

## Some restricted sum formulas for double zeta values

By Tomoya MACHIDE

Research Center for Quantum Computing, Interdisciplinary Graduate School of Science and Engineering,  
Kinki University, 3-4-1 Kowakae, Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan

(Communicated by Masaki KASHIWARA, M.J.A., Feb. 12, 2013)

**Abstract:** We give some restricted sum formulas for double zeta values whose arguments satisfy certain congruence conditions modulo 2 or 6, and also give an application to identities showed by Ramanujan for sums of products of Bernoulli numbers with a gap of 6.

**Key words:** Multiple zeta value; double zeta value; sum formula; Bernoulli number; Ramanujan's identity.

**1. Introduction.** The double zeta values are defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \zeta(l_1, l_2) := \sum_{m_1 > m_2 > 0} \frac{1}{m_1^{l_1} m_2^{l_2}}$$

for integers  $l_1 \geq 2, l_2 \geq 1$ . These values were studied in detail in [3], and interesting facts such as linear relations and connections with modular forms (especially period polynomials) were discovered.

Historically, Euler [2] first studied these values, and showed the sum formula

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{\substack{l_1 \geq 2, l_2 \geq 1 \\ (l_1 + l_2 = l)}} \zeta(l_1, l_2) = \zeta(l).$$

When the weight  $l = l_1 + l_2$  is even, Gangl, Kaneko and Zagier [3] gave restricted analogues of the sum formula, more precisely, proved the following formulas for double zeta values with even and odd arguments.

$$(1.3) \quad \sum'_{l_1, l_2 \equiv 0(2)} \zeta(l_1, l_2) = \frac{3}{4} \zeta(l),$$

$$\sum'_{l_1, l_2 \equiv 1(2)} \zeta(l_1, l_2) = \frac{1}{4} \zeta(l),$$

where  $\sum'_{c(l_1, l_2)}$  means running over the integers  $l_1, l_2$  satisfying  $l_1 \geq 2, l_2 \geq 1, l = l_1 + l_2$  and the condition  $c(l_1, l_2)$ . Nakamura [4] pointed out that the first formula of (1.3) yields the identity showed by Euler for sums of products of Bernoulli numbers

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ (j \equiv 0(2))}}^l \binom{l}{j} B_j B_{l-j} = -(l-1)B_l \quad (l \geq 4),$$

and vice versa, where the Bernoulli numbers  $B_m$  are defined by  $X/(e^X - 1) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (B_m/m!)X^m$ .

In this paper, we give some new restricted sum formulas for double zeta values of any weight  $l$  whose first arguments  $l_1$  satisfy certain congruence conditions modulo 2 or 6, and prove that an obtained restricted sum formula yields identities showed by Ramanujan for sums of products of Bernoulli numbers with a gap of 6, and vice versa.

The restricted sum formulas are as follows, which are divided into three classes according to the value of the weight modulo 3.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $l$  be an integer such that  $l \geq 3$ , and let the empty sum mean 0.*

(i) *If  $l \equiv 0(3)$ ,*

$$(1.5) \quad \left( \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 3(6)} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 4(6)} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 5(6)} \right) \zeta(l_1, l_2) = \frac{1}{3} \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 1(2)} \zeta(l_1, l_2).$$

(ii) *If  $l \equiv 1(3)$ ,*

$$(1.6) \quad \left( \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 3(6)} + \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 4(6)} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 5(6)} \right) \zeta(l_1, l_2) = \frac{1}{3} \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 0(2)} \zeta(l_1, l_2).$$

(iii) *If  $l \equiv 2(3)$ ,*

$$(1.7) \quad \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 4(6)} \zeta(l_1, l_2) = \frac{1}{6} \zeta(l) - \frac{1}{3} \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 1(2)} \zeta(l_1, l_2).$$

We restate the restricted sum formulas in the case where  $l$  is even as a corollary, since the restated formulas include (1.10) which yields the identities

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M32; Secondary 11B68.

showed by Ramanujan, and the other formulas seem interesting in themselves. Restating is easily carried out by (1.3) and the Chinese remainder theorem.

