If $a \neq 0$, then

$$H(f(x, y)) = |a|H(x)^3(x \neq 0).$$

Hence we can take $c' = 3$, $\gamma' < |a|$ to obtain the inequality (3). Similarly one can obtain the inequality in the case $x = 0$.

Next, we consider the case $y \neq 0$ and $H(x) \leq H(y)$. Let $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3 \in \mathbf{C}$ be distinct roots of $f(x, 1)$. Then

$$f(x, y) = ay^3 \left(\frac{x}{y} - \zeta_1 \right) \left(\frac{x}{y} - \zeta_2 \right) \left(\frac{x}{y} - \zeta_3 \right). \quad (4)$$

Let $\Delta = \min\{|\zeta_i - \zeta_j| \mid i \neq j\}$. If $\left| \frac{x}{y} - \zeta_i \right| > \frac{\Delta}{2}$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3$, then from (4)

$$H(f(x, y)) = |f(x, y)| > |a| \left(\frac{\Delta}{2} \right)^3 H(y)^3.$$

So taking $c' = 3$, $\gamma' = |a| \left(\frac{\Delta}{2} \right)^3$ gives the desired inequality.

In the following, we consider the case $\left| \frac{x}{y} - \zeta_{i_0} \right| \leq \frac{\Delta}{2}$ for some i_0 . Note that if $i \neq i_0$ then $\left| \frac{x}{y} - \zeta_i \right| \geq \frac{\Delta}{2}$, since it follows from the triangular inequality that

$$\left| \frac{x}{y} - \zeta_i \right| \geq \left| \zeta_{i_0} - \zeta_i \right| - \left| \zeta_{i_0} - \frac{x}{y} \right| \geq \Delta - \frac{\Delta}{2} = \frac{\Delta}{2}.$$

Hence

$$H(f(x, y)) = |f(x, y)| \geq |a| \left(\frac{\Delta}{2} \right)^2 |y|^3 \left| \frac{x}{y} - \zeta_{i_0} \right|. \quad (5)$$

We will find the lower bound for $\left| \frac{x}{y} - \zeta_{i_0} \right|$. Write $K = \mathbf{Q}(\omega)$ ($\omega = \sqrt{-m}$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$) and $\frac{x}{y} = c + d\omega$ ($c, d \in \mathbf{Q}$). We can also write

$$\zeta_{i_0} = c_{i_0} + d_{i_0}\omega \quad (c_{i_0}, d_{i_0} \in \mathbf{R}),$$

since $1, \omega \in \mathbf{C}$ are linearly independent over \mathbf{R} . One can easily see that $c_{i_0}, d_{i_0} \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}$. Then

$$\left| \frac{x}{y} - \zeta_{i_0} \right| = \sqrt{(c - c_{i_0})^2 + m(d - d_{i_0})^2} \geq \max\{|c - c_{i_0}|, |d - d_{i_0}|\}. \quad (6)$$

If $c = c_{i_0}$, $d = d_{i_0}$, then $f(x, y) = 0$ and there is nothing to consider. So assume that $c \neq c_{i_0}$ or $d \neq d_{i_0}$. We consider the former case. One can deal similarly with the later case. Fix a

number ε such that $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ($\varepsilon = 1/2$). Then Roth's theorem (see [3] or [9] Ch. 9) says that for all but finitely many $c \in \mathbf{Q}$

$$|c - c_{i_0}| > H(c)^{-(2+\varepsilon)}.$$

Hence, there is a sufficiently small $\gamma_{i_0} > 0$ such that for all $c \in \mathbf{Q}$ different from c_{i_0}

$$|c - c_{i_0}| > \gamma_{i_0} H(c)^{-(2+\varepsilon)}. \tag{7}$$

Substituting (6) into (7) implies

$$\left| \frac{x}{y} - \zeta_{i_0} \right| > \gamma_{i_0} H(c)^{-(2+\varepsilon)}. \tag{8}$$

