

## Some problems of hypergeometric integrals associated with hypersphere arrangement

By Kazuhiko AOMOTO<sup>\*)</sup> and Yoshinori MACHIDA<sup>\*\*)</sup>

(Communicated by Masaki KASHIWARA, M.J.A., May 12, 2015)

**Abstract:** The  $n$  dimensional hypergeometric integrals associated with a hypersphere arrangement  $S$  are formulated by the pairing of  $n$  dimensional twisted cohomology  $H_{\nabla}^n(X, \Omega(*S))$  and its dual. Under the condition of general position there are stated some results and conjectures which concern a representation of the standard form by a special basis of the twisted cohomology, the variational formula of the corresponding integral in terms of special invariant 1-forms using Cayley-Menger minor determinants, a connection relation of the unique twisted  $n$ -cycle identified with the unbounded chamber to a special basis of twisted  $n$ -cycles identified with bounded chambers. General conjectures are presented under a much weaker assumption.

**Key words:** Hypergeometric integral; hypersphere arrangement; twisted rational de Rham cohomology; Cayley-Menger determinant; contiguity relation; Gauss-Manin connection.

**1. Preliminary.** Hypersphere arrangements are an interesting subject in analysis and geometry for a long time (see [16] for example). The purpose of this note is to present some problems and results in relation to hypergeometric integrals. The details in case where the dimension  $n \leq 3$ , the number  $m = n + 1$  of hyperspheres will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement of  $n - 1$  dimensional hyperspheres in the complex  $n$  dimensional affine space  $\mathbf{C}^n$ :

$$S_j : f_j(x) = Q(x) + 2(\alpha_j, x) + \alpha_{j0} = 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq m),$$

where

$$Q(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n x_{\nu}^2, \quad (\alpha_j, x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \alpha_{j\nu} x_{\nu},$$

$$\alpha_j = (\alpha_{j1}, \dots, \alpha_{jn}) \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad \alpha_{j0} \in \mathbf{R}.$$

$S_j$  represents the  $n - 1$  dimensional (complex) hypersphere with center  $O_j = -\alpha_j$  and with radius  $r_j$  such that  $r_j^2 = -\alpha_{j0} + Q(\alpha_j)$ . The distance  $\rho_{ij}$  between  $O_i$  and  $O_j$  is given by  $\rho_{ij}^2 = Q(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$ .

Let  $X$  be the complement of the union  $S = \bigcup_{j=1}^m S_j$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Denote by  $\Omega(X, *S) =$

$\bigoplus_{p=0}^n \Omega^p(X, *S)$  the space of rational differential forms on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  which are holomorphic in  $X$ .

Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbf{C}^m$  be a system of  $m$  tuple of exponents such that

$$\Phi(x) = \prod_{j=1}^m f_j(x)^{\lambda_j} \quad (\lambda_j \in \mathbf{C})$$

defines a multiplicative meromorphic function on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . The covariant differentiation associated with  $\Phi(x)$  is defined as follows:

$$\nabla\psi = d\psi + d \log \Phi \wedge \psi \quad (\psi \in \Omega(X, *S)).$$

$H_{\nabla}^*(X, \Omega(*S))$  denotes the corresponding rational de Rham cohomology.  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^*$  denote the local system and its dual on  $X$  attached to  $\Phi(x)$ .

Let  $\varpi$  be the standard  $n$ -form

$$\varpi = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n.$$

Take a twisted cycle  $\mathfrak{z} \in H_n(X, \mathcal{L}^*)$  and consider the integral of  $\varphi\varpi \in H_{\nabla}^n(X, \Omega^n(*S))$ ,

$$\langle \varphi, \mathfrak{z} \rangle = \int_{\mathfrak{z}} \Phi(x)\varphi\varpi,$$

which defines the perfect pairing between  $H_{\nabla}^n(X, \Omega^n(*S))$  and  $H_n(X, \mathcal{L}^*)$ . This fact is due to A. Grothendieck and P. Deligne (see [10]).

Differential and difference structures related to  $\langle \varphi, \mathfrak{z} \rangle$  can be described in terms of invariants with respect to the isometry group for the arrangement of hyperspheres (see [3–6, 9] for general treatment).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14F40, 33C70; Secondary 14H70.

<sup>\*)</sup> 5-1307 Hara, Tenpaku-ku, Nagoya-shi, Aichi 468-0015, Japan.

