

36. On the Efficiency of Leontief's Dynamic Input-Output System

By Hirofumi UZAWA

(Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., March 12, 1956)

In this paper we shall show that the usual Leontief dynamic input-output system can be characterized as an intertemporally efficient path¹⁾ in a more general economic model.

1. We shall consider a closed economy with n industries. Let $x_i(t)$ be the total output of industry i , $x_{ik}(t)$ be the flow inputs of the product of industry i used in the production of $x_k(t)$ in the period $[t, t+1]$; and let $s_i(t)$ be the total stock of the i -th capital, $s_{ik}(t)$ be the stock of the i -th capital being used by industry k at the point of time t . Then the *generalized Leontief dynamic input-output system* for the period $[t, t+1]$ can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad & x_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik}(t) + (s_i(t+1) - s_i(t)), \\
 & s_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n s_{ik}(t), \quad s_i(t+1) = \sum_{k=1}^n s_{ik}(t+1), \\
 & x_{ik}(t) \geq a_{ik}x_k(t), \quad s_{ik}(t+1) \geq b_{ik}x_k(t), \\
 & s_i(t) \geq 0, \quad s_i(t+1) \geq 0, \\
 & \quad (i, k=1, \dots, n),
 \end{aligned}$$

where a_{ik} are input coefficients and b_{ik} are stock coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad & a_{ik} \geq 0, \quad b_{ik} \geq 0, \\
 & \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \leq 1, \quad (i, k=1, \dots, n), \\
 & \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} < 1 \quad \text{for at least one } i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad & x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ x_n(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad X(t) = [x_{ik}(t)], \\
 & s(t) = \begin{bmatrix} s_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ s_n(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad S(t) = [s_{ik}(t)], \\
 & A = [a_{ik}], \quad B = [b_{ik}].
 \end{aligned}$$

Then (1) may be rewritten as follows:²⁾

1) For "intertemporal efficiency", cf. Samuelson [4] or Uzawa [6].

2) We denote, for two vectors x, y ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x \geq y, \text{ when } x_i \geq y_i \quad (i=1, \dots, n), \\
 & x \geq y, \text{ when } x \geq y \text{ and } x \neq y, \\
 & x > y, \text{ when } x_i > y_i \quad (i=1, \dots, n).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad & x(t) = X(t) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + s(t+1) - s(t), \\
 & s(t) = S(t) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad s(t+1) = S(t+1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 & X(t) \geq A \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & x_n(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad S(t+1) \geq B \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & x_n(t) \end{bmatrix}, \\
 & s(t) \geq 0, \quad s(t+1) \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

2. A pair (s^0, s^1) of non-negative vectors s^0, s^1 is said to be a *possible pair*, if there exist $x(t), X(t), S(t)$, and $S(t+1)$ such that they satisfy (4) with $s(t) = s^0$ and $s(t+1) = s^1$. If (s^0, s^1) is a possible pair and there is no non-negative vector s for which (s^0, s) is possible and $s \geq s^1$, then (s^0, s^1) is said to be an *efficient pair*.

For any possible pair (s^0, s^1) , there is a possible pair (s^0, \bar{s}^1) so that $\bar{s}^1 \geq s^1$ and (4) are satisfied with

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad & s^0 = s(t), \quad \bar{s}^1 = s(t+1), \\
 & s(t+1) = s(t) + (I - A)x(t) \\
 & \bar{X}(t) = A \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & x_n(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{S}(t+1) \geq B \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & x_n(t) \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$T(s^0) = \{s^1; s^1 = s^0 + (I - A)x \geq 0, (B + A - I)x \leq s^0\}$$

and $E(s^0)$ be the set of efficient vectors in $T(s^0)$.³⁾ Then (s^0, s^1) is possible for any $s^1 \in T(s^0)$, and $E(s^0)$ coincides with the set of a vector s^1 for which (s^0, s^1) is an efficient pair. $T(s^0)$ is a closed convex polyhedral set for any non-negative vector s^0 .

3. A sequence of vectors (s^0, s^1, \dots, s^h) is said to be a *possible sequence*, if $s^{t+1} \in T(s^t)$ for any $t = 0, \dots, h-1$. For any non-negative vector s^0 , we shall denote by $T^h(s^0)$ the set of a vector s^h , for which there are $h-1$ vectors s^1, \dots, s^{h-1} such that $(s^0, s^1, \dots, s^{h-1}, s^h)$ is a possible sequence. $T^h(s^0)$ is a closed convex polyhedral set for any non-negative vector s^0 and any integer h .

A possible sequence (s^0, \dots, s^h) is said to be *h-efficient*, if s^h is efficient in $T^h(s^0)$. A vector s^h is efficient in $T^h(s^0)$, if and only if $s^h \in T^h(s^0)$ and there is a positive vector $p > 0$ such that

$$p \cdot s^h = \max_{s \in T^h(s^0)} p \cdot s.$$

4. Let $T^*(s^1) = \{s^0; s^1 \in T(s^0)\}$. Then $T^*(s^1)$ coincides with the

3) A vector \bar{x} in a set T is said to be efficient if $\bar{x} \leq x$ for no $x \in T$.

