

67. A Note on Extensions of Groups.

By Hiroshi NAGAO.

(Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1949.)

1. If a group \mathcal{G} contains a normal subgroup \mathfrak{N} and \mathcal{G}/\mathfrak{N} is isomorphic to \mathfrak{A} , we call \mathcal{G} an *extension of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{A}* . The problem of extension is to obtain all extensions of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{A} when \mathfrak{N} and \mathfrak{A} are given. The conditions to determine every extension were at first given by O. Schreier¹⁾ and afterwards by K. Shoda²⁾ in another way.

This note is divided in two parts. In section 2, we shall show that the problem of extension can be reduced in a sense to the case when \mathfrak{N} is abelian, and in section 3, we shall consider central extensions of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{A} under the assumption that \mathfrak{N} and \mathfrak{A} are both abelian, where an extension of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{A} is called a *central extension* when \mathfrak{N} is contained in its center.

2. From the theorem of O. Schreier, any extension of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{A} may be determined by a factor set $\{C_{a,b}\}$ and a homomorphic mapping $\bar{\sigma}$ of \mathfrak{A} into the residue class group of the automorphism group of \mathfrak{N} by its inner automorphism group. We shall call such extension a $\bar{\sigma}$ -*extension*. Let σ be a mapping from \mathfrak{A} into the automorphism group of \mathfrak{N} such that the residue class containing σa is equal to $\bar{\sigma} a$. Then any $\bar{\sigma}$ -extension may be determined by a factor set $\{C_{a,b}\}$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) $A^{\sigma(a)\sigma(b)} = C_{a,b}^{-1} A^{\sigma(ab)} C_{a,b}$ ($A \in \mathfrak{N}$; $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$)
- 2) $C_{ab,c} C_{a,b}^{\sigma(c)} = C_{a,bc} C_{b,c}$.

We shall call such factor set a σ -*factor set*.

Theorem 1. *Let $\{C_{a,b}\}$ and $\{D_{a,b}\}$ be two σ -factor sets. Then the set $\{Z_{a,b} = D_{a,b} C_{a,b}^{-1}\}$ is contained in the center \mathfrak{Z} of \mathfrak{N} and satisfies the following conditions:*

- 3) $Z_{ab,c} Z_{a,b}^{\sigma(c)} = Z_{a,bc} Z_{b,c}$.

Conversely, if $\{C_{a,b}\}$ is a σ -factor set, and if $\{Z_{a,b}\}$ is contained in \mathfrak{Z} and satisfies 3), then $\{D_{a,b} = C_{a,b} Z_{a,b}\}$ is a σ -factor set.

Proof. If $\{C_{a,b}\}$ and $\{D_{a,b}\}$ are both σ -factor sets, then from 1) $C_{a,b}^{-1} A C_{a,b} = D_{a,b}^{-1} A D_{a,b}$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{N}$, hence $Z_{a,b} = D_{a,b} C_{a,b}^{-1} \in \mathfrak{Z}$. Further, since

1) O. Schreier: Über die Erweiterung von Gruppen, Monatshefte für Math. u. Physik, 34 (1926) 321-346.

2) K. Shoda: Über die Schreiersche Erweiterungstheorie. Proc. Acad. Tokyo (1943) 518-519.

$\{D_{a,b}\}$ satisfies 2), $C_{a,b}C_{a,b}^{(\sigma)}Z_{a,c}Z_{a,b}^{(\sigma)} = C_{a,b}C_{b,c}Z_{a,c}Z_{b,c}$ and hence $\{Z_{a,b}\}$ satisfies 3). Conversely, if $\{C_{a,b}\}$ is a σ -factor set and if $\{Z_{a,b}\}$ is contained in \mathfrak{B} and satisfies 3), then for $\{D_{a,b} = C_{a,b}Z_{a,b}\}$ the conditions 1) and 2) will be easily verified.