<sup>\*\*)</sup> Numazu College of Technology, 3600 Ooka, Numazu-shi, Shizuoka 410-8501, Japan.

**Notation.** Denote by  $\varepsilon_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) the standard basis of  $\mathbf{C}^m$  so that  $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \varepsilon_j$ .

Denote by  $[1, m]$  the set of indices  $1, 2, \dots, m$ . For  $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_p\} \subset [1, m]$ , we denote by  $|J| = p$  the size of  $J$ , by  $\partial_\nu J$  ( $1 \leq \nu \leq p$ ) the subset  $\{j_1, \dots, j_{\nu-1}, j_{\nu+1}, \dots, j_p\}$ .  $I^c = [1, m] - I$  denotes the complement of  $I$  in  $[1, m]$ . We say  $J \subset [1, m]$  to be “admissible” if  $1 \leq |J| \leq n + 1$ . The family of all admissible sets is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $B = (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m+2}$  be the symmetric matrix of degree  $m + 2$  whose components of the  $i$  th row and the  $j$  th column are

$$\begin{aligned} b_{jj} &= 0, \quad b_{1j} = 1 \quad (2 \leq j \leq m + 2), \\ b_{2j} &= r_{j-2}^2 \quad (3 \leq j \leq m + 2), \\ b_{ij} &= \rho_{i-2, j-2}^2 \quad (3 \leq i < j \leq m + 2). \end{aligned}$$

This is called a Cayley-Menger matrix associated with the arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$ . Cayley-Menger determinants are defined to be minors including the first row and the first column (see [11,12]). Namely for  $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p\}, J = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p\} \subset [1, m]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix} &= B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i_1 & \cdots & i_p \\ 0 & j_1 & \cdots & j_p \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \rho_{i_1 j_1}^2 & \cdots & \rho_{i_1 j_p}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \rho_{i_p j_1}^2 & \cdots & \rho_{i_p j_p}^2 \end{vmatrix}, \\ B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \star & \partial_1 I \\ 0 & j_1 & \partial_1 J \end{pmatrix} &= B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \star & i_2 & \cdots & i_p \\ 0 & j_1 & j_2 & \cdots & j_p \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & r_{j_1}^2 & \cdots & r_{j_p}^2 \\ 1 & \rho_{i_2 j_1}^2 & \cdots & \rho_{i_2 j_p}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \rho_{i_p j_1}^2 & \cdots & \rho_{i_p j_p}^2 \end{vmatrix}, \\ B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \star & \partial_1 I \\ 0 & \star & \partial_1 J \end{pmatrix} &= B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \star & i_2 & \cdots & i_p \\ 0 & \star & j_2 & \cdots & j_p \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & r_{j_2}^2 & \cdots & r_{j_p}^2 \\ 1 & r_{i_2}^2 & \rho_{i_2 j_2}^2 & \cdots & \rho_{i_2 j_p}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & r_{i_p}^2 & \rho_{i_p j_2}^2 & \cdots & \rho_{i_p j_p}^2 \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

$B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix}$  will be abbreviated by  $B(0I)$  if  $I = J$ , in the same way.

$B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \star & \partial_1 I \\ 0 & \star & \partial_1 J \end{pmatrix}$  will be abbreviated by  $B(0 \star \partial_1 I)$  if  $\partial_1 I = \partial_1 J$ .

For example we have

$$\begin{aligned} B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & j \\ 0 & k & l \end{pmatrix} &= \rho_{il}^2 + \rho_{jk}^2 - \rho_{ik}^2 - \rho_{jl}^2, \\ B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \star & j \\ 0 & k & l \end{pmatrix} &= r_l^2 + \rho_{jk}^2 - r_k^2 - \rho_{jl}^2, \\ B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \star & j \\ 0 & \star & l \end{pmatrix} &= r_j^2 + r_l^2 - \rho_{jl}^2, \\ B(0ij) &= 2\rho_{ij}^2, \quad B(0 \star j) = 2r_j^2. \end{aligned}$$

We impose the following two conditions

- (H1): (i)  $(-1)^p B(0I) > 0$   
(for any admissible  $I, 1 \leq p \leq n + 1$ ),
- (ii)  $(-1)^{p-1} B(0 \star I) > 0$   
(for any admissible  $I, 1 \leq p \leq n + 1$ ),

where  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$ .

