

89. A Generalization of a Theorem of Suetuna on Dirichlet Series.

By N. C. ANKENY.

Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, U.S.A.

(Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., Oct. 13, 1952.)

Introduction.

Professor Z. Suetuna proved in *Tôhoku Math. Journal* 27, 1926, 248-257, the following interesting theorem: Let χ_1, χ_2, χ_3 be any three primitive Dirichlet characters, i.e. mappings of the multiplicative group of the rational numbers (mod m), for some integer m , into the unit circle in the complex plane. Let

$$L(s, \chi_i) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_i(n)}{n^s}, \quad \Re(s) > 1$$

be the corresponding Dirichlet L -series.

Theorem 1: If

$$Z_3(s) = \prod_{i=1}^3 L(s, \chi_i), \quad \Re(s) > 1$$

when developed into a Dirichlet series has non-negative coefficients, then

$$(1) \quad Z_3(s) = \zeta(s)^3$$

or

$$(2) \quad Z_3(s) = \zeta(s)\zeta_{F_1}(s)$$

or

$$(3) \quad Z_3(s) = \zeta_{F_2}(s),$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function, $\zeta_{F_1}(s)$ is the Dedekind zeta-function of some quadratic extension of the rational numbers, and $\zeta_{F_2}(s)$ is the Dedekind zeta-function of some cubic Abelian extension of the rationals.

What we propose to prove in the following paper, is that if $\chi_0, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$ are any $n+1$ characters (mod m), not necessarily distinct, with at most one of the characters being principal, and if

$$\prod_{j=0}^n L(s, \chi_j)$$

has non-negative coefficients, then

$$(4) \quad \prod_{j=0}^n L(s, \chi_j) = \zeta_K(s)$$

where K is a finite Abelian extension of the rationals, and $\zeta_K(s)$ is the corresponding Dedekind zeta-function.

(4) is, unfortunately, only a restricted generalization of Professor Suetuna's result; however, one can see that for a large n there could not possibly be such a simple result as (4).

What we shall do in Section 2 is to reduce the problem to a problem on polynomials in several variables which we will state here.

Theorem 2: If

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) = \sum_{j_1=0}^{m_1-1} \dots \sum_{j_t=0}^{m_t-1} a_{j_1, \dots, j_t} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_t^{j_t}$$

is such that

- (a) $f(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 1$,
- (b) a_{j_1, \dots, j_t} are all non-negative rational integers,
- (c) for each i , the greatest common divisor of the set j_i' and m_i is 1, where j_i' runs over all j_i with at least one $a_{j_1, \dots, j_t} \neq 0$,
- (d) $f(\zeta_{m_1}^{i_1}, \zeta_{m_2}^{i_2}, \dots, \zeta_{m_t}^{i_t}) \geq 0$ for all sets of integers i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t where $\zeta_m = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}$, then

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) = \prod_{i=1}^t \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} x_i^j \right).$$

Theorem 2 will be proved in Section 3 for the case when $t=1$ and all essential details of the proof when $t>1$ will be given in Section 4. Section 1 will consist of a few introductory definitions and lemmas. Section 2 will show the relationship between (4) and Theorem 1, showing that Theorem 2 implies (4).

We may note that (4) will also hold for L -series defined in any algebraic number fields, and the proof is almost identical with the following.

Section 1.

Definitions: $a, b, c, d, h, k, l, m, n, i, j, u$, will always denote non-negative rational integers. p will always denote a positive rational prime, and

$$\zeta_m = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}.$$

$\varphi(m)$, $\mu(m)$ denote the Euler and the Möbius functions, respectively.

R denotes the rational numbers, and $R(\zeta_m)$ is the field attained by adjoining ζ_m to R .

Lemma 1: The irreducible equation satisfied by ζ_m in R is

$$(5) \quad g(x) = \prod_{a|m} (1 - x^a)^{\mu\left(\frac{m}{a}\right)},$$

furthermore,

$$(6) \quad SR(\zeta_m), R(\zeta_m) = \mu(m),$$

where $S_{R(\zeta_m), R(\zeta_m)}$ denotes the trace of ζ_m from $R(\zeta_m)$ to R ; and

$$(7) \quad (R(\zeta_m) : R) = \varphi(m).$$

Proof: The statements in Lemma 1 are all well-known facts about cyclotomic fields and equations and will not be included.