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $l$  be an even integer such that  $l \geq 4$ .*

(i) *If  $l \equiv 0(6)$ ,*

$$(1.8) \quad \left( \sum'_{l_1, l_2 \equiv 3(6)} - \sum'_{\substack{l_1 \equiv 4(6) \\ l_2 \equiv 2(6)}} - \sum'_{\substack{l_1 \equiv 5(6) \\ l_2 \equiv 1(6)}} \right) \zeta(l_1, l_2) = \frac{1}{12} \zeta(l).$$

(ii) *If  $l \equiv 4(6)$ ,*

$$(1.9) \quad \left( \sum'_{\substack{l_1 \equiv 3(6) \\ l_2 \equiv 1(6)}} + \sum'_{\substack{l_1 \equiv 4(6) \\ l_2 \equiv 0(6)}} - \sum'_{l_1, l_2 \equiv 5(6)} \right) \zeta(l_1, l_2) = \frac{1}{4} \zeta(l).$$

(iii) *If  $l \equiv 2(6)$ ,*

$$(1.10) \quad \sum'_{l_1, l_2 \equiv 4(6)} \zeta(l_1, l_2) = \frac{1}{12} \zeta(l).$$

Ramanujan [6, (13)] (see also [7, (13)]) showed the following identities for sums of products of Bernoulli numbers with a gap of 6,

$$(1.11) \quad \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ (j \equiv m(6))}}^l \binom{l}{j} B_j B_{l-j} = -\frac{l-1}{3} B_l \quad (m = 0, 2, 4)$$

where  $l \equiv 2(6)$  and  $l \geq 8$ . To be precise, he proved only (1.11) with  $m = 0$  by using identities of trigonometric functions, but it is easily seen that the three identities in (1.11) are equivalent; Identities (1.11) with  $m = 0$  and 2 are derived from the index change  $j \rightarrow l - j$  each other, and the two identities yield (1.11) with  $m = 4$  and vice versa because of (1.4). Note that Ramanujan considered Bernoulli numbers to be not  $B_m$  but  $|B_m|$  for positive even integers  $m$  in [6], and that there is a minor misprint in [7, (13)], that is, the right hand side of [7, (13)] should be multiplied by  $B_{6n+2}$ . Though identities of Bernoulli numbers have been studied for a very long time and rediscovered many times, (1.11) seems truly due to Ramanujan by Wagstaff's comment in [7, p. 54] (see also [1, Chapter 5] for Ramanujan's works about Bernoulli numbers).

We have the following corollary, which gives a new proof of (1.11) via double zeta values.

**Corollary 1.3.** (1.10) *yields (1.11) and vice versa.*

In the next and final section, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3.

**2. Proofs.** In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we refer to the proof of (1.3) in [3], that is, we will use linear combinations of special values of the polynomials which are defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{D}_l(x, y) := \sum' x^{l_1-1} y^{l_2-1} \zeta(l_1, l_2)$$

for integers  $l \geq 3$ . In fact, the formulas of (1.3) are obtained by

$$\sum'_{l_1 \equiv 0(2)} \zeta(l_1, l_2) = \frac{\mathfrak{D}_l(1, 1) - \mathfrak{D}_l(-1, 1)}{2},$$

$$\sum'_{l_1 \equiv 1(2)} \zeta(l_1, l_2) = \frac{\mathfrak{D}_l(1, 1) + \mathfrak{D}_l(-1, 1)}{2},$$

since  $\mathfrak{D}_l(1, 1) = \zeta(l)$  due to (1.2) and  $\mathfrak{D}_l(-1, 1) = -\zeta(l)/2$  if  $l$  is even (see [3, §2]).

For a real number  $x$ , let  $[x]$  and  $\{x\}$  respectively denote the integer and fractional parts of  $x$  such that  $x = [x] + \{x\}$ ,  $[x] \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $0 \leq \{x\} < 1$ .

