

### 3. The Exponential Calculus of Microdifferential Operators of Infinite Order. V

By Takashi AOKI

Department of Mathematics, Kinki University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1984)

**1. Introduction.** The purpose of this note is to establish a relation between operators with exponential symbols and exponential operators. For each formal symbol  $q$  of order  $1-0$  (see [1]–[3] for the notation), we construct a formal symbol  $p$  of order  $1-0$  satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \exp : p := : \exp q :.$$

**2. Statement of the results.** We use the same notation as in [2]. Let  $q(t; x, \xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j q_j(x, \xi)$  be a formal symbol of order at most  $1-0$  defined in a conic open set  $\Omega$  in  $T^*X$ . We introduce inductively a sequence of symbols  $\{\psi_{i,k}^{(j)}(x, y, \xi, \eta)\}$  defined in  $\Omega \times \Omega$  by the following:

$$(2.1) \quad \psi_{0,0}^{(0)}(x, y, \xi, \eta) = q_0(x, \xi),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \psi_{i,0}^{(j)}(x, y, \xi, \eta) = 0, \quad j=1, 2, \dots; l=0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \psi_{i,k+1}^{(j)}(x, y, \xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{k+1} \left\{ \partial_{\xi} \cdot \partial_y \psi_{i,k}^{(j)}(x, y, \xi, \eta) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\nu=0}^l \sum_{\mu=0}^{j-1} \sum_{i=0}^{l-\nu} \frac{1}{j-\mu} \partial_{\xi} \psi_{\nu,k}^{(\mu)}(x, y, \xi, \eta) \cdot \partial_y \psi_{i,l-\nu-i}^{(j-\mu-1)}(y, y, \eta, \eta) \right\},$$

$$(2.4) \quad \psi_{k,0}^{(0)}(x, y, \xi, \eta) = q_k(x, \xi) - \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j+1} \psi_{i,k-l}^{(j)}(x, x, \xi, \xi).$$

If  $\psi_{i,k}^{(j)}$  is known for  $l+k \leq m$ , then  $\psi_{i,k}^{(j)}$  is defined for  $l+k \leq m+1$  by (2.2)–(2.4). We set

$$(2.5) \quad p_k(x, \xi) = \psi_{k,0}^{(0)}(x, x, \xi, \xi)$$

and define a formal power series in  $t$  by

$$(2.6) \quad p(t; x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k p_k(x, \xi).$$

**Remark.**  $\psi_{k,0}^{(0)}$  is independent of  $(y, \eta)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The formal series  $p(t; x, \xi)$  is a formal symbol of order at most  $1-0$  defined in  $\Omega$  so that*

$$(2.7) \quad \exp : p(t; x, \xi) := : \exp q(t; x, \xi) :$$

holds in  $\mathcal{E}^R$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be a real number such that  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ . Then we have the following

**Theorem 2.** *If  $q_j(x, \xi)$  is of order at most  $(j+1)\lambda - j$  ( $j=0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), then  $p_k(x, \xi)$  is of order at most  $(k+1)\lambda - k$  ( $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$ ).*

**3. Invertibility.** As an application of Theorem 1, we obtain the following theorem of invertibility for operators of infinite order,

which is a generalization of Theorem 5 in [1]:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $P(x, \xi)$  be a symbol defined in a conic open neighborhood of  $\hat{x}^* \in T^*X$ . If  $P(x, \xi)$  is invertible as a symbol, that is,  $1/P(x, \xi)$  is also a symbol defined near  $\hat{x}^*$ , then  $:P(x, \xi):$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{x}^*}^R$ .*

**4. Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.** It follows from Theorem 2 in [2] that, if  $p(t; x, \xi) = \sum t^j p_j(x, \xi)$  is given, then  $q(t; x, \xi)$  satisfying (2.7) is constructed as follows: Let us introduce  $\{\psi_{l,k}^{(j)}(x, y, \xi, \eta)\}$  and  $\{q_k^{(j)}(x, \xi)\}$  by

$$(4.1) \quad \psi_{l,0}^{(0)} = p_l(x, \xi), \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(4.2) \quad \psi_{l,0}^{(j)} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots; \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(4.3) \quad q_k^{(j+1)}(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{l=0}^k \psi_{l,k-l}^{(j)}(x, x, \xi, \xi),$$

$$(4.4) \quad \psi_{l,k+1}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{k+1} \left( \partial_\xi \cdot \partial_y \psi_{l,k}^{(j)} + \sum_{\nu=0}^l \sum_{\mu=0}^{j-1} \partial_\xi^\nu \psi_{\nu,k}^{(\mu)} \cdot \partial_y Q_{l-\nu}^{(j-\mu)}(y, \eta) \right).$$

Then  $q(t; x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k q_k(x, \xi)$  is obtained by

$$(4.5) \quad q_k(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} q_k^{(j)}(x, \xi).$$

If we can solve  $\{p_k(x, \xi)\}$  from  $\{q_j(x, \xi)\}$  conversely by (4.1)–(4.5), then  $p(t; x, \xi) = \sum t^k p_k(x, \xi)$  satisfies, at least formally, (2.7) for given  $q(t; x, \xi) = \sum t^j q_j(x, \xi)$ . Such procedure can be done by eliminating  $q_k^{(j)}$ 's from (4.3)–(4.5). Then we have (2.1)–(2.5).

## References

- [1] T. Aoki: The exponential calculus of microdifferential operators of infinite order. II. Proc. Japan Acad., **58A**, 154–157 (1982).
- [2] —: ditto. IV. ibid., **59A**, 186–187 (1983).
- [3] —: Calcul exponentiel des opérateurs microdifférentiels d'ordre infini, I (to appear in Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, **33-4**, (1983)).