

### 19. Infinitely Many Periodic Solutions for the Equation:

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} \pm |u|^{s-1} u = f(x, t)$$

By Kazunaga TANAKA

Department of Mathematics, Waseda University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., March 12, 1985)

**1. Introduction.** In this article we shall study the nonlinear wave equation:

$$(1)_{\pm} \quad v_{tt} - v_{xx} \pm |v|^{s-1} v = f(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in (0, \pi) \times \mathbf{R},$$

$$(2) \quad v(0, t) = v(\pi, t) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbf{R},$$

$$(3) \quad v(x, t + 2\pi) = v(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in (0, \pi) \times \mathbf{R},$$

where  $s > 1$  is a constant and  $f(x, t)$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic function of  $t$ .

Our main result is as follows:

**Theorem.** Assume that  $1 < s < 1 + \sqrt{2}$  and  $f(x, t) \in L^q_{loc}([0, \pi] \times \mathbf{R})$  ( $q = 1/s + 1$ ) is a  $2\pi$ -periodic function of  $t$ . Then (1)<sub>±</sub>–(3) possess an unbounded sequence of weak solutions in  $L^{s+1}_{loc}([0, \pi] \times \mathbf{R})$ .

To prove our theorem, we convert the problem to a simpler one by a Legendre transformation which is used in H. Brézis, J. M. Coron and L. Nirenberg [2], that is, we use the dual variational formulation for (1)<sub>±</sub>–(3). Next we use a perturbation result of P. H. Rabinowitz [3] asserting the existence of infinitely many critical points of perturbed symmetric functionals.

After completing this work, the author knew announcement of the result of J. P. Ollivry [6]. His result is analogous to ours for (1)<sub>+</sub>–(3) but under the following conditions:

$$1 < s < 2 \quad \text{and} \quad f(x, t) \in E \quad (\text{see (4)}).$$

Our result obviously contains his result. Moreover our growth restriction  $1 < s < 1 + \sqrt{2}$  coincides with the condition which ensures the existence of an unbounded sequence of solutions of the semilinear elliptic equation:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= |u|^{s-1} u + f(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u &= 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  is a smooth bounded domain (see P. H. Rabinowitz [3]).

**2. Outline of the proof of Theorem.** We shall only give outline of proof. Details will be published elsewhere.

We shall deal with the case (1)<sub>+</sub>–(3) (the argument is essentially the same for the case (1)<sub>-</sub>–(3)).

Let  $\Omega = (0, \pi) \times (0, 2\pi)$ .

We shall consider the operator  $Au = u_{tt} - u_{xx}$  acting on functions in  $L^1(\Omega)$  satisfying (2), (3). Denote by  $N$  the kernel of  $A$ . Consider the space

$$(4) \quad E = \left\{ u \in L^q(\Omega); \int_{\Omega} u \phi = 0 \text{ for all } \phi \in N \cap L^{s+1}(\Omega) \right\}$$

with  $L^q$  norm  $\|\cdot\|_q$ .

For any  $u \in E$  there exists a unique  $Ku \in C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap E$  with  $\alpha=1-1/q$  such that  $A(Ku)=u$ . The operator  $K: E \rightarrow E^*$  is compact.

For a given  $f \in L^q(\Omega)$  we define the functional  $I(u) \in C^1(E, \mathbf{R})$  by

$$I(u) = -(1/2)(-Ku, u) + (1/q) \|u - f\|_q^q$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the duality product between  $E^*$  and  $E$ . There is one-to-one correspondence between the critical points of  $I(u)$  and the weak solutions of (1)<sub>+</sub>-(3). This is so-called dual variational formulation of the problem (1)<sub>+</sub>-(3).

For technical reasons, we shall replace  $I(u)$  by a modified functional  $J(u) \in C^1(E, \mathbf{R})$  defined by

$$J(u) = -(1/2)(-Ku, u) + (1/q) \|u\|_q^q + (1/q) \cdot \psi(u) \cdot (\|u - f\|_q^q - \|u\|_q^q),$$

where  $\psi(u)$  will be defined analogously as in P. H. Rabinowitz [3].

Then we have the following propositions.

**Proposition 1.** *There is a constant  $\beta = \beta(\|f\|_q) > 0$  such that for  $u \in E$ ,*

$$|J(u) - J(-u)| \leq \beta \cdot (|J(u)|^{(q-1)/q} + 1).$$

**Proposition 2.** *There is a constant  $M = M(\|f\|_q) > 0$  such that*

(i)  *$J(u) \in C^1(E, \mathbf{R})$  satisfies Palais-Smale condition on*

$$\hat{A}_M = \{u \in E; J(u) \geq M\}.$$

(ii)  *$J(u) \geq M$  and  $J'(u) = 0$  imply that  $I(u) = J(u)$  and  $I'(u) = 0$ .*

Note that  $K$  is a compact self-adjoint operator in  $E \cap L^2(\Omega)$ . Its eigenvalues are  $\{1/(j^2 - k^2); j \neq k\}$ . We rearrange the eigenvalues in the following order, denoted by

$$-\mu_1 \leq -\mu_2 \leq -\mu_3 \leq \dots < 0 < \dots \leq \nu_3 \leq \nu_2 \leq \nu_1$$

with repetitions according to the multiplicity of each eigenvalue and denote by  $e_j$  and  $f_j$  the eigenfunctions which are corresponding to  $-\mu_j$  and  $\nu_j$  respectively. We assume moreover  $\|e_j\|_q = \|f_j\|_q = 1$  for all  $j \in N$ . Next we shall define the spaces  $E_n, E_n^\perp$  by

$$E_n = \text{span} \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\},$$

$$E_n^\perp = \{u \in E; (e_i, u) = 0 \text{ for } i=1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

