

46. Construction of Integral Basis. IV

By Kōsaku OKUTSU

Department of Mathematics, Gakushuin University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., April 12, 1982)

Let \mathfrak{o} be a principal ideal domain, and k its quotient field. Let $f(x)$ be a monic irreducible separable polynomial of degree n in $\mathfrak{o}[x]$, and θ one of the roots of $f(x)$ in an algebraic closure \bar{k} of k . In our preceding notes, we have given a formula for the integral basis of $K = k(\theta)$, i.e. an \mathfrak{o} -basis of integral closure \mathfrak{o}_K of \mathfrak{o} in K , for the case where \mathfrak{o} is a complete discrete valuation ring. Now, using these results, we shall give a similar formula for the general (global) case.

§ 1. Let $\{\mathfrak{p}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be the set of all maximal ideals of \mathfrak{o} , $\pi_\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}$ a generator of \mathfrak{p}_λ , k_λ a completion of k with respect to \mathfrak{p}_λ , and \mathfrak{o}_λ its ring of integers. (Fixing an embedding of k in k_λ , we assume that k is a subfield of k_λ .) Let \bar{k}_λ be the algebraic closure of k_λ . We denote by $|\cdot|_\lambda$ a fixed valuation of \bar{k}_λ which is an extension of the valuation corresponding to \mathfrak{p}_λ . Let $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^s f_{\lambda,i}(x)$ be a factorization of $f(x)$ in $k_\lambda[x]$, where $f_{\lambda,i}(x)$ is a monic irreducible polynomial in $\mathfrak{o}_\lambda[x]$ of degree $n_{\lambda,i}$. Let $\theta_{\lambda,i}$ be one of the roots of $f_{\lambda,i}(x)$ in \bar{k}_λ . We define a k -isomorphism $\iota_{\lambda,i}$ from $K = k(\theta)$ into \bar{k}_λ by putting $\iota_{\lambda,i}(\theta) = \theta_{\lambda,i}$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we define a real valued function $\|\cdot\|_\lambda$ on K as follows. $\|\alpha\|_\lambda = \sup_{i=1, \dots, s} |\iota_{\lambda,i}(\alpha)|_\lambda$ ($\alpha \in K$). For a polynomial $h(x) = a_0x^m + \dots + a_m$ in $\mathfrak{o}[x]$, we put $|h(x)|_\lambda = \sup_{j=0, \dots, m} |a_j|_\lambda$.

We have following Proposition 1, and Definition in generalization of what we have seen in Part I.

Proposition 1. *For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and any positive integer $m < n$, there exists a monic polynomial $g_{\lambda,m}(x)$ of degree m in $\mathfrak{o}[x]$ with the following property:*

For any polynomial $G(x)$ of degree m in $\mathfrak{o}[x]$, we have

$$\|g_{\lambda,m}(\theta)\|_\lambda \leq \|G(\theta)\|_\lambda / \|G(x)\|_\lambda.$$

Definition. We will call a monic polynomial $g_{\lambda,m}(x)$ with the property in the above proposition a *divisor polynomial* of degree m of $f(x)$ for \mathfrak{p}_λ . We put $\mu_{\lambda,m} = \min_{i=1, \dots, s} \text{ord}_{\pi_{\lambda,i}}(g_{\lambda,m}(\theta_{\lambda,i}))$, and $\nu_{\lambda,m} = [\mu_{\lambda,m}]$. $\nu_{\lambda,m}$ will be called the *integrality index* of degree m of θ for \mathfrak{p}_λ .

Proposition 2. *Let $g_{\lambda,m}(x)$, $\nu_{\lambda,m}$ be a divisor polynomial, and the integrality index of degree m of θ for \mathfrak{p}_λ . We put*

$$R_\lambda = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{o} \frac{g_{\lambda,m}(\theta)}{\pi_\lambda^{\nu_{\lambda,m}}}.$$

Then R_λ coincides with the subring $\{x \in \mathfrak{o}_K \mid \pi_\lambda^t \cdot x \in \mathfrak{o}[\theta] \text{ for some positive}$

integer l_j of \mathfrak{o}_K , and any maximal ideal of R_λ containing \mathfrak{p}_λ is invertible in R_λ .

Proposition 3. *If any prime divisor of $\mathfrak{p}_\lambda \mathfrak{o}[\theta]$ is invertible in $\mathfrak{o}[\theta]$, we have $\nu_{\lambda,m} = 0$ for $m = 0, \dots, n-1$.*

Let A_0 be the subset of A such that $\lambda \in A_0$ means the following: There exists some maximal ideal \mathfrak{P} of $\mathfrak{o}[\theta]$ containing π_λ which is not invertible in $\mathfrak{o}[\theta]$. If $\mathfrak{p}_\lambda \mathfrak{o}[\theta]$ is prime to the conductor $(\mathfrak{o}[\theta] : \mathfrak{o}_K)$ of $\mathfrak{o}[\theta]$, every prime divisor of $\mathfrak{p}_\lambda \mathfrak{o}[\theta]$ is invertible in $\mathfrak{o}[\theta]$. As the discriminant $D(f)$ of $f(x)$ is contained in $(\mathfrak{o}[\theta] : \mathfrak{o}_K)$, we have $\mathfrak{p}_\lambda \ni D(f)$ for any $\lambda \in A_0$. Thus A_0 is a finite set.