The singularity defined by the equations  $B(0I) = 0$  or  $B(0 \star I) = 0$  is nothing else than Landau singularity associated with the integral  $\langle \varphi, \mathfrak{z} \rangle$  (see [15]).

(H2):  $\lambda_j$  are all positive.

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that  $\lambda$  satisfies the conditions, for  $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_r\} \subset [1, m]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{j_1} + \cdots + \lambda_{j_r} &\notin \mathbf{Z}, \quad (1 \leq r \leq n), \\ -2\lambda_\infty + \lambda_{j_1} + \cdots + \lambda_{j_r} &\notin \mathbf{Z}, \quad (0 \leq r \leq n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then the following fact holds:

- (i)  $H_{\mathbb{Q}}^p(X, \Omega(*S)) \cong \{0\}$  ( $0 \leq p \leq n - 1$ ),
- (ii)  $\dim H_{\mathbb{Q}}^n(X, \Omega(*S)) = |Eu(X)|$

$$= \sum_{\nu=1}^n \binom{m}{\nu} + \binom{m-1}{n},$$

where  $Eu(X)$  represents the Euler number of  $X$ .

For the proof see [1,7,8].

**2. Statement of problems.** From now on, we assume that  $m = n + 1$ .

Denote by  $K_j : \mathbf{R}^n \cap \{f_j(x) \leq 0\}$  the closure of the inside of the real part  $\Re S_j = S_j \cap \mathbf{R}^n$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

Under the condition (H1), the number of bounded connected components of  $\mathbf{R}^n - \bigcup_{j=1}^m S_j$  is equal to  $|Eu(X)| = 2^{n+1} - 1$ . It is also equal to  $\dim H_n(X, \mathcal{L}^*)$ . The twisted cycles corresponding

to these bounded chambers constitute a basis of  $H_n(X, \mathcal{L}^*)$ .

More precisely,

**Lemma 3.** *For every admissible set  $I$  with  $|I| = n$ , the intersection  $\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$  consists of two different points. Moreover for every admissible  $I \in \mathcal{B}$  we see*

$$K_I = \text{the closure of } \left\{ \bigcap_{i \in I} K_i - \bigcup_{j \in I^c} K_j \right\} \neq \emptyset$$

has an inner point. Each  $K_I$  can be identified with a twisted cycle  $\mathfrak{z}_I$  representing a homology class in  $H_n(X, \mathcal{L}^*)$ . The twisted cycles  $\mathfrak{z}_I$  ( $I \in \mathcal{B}$ ) form a basis of  $H_n(X, \mathcal{L}^*)$ .

For the proof see [7,8].

On the other hand,

**Lemma 4.**  $H_{\nabla}^n(X, \Omega(*S))$  is spanned by

$$F_I := \frac{\varpi}{f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_p}} \quad (1 \leq p \leq n+1) \quad (I \in \mathcal{B}),$$

or equivalently by

$$W_0(I)\varpi := - \sum_{\nu=1}^p B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \star & \partial_{\nu} I \\ 0 & i_{\nu} & \partial_{\nu} I \end{pmatrix} F_{\partial_{\nu} I} + B(0 \star I) F_I \quad (I \in \mathcal{B}).$$

(H1) assures that  $\{F_I (I \in \mathcal{B})\}$  or  $\{W_0(I)\varpi (I \in \mathcal{B})\}$  constitutes a basis of  $H_{\nabla}^n(X, \Omega(*S))$ . The former will be called ‘‘of first kind’’ and the latter will be called ‘‘of second kind’’. Both are related to each other by a triangular matrix. See also [7,8].

Using the basis of the second kind, we give the following conjecture.

**Conjecture I.**  $\varpi$  is represented cohomologically in terms of the basis of second kind

$$(1) \quad (2\lambda_{\infty} + n) \varpi \sim$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{n+1} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{B}, |I|=p} (-1)^p \frac{\prod_{j \in I} \lambda_j}{\prod_{\nu=1}^{p-1} (\lambda_{\infty} + n - \nu)} W_0(I)\varpi$$

in  $H_{\nabla}^n(X, \Omega(*S))$  ( $\sim$  means ‘‘cohomologous’’).

