

## 127. On Powers of the Denominators of Rationals

By Chiaki NAGASAKA

Department of Mathematics, Kyushu University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1983)

For a rational  $x$  let  $\text{den } x$  mean its reduced denominator  $>0$ . We denote by  $\text{den}^\alpha x$  the  $\alpha$ th power of  $\text{den } x$ , where  $\alpha$  is a complex number. In this note we show some properties of the function  $\text{den}^\alpha x$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $a, b, c, d$  be rational integers with  $ad - bc = 1$ . Then for any rational  $x$  with  $cx + d \neq 0$ , we have*

$$(1) \quad \text{den}^\alpha \frac{ax+b}{cx+d} = |cx+d|^\alpha \text{den}^\alpha x.$$

*Conversely, if a function  $f(x)$  defined on the rationals satisfies the functional equations*

$$(*) \quad f(x+1) = f(x)$$

$$(**) \quad f\left(-\frac{1}{x}\right) = |x|^\alpha f(x) \quad (x \neq 0),$$

then

$$f(x) = f(0) \text{den}^\alpha x.$$

**Theorem 2.** *For every positive integer  $n$ , we have*

$$(2) \quad n^{\alpha-1} \sum_{\substack{ad=n \\ d>0}} \sum_{b=0}^{d-1} d^{-\alpha} \text{den}^\alpha \frac{ax+b}{d} = \frac{1}{n} \sigma_{\alpha+1}(n) \text{den}^\alpha x,$$

where  $\sigma_{\alpha+1}(n)$  is the sum of the  $(\alpha+1)$ th powers of positive divisors of  $n$ .

**Theorem 3.** *For every positive integer  $n$ , we have*

$$(3) \quad \sum_{b=0}^{n-1} \text{den}^\alpha \frac{x+b}{n} = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) d^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha+1}\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \text{den}^\alpha dx,$$

where  $\mu$  means Möbius' function.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* The transformation property (1) is easily verified. We prove the second assertion by induction on  $\text{den } x$ . Write  $x$  in the form  $h/k$  where  $h$  and  $k$  are relatively prime integers and  $k > 0$ . When  $\text{den } x = k = 1$ , namely  $x$  is a rational integer, we see immediately by the equation (\*)

$$f(x) = f(0) = f(0) \text{den}^\alpha x.$$

When  $\text{den } x = k > 1$ , we assume that our assertion is valid for any rational with the reduced denominator less than  $k$ . We may consider  $0 < x < 1$  because of (\*). Then, using the equation (\*\*), we find

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f\left(\frac{h}{k}\right) = f\left(-\frac{1}{-\frac{k}{h}}\right) = \left|-\frac{k}{h}\right|^\alpha f\left(-\frac{k}{h}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{h}\right)^\alpha h^\alpha f(0) = k^\alpha f(0) = f(0) \text{den}^\alpha x \end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypothesis, since  $0 < h < k$ . This completes the proof.

*Proofs of Theorems 2 and 3.* From the multiplicative property of  $\sigma_{\alpha+1}(n)$  and the usual properties of the Hecke operator and the averaging operator which are defined on  $\text{den}^\alpha x$  by the left sides of (2) and (3) respectively [1], [5], [7], Theorems 2 and 3 reduce to the following lemma.

**Lemma.** For a prime number  $p$

$$(4) \quad p^\alpha \text{den}^\alpha px + \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \text{den}^\alpha \frac{x+b}{p} = \sigma_{\alpha+1}(p) \text{den}^\alpha x.$$

*Proof.* We write  $x = h/k$  as above. To verify (4) we must consider two cases:

*Case I.*  $p$  divides  $k$ . Then  $\text{den} px = k/p$ . On the other hand  $(x+b)/p = (h+bk)/pk$ , and  $h+bk \equiv h \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , so that  $\text{den}((x+b)/p) = pk$ . Hence the left-hand side of (4) equals

$$p^\alpha \left(\frac{k}{p}\right)^\alpha + p(pk)^\alpha = (1+p^{\alpha+1})k^\alpha = \sigma_{\alpha+1}(p) \text{den}^\alpha x.$$

*Case II.*  $p$  does not divide  $k$ . Then  $\text{den} px = k$ . Since  $h+bk \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  if and only if  $b \equiv -hk^{-1} \pmod{p}$ , we see

$$\text{den} \frac{x+b}{p} = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } b \equiv -hk^{-1} \pmod{p}, \\ pk & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence the left-hand side of (4) equals

$$p^\alpha k^\alpha + k^\alpha + (p-1)(pk)^\alpha = \sigma_{\alpha+1}(p) \text{den}^\alpha x.$$

**Remarks.** Theorems 2 and 3 are equivalent by the Möbius inversion formula [7]. The above (1)–(4) respectively correspond to the generalized reciprocity formula (the transformation formula), the identities of Petersson-Knopp type, of Subrahmanyam type, and of Dedekind type for a kind of generalized Dedekind sums (cf. the references). In fact,  $B_{2k} \text{den}^{-2k} x$  with a positive integer  $k$  and the  $2k$ th Bernoulli number  $B_{2k}$  is an inhomogeneous generalized Dedekind sum [7].

## References

- [1] T. M. Apostol: *Modular Functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin (1976).
- [2] T. M. Apostol and T. H. Vu: Identities for sums of Dedekind type. *J. Number Theory*, **14**, 391–396 (1982).
- [3] L. A. Goldberg: An elementary proof of the Petersson-Knopp theorem on Dedekind sums. *ibid.*, **12**, 541–542 (1980).
- [4] M. I. Knopp: Hecke operators and an identity for the Dedekind sums. *ibid.*, **12**, 2–9 (1980).
- [5] S. Lang: *Introduction to Modular Forms*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1976).
- [6] C. Nagasaka: On generalized Dedekind sums attached to Dirichlet characters (submitted for publication).

- [ 7 ] C. Nagasaka: Dedekind type sums and Hecke operators (to appear in *Acta Arith.*).
- [ 8 ] L. A. Parson: Dedekind sums and Hecke operators. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **88**, 11–14 (1980).
- [ 9 ] L. A. Parson and K. H. Rosen: Hecke operators and Lambert series. *Math. Scand.*, **49**, 5–14 (1981).
- [10] P. Subrahmanyam: On sums involving the integer part of  $x$ . *Math. Student*, **45**, 8–12 (1977).