

55. Complements to the Furuta Inequality^{†)}

By Masatoshi FUJII^{*)}, Takayuki FURUTA^{**)}, and Eizaburo KAMEI^{***)}

(Communicated by Kiyosi ITÔ, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1994)

Abstract: Complementary results to the Furuta inequality are given in cases of positive invertible operators.

§1. Introduction. In what follows, a capital letter means a bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space H . An operator T is said to be positive (in symbol: $T \geq 0$) if $(Tx, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. Also an operator T is strictly positive (in symbol: $T > 0$) if T is positive and invertible.

As an extension of the Löwner-Heinz theorem [12][10], we established the following Furuta inequality [4].

Theorem A (Furuta inequality). *If $A \geq B \geq 0$, then for each $r \geq 0$,*

$$(i) \quad (B^r A^p B^r)^{1/q} \geq (B^r B^p B^r)^{1/q}$$

and

$$(ii) \quad (A^r A^p A^r)^{1/q} \geq (A^r B^p A^r)^{1/q}$$

hold for p and q such that $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$ with $(1 + 2r)q \geq p + 2r$.

Alternative proofs of Theorem A are given in [1][5] and [11] and also one page proof is shown in [6]. Recently it turns out that Theorem A has a lot of applications, in fact [2][3][7][8] and [9] are some of them.

We remark that the Furuta inequality yields the following famous Löwner-Heinz inequality when we put $r = 0$ in (i) or (ii) of Theorem A;

Theorem B (Löwner-Heinz inequality).
 $(*) \quad A \geq B \geq 0$ ensures $A^\alpha \geq B^\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

§2. Statement of results. Theorem 1. *If $A \geq B > 0$, then*

$$(B^r A^\alpha B^r)^\beta \geq (B^r B^\alpha B^r)^\beta$$

holds under any one of the following conditions;

$$(i) \quad \frac{1}{\beta} \leq \alpha, 0 < \beta < 1, \text{ and } \gamma = \frac{\alpha\beta - 1}{2(1 - \beta)}$$

$$(ii) \quad \frac{1}{\beta} \leq \alpha \leq 1, 1 < \beta \leq 2, \text{ and } \gamma = \frac{\alpha\beta - 1}{2(1 - \beta)}$$

$$(iii) \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1, 2 \leq \beta, \text{ and } \gamma = \frac{\alpha\beta - 1}{2(1 - \beta)}.$$

Remark 1. (i) and (ii) are announced in [13, p. 61], but in the proof of Theorem 1 under below we remark that (i) is nothing but exchange of parameters p , q and r in Theorem A and a simple proof of (ii) can be obtained along a method of [6] by using polar decomposition. In this paper we shall

^{†)} Dedicated to Professor Masahiro Nakamura for his 75th birthday.

^{*)} Department of Mathematics, Osaka Kyoiku University.

^{**)} Department of Applied Mathematics, Science University of Tokyo.

^{***)} Momodani Senior High School, Osaka.

show (iii). We have to assume invertibility of A and B in the cases (ii) and (iii) since $\gamma \leq 0$.

We cite the following known result to give a proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma A [7]. *Let A and B be positive invertible operators. For any real number r ,*

$$(BAB)^r = BA^{1/2}(A^{1/2}B^2A^{1/2})^{r-1}A^{1/2}B.$$

Lemma 1. *Let $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1, 2n \leq \beta \leq 2n + 1$ for some natural number n and $\gamma = \frac{\alpha\beta - 1}{2(1 - \beta)}$. Then the following (1) and (2) hold;*

(1) $x_j = (\alpha + 2\gamma)(\beta - 2n) + 2\gamma + (2\alpha + 4\gamma)j \in [-1, 0]$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$.

(2) $y_j = (\alpha + 2\gamma)(\beta - 2n) + 2\gamma + (2\alpha + 4\gamma)j + 2\alpha \in [0, 1]$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$.

Lemma 2. *Let $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1, 2n + 1 \leq \beta \leq 2(n + 1)$ for some natural number n and $\gamma = \frac{\alpha\beta - 1}{2(1 - \beta)}$. Then the following (3) and (4) hold;*

(3) $x_j = (\alpha + 2\gamma)(\beta - 2n - 1) + \alpha + (2\alpha + 4\gamma)j \in [0, 1]$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1, n$.

(4) $y_j = (\alpha + 2\gamma)(\beta - 2n - 1) + \alpha + (2\alpha + 4\gamma)j + 4\gamma \in [-1, 0]$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$.

Proof of Lemma 1. (1) It turns out that $x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_{n-1}$ by the definition of x_j and $\alpha + 2\gamma \geq 0$. On the other hand $x_{n-1} = 1 - 2\alpha \leq 0$ since $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha$ and $x_0 = (\alpha + 2\gamma)(\beta - 2n) + 2\gamma + 1 - 1 = \frac{2(1 - \alpha)(\beta - n)}{\beta - 1}$

$-1 \geq -1$. Hence $x_j \in [-1, 0]$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$.