$\langle \varphi, \mathfrak{z} \rangle$  is an analytic function of the parameters  $\alpha_{j\nu}$ . The total differentiation  $d_B$  of  $\langle \varphi, \mathfrak{z} \rangle$  with respect to the parameters  $\alpha_{j\nu}$  has the expression

$$d_B \langle \varphi, \mathfrak{z} \rangle = \int_{\mathfrak{z}} \Phi(x) \nabla_B(\varphi \varpi),$$

where

$$(2) \quad \nabla_B(\varphi \varpi) = (d_B \varphi + d_B \log \Phi \varphi) \varpi.$$

In order to express the RHS of (2), we

introduce the following differential 1-forms  $\theta_J$  ( $J \in \mathcal{B}$ ):

**Definition 5.**

$$\theta_j = -\frac{1}{2} d \log(r_j^2),$$

$$\theta_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} d \log \rho_{jk}^2,$$

$$\theta_{jkl} =$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j & k & l \\ 0 & \star & k & l \end{pmatrix}}{B(0jkl)} d \log \rho_{kl}^2 + \frac{B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k & j & l \\ 0 & \star & j & l \end{pmatrix}}{B(0jkl)} d \log \rho_{jl}^2 + \frac{B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & l & j & k \\ 0 & \star & j & k \end{pmatrix}}{B(0jkl)} d \log \rho_{jk}^2 \right\}.$$

More generally for  $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_p\} \in \mathcal{B}$  ( $2 \leq p \leq n+1$ ),

$$\theta_J := \frac{(-1)^p}{2} \sum_{\{L\}=\{J\}; l_1 < l_2} d \log \rho_{l_1 l_2}^2 \cdot \frac{B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \star & l_1 & l_2 \\ 0 & l_3 & l_1 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \star & l_1 & l_2 & l_3 \\ 0 & l_4 & l_1 & l_2 & l_3 \end{pmatrix}}{\prod_{\nu=3}^p B(0l_1 l_2 l_3 \cdots l_{\nu})} \cdots B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \star & l_1 & l_2 & l_3 & \cdots & l_{p-1} \\ 0 & l_p & l_1 & l_2 & l_3 & \cdots & l_{p-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_p\}$  run over the set of sequences such that  $L$  coincides with  $J$  as a set in  $[1, m]$  and satisfies  $l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < l_4 < \dots < l_p$ .

The second conjecture can be stated in the following form (Gauss-Manin connection):

**Conjecture II.**

$$(3) \quad \nabla_B \varpi \sim \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} V_p \varpi,$$

$$V_p = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}, |J|=p} \frac{\prod_{j \in J} \lambda_j}{\prod_{\nu=1}^{p-1} (\lambda_{\infty} + n - \nu)} \theta_J W_0(J).$$

It seems remarkable that in the RHS of (3) the expression of  $\theta_J$  is independent of  $n$  and depends only on  $J$  for any fixed admissible  $J$ .

Finally we state a conjecture concerning the connection formula among twisted cycles.

For  $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_p\} \subset [1, m]$  ( $1 \leq p \leq m$ ),  $\mathfrak{z}_J$  ( $J \in \mathcal{B}$ ) forms a basis  $H_n(X, \mathcal{L}^*)$ . The complement  $K^{[1,m]} = \mathbf{R}^n - \bigcup_{j \in [1,m]} K_j$  can also be regarded as a twisted  $n$ -cycle denoted by  $\mathfrak{z}_\infty$ . We put further  $J^c = [1, m] - J$ ,  $\lambda_J = \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j$ , (In case  $J = \emptyset$ , we put  $\lambda_J = 1$ ),  $\lambda_\infty = \sum_{j \in [1,m]} \lambda_j$ .

We can now state:

**Conjecture III.** The following connection formula holds ( $\sim$  means ‘‘homologous’’):

(i) Case where  $n$  even,

$$\mathfrak{z}_\infty \sim - \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}, n \geq |J|} \frac{\sin \pi \lambda_{J^c}}{\sin \pi \lambda_\infty} \mathfrak{z}_J.$$

(ii) Case where  $n$  odd,

$$\mathfrak{z}_\infty \sim - \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{\cos \pi \lambda_{J^c}}{\cos \pi \lambda_\infty} \mathfrak{z}_J.$$

For example, in case  $n = 1$ ,

$$\mathfrak{z}_\infty \sim - \frac{1}{\cos \lambda_\infty} \mathfrak{z}_{12} - \frac{\cos \lambda_2}{\cos \lambda_\infty} \mathfrak{z}_1 - \frac{\cos \lambda_1}{\cos \lambda_\infty} \mathfrak{z}_2.$$