On the other hand

$$H(c) = H\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x}{y} + \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{y}\right)\right)\right) \leq \gamma' H\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \leq \gamma' \max\{H(x), H(y)\} = \gamma' H(y), \tag{9}$$

where $\gamma' = H(\frac{1}{2}) \cdot 4$. (Note that $H(\alpha) = H(\bar{\alpha})$ for $\alpha \in K$.) Substituting (8) into (9) implies

$$\left| \frac{x}{y} - \zeta_{i_0} \right| > \gamma'_{i_0} H(y)^{-(2+\varepsilon)}. \tag{10}$$

Finally, substitution (5) into (10) yields

$$H(f(x, y)) \geq |a| \left(\frac{\Delta}{2}\right)^2 \gamma'_{i_0} H(y)^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

This is the desired result. One can deal similarly with the remaining case $x \neq 0$, $H(x) \geq H(y)$.

3. The Equation $y^2 = x^3 + \beta D$

In this section we study the rational points on the elliptic curve $E_{\beta D} : y^2 = x^3 + \beta D$ as β varies, and prove a result similar to Theorem A for the number of points whose height is bounded by an expression of the form $ch(\beta) + \gamma$.

PROPOSITION C. *Let $D(\neq 0) \in o_K$, $c > 0$, and $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$ be given and K is an imaginary quadratic field. Then there are constants c_1, c_2, c_3 depending only on K and a constant $M > 0$ depending on D, c, γ such that for all sixth-power-free integers β satisfying $H_K(\beta) \geq M$,*

$$\#\{P \in E_{\beta D}(K) | h_x(P) < ch(\beta) + \gamma\} < c_1(c_2\sqrt{c+c_3} + 1)^{\text{rank } E_{\beta D}(K)}.$$

In the following, we will use c_1, c_2, \dots to denote positive constants depending only on K , and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots$ to denote constants which may depend on D and γ . Before proving Proposition C, we first collect a number of preliminary results.

LEMMA 1. $\#(E_{\beta D}(K))_{\text{tor}} \leq c_4$.

PROOF. Merel [1] shows for an arbitrary number field K , there is a constant c depending only on $[K : \mathbf{Q}]$ such that for all elliptic curves E/K

$$\#E(K)_{\text{tor}} \leq c.$$

LEMMA 2. *Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field K . Then the canonical height \hat{h} has the following properties.*

- i) $\hat{h}(P) \geq 0$ for all $P \in E(K)$. Moreover $\hat{h}(P) = 0$ if and only if $P \in E(K)_{\text{tor}}$.
- ii) $\hat{h}(P)$ depends only on the coset $P + E(K)_{\text{tor}}$.

Thus there is a natural map

$$\hat{h} : E(K)/E(K)_{\text{tor}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R},$$

and this is a positive definite quadratic form on the lattice $E(K)/E(K)_{\text{tor}}$.

PROOF. See in the monograph of Silverman [9, Theorem 9.3 and Remark 9.4 in Ch.VIII].

LEMMA 3. *Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{o}_K$ be a non-zero integer and P be a point on $E_{\beta D}(K)$. Then*

$$|2\hat{h}(P) - h_x(P)| < c_5 h(\beta) + \gamma_1.$$

PROOF. See Chap. VIII Exercise 8.18(b) in [9] or [11].

LEMMA 4. *Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{o}_K$ be a non-zero integer and P be a non-torsion point on $E_{\beta D}(K)$. Then*

$$\hat{h}(P) > c_6 \log N_K(\mathfrak{D}_{E_{\beta D}/K}),$$

where $\mathfrak{D}_{E_{\beta D}/K}$ is the minimal discriminant of $E_{\beta D}/K$ and $N_K(\mathfrak{D}_{E_{\beta D}/K})$ is the absolute norm of $\mathfrak{D}_{E_{\beta D}/K}$.

