## 12. Random Media and Quasi-Classical Limit of Schrödinger Operator

## By Shin OZAWA

Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo (Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., Feb. 13, 1984)

In the present note we consider a mathematical problem concerning random media. We consider a bounded domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with smooth boundary  $\Gamma$ . We put  $B(\varepsilon; w) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3; |x-w| < \varepsilon\}$ . Fix  $\beta \ge 1$ . Let  $0 < \mu_1(\varepsilon; w(m)) \le \mu_2(\varepsilon; w(m)) \le \cdots$  be the eigenvalues of  $-\Delta$  (= -div grad) in  $\Omega_{\varepsilon, w(m)} = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\tilde{m}} B(\varepsilon; w_i^{(m)})$  under the Dirichlet condition on its boundary. Here  $\tilde{m}$  denotes the largest integer which does not exceed  $m^\beta$ , and w(m) denotes the set of  $\tilde{m}$ -points  $\{w_i^{(m)}\}_{i=1}^{\tilde{m}} \in \Omega^{\tilde{m}}$ . Let V(x) > 0 be  $C^1$ -class function on  $\overline{\Omega}$  satisfying

$$\int_{a} V(x) dx = 1.$$

We consider  $\Omega$  as the probability space with the probability density V(x)dx. Let  $\Omega^{\tilde{m}} = \prod_{i=1}^{\tilde{m}} \Omega$  be the probability space with the product measure. The following result which is an elaboration of M. Kac's theorem (Kac [3]) was given in Ozawa [4].

Theorem A. Assume that  $\beta = 1$ . Fix  $\alpha > 0$  and k. Then,

 $\lim_{m\to\infty} \boldsymbol{P}(w(m) \in \Omega^{\tilde{m}}; m^{\delta} | \mu_k(\alpha/m; w(m)) - \mu_k^{\nu} | < \varepsilon) = 1$ 

holds for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta \in [0, 1/4)$ . Here  $\mu_k^{\nu}$  denotes the  $k^{th}$  eigenvalue of  $-\varDelta + 4\pi\alpha V(x)$  in  $\Omega$  under the Dirichlet condition on  $\Gamma$ .

In this paper we study the case  $\beta > 1$ . In this case the sum of the radii of  $\tilde{m}$ -balls  $B(\alpha/m; w_i^{(m)})$ ,  $i=1, \dots, \tilde{m}$ , tends to  $\infty$  as  $m \to \infty$ . We see by the argument in Rauch-Taylor [9] that  $\mu_k(\alpha/m; w(m)) \to \infty$  if  $\beta > 1$ , V(x) > 0 and

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \tilde{m}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{m}} f(w_i^{(m)}) = \int_{\mathcal{Q}} f(x) V(x) dx$$

for any fixed  $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . We call the case  $\beta > 1$ , V(x) > 0 to be the solidifying case following Rauch-Taylor.

The aim of this paper is to give the following:

Theorem 1. Assume that  $\beta \in [1, 9/8)$  and V(x) > 0. Fix  $\alpha > 0$  and k. Then, there exists a constant  $\delta(\beta) > 0$  independent of m such that

 $\lim_{m\to\infty} P(w(m) \in \Omega^{\bar{m}}; m^{\delta'-(\beta-1)} | \mu_k(\alpha/m; w(m)) - \mu_{k,m}^{\nu}| < \varepsilon) = 1$ holds for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta' \in [0, \delta(\beta))$ . Here  $\mu_{k,m}^{\nu}$  denotes the  $k^{th}$  eigenvalue of  $-\varDelta + 4\pi\alpha \tilde{m}m^{-1}V(x)$  in  $\Omega$  under the Dirichlet condition on  $\Gamma$ .

**Remark.** There exist constants C' and C'' such that  $C' < m^{-(\beta-1)} \mu_{k,m}^{V} < C''$  holds.

S. OZAWA

Readers may refer to Papanicolaou-Varadhan [7], [8] Simon [10], Bensoussan-Lions-Papanicolaou [1], Huruslov-Marchenko [2], Ozawa [5], [6] and the literatures cited there, for related topics.

We give a sketch of our proof of Theorem 1. Fix  $\beta \in (1, 3)$ . We consider the following condition  $(D-0)_m$ ,  $(D-\infty)_m$  on w(m).

 $(D-0)_m$ : Assume that  $\Omega \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^m B(\alpha/m; w_i^{(m)})}$  is divided into the connected components

$$\omega_1(w(m)), \cdots, \omega_{q(w(m))}(w(m)).$$

Then, g(w(m))=1 or

 $\max_{2 \le s \le g(w(m))} \operatorname{diam} \omega_{s(w(m))}(w(m)) \le m^{-1} \log m$ holds. Here diam Z denotes the diameter of the set Z.

