

## Absolute multiple sine functions

By Nobushige KUROKAWA<sup>\*)</sup> and Hidekazu TANAKA<sup>\*\*)</sup>

(Communicated by Masaki KASHIWARA, M.J.A., April 12, 2019)

**Abstract:** In this paper we formulate a unified theory of multiple sine functions by using a view point of absolute zeta functions and absolute automorphic forms.

**Key words:** Absolute multiple sine function; primitive multiple sine function; regularized multiple sine function; absolute zeta function; absolute automorphic form.

**1. Introduction.** We recall that there are two kinds of multiple sine functions. The first one is the primitive multiple sine function defined as

$$\mathcal{S}_r(s) = \prod_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} P_r\left(\frac{s}{n}\right)^{n^{r-1}} \times \begin{cases} 2\pi s & \text{if } r = 1, \\ \exp\left(\frac{s^{r-1}}{r-1}\right) & \text{if } r > 1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$P_r(u) = (1-u) \exp\left(u + \frac{u^2}{2} + \cdots + \frac{u^r}{r}\right).$$

For example,

$$\mathcal{S}_1(s) = 2\pi s \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{s^2}{n^2}\right) = 2 \sin(\pi s)$$

is Euler's sine function [2], and

$$\mathcal{S}_2(s) = e^s \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{1 - \frac{s}{n}}{1 + \frac{s}{n}} \right)^n e^{2s} \right\}$$

is Hölder's double sine function [3]. The triple sine function

$$\mathcal{S}_3(s) = e^{\frac{s^2}{2}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{s^2}{n^2}\right)^{n^2} e^{s^2} \right\}$$

and the general  $\mathcal{S}_r(s)$  was discovered in [4] (see also [6], [7]). By construction  $\mathcal{S}_r(s)$  is a meromorphic function in  $s \in \mathbf{C}$  and when  $r \geq 2$  it has the following expression

$$\mathcal{S}_r(s) = \exp\left(\int_0^s \pi u^{r-1} \cot(\pi u) du\right),$$

where  $\int_0^s \subset \mathbf{C} - \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ .

The second kind of multiple sine function is the regularized multiple sine function constructed in Shintani [12] ( $r = 2$ ) and [5] (general  $r$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_r(s, (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)) &= \Gamma_r(s, (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r))^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_r(\omega_1 + \cdots + \omega_r - s, (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r))^{(-1)^r}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma_r(s, (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r))$  is the regularized version of the multiple gamma function introduced by Barnes [1]. Here we explain the construction when  $\text{Re}(\omega_1), \dots, \text{Re}(\omega_r) > 0$  and we put  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)$  for simplicity. First, the multiple Hurwitz zeta function  $\zeta_r(w, s, \boldsymbol{\omega})$  is defined as

$$\zeta_r(w, s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} (s + n_1\omega_1 + \cdots + n_r\omega_r)^{-w}$$

for  $\text{Re}(w) > r$ . It has an analytic continuation to all  $w \in \mathbf{C}$  and it is holomorphic at  $w = 0$ . Then we obtain the regularized multiple gamma function

$$\Gamma_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \zeta_r(w, s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \Big|_{w=0}\right).$$

It is a meromorphic function in  $s \in \mathbf{C}$ .

A defect of this construction is the difficulty to treat the general case  $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in (\mathbf{C} - \{0\})^r$ . For example

$$\zeta_2(w, s, (1, -1)) = \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} (s + n_1 - n_2)^{-w}$$

is meaningless, so we do not have  $\Gamma_2(s, (1, -1))$  nor  $\mathcal{S}_2(s, (1, -1))$  in this way.