Lemma 2: If α is an algebraic integer contained in an algebraic field F of degree l over R , and if α and all its conjugates over R are positive, then

$$S_{F, R}(\alpha) \geq l.$$

Proof: Denote by $\alpha = \alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \dots, \alpha^{(l)}$ the conjugates of α . Then by the arithmetic-geometric mean

$$S_{F, R}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha^{(i)} \geq l \left(\prod_{i=1}^l \alpha^{(i)} \right)^{\frac{1}{l}} = l(N_{F, R}(\alpha))^{\frac{1}{l}} \geq l,$$

as $N_{F, R}(\alpha)$ is a positive integer.

Section 2.

Let h denote the least common multiple of all conductors of the characters $\chi_0, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$ in the Introduction. Let G be the smallest group of characters defined (mod h) which contains our set (χ_i) . Denote by $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_t$ a set of generators of G each of order m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t , respectively (i.e. $\tau_i^{m_i} = 1$, and m_i is the least positive integer for which this is true).

Denote by a_{j_1, \dots, j_t} the number of times $\tau_1^{j_1}, \tau_2^{j_2}, \dots, \tau_t^{j_t}$ for $j_i = 0, 1, \dots, m_i - 1$ appears in the set (χ) . We see from the definition of the a_{j_1, \dots, j_t} that they are non-negative.

Now for $\Re(s) > 1$, we have by the Euler product that

$$L(s, \chi_i) = \prod_p (1 - \chi_i(p)p^{-s})^{-1} = \exp \left\{ \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\infty} \sum_p \frac{\chi_i(p^\sigma)}{gp^{\sigma s}} \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=0}^n L(s, \chi_i) &= \prod_{j_1=0}^{m_1-1} \prod_{j_2=0}^{m_2-1} \dots \prod_{j_t=0}^{m_t-1} L(s, \tau_1^{j_1} \dots \tau_t^{j_t})^{a_{j_1, \dots, j_t}} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \sum_p \sum_{j_1=0}^{m_1-1} \dots \sum_{j_t=0}^{m_t-1} \frac{a_{j_1, \dots, j_t} \tau_1^{j_1} \dots \tau_t^{j_t}(p^g)}{gp^{\sigma s}} \right\} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_l}{l^s}. \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis $c_i \geq 0$, and in particular $c_p \geq 0$ for all primes p .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} c_p &= \sum_{j_1=0}^{m_1-1} \dots \sum_{j_t=0}^{m_t-1} a_{j_1, \dots, j_t} \tau_1^{j_1} \dots \tau_t^{j_t}(p) \\ &= \sum_{j_1=0}^{m_1-1} \dots \sum_{j_t=0}^{m_t-1} a_{j_1, \dots, j_t} \tau_1^{j_1}(p) \tau_2^{j_2}(p) \dots \tau_t^{j_t}(p). \end{aligned}$$

By Dirichlet's theorem regarding primes in an arithmetic progression, we have for every u such that $(u, h) = 1$, there exist

infinitely many primes p such that

$$p \equiv u \pmod{h}.$$

Hence, for any given t triple (i_1, \dots, i_t) with $0 \leq i_j < m_j$, there exists a prime p such that

$$\tau_j(p) = \zeta_{m_j}^{i_j}.$$

Also by Dirichlet's theorem, and the fact that the real point on the line of convergence of a Dirichlet series with positive coefficients is a singularity of the function, we see that $s=1$ is a singularity of $\prod_{i=0}^n L(s, \chi_i)$. Hence, at least one character must be principal, and by hypothesis this means only one character is principal, i.e. $a_{0,0,\dots,0} = 1$.

$$\text{Let } f(x_1, \dots, x_t) = \sum_{j_1=0}^{m_1-1} \dots \sum_{j_t=0}^{m_t-1} a_{j_1, \dots, j_t} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_t^{j_t}.$$

Hence, by the above we see $f(x_1, \dots, x_t)$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2, except perhaps (c). But (c) must be satisfied, otherwise the group of characters G is too large for our purpose.