PROOF. There is a conjecture by Serge Lang, which asserts for any elliptic curve E defined over a number field K and a non-torsion point $P \in E(K)$

$$\hat{h}(P) > c_1 \log N_K(\mathfrak{D}_{E/K}) + c_2,$$

where c_1, c_2 are positive constants depending only on K . This conjecture is true for elliptic curves with integral j -invariant. (See [8] or [10].) Since the j -invariant of $E_{\beta D}$ is 0, this completes the proof.

LEMMA 5. *For all sixth-power-free integers $\beta \in \mathfrak{o}_K$,*

$$\log N_K(\mathfrak{D}_{E_{\beta D}/K}) > c_7 h(\beta) - \gamma_2.$$

PROOF. We use the fact that β is sixth-power-free. The discriminant of the Weierstrass model

$$E_{\beta D} : y^2 = x^3 + \beta D$$

is $\Delta = -16 \cdot 27(\beta D)^2$. Since β is sixth-power-free, this model is already minimal for all but primes which divide $6D$. Write $(\beta) = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}'$ as a product of two ideals with $(\mathfrak{b}, 6D) = 1$ and \mathfrak{b}' contains only primes dividing $6D$ as prime divisors. Then

$$\mathfrak{b}^2 | \mathfrak{D}_{E_{\beta D}/K}, \mathfrak{b}' | (6D)^5.$$

Note that since \mathfrak{b}' is sixth-power-free, any exponent in the factorization of the ideal \mathfrak{b}' as a product of prime ideal of K is at most 5. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \log N_K(\mathfrak{D}_{E_{\beta D}/K}) &\geq \log N_K(\mathfrak{b}^2) \\ &= 2 \log N_K(\mathfrak{b}) \\ &= 2 \log N_K(\beta/\mathfrak{b}') \\ &= 2(\log N_K(\beta) - \log N_K(\mathfrak{b}')) \\ &\geq 2 \log N_K(\beta) - 10 \log N_K(6D) \\ &= 4h(\beta) - 10 \log N_K(6D). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 6. *Let Λ be a lattice of rank r with a positive definite quadratic form Q . Let*

$$A = \min\{Q(\lambda) | \lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq 0\}.$$

Then for all positive constants B

$$\#\{\lambda \in \Lambda | Q(\lambda) \leq B\} \leq (2\sqrt{B/A} + 1)^r.$$

PROOF. Let N be the least integer greater than $2\sqrt{B/A}$. We will prove that the natural map

$$\{\lambda \in \Lambda | Q(\lambda) \leq B\} \rightarrow \Lambda/N\Lambda$$

$$\lambda \mapsto \lambda + N\Lambda$$

is injective. Suppose that it is not injective. Choose $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ ($Q(\lambda_i) \leq B$ ($i = 1, 2$), $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$) such that

$$\lambda_1 + N\Lambda = \lambda_2 + N\Lambda.$$

Then there is an element $\mu \in \Lambda$ ($\neq 0$) such that $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = N\mu$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < Q(\mu) &= Q(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)/N^2 \leq (Q(\lambda_1) + Q(\lambda_2) + 2\sqrt{Q(\lambda_1)Q(\lambda_2)})/N^2 \\ &= (\sqrt{Q(\lambda_1)} + \sqrt{Q(\lambda_2)})^2/N^2 \\ &\leq (\sqrt{B} + \sqrt{B})^2/N^2 = 4B/N^2 < A. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the definition of A . Thus the map is injective. Then

$$\#\{\lambda \in \Lambda | Q(\lambda) \leq B\} \leq \#\Lambda/N\Lambda = N^r \leq (2\sqrt{B/A} + 1)^r.$$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION C. Let $\beta \in o_K$ be a sixth-power-free integer. We use Lemma 3, Lemma 2, and Lemma 1 successively.