(2) $y_0 \leq y_1 \leq \dots \leq y_{n-2} \leq y_{n-1}$ since $y_j = x_j + 2\alpha$ and $x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_{n-2} \leq x_{n-1}$ stated in the proof of (1). $y_j = x_j + 2\alpha \geq -1 + 2\alpha \geq 0$ since $x_j \geq -1$ by (1) and $y_{n-1} = 1$ since $y_{n-1} = x_{n-1} + 2\alpha = 1 - 2\alpha + 2\alpha = 1$. Hence $y_j \in [0, 1]$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$.

Proof of Lemma 2. (3) $x_0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ by the definition of x_j and $\alpha + 2\gamma \geq 0$. On the other hand $x_0 = (\alpha + 2\gamma)(\beta - 2n - 1) + \alpha \geq \alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $x_n = 1$. Hence $x_j \in [\alpha, 1] \subseteq [0, 1]$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1, n$.

(4) $y_0 \leq y_1 \leq \dots \leq y_{n-2} \leq y_{n-1}$ since $y_j = x_j + 4\gamma$ and $x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_{n-1} \leq x_n$ stated in the proof of (3). $y_0 = (\alpha + 2\gamma)(\beta - 2n - 1) + \alpha + 4\gamma \geq \alpha + 4\gamma \geq -1$ since $\alpha + 4\gamma + 1 = \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 + \beta)}{\beta - 1} \geq 0$ and $y_{n-1} = 1 - 2\alpha \leq 0$. Hence $y_{j-1} \in [-1, 0]$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1. (i) Put $(1 + 2r)q = p + 2r$ for $r \geq 0, p \geq 1$ and $q \geq 1$ in Theorem A, then we easily obtain $p \geq q \geq 1$ and we have only to replace p by α, r by γ and $1/q$ by β .

(ii) First of all, we easily obtain the following (5), (6) and (7):

(5) $2\gamma \in [-1, 0],$

- (6) $\beta - 1 \in [0, 1],$
- (7) $\alpha + (\alpha + 2\gamma)(\beta - 1) = 1.$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^\beta &= B^\gamma A^{\alpha/2} (A^{\alpha/2} B^{2\gamma} A^{\alpha/2})^{\beta-1} A^{\alpha/2} B^\gamma \text{ by Lemma A} \\ &\geq B^\gamma A^{\alpha/2} (A^{\alpha/2} A^{2\gamma} A^{\alpha/2})^{\beta-1} A^{\alpha/2} B^\gamma \text{ by (5), (6) and (*)} \\ &= B^\gamma A^{\alpha+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-1)} B^\gamma \\ &= B^\gamma A^1 B^\gamma \text{ by (7)} \\ &\geq B^{1+2\gamma} = B^{(\alpha+2\gamma)\beta} \text{ by (7).} \end{aligned}$$

(iii) (a) In the first case $2n \leq \beta \leq 2n + 1$ for some natural number n .
 $(B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^\beta = (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^n (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{\beta-2n} (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^n$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^n (B^\gamma B^\alpha B^\gamma)^{\beta-2n} (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^n \text{ by } \alpha \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \text{ and (*)} \\ &= (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma B^{(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n)} B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} \\ &= (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} B^\gamma A^\alpha B^{2\gamma+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n)} A^\alpha B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} \\ &\geq (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} B^\gamma A^\alpha A^{2\gamma+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n)} A^\alpha B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} \text{ by (1)} \\ &= (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} B^\gamma A^{2\alpha+2\gamma+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n)} B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} \\ &\geq (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} B^\gamma B^{2\alpha+2\gamma+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n)} B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} \text{ by (2)} \\ &= (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-2} B^\gamma A^\alpha B^{(4\gamma+2\alpha)1+2\gamma+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n)} A^\alpha B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-2} \\ &= (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-2} B^\gamma A^\alpha A^{(4\gamma+2\alpha)1+2\gamma+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n)} A^\alpha B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-2} \text{ by (1)} \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ &\geq (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-j} B^\gamma A^{(4\gamma+2\alpha)(j-1)+2\gamma+2\alpha+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n)} B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-j} \text{ by (1) and (2)} \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ &\geq (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-k} B^\gamma A^\alpha B^{(4\gamma+2\alpha)(k-1)+2\gamma+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n)} A^\alpha B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-k} \text{ by (1) and (2)} \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ &\geq B^\gamma A^{(4\gamma+2\alpha)(n-1)+2\alpha+2\gamma+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n)} B^\gamma \text{ by (1) and (2)} \\ &= B^\gamma A^1 B^\gamma \geq B^{1+2\gamma} = B^{(\alpha+2\gamma)\beta} \text{ by } y_{n-1} = 1 \text{ in (2).} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof of the first case (a) is complete.