As an immediate consequence of this theorem, we have the

Corollary. *For any $\bar{\sigma}$ -extension \mathfrak{G} of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{A} , $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{B}$ is uniquely determined disregarding isomorphisms.*

If two $\bar{\sigma}$ -extensions \mathfrak{G} and \mathfrak{G}' are mutually isomorphic by a correspondence such that every element of \mathfrak{N} corresponds to itself and the residue class of \mathfrak{G} mod \mathfrak{N} corresponding to $a\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{A}$ corresponds to such residue class of \mathfrak{G}' mod \mathfrak{N} , then we shall say that \mathfrak{G} and \mathfrak{G}' have the same *type*. As is easily verified, two extensions determined by σ -factor sets $\{C_{a,b}\}$ and $\{D_{a,b}\}$ have the same type if and only if there exists a set $\{Z_a\}$ of elements from \mathfrak{B} such that $D_{a,b} = C_{a,b}Z_{a,b}^{-1}Z_a^{(\sigma)}Z_b$. In such a case, we say that $\{D_{a,b}\}$ is *associated* to $\{C_{a,b}\}$. This relation satisfies the three conditions of equivalence, and hence we can classify all σ -factor sets by this relation. The totality of these classes is denoted by $E_\sigma(\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{A})$, then there exists a one to one correspondence between $E_\sigma(\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{A})$ and the totality of types of extensions.

Now we shall suppose that there exists at least one $\bar{\sigma}$ -extension of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{A} , and select a $\bar{\sigma}$ -factor set $\{C_{a,b}\}$. Then for any $\bar{\sigma}$ -factor set $\{D_{a,b}\}$, $\{Z_{a,b} = D_{a,b}C_{a,b}^{-1}\}$ is a $\bar{\sigma}$ -factor set respecting to \mathfrak{B} , where the homomorphism of \mathfrak{A} in the automorphism group of \mathfrak{B} induced by σ is also denoted by σ . Further, $\{D_{a,b}\}$ is associated to $\{D'_{a,b}\}$ if and only if $\{Z_{a,b} = D_{a,b}C_{a,b}^{-1}\}$ is associated to $\{Z'_{a,b} = D'_{a,b}C_{a,b}^{-1}\}$. Thus there exists a one to one correspondence between $E_\sigma(\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{A})$ and $E_\sigma(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{A})$. Accordingly we have ;

Theorem 2. *Let $\bar{\sigma}$ be a homomorphism of \mathfrak{A} in the residue class group of the automorphism group of \mathfrak{N} by its inner automorphism group, and suppose that there exists at least one $\bar{\sigma}$ -extension of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{A} . Then there exists a one to one correspondence between types of extensions of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{A} and those of \mathfrak{B} by \mathfrak{A} .*

As is well known, $E_\sigma(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{A})$ forms an abelian group by the definition of products $\{Z_{a,b}\} \times \{Z'_{a,b}\} = \{Z_{a,b} \cdot Z'_{a,b}\}$. This group will be called the *group of $\bar{\sigma}$ -extensions* of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{A} when there exists at least one $\bar{\sigma}$ -extension.

Corollary. *If the center of \mathfrak{N} is unit group, then there exists a unique $\bar{\sigma}$ -extension of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{A} for any $\bar{\sigma}$.*

Proof. If there exists at least one $\bar{\sigma}$ -extension then the uniqueness is an immediate consequence of theorem 2. We shall prove the existence. By the

assumption, the inner automorphism group of \mathfrak{N} is isomorphic to \mathfrak{N} . We shall identify this with \mathfrak{N} . Let \mathfrak{C} be the kernel of $\bar{\sigma}$ and let $\mathfrak{S}/\mathfrak{N}$ be the image of \mathfrak{N} by $\bar{\sigma}$. Then $\bar{\sigma}$ induces an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{C}$ on $\mathfrak{S}/\mathfrak{N}$. Let in this isomorphism a residue class $a \mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{C}$ corresponds to a residue class $\sigma(a) \mathfrak{N}$ of $\mathfrak{S}/\mathfrak{N}$. Then the subgroup of $\mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{N}$ which consists of all elements with forms $\sigma(a) N a c$ ($N \in \mathfrak{N}, C \in \mathfrak{C}$) is a $\bar{\sigma}$ -extension of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{N} .