In case  $n = 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{z}_\infty \sim & - \frac{\sin \pi \lambda_1}{\sin \pi \lambda_\infty} \mathfrak{z}_{23} - \frac{\sin \pi \lambda_2}{\sin \pi \lambda_\infty} \mathfrak{z}_{13} - \frac{\sin \pi \lambda_3}{\sin \pi \lambda_\infty} \mathfrak{z}_{12} \\ & - \frac{\sin \pi (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)}{\sin \pi \lambda_\infty} \mathfrak{z}_1 - \frac{\sin \pi (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)}{\sin \pi \lambda_\infty} \mathfrak{z}_2 \\ & - \frac{\sin \pi (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}{\sin \pi \lambda_\infty} \mathfrak{z}_3. \end{aligned}$$

We can prove the following

**Theorem 6.** In case where  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , Conjectures I, II, and III affirmatively hold.

The proof can be done by using contiguity relations involved in  $\langle \varphi, \mathfrak{z} \rangle$  relative to the shifts  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda \pm \varepsilon_j$ .

The formula (3) can be regarded as an extension of the classical variation formula due to L. Schläfli concerning the volume of a geodesic simplex in the unit hypersphere (see [2,17,18]). In fact, by taking the limit of (3) for  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we can derive the variation formula of the volume of a real domain bounded by hyperspheres.

**3. Generalization.** In this section we assume  $m$  ( $m \geq n + 2$ ) is arbitrary. Denote by  $e_J$  ( $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_p\} \subset [1, m]$ ,  $p \leq n$ ) the logarithmic  $p$ -form  $d \log f_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d \log f_{i_p}$ .

Fix an arbitrary subset  $J = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n+1}\} \subset [1, m]$ . Then under the condition (H1), we have

$$(4) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{\nu-1} e_{\partial_\nu J} = \frac{2^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\sqrt{(-1)^{n+1} B(0 J)}} W_0(J) \varpi.$$

Fix a subset  $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+2}\} \subset [1, m]$ . Then as a consequence of (4) the following fundamental equality holds among  $F_J$  ( $J \in \mathcal{B}$ ):

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{n+2} \pm \frac{W_0(\partial_\nu I) \varpi}{\sqrt{(-1)^{n+1} B(0 \partial_\nu I)}} = 0.$$

Moreover the following partial fraction decomposition holds (note that  $B(0 I) = 0$ ,  $(-1)^{n+1} B(0 \partial_\nu I) > 0$  and  $(-1)^n B(0 \star I) \geq 0$ ):

$$(6) \quad F_I = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n+2} \pm \left( - \frac{B(0 \partial_\nu I)}{B(0 \star I)} \right)^{1/2} F_{\partial_\nu I},$$

so that  $F_I$  can be expressed as a linear combination of  $F_J$  ( $J \in \mathcal{B}$ ) provided  $B(0 \star I) \neq 0$ . Here the signs  $\pm$  in the RHS of (5), (6) can be taken such that the equalities hold

$$(7) \quad \pm \sqrt{B(0 \partial_\mu I) B(0 \partial_\nu I)} = B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i_\mu & \partial_\mu \partial_\nu I \\ 0 & i_\nu & \partial_\mu \partial_\nu I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that owing to Jacobi identity and the above assumption the square of the LHS equals the square of the RHS in (7).

For  $Q(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n x_\nu^2$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} *dQ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^n (-1)^{\nu-1} x_\nu dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{\nu-1} \\ &\quad \wedge dx_{\nu+1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

In addition to the above identities, there are cohomologous relations like

$$(8) \quad \nabla(e_J) \sim 0, \quad |J| = n - 1,$$

$$(9) \quad \nabla \left( \frac{*dQ}{f_{j_1} \dots f_{j_r}} \right) \sim 0,$$

$$J = \{j_1, \dots, j_r\} \subset [1, m], \quad 0 \leq r \leq n + 1.$$

These identities (4)–(9) seem sufficient to prove the above Conjectures I, II, and III.

In view of the results obtained in [13,14] in case of hyperplane arrangement, it seems natural to make the following conjecture in case of hypersphere arrangement.

**Conjecture IV.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$  be an arbitrary arrangement of hyperspheres i.e.,  $\alpha_j, \alpha_{j_0}$  be arbitrary.