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{P \in E_{\beta D}(K) \mid h_x(P) < c h(\beta) + \gamma\} \\ & \leq \#\left\{P \in E_{\beta D}(K) \mid \hat{h}(P) < \frac{1}{2}(c + c_5)h(\beta) + \gamma_3\right\} \\ & = \#(E_{\beta D}(K)_{\text{tor}}) \cdot \#\left\{\bar{P} \in E_{\beta D}(K)/E_{\beta D}(K)_{\text{tor}} \mid \hat{h}(P) < \frac{1}{2}(c + c_5)h(\beta) + \gamma_3\right\} \\ & \leq c_4 \cdot \#\left\{\bar{P} \in E_{\beta D}(K)/E_{\beta D}(K)_{\text{tor}} \mid \hat{h}(P) < \frac{1}{2}(c + c_5)h(\beta) + \gamma_3\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $\bar{P} (\neq \bar{0}) \in E_{\beta D}(K)/E_{\beta D}(K)_{\text{tor}}$, then it follows from Lemmas 4 and 5 that

$$\hat{h}(\bar{P}) > c_6 \log N_K(\mathfrak{D}_{E_{\beta D}/K}) > c_6(c_7 h(\beta) - \gamma_2) = c_8 h(\beta) - \gamma_4.$$

Now apply Lemma 6 to the lattice $\Lambda = E_{\beta D}(K)/E_{\beta D}(K)_{\text{tor}}$ and the positive definite quadratic form $Q = \hat{h}$, with

$$A > c_8 h(\beta) - \gamma_4$$

and

$$B = \frac{1}{2}(c + c_5)h(\beta) + \gamma_3.$$

This yields

$$\#\{P \in E_{\beta D}(K) \mid h_x(P) < c h(\beta) + \gamma\} < c_4 \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{\frac{1}{2}(c + c_5)h(\beta) + \gamma_3}{c_8 h(\beta) - \gamma_4}} + 1 \right)^{\text{rank } E_{\beta D}(K)}.$$

Now if $H_K(\beta)$ is arbitrarily large, then $h(\beta)$ becomes large. Thus

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}(c + c_5)h(\beta) + \gamma_3}{c_8 h(\beta) - \gamma_4} \rightarrow \frac{\frac{1}{2}(c + c_5)}{c_8}.$$

Hence, there is a sufficiently large constant M depending on D and γ such that for all sixth-power-free integers $\beta \in o_K$ such that $H_K(\beta) \geq M$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}(c + c_5)h(\beta) + \gamma_3}{c_8 h(\beta) - \gamma_4} < \frac{c + c_5}{c_8}.$$

Then we have

$$\#\{P \in E_{\beta D}(K) \mid h_x(P) < c h(\beta) + \gamma\} < c_4 \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{c + c_5}{c_8}} + 1 \right)^{\text{rank } E_{\beta D}(K)}.$$

This is the desired result if we set $c_1 = c_4$, $c_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{c_8}}$, $c_3 = c_5$.

4. Proof of Main Theorem

THEOREM A. *Let K be an arbitrary imaginary quadratic field and let $N_f(\beta)$ and C_β be the same as in Section 1. (For the definitions of these, see Section 1 (1), (2) respectively.) Then there are constants $\kappa > 0$, $M > 0$ with κ depending only on K and M depending on f , such that for all cube-free integers $\beta \in o_K$ satisfying $H_K(\beta) \geq M$, we have*

$$N_f(\beta) < \kappa^{\text{rank } C_\beta(K)+1}.$$

PROOF. As before, c, c_1, c_2, \dots will denote constants depending only on K , and $\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots$ will be constants depending on f . Write

$$f(x, y) = ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3 (a, b, c, d \in o_K).$$

