

## 46. Some New Examples of Eigenmaps from $S^m$ into $S^n$

By Keisuke UENO

Mathematical Institute, Tōhoku University

(Communicated by Heisuke HIRONAKA, M. J. A., June 8, 1993)

**1. Introduction.** Recently, H. Gauchman and G. Toth introduced a method of constructing new examples of eigenmaps between spheres from known old ones ([1]). As a consequence, they proved the following theorem by applying it to those  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps obtained in [2]-[4].

**Theorem A.** For  $m \geq 5$ , there exist full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps

$$f : S^m \rightarrow S^{\frac{m(m+3)}{2}-r},$$

where  $r = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 11, 12, 13, 16$ .

Here a map  $f : S^m \rightarrow S^n$  is said to be  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmap if all components of  $f$  are spherical harmonics of degree 2, and is called full if its image is not contained in any totally hypersphere of  $S^n$ .

Theorem A implies, in particular, that full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps  $f : S^5 \rightarrow S^n$  exist for  $n = 4, 7, 8, 9, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19$ . However, in their approach the existence of full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps  $f : S^5 \rightarrow S^n$  is missing for  $n = 3, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 14$  (for  $n = 2$  the non-existence is proved in [1]).

The aim of this note is to show the following theorem which supplements Theorem A.

**Theorem B.** Let  $k \geq 1$ . Then the following hold.

- (i) There exist full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps  $f : S^{2k+1} \rightarrow S^l$  for  $k^2 + 3k \leq l \leq 2k^2 + 4k + 2$ ,  $l = k^2 + 3k - 2$ .
- (ii) There exist full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps  $f : S^{2k+2} \rightarrow S^l$  for  $k^2 + 5k + 3 \leq l \leq 2k^2 + 6k + 5$ ,  $l = k^2 + 5k - 2 + 2s(k - 1)$  ( $0 \leq s \leq k + 1$ ) or  $l = k^2 + 5k + 1$ .

Our method of proof is different from that of H. Gauchman and G. Toth and, in fact, makes an essential use of orthogonal multiplications  $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$  in constructing these maps. As a corollary of Theorem B, we obtain for instance

**Corollary.** There exist full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps  $f : S^5 \rightarrow S^n$  for  $n = 10, 11, 12, 14$ .

This corollary combined with a result in H. Gauchman and G. Toth then implies that Theorem A is true for  $r$  such that  $1 \leq r \leq 13$  or  $r = 16$ .

**2. Existence of orthogonal multiplication for  $m = 2$ .** An orthogonal multiplication  $F : \mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$  is by definition a bilinear map such that  $\|F(x, y)\| = \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm.  $F$  is said to be full if the image of  $F$  spans  $\mathbf{R}^r$ .

It is well-known that if  $F : \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$  is an orthogonal multiplication, then the Hopf map defined by

$$f_F(x, y) := (\|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2, 2F(x, y)), \quad x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

gives rise to a  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmap from  $S^{2n-1}$  into  $S^r$ . Note that from its definition the Hopf map is defined only on odd dimensional spheres. However, for even dimensional spheres  $S^k$  we can construct  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps  $f : S^k \rightarrow S^l$  by orthogonal multiplications as follows.

Given two  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps  $g : S^{m-1} \rightarrow S^{p-1}$ ,  $h : S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{q-1}$  and an orthogonal multiplication  $F : \mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$ , we define

(1) 
$$f(x, y) := (g(x), h(y), \sqrt{2}F(x, y)),$$
 where  $x \in \mathbf{R}^m$ ,  $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Then it follows from  $\|g(x)\| = \|x\|^2$ ,  $\|h(y)\| = \|y\|^2$  and  $\|F(x, y)\| = \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$  that

$$\|f(x, y)\|^2 = (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)^2,$$

which implies that  $f$  gives rise to a  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmap  $f : S^{m+n-1} \rightarrow S^{p+q+r-1}$ . Moreover, if  $g$  and  $h$  are full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps and  $F$  is a full orthogonal multiplication, then  $f$  defines a full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmap.

In this section, we shall prove the existence of orthogonal multiplications  $F : \mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$  when  $m = 2$ .