**Proposition 3.** *There are constants  $a_n > 0$  such that*

$$(-Ku, u) \leq a_n \cdot \|u\|_q^q \quad \text{for all } u \in E_n^\perp.$$

Moreover for any  $\delta > 0$  there exists a constant  $C_\delta > 0$  such that

$$a_n \leq C_\delta \cdot n^{-2(q-1)/q + \delta} \quad \text{for all } n \in N.$$

Clearly there is a sequence of numbers:  $0 < R_1 < R_2 < \dots$  such that

$$J(u) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in E_n \text{ with } \|u\|_q \geq R_n.$$

Let  $B_R = \{u \in E; \|u\|_q \leq R\}$  and  $D_n = B_{R_n} \cap E_n$ . Set

$$\Gamma_n = \{h \in C(D_n, E); h \text{ is odd and } h(u) = u \text{ if } \|u\|_q = R_n\}.$$

Define

$$b_n = \inf_{h \in \Gamma_n} \sup_{u \in D_n} J(h(u)) \quad \text{for } n \in N.$$

Using the Borsuk-Ulam theorem and Proposition 3, we have

**Proposition 4.** *For every  $\delta > 0$  there is a constant  $C_\delta > 0$  such that*

$$(5) \quad b_n \geq C_\delta \cdot n^{2(q-1)/(2-q) - \delta} \quad \text{for all } n \in N.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_n &= \{u = t \cdot e_{n+1} + w; t \in [0, R_{n+1}], w \in B_{R_{n+1}} \cap E_n \text{ and } \|u\|_q \leq R_{n+1}\}. \\
 A_n &= \{H \in C(U_n, E); H|_{D_n} \in \Gamma_n, H(u) = u \text{ if } \|u\|_q = R_{n+1} \\
 &\quad \text{or } u \in (B_{R_{n+1}} \setminus B_{R_n}) \cap E_n\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$c_n = \inf_{H \in A_n} \sup_{u \in U_n} J(H(u)) \quad \text{for } n \in N.$$

P. H. Rabinowitz [3] proved the following perturbation result.

**Proposition 5.** *Assume that  $c_n > b_n \geq M$ . Then  $J(u)$  possesses a critical value in  $[c_n, \infty)$ .*

Hence to prove our theorem, it suffices to show that  $c_n = b_n$  is not possible for all large  $n$ . We have the following:

**Proposition 6.** *If  $c_n = b_n$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , there exists a constant  $\gamma = \gamma(n_0)$  such that*

$$(6) \quad b_n \leq \gamma \cdot n^a \quad \text{for all } n \in N.$$

Thus comparing (5) and (6), we see the inequalities are incompatible if  $\sqrt{2} < q < 2$ , i.e.,  $1 < s < 1 + \sqrt{2}$ .

Hence there is a sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of critical points of  $I(u)$  such that

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

So there exists the sequence of solutions  $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of (1)<sub>+</sub>-(3) corresponding to  $u_n$  satisfying

$$\|v_n\|_{s+1} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

### 3. Remarks.

**Remark 1.** K. Tanaka [5] proved for all  $s \in (1, \infty)$  there is a dense set of  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  for which (1)<sub>+</sub>-(3) possesses a weak solution. This result holds for more general equation:

$$(7) \quad u_{tt} - u_{xx} + g(u) = f(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in (0, \pi) \times \mathbf{R},$$

$$(8) \quad u(0, t) = u(\pi, t) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbf{R},$$

$$(9) \quad u(x, t+T) = u(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in (0, \pi) \times \mathbf{R},$$

where  $g(s)$  is a continuous function and  $f(x, t)$  is a  $T$ -periodic function. (We don't assume the monotonicity of  $g(s)$  and  $T/\pi \in \mathbf{Q}$ .)

**Theorem.** *Assume that there exist constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\int_0^s g(\tau) d\tau \leq C_1 \cdot s g(s) + C_2 \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbf{R},$$

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(s)}{s} = \infty.$$

*Then for all  $f(x, t)$  in a dense subset  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $L^2$ , (7)-(9) possesses a weak solution (or equivalently the range of the operator  $u \rightarrow u_{tt} - u_{xx} + g(u)$  is dense in  $L^2$ ).*

**Remark 2.** Using Proposition 3, we can give a simple proof of the result of P. H. Rabinowitz [4] by the dual variational method.

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank Prof. Haruo Sunouchi for his advice and encouragement.

## References

- [1] H. Brézis: Periodic solutions of nonlinear vibrating strings and duality principles. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, **8**, 409–426 (1983).
- [2] H. Brézis, J. M. Coron, and L. Nirenberg: Free vibrations for a nonlinear wave equation and a theorem of P. Rabinowitz. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **33**, 667–689 (1980).
- [3] P. H. Rabinowitz: Multiple critical points of perturbed symmetric functionals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **272**, 753–769 (1982).
- [4] —: Large amplitude time periodic solutions of a semilinear wave equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **37**, 189–206 (1984).
- [5] K. Tanaka: Density of the range of a wave operator with nonmonotone superlinear nonlinearity (to appear).
- [6] J. P. Ollivry: Vibrations forcées pour une équation d'onde nonlinéaire. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I*, **297**, 29–32 (1983).