Assume for the moment we have proved Theorem 2. This would imply that $a_{j_1, \dots, j_t} = 1$ for all (j_1, \dots, j_t) . Therefore, our set of characters (χ) coincides with G . It is then well known by Class Field Theory that there exists an Abelian extension of R whose ray (rayon) group in R will coincide with the kernel of the homomorphisms of G acting on R . We then see that $\prod_{i=0}^n L(s, \chi_i)$ must be the zeta-function of this Abelian extension, and so Theorem 2 implies (4).

Section 3.

We shall give here the proof of Theorem 2 when $t=1$.

Case 1. $m_1 = p$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} S_{R(\zeta_p), R}(f(\zeta_p)) &= S_{R(\zeta_p), R}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j \zeta_p^j\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j S_{R(\zeta_p), R}(\zeta_p^j) \\ &= (p-1)a_0 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} a_j\right) = (p-1) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} a_j\right) < p-1, \end{aligned}$$

by properties (a), (b) and (c) of Theorem 2. By assumption (d), $f(\zeta_p)$ is a totally positive (≥ 0) algebraic integer in $R(\zeta_p)$ which is of degree $p-1$ over R . Hence, by Lemma 2

$$f(\zeta_p) = 0.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1,

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} x^j.$$

Case 2. $w(m) = h > 1$ where if $m = m_1 = p_1^{c_1} p_2^{c_2} \dots p_r^{c_r}$, $c_i > 1$, then $w(m) = c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_r$.

We have shown that Theorem 2 is true if $w(m)=1$. Proceeding by induction, assume the theorem is true if $w(m) \leq h-1$.

Define

$$g_n(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{pj} y^j$$

where $p=p_1$ and $n=m/p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f(\zeta_m^{v+ni}) &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j \zeta_m^{j(v+ni)} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j \zeta_m^{vj} \left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \zeta_m^{jni} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{pj} \zeta_m^{vbj} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{pj} \zeta_n^{vj} = g_n(\zeta_n^v). \end{aligned}$$

So by (8), we see that $g_n(y)$ is non-negative for $y=\zeta_n^v$ for $v=0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. By the definition of $g_n(y)$ we see that the coefficients are non-negative and that $g_n(0)=1$. Hence, $g_n(y)$ satisfies every condition of Theorem 2, with $t=1$ and m replaced by n , except perhaps condition (c).

Let d be the greatest common divisor of the j' and n where j' runs over all j such that $a_{pj'} \neq 0$. Hence,

$$g_n(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n/d-1} a_{pdj} y^{dj}.$$

If
$$\bar{g}_n(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n/d-1} a_{pdj} z^j, \quad y^d = z,$$

then $\bar{g}_n(z)$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2 with m replaced by n/d . So by induction,

$$\bar{g}_n(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n/d-1} z^j$$

or

$$(9) \quad g_n(x^p) = \sum_{j=0}^{n/d-1} x^{pdj}.$$

By (9) the roots of $g_n(x^p)$ are ζ_m^l where l is not divisible by m/pd . Furthermore, by (9) $g_n(x^p)$ is non-negative for any m th root of unity.

As the elements on the left-hand side of (8) are non-negative, we have that $f(\zeta_m^l) = 0$ if $m/pd \nmid l$. Hence, $g_n(x^p)$ divides $f(x)$, or

$$(10) \quad f(x) = g_n(x^p)h(x)$$

where the degree of $h(x)$ is $< pd$ by (9). Also by (9) and the fact that $f(x)$ has non-negative coefficients, $h(x)$ has non-negative coefficients:

$$h(0) = \frac{f(0)}{g_n(0)} = 1.$$

Again by (9) $g_n(\zeta_{pd}^{pi}) > 0$, so $h(\zeta_{pd}^i) \geq 0$ for all i .

If $w(pd) < w(m)$, then $h(x) = \frac{1-x^{pd}}{1-x}$, so by (9) and (10),

$$f(x) = \frac{1-x^m}{1-x}.$$

If $w(pd) = w(m)$, then as $d \mid n$, $pn = m$, we have $d = n$. Hence by (9), $g_n(x^p) = 1$.

In formula (8) let $v = 0$, so

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} f(\zeta_m^t) = 1,$$

or

$$(11) \quad \sum_{t=1}^{p-1} f(\zeta_p^t) = p - f(1).$$

Now $f(1) \geq 2$, as the coefficients of $f(x)$ are non-negative, $f(x)$ satisfies condition (c), and $f(0) = 1$.