We assume  $m \leq n$ . Since  $F : \mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$  is a bilinear map, we may write

$$F(x, y) = \sum a_{ij}x_iy_j,$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbf{R}^m$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}^r$ . Since  $\|F(x, y)\| = \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$ , we have

(2) 
$$\begin{cases} \langle a_{ik}, a_{il} \rangle = \delta_{kl} \\ \langle a_{ik}, a_{jk} \rangle = \delta_{ij} \\ \langle a_{ik}, a_{jl} \rangle + \langle a_{il}, a_{jk} \rangle = 0 \quad (i \neq j, k \neq l), \end{cases}$$

which imply that  $\{a_{1k}\}_{k=1}^n$  is an orthogonal system in  $\mathbf{R}^r$ , and hence  $n \leq r$ . Moreover, since  $F$  is full, we have  $n \leq r \leq mn$ .

Following the method due to M. Parker [2], who employed it in the case  $m = n$ , we now define an  $mn \times mn$ -matrix  $G(F)$  by

$$G(F) := \begin{bmatrix} I_n & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1m} \\ A_{21} & I_n & \cdots & A_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m1} & A_{m2} & \cdots & I_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $I_n$  denotes the  $n \times n$  identity matrix and  $A_{ij}$  the  $n \times n$ -matrix whose entries are

$$(A_{ij})_{kl} = \langle a_{ik}, a_{jl} \rangle, \quad 1 \leq k, l \leq n.$$

Owing to (2),  $A_{ij}$  is a skew-symmetric matrix and  $A_{ji} = -A_{ij}$ .

Note that the determinant  $\det G(F)$  of  $G(F)$  coincides with the Gram's determinant with respect to the system of vectors  $\{a_{ij}\}$ . Hence it holds that  $\text{rank } G(F) = r$ .

We set  $m = 2$ . Then  $G(F)$  is a  $2n \times 2n$ -matrix given by

$$G(F) = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & -A \\ A & I_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = -A_{12}.$$

**Proposition C.** *A full orthogonal multiplication  $F : \mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$  exists if and only if  $r$  is even.*

*Proof.* First, we prove that  $\text{rank } G(F) (= r)$  is even whenever a full orthogonal multiplication exists. Recall that the characteristic polynomial of  $G(F)$  is

$$\det(G(F) - \mu I_{2n}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \mu)I_n & -A \\ A & (1 - \mu)I_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Noting the formula

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{bmatrix} = |\det [A + \sqrt{-1}B]|^2,$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are real matrices and  $|\cdot|$  denotes absolute value, we have

$$\det(G(F) - \mu I_{2n}) = |\det [(1 - \mu)I_n + \sqrt{-1}A]|^2.$$

Since  $A$  is skew-symmetric,  $\det [(1 - \mu)I_n + \sqrt{-1}A] \in \mathbf{R}$ , and therefore

$$(3) \quad \det(G(F) - \mu I_{2n}) = \{\det [(1 - \mu)I_n + \sqrt{-1}A]\}^2.$$

On the other hand, there exists a full orthogonal multiplication  $F : \mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2n}$  exists and is defined by

$$(4) \quad F(x, y) = (x_1y_1, x_2y_1, x_1y_2, x_2y_2, \dots, x_1y_n, x_2y_n),$$

where  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{R}^2, y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , which realizes the case  $r = 2n$ . Hence it follows from (3) that  $r$  is even.

Next, note that two vectors  $(x_1y_i, x_2y_i, x_1y_j, x_2y_j)$  and  $(x_1y_i + x_2y_j, x_1y_j - x_2y_i)$  have the same norm. Then it is easy to see that an orthogonal multiplication  $F^{(1)} : \mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2n-2}$  is obtained from  $F$  in (4) by

$$F^{(1)}(x, y) = (x_1y_1 + x_2y_2, x_1y_2 - x_2y_1, x_1y_3, x_2y_3, \dots, x_1y_n, x_2y_n),$$

since  $\|F^{(1)}(x, y)\| = \|F(x, y)\|$ . Similarly,  $F^{(2)} : \mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2n-4}$  from  $F^{(1)}$  by

$$F^{(2)}(x, y) = (x_1y_1 + x_2y_2, x_1y_2 - x_2y_1, x_1y_3 + x_2y_4, x_1y_4 - x_2y_3, \dots, x_1y_n, x_2y_n),$$

which is an orthogonal multiplication satisfying  $\|F^{(2)}(x, y)\| = \|F^{(1)}(x, y)\| = \|F(x, y)\|$ . Repeating this process, we can inductively define orthogonal multiplications

$$\tilde{F} : \mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2(n-s)}$$

for  $0 \leq s \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ , where  $[\alpha]$  denotes the maximal integer such that  $[\alpha] \leq \alpha$ .

