

64. *Logarithmic Deformations of Holomorphic Maps and Equisingular Displacements of Surfaces with Ordinary Singularities*^{*)}

By Kimio MIYAJIMA and Shōji TSUBOI

Department of Mathematics, Kagoshima University

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., June 15, 1982)

Introduction. In this paper we shall give a definition of logarithmic deformations of holomorphic maps and prove the existence of the semi-universal family. If the map we consider is non-degenerate, this family turns out to be universal. The concept of logarithmic deformations of holomorphic maps is stimulated by Y. Kawamata's paper [3].

As a by-product we shall obtain another proof of the existence of the universal family of equisingular displacements of surfaces with ordinary singularities, which has been already proved by M. Namba [6]. Following Y. Kawamata, we use the terminology "equisingular" in the sense that they admit a simultaneous *embedded* resolution. Our result cannot cover K. Kodaira's existence theorem [4]. However, in case that the ambient threefold W satisfies the condition $H^2(W, \mathcal{O}_W) = 0$, our theorem includes it.

Our method is expected to be useful for the proof of the higher dimensional analogue of the equisingular displacements of complex spaces with "ordinary singularities".

§ 1. Logarithmic deformations of holomorphic maps. Let X be a compact complex manifold, C an analytic subset of X of simple normal crossing, and f a holomorphic map of X into a complex manifold Y .

Definition 1. By a family of logarithmic deformations of (X, C, f) , we mean a 6-tuple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{C}, \Phi, \pi, o, T)$ satisfying the following:

- (1) $(\mathcal{X}_o, \mathcal{C}_o, \Phi_o) = (X, C, f)$ and $o \in T$,
- (2) $(\mathcal{X} - \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{C}, \pi, o, T)$ is a family of logarithmic deformations of $(X - C, X, C)$ (cf. [3]),
- (3) $(\mathcal{X}, \Phi, \pi, T)$ is a family of holomorphic maps into Y (cf. [5]).

We define the concepts of equivalence and completeness of families of logarithmic deformations of holomorphic maps into Y as in [3] and [5].

^{*)} This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 564029), Ministry of Education.

Let $(\mathcal{X}, C, \Phi, \pi, o, T)$ be a family of logarithmic deformations of (X, C, f) . Then we have a cohomological complex of sheaves on X of length 1: $\mathcal{L}_C: 0 \rightarrow \theta_x(\log C) \xrightarrow{F} f^*\theta_Y \rightarrow 0$, where $\theta_x(\log C)$, θ_Y and F denote the logarithmic tangent sheaf of (X, C) (cf. [3]), the tangent sheaf of Y , and the canonical homomorphism df respectively. Using the logarithmic coordinate on \mathcal{X} (cf. [3]), we define the characteristic map

$$\tau: T_oT \longrightarrow H^1(X; \mathcal{L}_C)$$

as in [5], where $H^1(X; \mathcal{L}_C)$ is the first hypercohomology group of \mathcal{L}_C .

Theorem 1. *For any (X, C, f) , there exists a family $(\mathcal{X}, C, \Phi, \pi, o, T)$ of logarithmic deformations of holomorphic maps into Y such that*

- (1) $\tau: T_oT \rightarrow H^1(X; \mathcal{L}_C)$ is injective,
- (2) the family is complete at any point $t \in T$.

Moreover the parameter space T is defined as follows: There exists a holomorphic map h of a neighbourhood of the origin of $H^1(X; \mathcal{L}_C)$ into $H^2(X; \mathcal{L}_C)$ such that $T = h^{-1}(0)$.

To prove this theorem in the case that C is not a divisor, we need the following propositions. Let \tilde{X} be a monoidal transform of X with a canonical center of C (cf. [3]), D the total transform of C and \tilde{f} the lifting of f .

Proposition 1.1. *For any family $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{D}, \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\pi}, o, S)$ of logarithmic deformations of $(\tilde{X}, D, \tilde{f})$, there exist an open neighbourhood S' of o and a family $(\mathcal{X}, C, \Phi, \pi, o, S')$ of logarithmic deformations of (X, C, f) such that $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{1S'}$ is a monoidal transform of \mathcal{X} , $\mathcal{D}_{1S'}$ the total transform of C and $\tilde{\Phi}_{1S'}$ the lifting of $\tilde{\Phi}$.*

This is a consequence of [1] or [2] Theorem 9.1.

Proposition 1.2. (1) $H^n(\tilde{X}; \mathcal{L}_D) \cong H^n(X; \mathcal{L}_C)$ for $n \geq 0$.

(2) The isomorphism of (1) for $n=1$ commutes with the characteristic maps.

Proof. Let $\eta: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the natural morphism. At first we have the following spectral sequence by considering the composite of the functors $\Gamma(X, *)$ and $\eta_*: E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, R^q\eta_* \mathcal{L}_D) \implies H^n(\tilde{X}; \mathcal{L}_D)$ where $R^q\eta_*$ is the q -th hyperderived functor of η_* . Next we have $R^q\eta_* \mathcal{L}_D = H^q(\mathcal{L}_C)$ by [3] Theorem 2. Finally, through the spectral sequence $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, H^q(\mathcal{L}_C)) \implies H^n(X; \mathcal{L}_C)$, we have the isomorphism (1). (2) follows by a direct calculation.

Proof of Theorem 1. By these propositions, we may assume that C is a divisor. Since $\theta_x(\log C)$ is locally free if C is a divisor, we can construct the desired family by the method in [5]. Q.E.D.

The following theorem is proved analogously as [8] since $H^0(X; \mathcal{L}_C) = 0$.

