

### 93. On the Thue-Siegel-Roth Theorem. I

By Saburô UCHIYAMA

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaidô University, Sapporo, Japan

(Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1959)

1. The main object of this note is to show that the Thue-Siegel-Roth theorem can somewhat be refined when the field of reference is an imaginary quadratic number field. The Thue-Siegel-Roth theorem [1] is

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field of finite degree and let  $\alpha$  be algebraic of degree at least 2 over  $K$ . Then for each  $\kappa > 2$ , the inequality*

$$|\alpha - \xi| < (H(\xi))^{-\kappa} \quad (1)$$

has only a finite number of solutions  $\xi$  in  $K$ .

Here  $H(\xi)$  denotes the height of  $\xi$ , the maximum of the absolute values of the coefficients in the primitive irreducible equation with rational integral coefficients of which  $\xi$  is a zero, while we designate by  $M(\xi)$  the absolute value of the highest coefficient in that equation for  $\xi$ .

Since an algebraic number field  $K$  of finite degree has only finitely many subfields and every element of  $K$  is a primitive number of some one of its subfields, in order to establish Theorem 1 it is enough to prove that for each  $\kappa > 2$ , the inequality (1) is satisfied by only finitely many primitive numbers  $\xi$  in  $K$ . In this respect the following theorem will be of some interest:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\alpha$  be any non-zero algebraic number and let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic number field. If the inequality*

$$|\alpha - \xi| < (M(\xi))^{-\kappa} \quad (2)$$

is satisfied by infinitely many primitive numbers  $\xi$  in  $K$ , then  $\kappa \leq 1$ .

It is clear that  $M(\xi) \leq H(\xi)$  for any fixed  $\xi$  and  $M(\xi) = 1$  for any integral  $\xi$ . From this result one can deduce at once the following

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\alpha$  and  $K$  be as in Theorem 2. Then for each  $\nu > 2$ , the inequality*

$$0 < \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{|q|^\nu} \quad (3)$$

has only a finite number of integer solutions  $p, q$  ( $q \neq 0$ ) in  $K$ .

If, in (3),  $p$  and  $q$  ( $q \neq 0$ ) are restricted to be rational integers, Theorem 3 reduces to a recent result of K. F. Roth [3], and we may exclude this rational case. Then the fraction  $p/q$  with integers  $p, q$  ( $q \neq 0$ ) in  $K$  is a primitive number  $\xi$  in  $K$ , and, for any representation  $\xi = p'/q'$  of the number  $\xi$  with integers  $p', q'$  ( $q' \neq 0$ ) in  $K$ , it satisfies

an irreducible equation of the type<sup>\*</sup>

$$|q'|^2 x^2 + 2hx + |p'|^2 = 0,$$

$h$  being a certain rational integer. Hence, by the definition of  $M(\xi)$ , we have  $M(\xi) \leq |q'|^2$  and, in particular,

$$M(\xi) \leq |q|^2.$$

Thus Theorem 3 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.

We remark that Theorem 3 is the best result of its kind possible if  $\nu$  is to be independent of  $|q|$ , since O. Perron's result [2] shows that for any complex irrational number  $\alpha$  there are infinitely many pairs of integers  $p, q$  ( $q \neq 0$ ) in every imaginary quadratic number field  $K$  satisfying the inequality

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{C}{|q|^2},$$

where  $C > 0$  is a constant depending only on  $K$ .

2. Our proof of Theorem 2 follows the lines of Roth's work [3] with some modifications. The following arguments will suggest incidentally the possibility of making a slight simplification on W. J. LeVeque's proof [1] of Theorem 1.

Let  $m, q_1, \dots, q_m, r_1, \dots, r_m$  be positive rational integers. First we note that Lemmas 5, 6 and hence Lemma 7 in [3] hold true with any algebraic numbers  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p$  such that  $M(\xi_1) = q_1, \dots, M(\xi_p) = q_p$  in place of rational fractions  $h_1/q_1, \dots, h_p/q_p$ , respectively, where  $1 \leq p \leq m$ . Necessary changes in the proofs of them are obvious.

Suppose now that  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer other than zero, and let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic number field. We take a single set of values of the numbers  $m, \delta, q_1, \dots, q_m, r_1, \dots, r_m$  which satisfy the conditions (29), (30), (31), (32) and (33) of [3]. Also we define the numbers  $\lambda, \gamma, \eta, B_1$  as in [3]. Then we can prove the following lemma which is an analogue of Lemma 9 of [3].

*Lemma.* Suppose that the conditions just imposed for  $m, \delta, q_1, \dots, q_m, r_1, \dots, r_m$  are satisfied, and suppose that  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$  are arbitrary numbers in  $K$  such that  $M(\xi_1) = q_1, \dots, M(\xi_m) = q_m$ . Then there exists a polynomial  $Q(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  with rational integral coefficients, of degree at most  $r_j$  in  $x_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ), such that

(i) the index of  $Q$  at the point  $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)$  relative to  $r_1, \dots, r_m$  is at least  $\gamma - \eta$ ;

(ii)  $Q(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \neq 0$ ;

(iii) for all derivatives  $Q_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ , where  $i_1, \dots, i_m$  are any non-negative integers, we have

$$|Q_{i_1 \dots i_m}(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)| < B_1^{1+3\delta}.$$

---

\* The square of the absolute value of an integer in  $K$  is equal to the norm of the integer and hence is a rational integer: it is positive when the integer is  $\neq 0$ .