The following proposition is necessary for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Proposition 2.1.** *For any integer  $l \geq 3$ , we have*

$$(2.2) \quad \left( \sum'_{\substack{l_1 \equiv 2(3) \\ l_1 \equiv 1(2)}} - \sum'_{\substack{l_1 \equiv 2(3) \\ l_1 \equiv 0(2)}} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv l-1(3)} - 2 \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 4(6)} \right) \zeta(l_1, l_2) = -\left\{ \frac{l+1}{3} \right\} \zeta(l) + \frac{2}{3} \mathfrak{D}_l(-1, 1).$$

*Proof.* We see from [3, (26)] that

$$(2.3) \quad \mathfrak{D}_l(x+y, y) + \mathfrak{D}_l(y+x, x) = \mathfrak{D}_l(x, y) + \mathfrak{D}_l(y, x) + \frac{x^{l-1} - y^{l-1}}{x-y} \zeta(l).$$

Let  $\omega$  denote  $\exp(2\pi i/3)$ . By summing up (2.3) with  $(x, y) = (1, 1), (\omega, 1), (\omega^2, 1)$  and by Lemma 2.2 below, we get

$$(2.4) \quad \left( \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 1(3)} + \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 2(3)} \right) (-1)^{l_1-1} \zeta(l_1, l_2) + \frac{l+1}{3} \zeta(l) - \frac{2}{3} \mathfrak{D}_l(-1, 1) = \left( \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 1(3)} + \sum'_{l_1 \equiv l-1(3)} \right) \zeta(l_1, l_2) + \left[ \frac{l+1}{3} \right] \zeta(l).$$

A calculation shows that

$$(2.5) \quad \left( \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 1(3)} + \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 2(3)} \right) (-1)^{l_1-1} \zeta(l_1, l_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left( \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 1(3)} + \sum'_{l_1 \equiv l-1(3)} \right) \zeta(l_1, l_2) \\
& = \left( \sum'_{\substack{l_1 \equiv 1(3) \\ l_1 \equiv 1(2)}} - \sum'_{\substack{l_1 \equiv 1(3) \\ l_1 \equiv 0(2)}} + \sum'_{\substack{l_1 \equiv 2(3) \\ l_1 \equiv 1(2)}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \sum'_{\substack{l_1 \equiv 2(3) \\ l_1 \equiv 0(2)}} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 1(3)} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv l-1(3)} \right) \zeta(l_1, l_2) \\
& = \left( \sum'_{\substack{l_1 \equiv 2(3) \\ l_1 \equiv 1(2)}} - \sum'_{\substack{l_1 \equiv 2(3) \\ l_1 \equiv 0(2)}} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv l-1(3)} - 2 \sum'_{\substack{l_1 \equiv 1(3) \\ l_1 \equiv 0(2)}} \right) \zeta(l_1, l_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $l_1 \equiv 1(3)$  and  $l_1 \equiv 0(2)$  if and only if  $l_1 \equiv 4(6)$ , (2.4) and (2.5) prove (2.2).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\sum_{\omega}$  mean  $\sum_{x \in \{1, \omega, \omega^2\}}$ . For any integer  $l \geq 3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\omega} \mathfrak{D}_l(x+1, 1) &= 3 \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 1(3)} (-1)^{l_1-1} \zeta(l_1, l_2) \\
&\quad + \frac{l+1}{2} \zeta(l) - \mathfrak{D}_l(-1, 1), \\
\sum_{\omega} \mathfrak{D}_l(x+1, x) &= 3 \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 2l(3)} (-1)^{l_1-1} \zeta(l_1, l_2) \\
&\quad + \frac{l+1}{2} \zeta(l) - \mathfrak{D}_l(-1, 1), \\
\sum_{\omega} \mathfrak{D}_l(x, 1) &= 3 \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 1(3)} \zeta(l_1, l_2), \\
\sum_{\omega} \mathfrak{D}_l(1, x) &= 3 \sum'_{l_1 \equiv l-1(3)} \zeta(l_1, l_2), \\
\left( \sum_{\omega} \frac{x^{l-1} - 1}{x-1} \right) \zeta(l) &= 3 \left[ \frac{l+1}{3} \right] \zeta(l).
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $k$  be an integer. Because  $\omega$  is the 3-th root of unity,  $1 + \omega^k + \omega^{2k}$  is equal to 3 if  $k \equiv 0(3)$  and 0 otherwise, in particular,  $1 + \omega + \omega^2 = 0$ . By using the weighted sum formula  $\sum' 2^{l_1-1} \zeta(l_1, l_2) = (l+1)\zeta(l)/2$  given in [5], it follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\omega} \mathfrak{D}_l(x+1, 1) \\
& = \sum' (2^{l_1-1} + (-\omega)^{l_1-1} + (-\omega^2)^{l_1-1}) \zeta(l_1, l_2) \\
& = \sum' (2^{l_1-1} - (-1)^{l_1-1} \\
& \quad + (-1)^{l_1-1} (1 + \omega^{l_1-1} + \omega^{2(l_1-1)})) \zeta(l_1, l_2) \\
& = \frac{l+1}{2} \zeta(l) - \mathfrak{D}_l(-1, 1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ 3 \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 1(3)} (-1)^{l_1-1} \zeta(l_1, l_2),$$

which verifies the first equation in the lemma. The other equations can be proved in the same way, and we omit the proofs.  $\square$

