

On Subsets of C^{n+1} in General Position

By Nobushige TODA

Department of Mathematics, Nagoya Institute of Technology

(Communicated by Kiyosi ITÔ, M. J. A., March 12, 1996)

1. Introduction. Let X be a subset of C^{n+1} such that $\#X \geq n + 1$. It is said that X is in general position if any $n + 1$ elements of X are linearly independent. From now on throughout the paper we suppose that X is in general position. Put

$$X(0) = \{a = (a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}) \in X : a_{n+1} = 0\}$$

and $\nu = \#X(0)$.

It is easily seen that $0 \leq \nu \leq n$. We introduce the following notion to refine the fundamental inequality of H. Cartan for holomorphic curves ([1]).

Definition 1. We say that

- (i) X is maximal (in the sense of general position) if and only if for any Y in general position such that $X \subset Y \subset C^{n+1}$, $X = Y$.
- (ii) X is ν -maximal if X is maximal and $\#X(0) = \nu$.

The purpose of this paper is to give an example of ν -maximal subset of C^{n+1} for any ν ($1 \leq \nu \leq n$). Applications to the value distribution theory of holomorphic curves ([2], [3]) will appear elsewhere.

2. Lemma. We use the following notation.

- (a) The difference product of x_1, \dots, x_n :

$$\Delta_n = \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j) = \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{n-1} & x_1^{n-2} & \cdots & x_1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_n^{n-1} & x_n^{n-2} & \cdots & x_n & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

- (b) The elementary symmetric polynomials in x_1, \dots, x_n :

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n0} &= \sigma_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1, \\ \sigma_{n1} &= \sigma_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 + \cdots + x_n, \\ \sigma_{n2} &= \sigma_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + \cdots \\ &\quad + x_{n-1}x_n, \\ &\dots \\ \sigma_{nm-1} &= \sigma_{m-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \cdots x_{n-1} \\ &\quad + x_1 \cdots x_{n-2}x_n + \cdots + x_2 \cdots x_n, \\ \sigma_{nm} &= \sigma_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \cdots x_n, \\ \sigma_{nm+1} &= \sigma_{n+1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) We put

$$f_{nj}(t) = \sigma_j(1, t, \dots, t^{n-1}) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, n + 1).$$

These f_{nj} are polynomials, which are not identically equal to zero except f_{nn+1} .

Lemma 1. For $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) + \sigma_{j-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})x_n.$$

This is easily seen from the definition of the elementary symmetric polynomials.

Lemma 2.

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} \\ x_1^n x_1^{n-1} \cdots x_1 1 \\ \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\ x_n^n x_n^{n-1} \cdots x_n 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \Delta_n \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{j-1} \sigma_{nj-1} \alpha_j \\ &= \Delta_n \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \sigma_{n-1j-1} \cdot (\alpha_j - x_n \alpha_{j+1}) \end{aligned}$$

The first equality is well-known and we can prove the second one by Lemma 1.

Let e_1, \dots, e_{n+1} be the standard basis of C^{n+1} .

Lemma 3. For any vector $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1})$ of C^{n+1} which is not equal to $0, \alpha e_1$, or βe_{n+1} , there exist complex numbers a_1, \dots, a_n different from each other for which the vectors

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}), (a_1^n, \dots, a_1, 1), \dots, (a_n^n, \dots, a_n, 1)$$

are linearly dependent, where α and β are any complex numbers.

Proof. We have only to find $x_j = a_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) different from each other for which the determinant (1) reduces to zero.

- (a) The case when at least two of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}$ are different from zero.

Put $x_k = t^{k-1}x_1$ ($k = 2, \dots, n$) and substitute them into (1). Then

$$\sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^j f_{nj}(t) \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

and the right-hand side of (1) divided by Δ_n is equal to

$$(2) \quad \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 f_{n1}(t)x_1 + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} \alpha_n f_{nn-1}(t)x_1^{n-1} + (-1)^n \alpha_{n+1} f_{nn}(t)x_1^n.$$

Let $t = t_0 (\neq 0)$ be any number for which

$$t_0^k \neq 1 \quad (k = 1, \dots, n-1) \text{ and} \\ f_{nj}(t_0) \neq 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

and substitute it into (2). Then, (2) reduces to a polynomial in x_1 (say, $g(x_1)$) of degree l ($1 \leq l \leq n$) with at least two terms. We consider the equation $g(x) = 0$. This equation has at least one non-zero solution by the choice of t_0 and since $g(x)$ has at least two terms. Let a_1 be one of its non-zero solutions and put

$$a_k = t_0^{k-1} a_1 \quad (k = 2, \dots, n).$$

Then, a_1, \dots, a_n are different from each other and the determinant (1) reduces to zero for $x_j = a_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$).