4) Cf. Arrow-Barankin-Blackwell [1]. $p \cdot s$ stands for the inner product of vectors p and s .

set of all vectors s^0 for which there is a vector x^0 such that

$$s^0 = s^1 - (I - A)x^0 \geq 0, \quad Bx^0 \leq s^1.$$

Therefore, if a sequence (s^0, \dots, s^h) is h -efficient, the subsequence (s^t, \dots, s^{t+k}) is k -efficient for any $0 \leq t \leq h-1, 1 \leq k \leq h-t$. On the other hand, a possible sequence (s^0, s^1, s^2) is 2-efficient if and only if there is a positive vector $p > 0$ such that

- (a) $p \cdot s^1$ maximizes $p \cdot s$ subject to $s \geq 0$ and $(C - I)s \leq Cs^0$,
 - (b) $p \cdot s^1$ minimizes $p \cdot s$ subject to $s \geq 0$ and $Cs \geq (C - I)s^2$;
- where $C = B(I - A)^{-1}$.⁵⁾

5. We shall consider the case where B and $(C - I)$ are non-singular and $C(C - I)^{-1} \geq 0$. In this case, the usual Leontief dynamic system can be characterized by the intertemporal efficiency.

Theorem 1. *Let B and $(C - I)$ be non-singular, and $C(C - I)^{-1} \geq 0$. Then a possible sequence (s^0, s^1, s^2) is 2-efficient, if and only if there are vectors x^0 and x^1 such that*

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} s^1 &= s^0 + (I - A)x^0, & (B + A - I)x^0 &= s^0 \\ s^1 &= s^2 - (I - A)x^1, & Bx^1 &= s^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this theorem we can deduce the following

Theorem 2.⁶⁾ *Let B and $(C - I)$ be non-singular, $C(C - I)^{-1} \geq 0$ and $s^0 \in [B + A - I] = \{(B + A - I)x; x \geq 0\}$. Then (s^0, s^1, \dots, s^h) is h -efficient if and only if (s^t, s^{t+1}, s^{t+2}) is 2-efficient for any $t = 0, 1, \dots, h - 2$.*

6. Suppose the Frobenius root⁷⁾ ρ of C be greater than 1. Then ρ is also the Frobenius root of $(I - A)^{-1}B$, and there exists a non-zero vector x^0 such that

$$(I - A)^{-1}Bx^0 = \rho x^0, \quad x^0 \geq 0.$$

If we set $s^0 = (B + A - I)x^0$ and $s^1 = Bx^0$, then

$$s^1 = \lambda s^0, \quad s^0 \geq 0, \quad s^1 \geq 0,$$

where $\lambda = \frac{\rho}{\rho - 1} > 1$. Hence we get the existence of the balanced rate of growth.⁸⁾

Theorem 3. *If the Frobenius root ρ of $C = B(I - A)^{-1}$ is greater than 1, then there exists a possible sequence $(\bar{s}^0, \bar{s}^1, \dots, \bar{s}^h)$ such that*

$$(7) \quad \bar{s}^{t+1} = \lambda \bar{s}^t \quad (t = 0, 1, \dots, h - 1)$$

for any integer h , where $\lambda = \frac{\rho}{\rho - 1} > 1$.

5) Cf. Uzawa [6].

6) Cf. Samuelson [4] and Uzawa [6].

7) The Frobenius root ρ of a matrix C with non-negative elements is the largest non-negative characteristic root of C , which has a maximum absolute value among characteristic roots of C . $[\lambda I - C]^{-1} \geq 0$, if and only if $\lambda > \rho$. Cf. Debreu-Herstein [2].

8) Cf. Solow-Samuelson [5].

In the case where $C(C-I)^{-1} \geq 0$, the Frobenius root of C is greater than 1 and the sequence $(\bar{s}^0, \bar{s}^1, \dots, \bar{s}^h)$ in the above theorem is h -efficient for any integer h . It also becomes the equilibrium point in the sense of von Neumann [3].

References

- [1] K. J. Arrow, E. W. Barankin, and D. Blackwell: Admissible points of convex sets, *Contributions to the Theory of Games*, **2**, 87-91 (1953).
- [2] G. Debreu and I. N. Herstein: Non-negative square matrices, *Econometrica*, **21**, 597-607 (1953).
- [3] J. von Neumann: Über ein ökonomisches Gleichungssystem und eine Verallgemeinerung des Brouwerschen Fixpunktsatzes, *Ergebnisse eines math. Kolloquiums*, No. 8, 73-83 (1935-1936).
- [4] P. A. Samuelson: Efficient paths of dynamic capital development (to be published).
- [5] R. M. Solow and P. A. Samuelson: Balanced growth and constant returns to scale, *Econometrica*, **21**, 412-424 (1953).
- [6] H. Uzawa: On the efficiency conditions of capital accumulation, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, **7** (1956).