In this paper we construct multiple sine functions from the absolute automorphic form

$$f_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(x) = \prod_{k=1}^r (1 - x^{-\omega_k})^{-1},$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)$  and  $x > 0$ . We recall that a function  $f(x)$  on  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$  is an absolute automorphic form when it satisfies the absolute automorphy

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14G10.  
<sup>\*)</sup> Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan.  
<sup>\*\*)</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shibaura Institute of Technology, 307 Fukasaku Minuma-ku, Saitama 337-8570, Japan.

$$f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = Cx^{-D}f(x)$$

for constants  $C$  and  $D$ . For example,  $f_\omega(x)$  is an absolute automorphic form satisfying

$$f_\omega\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = (-1)^r x^{-|\omega|} f_\omega(x)$$

where  $|\omega| = \omega_1 + \dots + \omega_r$ . We notice in passing that it is natural to regard as the absolute tensor product

$$f_{(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)} = f_{\omega_1} \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_1} \dots \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_1} f_{\omega_r}.$$

From an absolute automorphic form  $f(x)$  we define the absolute zeta function  $\zeta_f(s)$  and the absolute  $\varepsilon$ -function  $\varepsilon_f(s)$  by

$$\zeta_f(s) = \exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w} Z_f(w, s) \Big|_{w=0}\right)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_f(s) = \frac{\zeta_{f^*}(-s)}{\zeta_f(s)}$$

respectively, where

$$Z_f(w, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(w)} \int_1^\infty f(x) x^{-s-1} (\log x)^{w-1} dx$$

and

$$f^*(x) = f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

We refer to [9], [10] for a general theory of  $\zeta_f(s)$  and  $\varepsilon_f(s)$  (see also [8], [11]).

Now, for  $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r) \in (\mathbf{C} - \sqrt{-1}\mathbf{R})^r$  we define the absolute multiple gamma function

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_r(s, \omega) = \zeta_{f_\omega}(s)$$

and the absolute multiple sine function

$$\mathbf{S}_r(s, \omega) = \varepsilon_{f_\omega}(s).$$

Then we have the following results.

**Theorem 1.** For  $\omega \in (\mathbf{C} - \sqrt{-1}\mathbf{R})^r$ ,  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_r(s, \omega)$  and  $\mathbf{S}_r(s, \omega)$  are meromorphic functions in  $s \in \mathbf{C}$ .

**Theorem 2.** When  $\text{Re}(\omega_k) > 0$  ( $k = 1, \dots, r$ ), we have

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_r(s, \omega) = \Gamma_r(s, \omega)$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_r(s, \omega) = S_r(s, \omega).$$

**Theorem 3.** Let

$$\mathbf{S}_{2n}(s, \pm) = \mathbf{S}_{2n}(s, (\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^n, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^n)).$$

Then

$$\mathbf{S}_{2n}(s, \pm) = \exp\left(\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2n-1)!} \int_0^s \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (u^2 - k^2) \times \pi u \cot(\pi u) du\right).$$

**Theorem 4.** For  $n \geq 1$ , let

$$\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (X - k^2) = \sum_{m=1}^n c(n, m) X^m \in \mathbf{Z}[X] : c(n, n) = 1 \text{ and } c(n, 1) = (-1)^{n-1} ((n-1)!)^2. \text{ Then:}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{2n}(s, \pm)^{(-1)^{n-1} (2n-1)!} = \prod_{m=1}^n \mathcal{S}_{2m}(s)^{c(n, m)}.$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{S}_{2n}(s) = \prod_{m=1}^n \mathbf{S}_{2m}(s, \pm)^{a(n, m)}$$

where  $a(n, m) \in \mathbf{Z}$  is defined as

$$X^n = \sum_{m=1}^n a(n, m) \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(2m-1)!} \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (X - k^2).$$

**Example.**

(1)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_2(s, \pm) &= \exp\left(\int_0^s \pi u \cot(\pi u) du\right) \\ &= \mathcal{S}_2(s). \end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_4(s, \pm)^{-3!} &= \exp\left(\int_0^s (u^2 - 1) \pi u \cot(\pi u) du\right) \\ &= \mathcal{S}_4(s) \mathcal{S}_2(s)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_6(s, \pm)^{5!} &= \exp\left(\int_0^s (u^2 - 1)(u^2 - 4) \pi u \cot(\pi u) du\right) \\ &= \mathcal{S}_6(s) \mathcal{S}_4(s)^{-5} \mathcal{S}_2(s)^4. \end{aligned}$$