(b) The case when only one of $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ is different from zero.

Let $\alpha_j \neq 0$ ($2 \leq j \leq n$). Then, the determinant (1) is equal to

$$(3) \quad (-1)^{j-1} \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sigma_{j-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \alpha_j.$$

Substitute $x_k = a_k = k$ ($k = 1, \dots, n-1$) into (3) to obtain

$$\sigma_{j-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sigma_{j-1}(1, 2, \dots, n-1, x_n) = p + qx_n,$$

where p and q are positive integers. We take $x_n = a_n = -p/q$. Then, a_1, \dots, a_n are different from each other and the determinant (1) reduces to zero for these $x_j = a_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$).

3. Result. Let

$$V_n = \{(a^n, a^{n-1}, \dots, a, 1) : a \in \mathbf{C}\} \cup \{\mathbf{e}_1\}.$$

Proposition 1. V_n is 1-maximal.

Proof. It is easy to see that V_n is in general position and $\#V_n(0) = 1$. We have only to prove that for any vector

$$\mathbf{x} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \quad (\neq \mathbf{0})$$

which does not belong to V_n , $V_n \cup \{\mathbf{x}\}$ is not in general position.

(a) When $\alpha_1 \neq 0$, $\alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_{n+1} = 0$ or $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$, $\alpha_{n+1} \neq 0$, it is trivial that $V_n \cup \{\mathbf{x}\}$ is not in general position.

(b) When $\mathbf{x} \neq \alpha \mathbf{e}_1$ or $\beta \mathbf{e}_{n+1}$ for any complex numbers α and β , $V_n \cup \{\mathbf{x}\}$ is not in general position by Lemma 3.

Proposition 2. For any p ($1 \leq p \leq n-1$), the set

$$U_{n,p} = \{(a^n, a^{n-1}, \dots, a^2, a, a^p + 1) : a \in \mathbf{C}\} \cup \{\mathbf{e}_1\}$$

is $p+1$ -maximal.

Proof. It is easy to see that

(a) $\#U_{n,p}(0) = p+1$ and (b) $U_{n,p}$ is in general position.

We have only to prove that for any vector

$$\mathbf{x} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \quad (\neq \mathbf{0})$$

which does not belong to $U_{n,p}$, the set $U_{n,p} \cup \{\mathbf{x}\}$

is not in general position. In fact the vector

$$\mathbf{x}' = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_{n+1-p})$$

does not belong to V_n and $V_n \cup \{\mathbf{x}'\}$ is not in general position by Proposition 1 and so $U_{n,p} \cup \{\mathbf{x}\}$ is not in general position.

Propositions 1 and 2 give us the following

Theorem 1. For any ν ($1 \leq \nu \leq n$), there is a ν -maximal subset of \mathbf{C}^{n+1} in the sense of general position.

Remark. There is no 0-maximal subset of \mathbf{C}^2 in the sense of general position.

In fact, suppose that there is a 0-maximal subset X of \mathbf{C}^2 in the sense of general position. Then, for any vector $\mathbf{x} = (\alpha, \beta)$ of X such that $\beta \neq 0$, \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{x} are then linearly independent. This shows that X is not 0-maximal, which is a contradiction.

Problem. Is there any 0-maximal subset of \mathbf{C}^{n+1} in the sense of general position for $n \geq 2$?

4. Extension. The purpose of this section is to extend the result obtained for subsets of \mathbf{C}^{n+1} in Section 3 to sets of holomorphic curves.

Let Γ be a field of meromorphic functions in the complex plane and h a holomorphic curve from \mathbf{C} into $P^n(\mathbf{C})$ with a reduced representation

$$(h_1, \dots, h_{n+1}) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

Further, we put

$$\mathbf{H}(\Gamma) = \{h = [h_1, \dots, h_{n+1}] : h_j/h_k \in \Gamma (j = 1, \dots, n+1) \text{ for some } k (1 \leq k \leq n+1)\}.$$

Then, $\mathbf{H}(\Gamma) \supset P^n(\mathbf{C})$. Let X be a subset of $\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)$ such that $\#X \geq n+1$. It is said that X is in general position if for any $n+1$ elements $h_q = [h_{1q}, \dots, h_{n+1q}]$ ($q = 1, \dots, n+1$) of X , $\det(h_{jq}) \neq 0$.