Theorem 1. *Let $g_m(x)$ be a monic polynomial of degree m in $\mathfrak{o}[x]$ satisfying $g_m(x) \equiv g_{\lambda,m}(x) \pmod{\pi_\lambda^{\nu_{\lambda,m}+1}}$ for any $\lambda \in A$, where $g_{\lambda,m}(x)$ is a divisor polynomial, and $\nu_{\lambda,m}$ is the integrality index of degree m for \mathfrak{p}_λ of θ . Then we have*

$$\mathfrak{o}_K = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{o} \frac{g_m(\theta)}{\prod_{\lambda \in A_0} \pi_\lambda^{\nu_{\lambda,m}}}.$$

Remark. The product \prod_λ in the above theorem may be taken over the prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_λ containing the discriminant of $f(x)$.

§ 2. Now we show how divisor polynomials $g_{\lambda,m}(x)$ for \mathfrak{p}_λ can be constructed from primitive divisor polynomials of the irreducible factors of $f(x)$ in $k_\lambda[x]$. Let $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^s f_{\lambda,i}(x)$ be an irreducible factorization of $f(x)$ in $\mathfrak{o}_\lambda[x]$. We fix now λ , and write $f_i(x)$ for $f_{\lambda,i}(x)$. Let θ_i be a root of $f_i(x)$ in \bar{k}_λ , and n_i the degree of $f_i(x)$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$). Let $f_{i,j}(x)$ be a j -th primitive divisor polynomial of $f_i(x)$ ($1 \leq j \leq r_i$, where r_i is the depth of $f_i(x)$), and $f_{i,0}(x) = f_i(x)$. We put $S_{i,j} = \{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\} \mid |f_{i,j}(\theta_k)|_\lambda \geq |f_{i,0}(\theta_k)|_\lambda\}$. It is easy to see that $S_{i,j} \supseteq S_{i',j'}$ implies $m_j(\theta_i, k_\lambda) < m_{j'}(\theta_{i'}, k_\lambda)$ (where $m_j(\theta_i, k_\lambda)$ is the degree of $f_{i,j}(x)$ as in Part II). Furthermore $S_{i,j} \cap S_{i',j'} \neq \emptyset$ implies $S_{i,j} \subset S_{i',j'}$ or $S_{i',j'} \subset S_{i,j}$. Thus when $m_j(\theta_i, k_\lambda) = m_{j'}(\theta_{i'}, k_\lambda)$ and $S_{i,j} \cap S_{i',j'} \neq \emptyset$, we have $S_{i,j} = S_{i',j'}$. Now introduce an equivalence relation \sim in the set $\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s, 0 \leq j \leq r_i\}$ in defining $(i, j) \sim (i', j') \Leftrightarrow S_{i,j} = S_{i',j'}$ and $m_j(\theta_i, k_\lambda) = m_{j'}(\theta_{i'}, k_\lambda)$. We denote by \mathfrak{S} a complete set of representatives of the equivalence classes given by this \sim . Then we have

Theorem 2. *For any integer m ($0 \leq m < n$), there exist some integers $q_{i,j} \geq 0$ ($(i, j) \in \mathfrak{S}$) satisfying*

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathfrak{S}} q_{i,j} m_j(\theta_i, k_\lambda) = m, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{k=1, \dots, s} \left| \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathfrak{S}} f_{i,j}(\theta_k)^{q_{i,j}} \right|_\lambda = \|g_{\lambda,m}(\theta)\|_\lambda$$

where $g_{\lambda,m}(x)$ is a divisor polynomial of degree m of θ for \mathfrak{p}_λ .

A divisor polynomial $g_{\lambda,m}(x)$ is therefore obtained from $f_{\lambda,i}(x)$ as follows. Let $q_{i,j} \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ ($(i, j) \in \mathfrak{S}$) be a solution of $\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathfrak{S}} q_{i,j} m_j(\theta_i, k_\lambda) = m$. For this solution W , consider the value

$$\sup_{k=1, \dots, s} \left| \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathfrak{S}} f_{i,j}(\theta_k)^{q_{i,j}} \right|_\lambda$$

which we denote with v_w . As there are only a finite number of such solutions W , there is a minimum value v_{w_0} of these values, and the corresponding solution $W_0 = \{q_{i,j}^0((i,j) \in \mathfrak{S})\}$. Let $g(x)$ be a monic polynomial of degree m in $\mathfrak{o}[x]$ satisfying

$$|g(x) - \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathfrak{S}} f_{i,j}(x)^{a_{i,j}^0}|_\lambda \leq \sup_{k=1, \dots, s} | \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathfrak{S}} f_{i,j}(\theta_k) |_\lambda.$$

Then $g(x)$ is the divisor polynomial of degree m for \mathfrak{p}_λ of θ .

Reference

- [1] K. Okutsu: Construction of Integral Basis. I; II; III. Proc. Japan Acad., **58A**, 47-49; 87-89; 117-119 (1982).