(4)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_8(s, \pm)^{-7!} &= \exp\left(\int_0^s (u^2 - 1)(u^2 - 4)(u^2 - 9) \pi u \cot(\pi u) du\right) \\ &= \mathcal{S}_8(s) \mathcal{S}_6(s)^{-14} \mathcal{S}_4(s)^{49} \mathcal{S}_2(s)^{-36}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad & \mathbf{S}_{10}(s, \pm)^{9!} \\
 &= \exp\left(\int_0^s (u^2 - 1)(u^2 - 4)(u^2 - 9)(u^2 - 16) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \pi u \cot(\pi u) du\right) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}_{10}(s) \mathcal{S}_8(s)^{-30} \mathcal{S}_6(s)^{273} \mathcal{S}_4(s)^{-820} \mathcal{S}_2(s)^{576}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversly:

$$(1^*) \quad \mathcal{S}_2(s) = \mathbf{S}_2(s, \pm).$$

$$(2^*) \quad \mathcal{S}_4(s) = \mathbf{S}_4(s, \pm)^{-6} \mathbf{S}_2(s, \pm).$$

$$(3^*) \quad \mathcal{S}_6(s) = \mathbf{S}_6(s, \pm)^{120} \mathbf{S}_4(s, \pm)^{-30} \mathbf{S}_2(s, \pm).$$

$$(4^*) \quad \mathcal{S}_8(s) = \mathbf{S}_8(s, \pm)^{-5040} \mathbf{S}_6(s, \pm)^{1680} \\ \times \mathbf{S}_4(s, \pm)^{-126} \mathbf{S}_2(s, \pm).$$

$$(5^*) \quad \mathcal{S}_{10}(s) = \mathbf{S}_{10}(s, \pm)^{362880} \mathbf{S}_8(s, \pm)^{-151200} \mathbf{S}_6(s, \pm)^{17640} \\ \times \mathbf{S}_4(s, \pm)^{-510} \mathbf{S}_2(s, \pm).$$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $n \geq 1$ . Put

$$\mathbf{S}_{2n+1}(s, \pm) = \frac{\mathbf{S}_{2n+1}(s, (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_n, \underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_{n+1}))}{\mathbf{S}_{2n+1}(s, (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n+1}, \underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_n))}.$$

For  $n \geq m \geq 1$  let  $c(n, m)$  and  $a(n, m)$  be as in Theorem 4. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{S}_{2n+1}(s, \pm)^{(-1)^{n-1} \frac{(2n)!}{2}} \\
 &= \exp\left(-2 \sum_{m=1}^n c(n, m) \zeta'(-2m)\right) \\
 &\quad \times \exp\left(\int_0^s \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (u^2 - k^2) \cdot \pi \cot(\pi u) du\right) \\
 &= \prod_{m=1}^n \{\exp(-2\zeta'(-2m)) \mathcal{S}_{2m+1}(s)\}^{c(n, m)} \\
 &= \prod_{m=1}^n \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2m+1}(s)^{c(n, m)},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2m+1}(s) &= \exp(-2\zeta'(-2m)) \mathcal{S}_{2m+1}(s) \\
 &= \exp\left((-1)^{m-1} \frac{\zeta(2m+1)(2m)!}{2^{2m} \pi^{2m}}\right) \mathcal{S}_{2m+1}(s).
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{S}_{2n+1}(s) = \exp(2\zeta'(-2n)) \prod_{m=1}^n \mathbf{S}_{2m+1}(s, \pm)^{a(n, m)m}.$$