 $(D-\infty)_m$ : Take an arbitrary connected closed subset  $\mathcal{R}_m$  of  $\Gamma$  satisfying diam  $\mathcal{R}_m \geq 2m^{-1} \log m$ . Then

 $\mathfrak{R}_m \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\tilde{m}} B(\alpha/m; w_i^{(m)})} \neq \phi.$ 

We can easily get the following:

 $\lim_{m\to\infty} \mathbf{P}(w(m) \in \Omega^{\tilde{m}}; w(m) \text{ satisfies } (D-0)_m, (D-\infty)_m) = 1.$ 

We put  $r > \beta - 1$ . We abbreviate the largest integer which does not exceed  $m^{\beta}$  as m'. We put  $m'' = (m')^{1/2}$ . Hereafter we always assume that w(m) satisfies  $(D-0)_m$ ,  $(D-\infty)_m$ . We abbreviate  $\omega_1(w(m))$ as  $\omega$  for the sake of simplicity. Let  $G_{(m')}(x, y; w(m))$  be the Green's function of  $\Delta - m'$  in  $\omega$  under the Dirichlet condition on its boundary satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\varDelta_x - m')G_{(m')}(x,y\,;\,w(m)) = -\delta(x-y), & x,y \in \omega \\ & G_{(m')}(x,y\,;\,w(m)) = 0, & x \in \partial \omega. \end{array}$$
  
Let  $G_{(m')}(x,y)$  be the Green's function of  $\varDelta - m'$  in  $\varOmega$  satisfying

$$(\mathcal{A}_x - m')G_{(m')}(x, y) = -\delta(x - y), \qquad x, y \in \Omega \ G_{(m')}(x, y) = 0, \qquad x \in \Gamma.$$

From now on we abbreviate  $G_{(m')}(x, y)$  as G(x, y). We introduce the following integral kernel function: We abbreviate  $w_i^{(m)}$  as  $w_i$  for the sake of simplicity.

$$\begin{split} h_{(m')}(x, y ; w(m)) &= G(x, y) - (4\pi\alpha/m) e^{m''\alpha/m} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{m}} G(x, w_i) G(w_i, y) \\ &+ \sum_{s=2}^{m^*} (-4\pi\alpha/m)^s e^{m''\alphas/m} \sum_{(s)} G(x, w_{i_1}) G(w_{i_1}, w_{i_2}) \\ &\cdots G(w_{i_{s-1}}, w_{i_s}) G(w_{i_s}, y). \end{split}$$

Here  $m^* = (\log m)^2$  and  $m'' = (m')^{1/2}$ . Here the indices  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$  in  $\sum_{(s)}$  run over all  $1 \le i_1, \dots, i_s \le \tilde{m}$  satisfying  $i_1 \ne i_2, i_2 \ne i_3, \dots, i_{s-1} \ne i_s$ . An essential key to Theorem 1 is the fact that  $h_{(m')}(x, y; w(m))$ , when we consider it as an integral kernel function on  $\omega \times \omega$ , is a nice approximation of  $G_{(m')}(x, y; w(m))$  in a rough sense, if  $\beta - 1$  is small. By a probabilistic consideration we view that  $h_{(m')}(x, y; w(m))$ , when we consider it as an integral kernel function on  $\Omega \times \Omega$ , is a nice approximation of the integral kernel function of  $(-\Delta + m' + 4\pi\alpha \tilde{m}m^{-1}V(x))^{-1}$  in a rough sense. Along this line we get Theorem 1. Of course we need hard and long calculations to obtain our result.

## References

- A. Bensoussan, J. L. Lions, and G. C. Papanicolaou: Asymptotic Methods in Periodic Structures. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1978).
- [2] E. Ja. Huruslov and V. A. Marchenko: Boundary Value Problem in Regions with Fine Grained Boundaries. Kiev (1974) (in Russian).
- [3] M. Kac: Probabilistic methods in some problems of scattering theory. Rocky Mountain J. Math., 4, 511-538 (1974).
- [4] S. Ozawa: On an elaboration of M. Kac's theorem concerning eigenvalues of the Laplacian in a region with randomly distributed small obstacles. Commun. Math. Phys., 91, 473-487 (1983).
- [5] ——: Spectra of domains with small spherical Neumann boundary. J.
  Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo., 30, 259–277 (1983).
- [6] ——: A shape of eigenfunction of the Laplacian under singular variation of domains II (preprint).
- [7] G. C. Papanicolaou and S. R. S. Varadhan: Diffusion in region with many small holes. Lect. Notes in Control and Information. vol. 75, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1980).
- [8] ——: Boundary value problems with rapidly oscillating random coefficients. Colloquia Mathematica Societis Janos Bolyai 27, Random Fields. vol. II, pp. 835–873. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1981).
- [9] J. Rauch and M. Taylor: Potential and scattering theory on wildly perturbed domains. J. Funct. Anal., 18, 27-59 (1975).
- [10] B. Simon: Functional Integration and Quantum Physics. Academic Press (1979).