Hence the proposition follows.

**3. Proof of Theorem B.** We first note that it is known by H. Gauchman and G. Toth ([1]) that there exist full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps

$$h : S^3 \rightarrow S^q \quad \text{for } q = 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, \text{ and}$$

$$h : S^4 \rightarrow S^q \quad \text{for } q = 4, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13.$$

We are going to prove the odd dimensional case (i). Even dimensional case (ii) can be proved by the same argument.

From Proposition C, there exist full orthogonal multiplications  $F : \mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}^{2k+2} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$  for  $r = 2k + 2 + 2s$  ( $0 \leq s \leq k + 1$ ). We assume that full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps  $h : S^{2k+1} \rightarrow S^q$  exist for all  $q$  satisfying  $q_k \leq q \leq \tilde{q}_k$  ( $q_k, \tilde{q}_k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $q_k < \tilde{q}_k$ ). Set

$$(5) \quad q_{k+1} := q_k + 2k + 4, \tilde{q}_{k+1} := \tilde{q}_k + 4k + 6.$$

Then we can construct full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps

$$f : S^{2k+3} \rightarrow S^l$$

for  $q_{k+1} \leq l \leq \tilde{q}_{k+1}$  in the following fashion.

Let  $g(x_1, x_2) = (|x_1|^2 - |x_2|^2, 2x_1x_2)$ , and define

$$f(x, y) := (g(x), h(y), \sqrt{2}F(x, y)),$$

$h$  and  $F$  being as above. Then  $f : S^{2k+3} \rightarrow S^{q+r+2}$  gives rise to a full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmap. Since  $q_k \leq l \leq \tilde{q}_k$  and  $r = 2k + 2 + 2s$  ( $0 \leq s \leq k + 1$ ),  $q + r + 2$  takes any integer satisfying

$$q_k + 2k + 4 \leq q + r + 2 \leq \tilde{q}_k + 4k + 6.$$

Now, recall that when  $k = 1$ , the above examples due to H. Gauchman and G. Toth show that full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmap  $h : S^3 \rightarrow S^q$  exists for  $4 \leq q \leq 8$ . Hence we may take  $q_1 = 4$  and  $\tilde{q}_1 = 8$ . Then it follows from (5) that

$$q_k = k^2 + 3k, \quad \tilde{q}_k = 2k^2 + 4k + 2.$$

Hence we obtain full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps  $f : S^{2k+1} \rightarrow S^q$  for  $k^2 + 3k \leq q \leq 2k^2 + 4k + 2$ .

On the other hand, by making use of  $h : S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ , we obtain similarly full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps

$$f : S^5 \rightarrow S^{8+2s} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 2,$$

from which we can also construct inductively full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps

$$f : S^{2k+1} \rightarrow S^{t_k},$$

where  $t_k = k^2 + 3k - 2 + 2s(k - 1)$  ( $0 \leq s \leq k$ ). Note that since  $t_k \geq q_k$  if  $s \geq 1$ , these examples are contained in the previous case when  $s \geq 1$ .

Consequently, we see that full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps

$$f : S^{2k+1} \rightarrow S^l$$

exist for  $l = k^2 + 3k - 2$  or  $k^2 + 3k \leq l \leq 2k^2 + 4k + 2$ .

**Remark.** By the same argument we can construct more examples of full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps. For example, it is known by the result due to M. Parker that full orthogonal multiplications  $F : \mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^r$  exist for  $r = 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12$ . By making use of this, we can construct full  $\lambda_2$ -eigenmaps

$$f : S^6 \rightarrow S^l$$

for  $l = 12, 14$  and  $15 \leq l \leq 26$ .

The author would like to thank Professor S. Nishikawa who suggested him the problem with valuable comments and Professor H. Urakawa for his helpful discussions.

### References

- [ 1 ] H. Gauchman and G. Toth: Constructions of harmonic polynomial maps between spheres (1992) (preprint).
- [ 2 ] M. Parker: Orthogonal multiplications in small dimensions. Bull. London Math. Soc., **15**, 368–372 (1983).
- [ 3 ] G. Toth: Classification of quadratic harmonic maps of  $S^3$  into spheres. Indiana Univ. Math. J., **36**, 231–239 (1987).
- [ 4 ] R. Wood: Polynomial maps from spheres to spheres. Invent. Math., **5**, 163–168 (1968).