Corollary. *Let \mathfrak{N} and the center \mathfrak{Z} of \mathfrak{N} have the finite orders m and n respectively, and suppose that m and n are coprime. Then there exists at most one $\bar{\sigma}$ -extension of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{N} for any $\bar{\sigma}$.*

Proof. In this case, it will be easily verified that $E_o(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathfrak{N})$ is a unit group, and hence our assertion holds.

Specially, if the orders of \mathfrak{N} and \mathfrak{N} are both finite and coprime, then any σ -extension of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{N} , if exists, must be split.⁴⁾

3. In this section, we shall consider central extensions⁵⁾ of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{N} under the assumptions that \mathfrak{N} and \mathfrak{N} are both abelian and \mathfrak{N} has a finite number of generators.

First of all, we shall state without proof Shoda's theorem.

Theorem.⁶⁾ *Let \mathfrak{N} and \mathfrak{N} be two groups, and \mathfrak{N} be defined by a set of generators $E = \{a_i\}$ and defining relations $R = \{r(a_i)\}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{F}(E)$ the free group generated by E , and by \mathfrak{R} the normal subgroup of $\mathfrak{F}(E)$ generated by R and further by $A(\mathfrak{N})$ the automorphism group of \mathfrak{N} . If a homomorphic mapping $a_i \mapsto \alpha_i$ from $\mathfrak{F}(E)$ into $A(\mathfrak{N})$ and a homomorphic mapping $r(a_i) \rightarrow A_r$ from \mathfrak{R} into \mathfrak{N} satisfy the following conditions:*

- 1) $A a_i r(a_i) a_i^{-1} = A_{r(a_i)}^{\alpha_i}$
- 2) $A^{r(a_i)} = A_r A_r^{-1}$ (where A is any element of \mathfrak{N} and $r(a_i)$ is the image of $r(a_i)$.)

then an extension of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{N} may be obtained by introducing the relations: $a_i A a_i^{-1} A^{-\alpha_i}, r(a_i) A_r^{-1}$ in the free product of \mathfrak{N} and $\mathfrak{F}(E)$. Conversely every extension may be obtained in such a way.

From this theorem, if \mathfrak{N} is abelian, any central extension of \mathfrak{N} by \mathfrak{N} may be determined by a homomorphic mapping from $\mathfrak{N}/\mathfrak{F}(E) \circ \mathfrak{N}$ into \mathfrak{N} , where $\mathfrak{F}(E) \circ \mathfrak{N}$ denotes the commutator subgroup of $\mathfrak{F}(E)$ and \mathfrak{N} .

3) See footnote 1).
 4) See Zassenhaus's "Lehrbuch der Gruppentheorie" p. 125.
 5) See section 1.
 6) See footnote 2).

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (a_1) \times \dots \times (a_n)$ be an abelian group and t_i be the order of a_i , then \mathfrak{A} may be regarded as defined by a set of generators $E = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and defining relations $r_i = a_i^{t_i}$, $r_{i,k} = a_i a_k a_i^{-1} a_k^{-1}$ ($i, k = 1, \dots, n$; $i < k$). Let $\mathfrak{F}(E)$ and \mathfrak{R} have the same significances as above, then we have the following lemma.

Lemma. $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{F}(E) \circ \mathfrak{R}$ is isomorphic with $\mathfrak{B} = (W_1) \times \dots \times (W_n) \times (W_{1,2}) \times (W_{1,3}) \times \dots \times (W_{n-1,n})$, where (W_i) ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is a cyclic group of order O and $(W_{i,k})$ ($i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is a cyclic group of order t_k .