(b) In the second case $2n + 1 \leq \beta \leq 2(n + 1)$ for some natural number n .

$$\begin{aligned} (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^\beta &= (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^n (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{\beta-2n} (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^n \\ &= (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^n B^\gamma A^{\alpha/2} (A^{\alpha/2} B^{2\gamma} A^{\alpha/2})^{\beta-2n-1} A^{\alpha/2} B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^n \text{ by Lemma A} \\ &\geq (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^n B^\gamma A^{\alpha/2} (A^{\alpha/2} A^{2\gamma} A^{\alpha/2})^{\beta-2n-1} A^{\alpha/2} B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^n \text{ by } 2\gamma \in [-1, 0] \\ &\text{and (*)} \\ &= (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^n B^\gamma A^{\alpha+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n-1)} B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^n \\ &\geq (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^n B^\gamma B^{\alpha+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n-1)} B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^n \text{ by (3)} \\ &= (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} B^\gamma A^\alpha B^{4\gamma+\alpha+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n-1)} A^\alpha B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} \\ &\cong (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} B^\gamma A^\alpha A^{4\gamma+\alpha+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n-1)} A^\alpha B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} \text{ by (4)} \\ &= (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} B^\gamma A^{2\alpha+4\gamma+\alpha+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n-1)} B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} \\ &\geq (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} B^\gamma B^{2\alpha+4\gamma+\alpha+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n-1)} B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-1} \text{ by (3)} \\ &= (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-2} B^\gamma A^\alpha B^{4\gamma+(2\alpha+4\gamma)1+\alpha+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n-1)} A^\alpha B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-2} \\ &\geq (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-2} B^\gamma A^\alpha A^{4\gamma+(2\alpha+4\gamma)1+\alpha+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n-1)} A^\alpha B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-2} \text{ by (4)} \\ &= (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-2} B^\gamma A^{(2\alpha+4\gamma)2+\alpha+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n-1)} B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-2} \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ &\geq (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-j} B^\gamma A^{(2\alpha+4\gamma)j+\alpha+(\alpha+2\gamma)(\beta-2n-1)} B^\gamma (B^\gamma A^\alpha B^\gamma)^{n-j} \text{ by (3) and (4)} \\ &\dots\dots\dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (B^r A^\alpha B^r)^{n-k} B^r A^\alpha A^{(2\alpha+4r)(k-1)+4r+\alpha+(\alpha+2r)(\beta-2n-1)} A^\alpha B^r (B^r A^\alpha B^r)^{n-k} \\
&\quad \dots\dots\dots \\
&\geq B^r A^{(2\alpha+4r)n+\alpha+(\alpha+2r)(\beta-2n-1)} B^r \text{ by (3) and (4)} \\
&= B^r A^1 B^r = B^{(\alpha+2r)\beta} \text{ by } x_n = 1 \text{ of (3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof of case (b) is complete.

Finally the proof of (iii) in Theorem 1 is complete together with case (a) and case (b).

References

- [1] M. Fujii: Furuta's inequality and its mean theoretic approach. *J. Operator Theory*, **23**, 67–72 (1990).
- [2] M. Fujii, T. Furuta and E. Kamei: Operator functions associated with Furuta's inequality. *Linear Alg. and Its Appl.*, **149**, 91–96 (1991).
- [3] —: Furuta's inequality and its application to Ando's theorem. *ibid.*, **179**, 161–169 (1993).
- [4] T. Furuta: $A \geq B \geq 0$ assures $(B^r A^p B^r)^{1/q} \geq B^{(p+2r)/q}$ for $r \geq 0$, $p \geq 0$, $q \geq 1$ with $(1 + 2r)q \geq p + 2r$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **101**, 85–88 (1987).
- [5] —: A proof via operator means of an order preserving inequality. *Linear Alg. and Its Appl.*, **113**, 129–130 (1989).
- [6] —: Elementary proof of an order preserving inequality. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **65A**, 126 (1989).
- [7] —: Two operator functions with monotone property. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **111**, 511–516 (1991).
- [8] —: Applications of order preserving operator inequalities. *Operator Theory; Advances and Applications*, **59**, 180–190 (1992).
- [9] —: Extension of the Furuta inequality and log-majorization by Ando-Hiai. *Linear Alg. and Its Appl.* (1994).
- [10] E. Heinz: Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung. *Math. Ann.*, **123**, 415–438 (1951).
- [11] E. Kamei: A satellite to Furuta's inequality. *Math. Japon.*, **33**, 883–886 (1988).
- [12] K. Löwner: Über monotone Matrixfunktionen. *Math. Z.*, **38**, 177–216 (1934).
- [13] T. Yoshino: Introduction to Operator Theory. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series 300, Longman Scientific and Technical (1993).