We prove Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We will prove only (1.5) since we can do (1.6) and (1.7) similarly. Assume that  $l \equiv 0(3)$ . Then the left hand side of (2.2) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 3(6)} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 0(6)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 2(6)} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 5(6)} - 2 \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 4(6)} \right) \zeta(l_1, l_2) \\
& = \left( \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 3(6)} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 4(6)} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 5(6)} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 0(2)} \right) \zeta(l_1, l_2) \\
& = \left( \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 3(6)} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 4(6)} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 5(6)} \right) \zeta(l_1, l_2) \\
& \quad - \frac{\mathfrak{D}_l(1, 1) - \mathfrak{D}_l(-1, 1)}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

and the right hand side is equal to

$$-\frac{1}{3} \mathfrak{D}_l(1, 1) + \frac{2}{3} \mathfrak{D}_l(-1, 1).$$

We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 3(6)} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 4(6)} - \sum'_{l_1 \equiv 5(6)} \right) \zeta(l_1, l_2) \\
& = \frac{\mathfrak{D}_l(1, 1) + \mathfrak{D}_l(-1, 1)}{6},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (1.5).  $\square$

Finally we prove Corollary 1.3.

*Proof of Corollary 1.3.* We will derive (1.11) from (1.10). Since the identities in (1.11) yield each other by virtue of (1.4), we may only prove (1.11) with  $m = 4$ . From the harmonic relations  $\zeta(l_1)\zeta(l_2) = \zeta(l_1, l_2) + \zeta(l_2, l_1) + \zeta(l)$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum'_{l_1, l_2 \equiv 4(6)} \zeta(l_1, l_2) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \sum'_{l_1, l_2 \equiv 4(6)} (\zeta(l_1, l_2) + \zeta(l_2, l_1))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum'_{l_1, l_2 \equiv 4(6)} (\zeta(l_1)\zeta(l_2) - \zeta(l)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ (j \equiv 4(6))}}^l \zeta(j)\zeta(l-j) - \frac{l-2}{6} \zeta(l) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This with (1.10) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ (j \equiv 4(6))}}^l \zeta(j)\zeta(l-j) &= \frac{1}{6} \zeta(l) + \frac{l-2}{6} \zeta(l) \\
&= \frac{l-1}{6} \zeta(l).
\end{aligned}$$

By Euler's formula  $\zeta(m) = -\frac{(2\pi i)^m}{2m!} B_m$  for any positive even integer  $m$ , we obtain (1.11) with  $m = 4$ .

The converse follows by the reversing the above statements.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank Prof. Masanobu Kaneko for introducing him the book [1] and the papers [6,7].

## References

- [ 1 ] B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's notebooks. Part I*, Springer, New York, 1985.
- [ 2 ] L. Euler, *Meditationes circa singulare serierum genus*, *Novi Comm. Acad. Sci. Petropol.* **20** (1775), 140–186, reprinted in *Opera omnia*, ser. I, vol. 15, Teubner, Berlin, 1927, pp. 217–267.
- [ 3 ] H. Gangl, M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, Double zeta values and modular forms, in *Automorphic forms and zeta functions*, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2006, pp. 71–106.
- [ 4 ] T. Nakamura, Restricted and weighted sum formulas for double zeta values of even weight, *Šiauliai Math. Semin.* **4(12)** (2009), 151–155.
- [ 5 ] Y. Ohno and W. Zudilin, Zeta stars, *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **2** (2008), no. 2, 325–347.
- [ 6 ] S. Ramanujan, Some properties of Bernoulli's numbers, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **3** (1911), 219–234, reprinted in *Collected papers of Srinivasa Ramanujan*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1927, pp. 1–14.
- [ 7 ] S. S. Wagstaff, Jr., Ramanujan's paper on Bernoulli numbers, *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **45** (1981), no. 1–4, 49–65.