So by (11)

$$S_{R(\zeta_p), R}(f(\zeta_p)) < p - 1,$$

or by Lemma 2

$$S_{R(\zeta_p), R}(f(\zeta_p)) = 0.$$

Hence, by (11)

$$(12) \quad f(1) = p.$$

(12) would give a contradiction if m had two different prime factors, as then $f(1) = p_1$, $f(1) = p_2$ with $p_1 \neq p_2$.

So we are reduced to the case $m = p^c$, $c > 1$.

Again by (8), letting $v = kp^{c-2}$,

$$(13) \quad S_{R(\zeta_{p^2}), R}(f(\zeta_{p^2})) = \sum_{(i, p)=1} f(\zeta_{p^2}^i) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} f(\zeta_{p^2}^{k+l p}) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} f(\zeta_p^{kp^{c-2} + lp^{c-1}}) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} p = p^2 - p.$$

But by Lemma 2, i.e. the arithmetic-geometric inequality, this implies $f(\zeta_{p^2}^i) = 1$ for $(i, p) = 1$. Similarly, we see that $f(\zeta_{p^c}^i) = 1$ for $(i, p) = 1$. So

$$(14) \quad f(\zeta_{p^c}^i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p^{c-1} \nmid i \\ p & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now compare $f(x)$ with the polynomial

$$F(x) = 1 - p^{1-c} \sum_{j=0}^{p^{c-1}-1} x^{p^j} + p^{1-c} \sum_{j=0}^{p^c-1} x^j.$$

We note that $F(x)$ has the identical behavior as $f(x)$ at the p^c points in (14). As the degrees of $f(x)$ and $F(x)$ are both less than p^c , we must have that $f(x)$ and $F(x)$ are identically equal. As $c \geq 2$, we see that $F(x)$ will have non-integral coefficients, which gives a contradiction.

Section 4.

In this section we shall prove Theorem 2 for $t=2$, and note that this proof can be carried over automatically for $t>2$:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{j_1=0}^{m_1-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{m_2-1} a_{j_1, j_2} x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} .$$

Assume Theorem 2 is true if $w(m_1 m_2) \leq h$. We note that we have proved the case when $h=1$, as then m_1 or m_2 equals 1 and this falls under the case when $t=1$. Assume $w(m_1 m_2) = h + 1$ and let $p \mid m_1, n_1 = m_1/p$. Let

$$f(x_1, x_2) = g_{0, p}(x_1^p, x_2) + g_{1, p}(x_1, x_2)$$

where

$$g_{0, p}(x_1^p, x_2) = \sum_{j_1=0}^{n_1-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{m_2-1} a_{p j_1, j_2} x_1^{p j_1} x_2^{j_2}$$

and $g_{1, p}(x_1, x_2)$ contains the other terms of $f(x_1, x_2)$. Denote $x_1^p = \bar{x}_1$. So $g_{0, p}(\bar{x}_1, x_2)$ is a polynomial of degree $< n_1$ in \bar{x}_1 , of degree $< m_2$ in x_2 and

$$g_{0, p}(\zeta_{n_1}^{v_1}, \zeta_{m_2}^{v_2}) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f(\zeta_{m_1}^{v_1 + n_1 i}, \zeta_{m_2}^{v_2}) .$$

Hence, $g_{0, p}(\bar{x}_1, x_2)$ satisfies every condition of Theorem 2, except perhaps condition (c), with m_1, m_2 replaced by n_1, m_2 .

As $w(n_1 m_2) < w(m_1 m_2)$ we have by induction that (concerning d_1, d_2 , see d in Section 3)

$$g_{0, p}(x_1^p, x_2) = \sum_{j_1=0}^{n_1/d_1-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{m_2/d_2-1} x_1^{p d_1 j_1} x_2^{d_2 j_2} .$$

Unless $d_1 = n_1, d_2 = m_2$, as in Section 3 then

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{j_1=0}^{m_1-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{m_2-1} x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} .$$

If $d_1 = n_1, d_2 = m_2$, then

$$(15) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f(\zeta_{m_1}^{v_1 + n_1 i}, \zeta_{m_2}^{v_2}) = p$$

for all v_1, v_2 . Then (15) yields a contradiction.

The case when $t > 2$, proceeds precisely as in the case when $t = 2$.