The discriminant of the polynomial f is given by

$$D = \text{disc}(f) = -27a^2d^2 - 4ac^3 + 18abcd - 4b^3d + b^2c^2.$$

Let J_β be the Jacobian of C_β . (For the definition of C_β , see Section 1 (2).) Then J_β has a model

$$J_\beta : y^2z = x^3 - 432\beta^2Dz^3.$$

We have a map of degree 3, defined over K , given by

$$\phi : C_\beta \rightarrow J_\beta$$

$$[x, y, z] \mapsto [-4zG(x, y), 4H(x, y), z^3],$$

where $G(x, y)$ and $H(x, y)$ are the covariant polynomials of f of degree 2 and 3, respectively. They are given by

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y) &= (3ac - b^2)x^2 + (9ad - bc)xy + (3bd - c^2)y^2 \\ H(x, y) &= (27a^2d - 9abc + 2b^3)x^3 - 3(6ac^2 - b^2c - 9abd)x^2y \\ &\quad + 3(6b^2d - bc^2 - 9acd)xy^2 - (27ad^2 - 9bcd + 2c^3)y^3. \end{aligned}$$

(For the derivation of these formulas, see [4, pp. 175–178].)

Let $(x, y) \in o_K \times o_K$ be a solution of $f(x, y) = \beta$. Then from Proposition B

$$H(x), H(y) < \gamma_1 H(\beta)^{c_4}.$$

Thus

$$h_x(\phi([x, y, 1])) = h(-4G(x, y)) = \log H(-4G(x, y)) < 2c_4h(\beta) + \gamma_2.$$

Now we apply Proposition C with $(\beta, D, c, \gamma) = (\beta^2, -432D, 2c_4, \gamma_2)$. (Note that β is cube-free, so that β^2 is sixth-power-free as required in Proposition C.) We obtain an upper bound

$$\#\{P \in J_\beta(K) \mid h_x(P) < 2c_4h(\beta) + \gamma_2\} < c_1(c_2\sqrt{2c_4 + c_3} + 1)^{\text{rank } J_\beta(K)}$$

for sufficiently large $H_K(\beta)$. Since $\deg(\phi) = 3$ and $\text{rank}(J_\beta(K)) = \text{rank}(C_\beta(K))$, for all cube-free integers β whose height $H_K(\beta)$ is sufficiently large, we obtain

$$N_f(\beta) < 3c_1(c_2\sqrt{2c_4 + c_3} + 1)^{\text{rank}(J_\beta(K))} \leq \kappa^{\text{rank}(C_\beta(K))+1},$$

where $\kappa = \max(3c_1, c_2\sqrt{2c_4 + c_3} + 1)$ is a constant depending only on K .

References

- [1] MEREL, L., Bounds for the torsion of elliptic curves over number fields, *Invent. Math.* **124** (1996), 437–449.
- [2] LANG, S., *Elliptic Curves: Diophantine Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [3] LANG, S., *Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [4] SALMON, G., *Lessons Introductory to the Modern Higher Algebra*, 3rd ed., Cambridge:Hodges, Foster, and Co. 1876.
- [5] SILVERMAN, J., Computing Heights on Elliptic Curves, *Math. Comp.* **51** (1988), 339–358.
- [6] SILVERMAN, J., Integer Points and the Rank of Thue Elliptic Curves, *Invent. Math.* **66** (1982), 395–404.
- [7] SILVERMAN, J., Integer points on curves of genus 1, *J. London Math. Soc.* **28** (1983), 1–7.
- [8] SILVERMAN, J., Lower bound for the canonical height on elliptic curves, *Duke Math.J.* **48** (1981), 633–648.
- [9] SILVERMAN, J., *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [10] SILVERMAN, J., The canonical height and integral points on elliptic curves, *Invent. Math.* **93** (1988), 419–450.
- [11] SILVERMAN, J., The difference between the Weil height and the canonical height on elliptic curves, *Math. Comp.* **55** (1990), 723–743.

Present Address:
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
 SCHOOL OF FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING,
 WASEDA UNIVERSITY,
 TOKYO, JAPAN.