**Example.**

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad & \mathbf{S}_3(s, \pm) = \frac{\mathbf{S}_3(s, (1, -1, -1))}{\mathbf{S}_3(s, (1, 1, -1))} \\
 &= \exp(-2\zeta'(-2)) \exp\left(\int_0^s u^2 \pi \cot(\pi u) du\right) \\
 &= \exp(-2\zeta'(-2)) \mathcal{S}_3(s) \\
 &= \exp\left(\frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^2}\right) \mathcal{S}_3(s) \\
 &= \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_3(s).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad & \mathbf{S}_5(s, \pm)^{-12} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{S}_5(s, (1, 1, -1, -1, -1))}{\mathbf{S}_5(s, (1, 1, 1, -1, -1))}\right)^{-12} \\
 &= \exp(-2\zeta'(-4) + 2\zeta'(-2)) \\
 &\quad \times \exp\left(\int_0^s u^2 (u^2 - 1) \pi \cot(\pi u) du\right) \\
 &= \exp\left(-\frac{3\zeta(5)}{2\pi^4} - \frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^2}\right) \mathcal{S}_5(s) \mathcal{S}_3(s)^{-1} \\
 &= \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_5(s) \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_3(s)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad & \mathbf{S}_7(s, \pm)^{360} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\mathbf{S}_7(s, (1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1))}{\mathbf{S}_7(s, (1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1))}\right)^{360} \\
 &= \exp(-2\zeta'(-6) + 10\zeta'(-4) - 8\zeta'(-2)) \\
 &\quad \times \exp\left(\int_0^s u^2 (u^2 - 1)(u^2 - 4) \pi \cot(\pi u) du\right) \\
 &= \exp\left(\frac{45\zeta(7)}{4\pi^6} + \frac{15\zeta(5)}{2\pi^4} + \frac{2\zeta(3)}{\pi^2}\right) \\
 &\quad \times \mathcal{S}_7(s) \mathcal{S}_5(s)^{-5} \mathcal{S}_3(s)^4 \\
 &= \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_7(s) \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_5(s)^{-5} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_3(s)^4.
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversly:

$$(1^*) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_3(s) = \mathbf{S}_3(s, \pm).$$

(2\*)

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_5(s) = \mathbf{S}_5(s, \pm)^{-12} \mathbf{S}_3(s, \pm).$$

(3\*)

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_7(s) = \mathbf{S}_7(s, \pm)^{360} \mathbf{S}_5(s, \pm)^{-60} \mathbf{S}_3(s, \pm).$$

**2. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.** First we show Theorem 2. Let  $\text{Re}(\omega_k) > 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, r$ . Then, for  $\text{Re}(\omega) > r$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{f_\omega}(w, s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(w)} \int_1^\infty f_\omega(x) x^{-s-1} (\log x)^{w-1} dx \\ &= \zeta_r(w, s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \end{aligned}$$

since the condition  $\text{Re}(\omega_k) > 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, r$  implies

$$f_\omega(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} x^{-n\boldsymbol{\omega}}$$

for  $x > 1$ , where

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} = n_1\omega_1 + \dots + n_r\omega_r.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) &= \exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \zeta_r(w, s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \Big|_{w=0}\right) \\ &= \Gamma_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = S_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega}).$$

Next, we prove Theorem 1. For  $k = 1, \dots, r$ , let

$$\omega'_k = \text{sgn}(\text{Re}(\omega_k))\omega_k.$$

Notice that  $\text{Re}(\omega'_k) > 0$ . Put  $\boldsymbol{\omega}' = (\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_r)$ . Then we easily see that

$$f_\omega(x) = (-1)^l f_{\boldsymbol{\omega}'}(x) x^\Delta,$$

where  $l = |\{k | \text{Re}(\omega_k) < 0\}|$ ,  $\Delta = \sum_{\text{Re}(\omega_k) < 0} \omega_k$ . This implies that

$$\zeta_{f_\omega}(s) = \zeta_{f_{\boldsymbol{\omega}'}}(s - \Delta)^{(-1)^l}.$$

Hence

$$\Gamma_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \Gamma_r(s - \Delta, \boldsymbol{\omega}')^{(-1)^l}.$$

Then the above proof of Theorem 2 gives

$$\Gamma_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \Gamma_r(s - \Delta, \boldsymbol{\omega}')^{(-1)^l}$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = S_r(s - \Delta, \boldsymbol{\omega}')^{(-1)^l}.$$

Thus,  $\Gamma_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega})$  and  $\mathbf{S}_r(s, \boldsymbol{\omega})$  are meromorphic in

$s \in \mathbf{C}$ .