3. We are now going to prove Theorem 2. Let  $\alpha$  be a non-zero algebraic number and let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic number field. Suppose that the theorem is false, so that for some  $\kappa > 1$ , there exists a set  $E$  of infinitely many primitive numbers  $\xi (\neq \alpha)$  in  $K$  satisfying the inequality (2). Then  $M(\xi)$  is not bounded when  $\xi$  runs through the elements of  $E$ . For, otherwise, it would follow from the relation

$$|M(\xi) \cdot \xi|^2 = M(\xi)M(\xi^{-1})$$

that  $M(\xi^{-1})$  is unbounded when  $\xi$  runs through the elements of  $E$ , since there are only a finite number of integers in  $K$  with a given norm. But every  $\xi$  in  $E$  is a solution of (2), so that

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi| &\leq |\alpha| + (M(\xi))^{-\kappa} \leq |\alpha| + 1, \\ \frac{M(\xi^{-1})}{M(\xi)} &= |\xi|^2 \leq (|\alpha| + 1)^2 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Hence there are primitive solutions  $\xi$  of (2) with arbitrarily large  $M(\xi)$ , and we may now suppose that  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer. For, if not, putting  $a = M(\alpha)$ , we have for each  $\xi$  in  $E$

$$0 < |a\alpha - a\xi| < a(M(\xi))^{-\kappa} \leq a(M(a\xi))^{-\kappa}.$$

Hence for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all  $\xi$  in  $E$  with  $M(\xi)$  sufficiently large

$$0 < |a\alpha - a\xi| < (M(a\xi))^{-\kappa + \varepsilon},$$

and  $\varepsilon$  can be chosen so small that  $\kappa - \varepsilon > 1$ .

We first choose  $m$  so large that  $m > 4nm^{1/2}$ , where  $n$  is the degree of  $\alpha$  over the rationals, and that

$$\frac{m}{m - 4nm^{1/2}} < \kappa, \tag{4}$$

which is possible since  $\kappa > 1$ . We then take  $\delta$  to be a sufficiently small positive number, so that the condition (29) of [3] holds. By the definitions of  $\lambda$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\eta$ , it follows from (4) that

$$\frac{(1 + \delta)m + 2\delta(1 + 4\delta)}{2(\gamma - \eta)} < \kappa \tag{5}$$

for all sufficiently small  $\delta$ .

We now choose a solution  $\xi_1$  of (2) from the infinite set  $E$ , with  $M(\xi_1) = q_1$  sufficiently large to satisfy (32) of [3]. We then choose further solutions  $\xi_2, \dots, \xi_m$  of (2) from  $E$  with  $M(\xi_2) = q_2, \dots, M(\xi_m) = q_m$ , where  $q_2, \dots, q_m$  are positive rational integers satisfying the condition (50) of [3]. Finally, we define the positive integers  $r_1, \dots, r_m$  by (51) and (52) of [3].

We know from the lemma noted above that there exists a polynomial  $Q(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  with the properties listed there. Then the number

$$\varphi = Q(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$$

is an element of  $K$ , and we have

$$1 \leq q_1^{r_1} \cdots q_m^{r_m} |\varphi|^2, \tag{6}$$

since the number on the right-hand side of (6) is a non-zero rational integer.

On the other hand, we have

$$Q(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{r_1} \cdots \sum_{i_m=0}^{r_m} Q_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) (\xi_1 - \alpha)^{i_1} \cdots (\xi_m - \alpha)^{i_m},$$

and by the lemma we find that

$$|\varphi| < B_1^{1+4\delta} q_1^{-r_1(r-\eta)\kappa},$$

whence follows that

$$q_1^{r_1} \cdots q_m^{r_m} |\varphi|^2 < q_1^{2\delta(1+4\delta)r_1 + (1+\delta)mr_1 - 2r_1(r-\eta)\kappa}$$

Comparing this with (6), we obtain

$$0 < 2\delta(1+4\delta) + (1+\delta)m - 2(\gamma-\eta)\kappa,$$

or

$$\kappa < \frac{(1+\delta)m + 2\delta(1+4\delta)}{2(\gamma-\eta)},$$

which contradicts (5). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

We note that our argument can be extended to obtain an analogue of a theorem of D. Ridout (Rational approximations to algebraic numbers, *Mathematika*, **4**, 125–131 (1957)) in imaginary quadratic number fields.

### References

- [1] W. J. LeVeque: *Topics in Number Theory*, Reading, Massachusetts, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Vol. 2, Chapter 4 (1956).
- [2] O. Perron: Diophantische Approximationen in imaginären quadratischen Zahlkörpern, etc., *Math. Zeitschr.*, **37**, 747–767 (1933); especially §2.
- [3] K. F. Roth: Rational approximations to algebraic numbers, *Mathematika*, **2**, 1–20, with corrigendum, 168 (1955).