From now on throughout the section we suppose that X is in general position. We set

$$X(0) = \{h = [h_1, \dots, h_n, h_{n+1}] \in X : h_{n+1} = 0\}$$

and $\#X(0) = \nu$.

It is easily seen that $0 \leq \nu \leq n$ since X is in general position.

As in the case of \mathbf{C}^{n+1} , we give a definition for X .

Definition 2. We say that

(i) X is maximal (in the sense of general position) if and only if for any Y in general position such that $X \subset Y \subset \mathbf{H}(\Gamma)$, $X = Y$.

(ii) X is ν -maximal if X is maximal and $\#X(0) = \nu$.

We shall give an example of ν -maximal sub-

set of $H(\Gamma)$ for any $\nu(1 \leq \nu \leq n)$. We set $\Gamma^{n+1} = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) : \alpha_j \in \Gamma (j = 1, \dots, n+1)\}$.

Lemma 4. For any $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) \in \Gamma^{n+1}$ which is not equal to $\mathbf{0}, \alpha e_1$ or βe_{n+1} , where α and β are any elements of Γ , there exist a_1, \dots, a_n in Γ different from each other for which the determinant of vectors

$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}), (a_1^n, \dots, a_1, 1), \dots, (a_n^n, \dots, a_n, 1)$ is identically equal to zero.

Proof. We shall find $x_j = a_j \in \Gamma (j = 1, \dots, n)$ for which the determinant (1) reduces to zero identically.

(a) The case when at least two of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}$ are not identically equal to zero.

We first determine $x_1 = a_1$ as follows. Put $x_n = t^l x_1 (0 \leq l \leq n-2)$ and $x_k = t^{k-1} x_1 (k = 2, \dots, n-1)$,

and substitute them into (1). Then by Lemma 1 the determinant (1) divided by Δ_n is equal to

$$(4) \quad \alpha_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{j-1} \{t^l f_{n-lj-2}(t) + f_{n-lj-1}(t)\} \alpha_j x_1^{j-1}$$

$$(5) \quad = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} f_{n-lj-1}(t) \alpha_j x_1^{j-1} - t^l \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} f_{n-lj-1}(t) \alpha_{j+1} x_1^j.$$

Let $t = t_0 (\neq 0)$ be any number for which

$t_0^k \neq 1 (k = 1, \dots, n-2), f_{n-lj-1}(t_0) \neq 0 (j = 2, \dots, n)$ and $t_0^l f_{n-lj-2}(t_0) + f_{n-lj-1}(t_0) \neq 0 (l = 0, \dots, n-2; j = 2, \dots, n+1)$.

Substitute $t = t_0$ into (4) and (5), then we have

$$(6) \quad G_l(x_1) = \alpha_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{j-1} \{t_0^l f_{n-lj-2}(t_0) + f_{n-lj-1}(t_0)\} \alpha_j x_1^{j-1} = G(x_1) - t_0^l \alpha_1 H(x_1),$$

where

$$G(x_1) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} f_{n-lj-1}(t_0) \alpha_j x_1^{j-1},$$

$$H(x_1) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} f_{n-lj-1}(t_0) \alpha_{j+1} x_1^{j-1}.$$

There are only a finite number of functions in Γ which satisfy

(7) $G_l(x_1) = 0 (l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2)$ and $H(x_1) = 0$, so that we can find $x_1 = a_1$ in Γ which does not satisfy any equations in (7):

(8) $G_l(a_1) \neq 0 (l = 0, \dots, n-2)$ and $H(a_1) \neq 0$.

Next, We choose $x_k = a_k (k = 2, \dots, n-1)$ and $x_n = a_n$ as follows:

$$(9) \quad x_k = a_k = t_0^{k-1} a_1 (k = 2, \dots, n-1) \text{ and } x_n = a_n = G(a_1)/H(a_1).$$

Then, by the choice of t_0 and $x_1 = a_1, a_1, \dots, a_n$ are different from each other and they belong to

Γ . In fact,

(i) It is easy to see that a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} are different from each other.

(ii) $a_n \neq a_k (k = 1, \dots, n-1)$. Contrary to the assertion, if $a_n = a_k$ for some $k (1 \leq k \leq n-1)$, then $a_n = t_0^l a_1 (l = k-1)$ and from (6) and (9)

$$G_l(a_1) = G(a_1) - t_0^l \alpha_1 H(a_1) = G(a_1) - a_n H(a_1) = 0,$$

which is contrary to (8).