**3. Proof of Theorem 3.** The absolute automorphic form

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2n}(x) &= (1 - x^{-1})^{-n} (1 - x)^{-n} \\ &= (-1)^n x^{-n} (1 - x^{-1})^{-2n} \end{aligned}$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{2n}(s, \pm) &= \zeta_{f_{2n}}(s) \\ &= \Gamma_{2n}(s + n, (1, \dots, 1))^{(-1)^n} \\ &= \Gamma_{2n}(s + n, (1, \dots, 1))^{(-1)^n} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_{2n}(s, \pm) = S_{2n}(s + n, (1, \dots, 1))^{(-1)^n}.$$

Here, using

$$\mathbf{S}_{2n}(0, \pm) = S_{2n}(n, (1, \dots, 1))^{(-1)^n} = 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbf{S}'_{2n}}{\mathbf{S}_{2n}}(s, \pm) &= (-1)^n \frac{S'_{2n}}{S_{2n}}(s + n, (1, \dots, 1)) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2n-1)!} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (s^2 - k^2) \pi s \cot(\pi s) \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_{2n}(s, \pm) &= \exp\left(\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2n-1)!} \int_0^s \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (u^2 - k^2) \pi u \cot(\pi u) du\right). \end{aligned}$$

We notice that we used the following differential equation for  $S_r(s, (1, \dots, 1))$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S'_r(s, (1, \dots, 1)) &= S_r(s, (1, \dots, 1)) \binom{s-1}{r-1} (-1)^{r-1} \pi \cot(\pi s). \end{aligned}$$

**4. Proof of Theorem 4 and Examples.**

To prove each identity

$$F_1(s) = F_2(s)$$

belonging to Theorem 4 and Examples it is sufficient to show

$$\begin{cases} F_1(0) = F_2(0) = 1, \\ \frac{F'_1}{F_1}(s) = \frac{F'_2}{F_2}(s). \end{cases}$$

For example, let

$$F_1(s) = \mathbf{S}_2(s, \pm)$$

and

$$F_2(s) = \mathcal{S}_2(s).$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} F_1(0) = 1 = F_2(0), \\ \frac{F_1'}{F_1}(s) = \pi s \cot(\pi s) = \frac{F_2'}{F_2}(s). \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{S}_2(s, \pm) = \mathcal{S}_2(s).$$

Proof of Theorem 4 and their examples are exactly similar by using

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (s^2 - k^2) = \sum_{m=1}^n c(n, m) s^{2m-2}$$

and

$$s^{2n-2} = \sum_{m=1}^n a(n, m) \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(2m-1)!} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} (s^2 - k^2).$$

### 5. Proof of Theorem 5 and Examples.

For simplicity, put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_{2n+1,k}(s) &= \mathbf{S}_{2n+1}(s, (\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{2n+1-k}, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^k)) \\ &= S_{2n+1}(s+k, (1, \dots, 1))^{(-1)^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbf{S}'_{2n+1,k}(s)}{\mathbf{S}_{2n+1,k}(s)} &= (-1)^k \frac{S'_{2n+1}(s+k, (1, \dots, 1))}{S_{2n+1}(s+k, (1, \dots, 1))} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^k}{(2n)!} (s+k-1) \cdots (s+k-2n) \\ &\quad \times \pi \cot(\pi s). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the equality

$$\left( \log \frac{\mathbf{S}_{2n+1,n+1}(s)}{\mathbf{S}_{2n+1,n}(s)} \right)' = \frac{2(-1)^{n+1}}{(2n)!} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (s^2 - k^2) \pi \cot(\pi s)$$

from

$$\frac{\mathbf{S}'_{2n+1,n+1}(s)}{\mathbf{S}_{2n+1,n+1}(s)} = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(2n)!} (s+n)s \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (s^2 - k^2) \pi \cot(\pi s)$$

and

$$\frac{\mathbf{S}'_{2n+1,n}(s)}{\mathbf{S}_{2n+1,n}(s)} = \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} (s-n)s \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (s^2 - k^2) \pi \cot(\pi s).$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{S}_{2n+1}(s, \pm) = \frac{\mathbf{S}_{2n+1,n+1}(s)}{\mathbf{S}_{2n+1,n}(s)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= C_{2n+1} \exp\left(\frac{2(-1)^{n+1}}{(2n)!} \int_0^s \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (u^2 - k^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \pi \cot(\pi u) du\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{2n+1} &= \mathbf{S}_{2n+1}(0, \pm) \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{S}_{2n+1,n+1}(0)}{\mathbf{S}_{2n+1,n}(0)} \\ &= \frac{S_{2n+1}(n+1, (1, \dots, 1))^{(-1)^{n+1}}}{S_{2n+1}(n, (1, \dots, 1))^{(-1)^n}} \\ &= S_{2n+1}(n, (1, \dots, 1))^{2(-1)^{n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2n+1}(n, (1, \dots, 1)) &= \Gamma_{2n+1}(n, (1, \dots, 1))^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{2n+1}(n+1, (1, \dots, 1))^{-1} \\ &= S_{2n+1}(n+1, (1, \dots, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for  $k \geq 1$

