

38. On the Sums of Digits in Integers

By Hiroyuki KANO

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology,
Keio University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., May 13, 1991)

Let $r \geq 2$ be a given integer and let

$$n = a_k a_{k-1} \cdots a_0 = a_k r^k + a_{k-1} r^{k-1} + \cdots + a_0, \quad a_h \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$$

be the r -adic expansion of a nonnegative integer n . We define the sum of digit function

$$S_r(n) = a_k + a_{k-1} + \cdots + a_0.$$

This function has been studied by many authors (cf. Stolarsky [2]).

Clements and Lindström [1] proved the following formula:

$$\sum_{m \leq n} s_2(m) = \log_2 |\det A_2(n)| \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

where

$$A_2(n) = (a_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad a_{ij} = (-1)^{\alpha_{ij}},$$

and α_{ij} is the number of common terms $b_h = c_h = 1$ in the dyadic expansions $i = \sum_{h \geq 0} b_h 2^h$, and $j = \sum_{h \geq 0} c_h 2^h$. In the present paper, we generalize this formula for any given base $r \geq 2$.

We first define a matrix $A_r(n)$ for a given integer $r \geq 2$ and any nonnegative integer n . We choose any real number ρ satisfying $1 < \rho \leq 2^{1/(r-1)}$ and define a complex number $\zeta = \zeta(\rho)$ by

$$|\zeta| = 1, \quad |\zeta - 1| = \rho \quad \text{with} \quad \text{Im} \zeta \geq 0.$$

(If $r = 2$, we can choose $\rho = 2$ and so $\zeta = -1$.) Then we choose real numbers $\beta_h = \beta_h(\rho)$ ($h = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$) such that

$$|\zeta^{\beta_h} - 1| = \rho^h \quad \text{with} \quad 1 = \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \cdots < \beta_{r-1} \leq \frac{\pi}{\rho}.$$

Let $i = \sum_{h \geq 0} b_h r^h$ and $j = \sum_{h \geq 0} c_h r^h$ be the r -adic expansions of nonnegative integers i and j , and put

$$A_r(n) = (a_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad a_{ij} = \zeta^{\beta_{ij}},$$

where

$$\beta_{ij} = \sum_{h \geq 0, b_h = c_h \neq 0} \beta_{b_h}.$$

Theorem. We have

$$\sum_{m \leq n} s_r(m) = \log_\rho |\det A_r(n)| \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Proof. For any integer $n \geq 0$ we write

$$(1) \quad n = hr^k + m \quad (k, h, m \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0, 0 \leq h < r, 0 \leq m < r^k).$$

If we put $f(n) = \sum_{m \leq n} s_r(m)$, then we have

$$(2) \quad f(n) = f(hr^k + m) = hf(r^k - 1) + \frac{h(h-1)}{2} r^k + h(m+1) + f(m).$$

Conversely, this formula (2) defines the function $f(n)$ ($n \geq 0$) uniquely

under the condition

$$(3) \quad f(0) = 0.$$

Hence it is enough to show that the function

$$f(n) = \log_\rho |\det A_r(n)| \quad (n \geq 0)$$

satisfies (2) and (3). The proof will be carried out by induction on n .

First if $k=0$ in (1), then $0 \leq n = h < r$ and so (2) with (3) implies

$$f(h) = \frac{h(h-1)}{2} \quad (0 \leq h < r).$$

On the other hand, we have by the definition of $A_r(h)$ with $0 \leq h < r$.

$$A_r(h) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \zeta^{\beta_1} & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & \zeta^{\beta_h} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$|\det A_r(h)| = \prod_{k=1}^h |\zeta^{\beta_k} - 1| = \rho^{h(h+1)/2} \quad (1 \leq h < r), \quad \det A(0) = 1.$$

Now let $k \geq 1$. We assume that (2) holds for all $n = hr^{k-1} + m$ ($0 \leq h < r$, $0 \leq m < r^k$). By definition, we have, for any integers b ($0 \leq b < r$) and i, j ($0 \leq i, j < r^k$),

$$\beta_{br^{k+i}, j} = \beta_{i, j} = \beta_{i, br^{k+j}}, \quad \beta_{br^{k+i}, br^{k+j}} = \beta_b + \beta_{i, j},$$

so that

$$A_r(hr^k + m) = \begin{pmatrix} B & B & \dots & B & C \\ B & \zeta^{\beta_1} B & \dots & B & C \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ B & B & \dots & \zeta^{\beta_{n-1}} B & C \\ D & D & \dots & D & \zeta^{\beta_n} A_r(m) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $B = A_r(r^k - 1)$, C is the $r^k \times (m+1)$ -matrix consisting of the first $m+1$ columns of B , and D is the $(m+1) \times r^k$ -matrix consisting of the first $m+1$ rows of B . Hence

$$\det A_r(hr^k + m) = \det \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} B & B & \dots & B & C \\ B & (\zeta^{\beta_1} - 1)B & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ B & 0 & & (\zeta^{\beta_{n-1}} - 1)B & 0 \\ \hline D & 0 & & & (\zeta^{\beta_n} - 1)A_r(m) \end{array} \right).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$|\det A_r(hr^k + m)| = |\zeta^{\beta_1} - 1|^{r^k} \dots |\zeta^{\beta_{n-1}} - 1|^{r^k} |\zeta^{\beta_n} - 1|^{m+1} |\det A_r(r^k - 1)|^h |\det A_r(m)| \\ = \rho^{((h+1)/2)r^k + h(m+1)} |\det A_r(r^k - 1)|^h |\det A_r(m)|.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgement. We thank Prof. I. Shiokawa for his valuable suggestions which have improved this paper.

References

- [1] G. F. Clements and B. Lindström: A sequence of (\pm) -determinants with large values. Proc. AMS., **16**, 548-550 (1965).
- [2] K. B. Stolarsky: Power and exponential sums of digital sums related to binomial coefficient parity. SIAM J. Appl. Math., **32**, 717-730 (1977).