In addition to (H2), assume further that

(H3): For any choice of  $I \subset [1, m]$  such that  $|I| \leq n$ ,  $\bigcap_{j \in I} \mathfrak{R}S_j \neq \emptyset$ .

Then

(i) If  $\lambda$  is generic,  $H_{\nabla}^n(X, \Omega(*S))$  is spanned by  $F_I$  ( $I \in \mathcal{B}$ ). However these are no more necessarily linearly independent. Under the condition  $(\mathcal{H}1)$ , (5) are the fundamental relations satisfied by them.

(ii)  $|Eu(X)|$  which equals  $\dim H_n(X, \mathcal{L}^*)$  also equals the number of bounded connected chambers of  $\mathbf{R}^n - S$ .

**Remark 7.** It seems interesting to extend the above formulae stated in Conjectures I and II to arbitrary  $m$  by using the differential forms  $F_I$  or  $W_0(I)\varpi$  ( $I \in \mathcal{B}$ ) under  $(\mathcal{H}1)$  or even without  $(\mathcal{H}1)$ .

### References

- [ 1 ] K. Aomoto, On vanishing of cohomology attached to certain many valued meromorphic functions, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **27** (1975), 248–255.
- [ 2 ] K. Aomoto, Analytic structure of Schläfli function, *Nagoya Math J.* **68** (1977), 1–16.
- [ 3 ] K. Aomoto, Configurations and invariant Gauss-Manin connections of integrals. I, *Tokyo J. Math.* **5** (1982), no. 2, 249–287.
- [ 4 ] K. Aomoto, Configurations and invariant Gauss-Manin connections for integrals. II, *Tokyo J. Math.* **6** (1983), no. 1, 1–24.
- [ 5 ] K. Aomoto, Errata to: “Configurations and invariant Gauss-Manin connections of integrals. I” [*Tokyo J. Math.* **5** (1982), no. 2, 249–287], *Tokyo J. Math.* **22** (1999), no. 2, 511–512.
- [ 6 ] K. Aomoto, Vanishing of certain 1-form attached to a configuration, *Tokyo J. Math.* **9** (1986), no. 2, 453–455.
- [ 7 ] K. Aomoto, Gauss-Manin connections of Schläfli type for hypersphere arrangements, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **53** (2003), no. 4, 977–995.
- [ 8 ] K. Aomoto, Hypersphere arrangement and imaginary cycles for hypergeometric integrals, in *Arrangements of hyperplanes (Sapporo, 2009)*, 1–26, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, 62, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2012.
- [ 9 ] K. Aomoto and P. J. Forrester, On a Jacobian identity associated with real hyperplane arrangements, *Compositio Math.* **121** (2000), no. 3, 263–295.
- [ 10 ] K. Aomoto and M. Kita, *Theory of hypergeometric functions*, translated from the Japanese by Kenji Iohara, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Tokyo, 2011.
- [ 11 ] J. L. Coolidge, *A treatise on the circle and the sphere*, reprint of the 1916 edition, Chelsea, Bronx, NY, 1971.
- [ 12 ] H. Maehara, *Geometry of Circles and Spheres* (in Japanese), Asakura, Tokyo, 1998.
- [ 13 ] P. Orlik and H. Terao, Commutative algebras for arrangements, *Nagoya Math. J.* **134** (1994), 65–73.
- [ 14 ] P. Orlik and H. Terao, *Arrangements and hypergeometric integrals*, *MSJ Memoirs*, 9, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2001.
- [ 15 ] F. Pham, *Introduction à l'étude topologique des singularités de Landau*, *Mémoires des Sciences Mathématiques*, Fasc. 164, Gauthier-Villars Éditeur, Paris, 1967.
- [ 16 ] C. A. Rogers, *Packing and covering*, *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, No. 54, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1964.
- [ 17 ] L. Schläfli, Theorie der vielfache Kontinuität, in *Gesammelte Mathematischen Abhandlungen*, Band I, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1950, pp. 167–302.
- [ 18 ] L. Schläfli, On the multiple integral  $\int^n dx dy \cdots dz$  whose limits are  $p_1 = a_1 x + b_1 y + \cdots + h_1 z > 0, p_2 > 0, \dots, p_n > 0$  and  $x^2 + y^2 + \cdots + z^2 < 1$ , in *Gesammelte Mathematischen Abhandlungen*, Band II, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1953, pp. 219–270.