$$\Gamma_{2n+1}(k, (1, \dots, 1)) = \exp(\varphi'_{2n+1,k}(0))$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{2n+1,k}(s) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l+1) \cdots (l+2n)}{(2n)!} (l+k)^{-s} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(l-k+1) \cdots (l-k+2n)}{(2n)!} l^{-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$S_{2n+1}(n, (1, \dots, 1)) = \exp(-\varphi'_{2n+1}(0)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{2n+1}(s) &= \varphi_{2n+1,n}(s) + \varphi_{2n+1,n+1}(s) \\ &= \frac{2}{(2n)!} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (l^2 - k^2) \right) l^{-s} \\ &= \frac{2}{(2n)!} \sum_{m=1}^n c(n, m) \zeta(s-2m). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2n+1}(n, (1, \dots, 1)) &= \exp\left(-\frac{2}{(2n)!} \sum_{m=1}^n c(n, m) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \zeta'(-2m)\right). \end{aligned}$$

These calculations imply Theorem 5 and Examples.

**6. Views from absolute automorphic forms.** Concluding the paper we explain fundamental absolute automorphic forms especially for Theorems 3–5. For  $n \geq 2$  let

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1-x)^m(1-x^{-1})^m} \\ \text{if } n = 2m \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{(1-x)^{m+1}(1-x^{-1})^m} - \frac{1}{(1-x)^m(1-x^{-1})^{m+1}} \\ \text{if } n = 2m + 1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f_n(x)$  is an absolute automorphic form satisfying

$$f_n\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = (-1)^n f_n(x).$$

Moreover, our construction of the absolute multiple sine function  $\mathbf{S}_n(s, \pm)$  shows that it is quite simply given as

$$\mathbf{S}_n(s, \pm) = \varepsilon_{f_n}(s).$$

We expect future studies on multiple sine functions via absolute automorphic forms.

### References

- [ 1 ] E. W. Barnes, On the theory of the multiple gamma function, *Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **19** (1904), 374–425.
- [ 2 ] L. Euler, De summis serierum reciprocarum, *Commentarii academiae scientiarum Petropolitanae* **7** (1740), 123–134. (Presented December 5, 1735), (*Opera Omnia: Series 1, Volume 14*, pp. 73–86).[E41]
- [ 3 ] O. Hölder, Ueber eine transcendente Function, *Göttingen Nachrichten* **1886** (1886), Nr. 16. 514–522.
- [ 4 ] N. Kurokawa, Multiple sine functions and Selberg zeta functions, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **67** (1991), no. 3, 61–64.
- [ 5 ] N. Kurokawa, Gamma factors and Plancherel measures, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **68** (1992), no. 9, 256–260.
- [ 6 ] N. Kurokawa, Multiple zeta functions: an example, in *Zeta functions in geometry (Tokyo, 1990)*, 219–226, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, 21, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1992.
- [ 7 ] N. Kurokawa and S. Koyama, Multiple sine functions, *Forum Math.* **15** (2003), no. 6, 839–876.
- [ 8 ] N. Kurokawa and H. Ochiai, Dualities for absolute zeta functions and multiple gamma functions, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **89** (2013), no. 7, 75–79.
- [ 9 ] N. Kurokawa and H. Tanaka, Absolute zeta functions and the automorphy, *Kodai Math. J.* **40** (2017), no. 3, 584–614.
- [ 10 ] N. Kurokawa and H. Tanaka, Absolute zeta functions and absolute automorphic forms, *J. Geom. Phys.* **126** (2018), 168–180.
- [ 11 ] N. Kurokawa and H. Tanaka, Limit formulas for multiple Hurwitz zeta functions, *J. Number Theory* **192** (2018), 348–355.
- [ 12 ] T. Shintani, On a Kronecker limit formula for real quadratic fields, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **24** (1977), no. 1, 167–199.