Proof. We shall denote by $\bar{\mathfrak{R}}$ the residue class group $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{F}(E) \circ \mathfrak{R}$. $\bar{\mathfrak{R}}$ is generated by $\bar{r}_i = r_i \mathfrak{F}(E) \circ \mathfrak{R}$ and $\bar{r}_{i,k} = r_{i,k} \mathfrak{F}(E) \circ \mathfrak{R}$. The following relations hold in $\bar{\mathfrak{R}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} r_k^{a_i} &= a_i r_k a_i^{-1} = (a_i a_k a_i^{-1})^{t_k} = (r_{i,k} a_k)^{t_k} \\ &= r_{i,k} (a_k r_{i,k} a_k^{-1}) (a_k^2 r_{i,k} a_k^{-2}) \dots (a_k^{t_k-1} r_{i,k} a_k^{-(t_k-1)}) a_k^{t_k} = r_{i,k}^{1+a_k+\dots+a_k^{t_k-1}} r_k \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\bar{r}_k = \bar{r}_{i,k}^{t_k} \bar{r}_k$, that is, $\bar{r}_{i,k}^{t_k} = \bar{e}$ (\bar{e} is the unit element of $\bar{\mathfrak{R}}$). Accordingly by the mapping $W_i \rightarrow \bar{r}_i$, $W_{i,k} \rightarrow \bar{r}_{i,k}$, \mathfrak{B} is homomorphic to $\bar{\mathfrak{R}}$.

Conversely, from the theorem in Zassenhaus's "Lehrbuch der Gruppentheorie" p. 96, we can obtain a central extension of \mathfrak{B} by \mathfrak{A} , introducing the relations $a_i W_i a_i^{-1} W_i^{-1}$, $a_i a_k a_i^{-1} a_k^{-1} W_{i,k}^{-1}$, $a_i^{t_i} W_i^{-1}$ into the free product of $\mathfrak{F}(E)$ and \mathfrak{B} . Hence by the mapping $\bar{r}_i \rightarrow W_i$, $\bar{r}_{i,k} \rightarrow W_{i,k}$, $\bar{\mathfrak{R}}$ is homomorphic with \mathfrak{B} . Thus the lemma is proved.

Combining Shoda's theorem and this lemma, we have

Theorem 3. Let \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{R} and \mathfrak{B} have the same significances as above. If a homomorphic mapping from \mathfrak{B} into \mathfrak{R} is given by the mapping $W_i \rightarrow A_i$, $W_{i,k} \rightarrow A_{i,k}$, then, introducing the relations $a_i^{t_i} A_i^{-1}$, $a_i a_k a_i^{-1} a_k^{-1} A_{i,k}^{-1}$, $a_i A a_i^{-1} A^{-1}$ in the free product of \mathfrak{R} and $\mathfrak{F}(E)$, we have a central extension of \mathfrak{R} by \mathfrak{A} . Conversely every central extension of \mathfrak{R} by \mathfrak{A} may be obtained in such a way.

By theorem 3, every extension is determined by a set $\{A_i, A_{i,k}\}$ of elements from \mathfrak{R} such that $A_{i,k}^{t_k} = 1$ (1 is the unit element of \mathfrak{R}). As is easily verified, $\{A_i, A_{i,k}\}$ and $\{B_i, B_{i,k}\}$ determine extensions of the same type if and only if there exist n elements N_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) of \mathfrak{R} and the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1) $B_i = A_i N_i^{t_i}$
- 2) $B_{i,k} = A_{i,k}$.

Hence, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4. The group of central extensions $E_1(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{A})$ is isomorphic with $\mathfrak{R}_1/\mathfrak{R}_1^{t_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{R}_n/\mathfrak{R}_n^{t_n} \times \mathfrak{R}_{1,2} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{R}_{n-1,n}$, where \mathfrak{R}_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is isomorphic with \mathfrak{R} and $\mathfrak{R}_{i,k}$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$; $i > k$) is isomorphic with the subgroup of \mathfrak{R} which consists of all elements whose orders divide t_k .