(iii) It is easy to see that a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} belong to Γ since a_1 does.

(iv) a_n belongs to Γ since $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}, a_1 \in \Gamma$ and Γ is a field.

For these $x_j = a_j (j = 1, \dots, n)$, the determinant (1) is equal to

$$\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_n) \{G(a_1) - a_n H(a_1)\}$$

as in (6), which is identically equal to 0 by (9).

(b) The case when only one of $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ is not identically equal to zero.

Let $\alpha_j (2 \leq j \leq n)$ be not identically equal to zero. Then, as in the case of Lemma 3, Case (b), for

$$x_k = a_k = k (k = 1, \dots, n-1)$$

$$\text{and } x_n = a_n = -u/v,$$

where $\sigma_{j-1}(1, \dots, n-1, x_n) = u + vx_n, u$ and v being positive integers, the determinant (1) is identically equal to zero.

Let $V_n(\mathbf{C}) = \{[a^n, \dots, a, 1] : a \in \mathbf{C}\} \cup \{[1, 0, \dots, 0]\}$ and

$$H_n(\Gamma) = \{[f^n, f^{n-1}g, \dots, fg^{n-1}, g^n] : f$$

and g are entire functions without common zeros such that $f/g \in \Gamma (g \neq 0)$ or $f = 1 (g = 0)\}$.

Then, $H_n(\Gamma) \supset V_n(\mathbf{C})$.

Proposition 3. $H_n(\Gamma)$ is 1-maximal.

Proof. It is clear that $H_n(\Gamma)$ is in general position and $\#H_n(\Gamma)(0) = 1$. We have only to prove that for any holomorphic curve

$$h = [h_1, \dots, h_{n+1}] \in H(\Gamma)$$

which does not belong to $H_n(\Gamma), H_n(\Gamma) \cup \{h\}$ is not in general position.

(a) When $h_1 \neq 0, h_2 = \dots = h_{n+1} = 0$ or $h_1 = 0, \dots, h_n = 0, h_{n+1} \neq 0$, it is easy to see that the set is not in general position.

(b) The other cases. The set is not in general position by Lemma 4 since

$$(f^n, f^{n-1}g, \dots, fg^{n-1}, g^n)/g^n \in \Gamma^{n+1} \text{ and } (h_1, \dots, h_{n+1})/h_k \in \Gamma^{n+1}$$

for $g \neq 0$ and $h_k \neq 0$.

Proposition 4. For any $p (1 \leq p \leq n-1)$, the set

$$\mathbf{H}_{np}(\Gamma) = \{[f^n, f^{n-1}g, \dots, fg^{n-1}, g^n + f^p g^{n-p}] : [f^n, \dots, fg^{n-1}, g^n] \in \mathbf{H}_n(\Gamma)\}$$

is $p + 1$ -maximal.

Proof. It is easy to see that

- (a) $\# \mathbf{H}_{np}(\Gamma)(0) = p + 1$ and
 (b) $\mathbf{H}_{np}(\Gamma)$ is in general position.

We have only to prove that for any holomorphic curve

$$h = [h_1, \dots, h_{n+1}] \in \mathbf{H}(\Gamma)$$

which does not belong to $\mathbf{H}_{np}(\Gamma)$, the set $\mathbf{H}_{np}(\Gamma) \cup \{h\}$ is not in general position. In fact, the holomorphic curve

$$h' = [h_1, \dots, h_n, h_{n+1} - h_{n+1-p}] \in \mathbf{H}(\Gamma)$$

does not belong to $\mathbf{H}_n(\Gamma)$ and $\mathbf{H}_n(\Gamma) \cup \{h'\}$ is not in general position by Proposition 3 and so, $\mathbf{H}_{np}(\Gamma) \cup \{h\}$ is not in general position.

Propositions 3 and 4 give us the following

Theorem 2. For any ν ($1 \leq \nu \leq n$), there is a ν -maximal subset of $\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)$ in the sense of general position.

References

- [1] H. Cartan: Sur les zéros des combinaisons linéaires de p fonctions holomorphes données. *Mathematica*, **7**, 5–31 (1933).
 [2] N. Toda: On the fundamental inequality of H. Cartan. NIT Sem. Rep. on Math., **117** (1994) (preprint).
 [3] N. Toda: An extension of the defect relation for holomorphic curves. NIT Sem. Rep. on Math., **122** (1994) (preprint).