Theorem 2. *If f is a non-degenerate map, the family in Theorem 1 is the universal family.*

§ 2. Equisingular displacements of surfaces with ordinary singularities. Let W be a compact non-singular threefold and S be a hypersurface of W with only ordinary singularities (cf. [4]). We denote by P and Δ the set of all triple points of S and the double curve respectively. Let $\sigma_1: W_1 \rightarrow W$ be the monoidal transformation of W with the center P , Δ_1 the proper transform of Δ , and $\sigma_2: \hat{W} = W_2 \rightarrow W_1$ the monoidal transformation of W_1 along Δ_1 , then the total transform D of S by the composition $f = \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2$ is of simple normal crossing.

If $E = (S, \pi, o, T)$ be a family of equisingular displacements of S in W (cf. [4]), then we have a family of logarithmic deformations $L(E) = (\hat{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{D}, \Phi, \hat{\pi}, o, T)$ of (\hat{W}, D, f) by a succession of monoidal transformations of the above type.

Proposition 2.1. (1) *For any family of logarithmic deformations $L = (\hat{\mathcal{W}}, \mathcal{D}, \Phi, \hat{\pi}, o, T)$ of (\hat{W}, D, f) , there exists a family of equisingular displacements $E = (S, \pi, o, T')$ of S in W parametrized by a neighbourhood T' of o in T such that $L(E) = L|_{T'}$,*

(2) *let $L(E_i) = L_i$ ($i=1, 2$), then E_1 is equivalent to E_2 if and only if L_1 is equivalent to L_2 ,*

(3) *E is maximal at $t \in T$ if and only if L is complete at $t \in T$.*

Proof. (1) From Fujiki-Nakano [1] or Horikawa [2] Theorem 9.1, we infer that there exists a family $(\mathcal{W}, \hat{\pi}, o, T')$ of deformations of W parametrized by a neighbourhood T' of o such that $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$ is obtained by a succession $\Psi = \tau_1 \circ \tau_2: \hat{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ of monoidal transformations with $\tau_{i,o} = \sigma_i$ ($i=1, 2$). Since $\chi = \Phi \circ \Psi^{-1}$ is a bimeromorphic map of \mathcal{W} to $W \times T'$ over T' and $\chi_o = id_W$, χ induces a biholomorphic map of $\mathcal{W}|_{T''}$ onto $W \times T''$ over a neighbourhood T'' of o . Then we may assume that $\Phi: \hat{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow W \times T$ is a succession of monoidal transformations of the same type as above. It is proved in [6] Ch. 3 that (S, π, o, T) is a family of equisingular displacements of S in W if we set $S = \Phi(\mathcal{D})$, $\pi = p_{2,S}$ and replace T by a smaller neighbourhood of o , where p_2 denotes the projection of $W \times T$ onto the second factor.

(2) and (3) are easily checked.

Q.E.D.

Under the above situation, the following proposition is a consequence of [7].

Proposition 2.2. (1) $H^n(\hat{W}; \mathcal{L}_D) \cong H^{n-1}(S, \Phi_S)$ ($n \geq 1$).

(2) *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & H^1(\hat{W}; \mathcal{L}_D) \\
 T_o T & \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \tau \\ \searrow \sigma \end{array} & \parallel \\
 & & H^0(S, \Phi_S)
 \end{array}$$

where the characteristic map σ of (S, π, o, T) is referred to [4].

Hence we derive the following existence theorem of equisingular displacements of surfaces with ordinary singularities from Theorems 1 and 2.

Theorem 3. *For any (W, S) , there exists a family (S, π, o, T) of equisingular displacements of S in W such that*

- (1) $S_o = S$,
- (2) $\sigma_t: T_t T \rightarrow H^0(S_t, \Phi_{S_t})$ is injective for any point $t \in T$,
- (3) the family is universal at any point $t \in T$.

Moreover the parameter space T is defined as follows: There exists a holomorphic map h of a neighbourhood of the origin of $H^0(S, \Phi_S)$ into $H^1(S, \Phi_S)$ such that $T = h^{-1}(0)$.

Proof. Theorems 1 and 2 assert the existence of the family (S, π, o, T) of equisingular displacements of S in W which is effective and universal at the reference point $o \in T$ and maximal at any point $t \in T$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{W \times T}([S])$ is an invertible sheaf over $W \times T$ and Φ_{S_t} is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{W \times T}([S])_{|S_t}$, the effectivity at any point $t \in T$ sufficiently close to o follows from the one at o . From these we also infer that the family is universal at $t \in T$ sufficiently close to o . Q.E.D.

References

- [1] Fujiki, A., and Nakano, S.: Supplement to "on the inverse of monoidal transformation". Publ. of RIMS, Kyoto Univ., **7**, 637-644 (1972).
- [2] Horikawa, E.: On deformations of holomorphic maps. III. Math. Ann., **222**, 275-282 (1976).
- [3] Kawamata, Y.: On deformations of compactifiable manifolds. *ibid.*, **235**, 247-265 (1978).
- [4] Kodaira, K.: A theorem of completeness for analytic systems of surfaces with ordinary singularities. Ann. of Math., **74**, 591-627 (1961).
- [5] Miyajima, K.: On the existence of Kuranishi family for deformations of holomorphic maps. Sci. Rep. Kagoshima Univ., **27**, 43-76 (1978).
- [6] Namba, M.: A study of complex families of compact complex manifolds. Thesis, Columbia Univ. (1971).
- [7] Tsuboi, S.: On the sheaves of holomorphic vector fields on surfaces with ordinary singularities in a projective space. III. Sci. Rep. Kagoshima Univ., **27**, 21-41 (1978).
- [8] Wavrik, J. J.: Obstructions to the Existence of a Space of Moduli. Global Analysis (Papers in Honor of K. Kodaira). Univ. of Tokyo Press, pp. 403-414 (1969).